

# CYP2D6: aripiprazole

## 1541/1542/1543

AP = aripiprazole, AUC = area under the concentration-time curve, BMI = body-mass index,  $C_{lor}$  = oral clearance,  $C_{ss}$  = plasma concentration in steady state, DAP = dehydroaripiprazole, IM = intermediate metaboliser (gene dose 0.25-1) (decreased CYP2D6 enzyme activity), NM = normal metaboliser (gene dose 1.25-2.5) (normal CYP2D6 enzyme activity), NS = non-significant, PM = poor metaboliser (gene dose 0) (absent CYP2D6 enzyme activity), S = significant, SmPC = Summary of Product Characteristics,  $t_{1/2}$  = half-life, UM = ultra-rapid metaboliser (gene dose  $\geq 2.75$ ) (increased CYP2D6 enzyme activity)

**Disclaimer:** The Pharmacogenetics Working Group of the KNMP formulates the optimal recommendations for each phenotype group based on the available evidence. If this optimal recommendation cannot be followed due to practical restrictions, e.g. therapeutic drug monitoring or a lower dose is not available, the health care professional should consider the next best option.

#### Brief summary and justification of choices:

Aripiprazole is primarily metabolised by CYP3A4 and CYP2D6, resulting in formation of the metabolite dehydro-aripiprazole, which has an activity similar to that of aripiprazole. Dehydroaripiprazole is further metabolised by CYP3A4 and CYP2D6 to inactive metabolites. The therapeutic range of aripiprazole is 100-300 ng/ml and values higher than 500 ng/ml are considered to be toxic. In literature, a therapeutic range of aripiprazole + dehydroaripiprazole of 150-500 ng/ml is mentioned.

The presence of genetic variants of CYP2D6 can result in either an absent or reduced CYP2D6 enzyme activity (poor or intermediate metabolisers (PM or IM)) or an increased CYP2D6 enzyme activity (ultra-rapid metaboliser (UM)).

6 out of 11 studies showed a statistically significant effect of the CYP2D6 genotype on exposure to the active moiety (aripiprazole + dehydroaripiprazole) (significant effect: Tveito 2020, Jukic 2019, Belmonte 2018, Suzuki 2014, Suzuki 2011 and Hendset 2007; no significant effect: Koller 2020, Patteet 2016, Van der Weide 2015, Kubo 2007 and Kubo 2005).

PM:

For 87 PM, a study found no difference in the percentage of patients switched to another antipsychotic (Jukic 2019). In a subgroup of patients without relevant comedication, this study found a decrease in dose with 17% for 62 PM. This dose decrease might have contributed to the absence of a clinical effect, although the dose should have been decreased with 35% to compensate for the increase in exposure to aripiprazole + dehydroaripiprazole observed in the 62 PM. A study with 14 (genotype predicted) PM and with 134 PM based on either genotype or the presence of a strong CYP2D6 inhibitor, showed an 18% increase in side effects being the reason for discontinuation for the genotype and/or inhibitor based PM, but no effect for the genotype predicted PM (Jallag 2021). The study showed conflicting results on the change in BMI percentile, i.e. an increase in genotype predicted PM and an increase with the number of CYP2D6 substrates/inhibitors used, but no increase in the genotype and/or inhibitor based PM. For neither kind of PM, the study showed a difference in the maximum aripiprazole dose compared to NM. A study with 148 healthy volunteers receiving a single dose of 10 mg aripriprazole, showed an effect of the CYP2D6 genotype on the frequency of nausea and vomiting, but not on the frequency of any adverse event (Belmonte 2018; 3 PM). Adverse events were reported in a PM patient, who was receiving twice the standard maximum dose of aripiprazole (Oosterhuis 2007). The authors emphasise the possible risk of long-term toxicity in PMs, where the increased plasma concentration is not evidenced by the occurrence of adverse drug reactions. However, the increase in the plasma concentration of aripiprazole was much greater in this case (by approx. 700%) than has been found for PM in other studies (73-80%). Based on these clinical data and on the calculated dose adjustment being higher than the normal biologic variability of approximately 25%, the KNMP Pharmacogenetics Working Group decided that action is required for PMs receiving aripiprazole (yes/yes-interaction). Because the PM in the case report only developed adverse events after doubling the dose to 300 mg/day, the recommendation is limited to the warning not to prescribe a dose for PM any higher than the PM-corrected standard maximum dose of aripiprazole. Dose adjustments were calculated on the basis of the Css and AUC of aripiprazole+dehydroaripiprazole. Based on 5 studies with a total of 89 PM, the weighted mean of the resulting calculated reduced dose reduction is 68% of the standard dose (median 76%; range 65-83%). For direct release

aripiprazole, 68% of the standard maximum dose of 15 mg/day amounts to 10 mg/day. Although the calculated reduced dose is mainly based on direct release aripiprazole, Tveito 2020 shows the effect of the CYP2D6 phenotype on aripiprazole exposure to be similar for oral (direct release) aripiprazole and the extended-release injectable suspension. So, the calculated reduced dose also applies to the extended-release injectable suspension. Dose reduction to 68% of the standard dose corresponds to 272 mg of the extended-release injectable suspension once monthly (normal dose 400 mg once monthly). To be more feasible in clinical practice and taken into the account the median value of the calculated reduced dose of 76%, this is rounded off to 300 mg once a month.

For 102 IM and 33 UM, a study found no difference in the percentage of patients switched to another antipsychotic (Jukic 2019). In a subgroup of patients without relevant comedication, this study found no effect on aripiprazole dose for 73 IM and 16 UM. So, the lack of a clinical effect cannot be explained by dose adjustment. A study with 101 (genotype predicted) IM and with 67 IM based on genotype and comedication (genotype predicted IM without CYP2D6 inhibitor or genotype predicted NM with a moderate CYP2D6 inhibitor), did not show an increase in side effects being the reason for discontinuation or in the change in BMI percentile for either definition of IM (Jallaq 2021). The study showed no difference in the maximum aripiprazole dose compared to NM for both definitions of IM and UM (4 genotype predicted UM, 1 genotype and comedication predicted UM (i.e. the only UM without a strong CYP2D6 inhibitor)), A study with 148 healthy volunteers receiving a single dose of 10 mg aripriprazole, showed an effect of the CYP2D6 genotype on the frequency of nausea and vomiting, but not on the frequency of any adverse event (Belmonte 2018; 55 IM and 7 UM). There were no case reports of adverse events in IM patients. Neither were there any case reports of a lack of effectiveness in UM patients. In addition, especially for IM, the size of the change in AUC or C<sub>ss</sub> is smaller than the normal biologic variability of 25% (a weighted mean and median increase of 1% and 8% for IM and a weighted mean and median decrease of 22% and 20% for UM). Based on the limited data on clinical significance and the relatively small kinetic effect, the KNMP Pharmacogenetics Working Group does not consider dose adjustment or the selection of an alternative to be useful. For this reason, no action is recommended for IM and UM receiving aripiprazole (yes/no-interactions).

You can find a detailed overview of the observed kinetic and clinical effects in the background information text of the gene-drug interactions in the KNMP Kennisbank. You might also have access to this background information text via your pharmacy or physician electronic decision support system.

#### Recommendation concerning pre-emptive genotyping, including justification of choices:

The KNMP Pharmacogenetics Working Group considers genotyping before starting aripiprazole to be potentially beneficial for prevention of adverse events. Genotyping can be considered on an individual patient basis. If, however, the genotype is available, the KNMP Pharmacogenetics Working Group recommends adhering to the gene-drug guideline.

The clinical implication of the gene-drug interaction scores 1 out of the maximum of 10 points (with pre-emptive genotyping considered to be potentially beneficial for scores ranging from 0 to 2 points) (see also the clinical implication score tables at the end of this risk analysis):

No severe clinical effects were observed in users of aripiprazole with a variant phenotype. The maximum severity code was C corresponding to CTCAE grade 2. This results in a score of 0 out of the maximum of 2 points for the first criterion of the clinical implication score, the clinical effect associated with the gene-drug interaction (only points for CTCAE grade ≥ 3).

The lack of a severe clinical effect also results in a score of 0 of the maximum of 3 points for the second and third criterion of the clinical implication score: the level of evidence supporting an associated clinical effect grade  $\geq$  3 and the number needed to genotype (NNG) in the Dutch population to prevent one clinical effect code  $\geq$  D (grade  $\geq$  3).

The Summaries of Product Characteristics (SmPCs) of aripiprazole mention the CYP2D6 PM phenotype, but don't mention this phenotype as a contra-indication and don't recommend pre-emptive genotyping. This results in 1 out of the maximum of 2 points for the fourth and last criterion of the clinical implication score, the pharmacogenetics information in the SmPC (1 point for at least one genotype/phenotype mentioned in the SmPC, but not mentioned as a contra-indication and no recommendation to genotype).

The table below follows the KNMP definitions for NM, PM, IM and UM. The definitions of NM, PM, IM and UM used in the table below may therefore differ from the definitions used by the authors in the article.

Source	Code	Effect	Comments
ref. 1	3	277 paediatric patients with mood disorders and known	Authors' conclusion:
Jallaq SA et al.		CYP2D6 genotype were treated with aripiprazole.	'Phenoconverted
CYP2D6 phenotype		36.8% of patients discontinued treatment due to side effects,	CYP2D6 metaboli-
influences aripipra-		24.5% due to inefficacy. 77 patients (27.8%) discontinued	zer status is asso-

zole tolerability in pediatric patients with mood disorders. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol 2021;31:56-62. PMID: 32845723.

#### ref. 1, continuation

due to unknown reasons or did not discontinue and were excluded from the discontinuation analyses.

Change in BMI percentile was calculated from the BMI percentile nearest to the start and end of aripiprazole treatment. Patients with eating disorders in the problem list were excluded from the change in BMI percentile analysis. Due to the small sample size, UMs were only included in the analysis of the maximum aripiprazole dose.

72% of patients used a CYP2D6 inhibitor. Some patients used more than one CYP2D6 inhibitor. Patients using strong CYP2D6 inhibitors (fluoxetine or bupropion) were assigned the phenotype PM. Patients using moderate CYP2D6 inhibitors (duloxetine, fluvoxamine or sertraline) were assigned to a phenotype based on half of their gene dose. Linear regression was used for the analyses.

### Predicted CYP2D6 phenotypes:

based on genotype: based on genotype and CYP2D6

inhibitor use

- 158x NM - 75x NM (all genetically NM) - 101x IM - 67x IM (genetically IM or geneti-

cally NM with a moderate CYP-

ciated with aripipra-

adjustments based

on CYP2D6 metabolizer status and

concomitant medi-

cations could im-

prove aripiprazole

treatment outco-

mes.'

In addition, dose

zole discontinuation.

2D6 inhibitor)

- 14x PM - 134x PM (14x genetically PM,

117x genetically IM or NM with a strong CYP2D6 inhibitor, and 3x genetically UM+gene dose 2.5 with a strong CYP2D6 inhibitor)

- 4x UM+gene dose - 1x UM or gene dose 2.5

2.5

#### Results:

Results compare	ed to NM:			
-	PM	IM	UM+	value
			gene	for
			dose	NM
			2.5	
Genotype predic	ted phenoty	pes	•	•
side effects		versus IM		
being the	versu	ıs NM		
reason for				
discontinuation				
inefficacy	NS for PM	versus IM		
being the	versu	ıs NM		
reason for				
discontinuation				
BMI percentile	S for PM	versus IM		
change	versu	ıs NM		
	`	corrected		
		ues being		
		her for PM		
		er for IM		
		ed to NM)		
maximum aripi-		M versus IM		
prazole dose	NM versus			
(mg/day)				
Genotype and C			ed phenoty	r'
side effects	x 1.18	x 0.89		57%
being the		versus IM		
reason for	versu	ıs NM		
discontinuation				
inefficacy	NS for PM	versus IM		

UM: AA

PM: C IM: AA

ref. 1, continuation		being the	versus l	MM		
,		reason for				
		discontinuation				4
		BMI percentile change	NS for PM versus I			
		Change	However, BM			
			centile chang	•		
			creased with			
			number of C			
			inhibitors/sub (S), suggestir			
			this increase			
			due to the eff			
			these comed			
			on CYP2D6 a but on other			
			of the CYP2E			
			strates.			
		maximum aripi-		ersus IM vers		
		prazole dose (mg/day)	INIVI versus U	M+gene dose	2.5	
		(mg/day)	1			]
		NOTE: Genotypi				
		*17-*20, *40-*42				
ref. 2	3	important gene v 24 healthy volun				Author's conclu-
Koller D et al.		days. For aripipr				sion:
The effects of aripi-		reach steady sta		•		'Aripiprazole, dehy-
prazole and olanza-		Co-medication w		a correct for n	nultiple com	dro-aripiprazole and
pine on pupillary light reflex and its rela-		Bonferroni correparisons. Multipl				olanzapine pharma- cokinetics were sig-
tionship with phar-		study factors rela				nificantly affected by
macogenetics in a		bles.				polymorphisms in
randomized multiple- dose trial.		Genotyping:				CYP2D6, CYP3A, CYP1A2, ABCB1
Br J Clin Pharmacol		- 16x NM				and UGT1A1
2020;86:2051-62.		- 6x IM				genes.
PMID: 32250470.		- 2x UM or gene	dose 2.5			
and personal		Results:				
communication		AUC (in ng.h/m	L) compared to			AUC aripiprazole +
(supplementary files)			IM	UM	value	dehydroaripiprazole versus NM:
		aripiprazole +	x 1.02 (NS)	x 0.43 (NS)	for NM 119309	IM: 102%
		dehydroaripi-	X 1.02 (1 <b>10</b> )	X 0.40 (1 <b>10</b> )	110000	UM: 43%
		prazole				_
	18.4. A	aripiprazole	x 1.12 (NS)	x 0.30 (NS)	79899	
	IM: A UM: A		S in multivariat			
	0.00.7	dehydroaripi-	x 0.82 (NS)	x 0.68 (NS)	39410	<b></b>
		prazole	NS in multivari	ate analysis fo		
			IM versus NM	versus UM		<b></b>
		Note: Genotypin	a was for *2-*10	· *1 <u>4</u> *17 *20	) *41 and	
		gene multiplicati				-
		ants in this Span	ish population.	·	J	
		*3, *6-*8, *14 and				
		um, which was d			nese vandni	
ref. 3	4	635 patients wer			9 with oral	Authors' conclusion:
Tveito M et al.		aripiprazole and	166 with long-ad	cting injectable	e aripiprazole	. 'In conclusion,
Impact of age and		Routine therape	utic drug monito	ring was perfo	ormed. A mea	n doses should be

CYP2D6 genetics on exposure of aripiprazole and dehydroaripiprazole in patients using long-acting injectable versus oral formulation: relevance of poor and intermediate metabolizer status.

Eur J Clin Pharmacol 2020:76:41-9. PMID: 31637453.

#### ref. 3, continuation

of 2.2 measurements per patient was included. 74% of measurements was after genotyping (70% for oral aripiprazole and 83% for long-acting injectable aripiprazole). A serum therapeutic window of aripiprazole+dehydroaripiprazole 200-1300 nmol/L (90-583 ng/ml) was used. 9.1% of concentrations measured was subtherapeutic (11.2% for oral aripiprazole and 4.0% for long-acting injectable aripiprazole) and 4.4% was supratherapeutic (5.3% for oral aripiprazole and 2.0% for long-acting injectable aripiprazole).

The data in this study were from the same database as the patients in Jukic 2019, so the patient groups are very likely to be subsets of or at least overlapping with the patients in Jukic 2019.

Patients with aripiprazole measurement below the limit of quantification were excluded. None of them were UM. Co-medication interacting with aripiprazole metabolism was excluded.

Multivariate model analysis, adjusting for sex, age, and blood sampling time, was used. Bonferroni correction was used to correct for multiple comparisons.

#### CYP2D6 genotyping:

oral aripiprazole:

long-acting injectable

- 384x NM+gene dose 1/0

Results compared to NM+gene dose 1/0:

- 39x IM (only gene dose 0.25, 0.5 and 0.5/0.5)
- 39x PM
- 7x UM

- aripiprazole:
- 137x NM+gene dose 1/0 - 14x IM (only gene dose 0.25, 0.5 and 0.5/0.5)
- 7x PM
- 8x UM

#### Results:

Results compare	a to raiving	311C GOOC 17	0.	
	PM	IM	UM	value for NM+ gene dose 1/0
Oral aripiprazole				
daily dose	NS	NS	NS	13 mg
dose-adjusted concentration aripiprazole+ dehydroaripiprazole	ned 6.3%	x 1.45 (S) 2D6 genotypof the varia sted concer	tion in the	40 nmol/ L per mg
dose-adjusted concentration aripiprazole	x 1.66 (S) The CYP2 ned 14% (	x 1.59 (S) 2D6 genotypof the variatisted concer	x 0.86 (NS) be explai- tion in the	29 nmol/ L per mg
dose-adjusted concentration dehydroaripiprazole  Long-acting injection	x 0.91 (NS)	x 1.09 (NS)	x 0.90 (NS)	11 nmol/ L per mg
daily dose	NS	NS	x 1.31 (S)	13 mg
dose-adjusted concentration aripiprazole+ dehydroaripi-prazole	ned 6.7%	x 1.40 (S) 2D6 genotypof the varia sted concer	x 0.88 (NS) be explai- tion in the	40 nmol/ L per mg

Not used for dose calculation, because data were only known compared to NM+gene dose 1/0, which, based on the frequencies of 24.2% for alleles with gene dose 0 and 12.0% for alleles with gene dose 0.25-0.5. should consist for 35.5% of gene dose 1/0 (IM). In addition, the patient groups are very likely to overlap with those in Jukic 2019.

adjusted according

to CYP2D6 genoty-

treatment with aripi-

prazole long-acting

Injectable or tablets,

while advanced age

do not affect the ex-

posure of the active

regardless of formu-

moiety of aripipra-

zole treatment

lation.'

pe when initiating

UM: A

PM: A

IM: A

	I	п	1				1
ref. 3, continuation		dose-adjusted concentration aripiprazole	ned 8.5%	x 1.50 (S) 2D6 genotylof the varial sted concelor x 1.20	ation in the	30 nmol/ L per mg	
		dose-adjusted concentration dehydroaripiprazole	(NS)	(NS)	(NS)	nmol/ L per mg	
		NOTE: Genotypin gene duplication. ants in this Norwe Patients were exc with different gene because the assa was duplicated.	These are a segian popular sided if the segian are also are a segian a	the most im ation. y were hete d had more	portant generozygous for than 2 gene	e vari- or alleles e copies,	
ref. 4 Jukic MM et al. Effect of CYP2D6 genotype on exposure and efficacy of risperidone and aripiprazole: a retrospective, cohort study. Lancet Psychiatry 2019;6(5):418-26. PMID: 31000417.  and personal communication (correct number of patients per phenotype and per genotype group in the kinetics subgroup)	4	1334 CYP2D6-ge prazole. For 2 pat discontinuation of on the last routine of a subgroup of 8 Measurements we start, a dose char sampling > 10 hr suspected non-cotions below the que window of aripipra was used.  Treatment failure switched from aripiment fa	tients, CYP2 aripiprazol to the rapeuti 390 adult page exclude age less that after the last ampliance of participation azole+dehy was measured by the last the formation of the last the formation of pharmacole and ing:  The dose 1/0 agene dose 0.5/0.5)	2D6 was ge e. Kinetic ar c drug monitatients using d in case of n 15 days be st dose intak r measured i limits. A se droaripipraz ared as the r another an erapeutic dr okinetic para tors and industing for se itor, CYP3A ralyse thera  kinetics s - 739x N (500x N dose 1/ - 73x IM 0.25, 0 - 62x PM - 16x UM  nother antip 0 (19% of para IM (including	notyped aft nalysis was itoring measing oral aripip for recent aripper for a note serum construm theraper label 150-500 number of pripsychotic rug monitoric ameters, Children were ex, age, and 4 inducer, of peutic failur subgroup:  M+gene do NM, 239x go (0) (only gene .5 and 0.5/0)	er based surement razole. biprazole bling, e of centraeutic 0 ng/ml batients drug ng analy-1/2D6 exclulathe or CYP-re. se 1/0 ene dose 0.5)	Authors' conclusion: 'CYP2D6 genotype had a substantial clinical effect on risperidone and ari- piprazole exposure and on the thera- peutic failure of ris- peridone. Pre-emp- tive CYP2D6 geno- typing would be valuable for indivi- dualising risperi- done and aripipra- zole dosing and treatment optimisa- tion.'
				gene dose			aripiprazole + dehydroaripiprazole

ref 4 continuation				4 (0)		1	LIGHTON BINA
ref. 4, continuation	PM: A	-ll:tl	4 55	1/0)	0.00	20.00	versus NM: PM: 155%
	IM: A	dose-adjusted	x 1.55	x 1.23	x 0.80	36.60	IM: 123%
	UM: AA	serum concen-	(S)	(S)	(NS)	nmol/	UM: 80%
		tration aripipra- zole+dehydro-				L per	OIVI. 00 /0
		aripiprazole				mg	
		aripiprazole	x 0.83	x 0.91	x 1.05	15.11	
		daily dose	(S)	(NS)	(NS)		
		The doses admi				lower	
		but not low enou				,	
		prazole+dehydro					
		therapeutic cond					
		zole > 500 ng/m					
		IM+PM than in N					
		respectively), an					
		prazole+dehydro					
		cally less freque					
		UM (23% and 3			J		
		It was possible t			half the var	iability in	
		aripiprazole met					
					-		
		NOTE: Genotypir	ng was perfo	ormed for *3	3-*6, *9, *10	0, *41 and	
		gene duplication.			portant ger	ne vari-	
		ants in this Norwe					
		Patients were exc					
		with different gen					
		because the assa	y provided	no informat	tion on which	ch allele	
		was duplicated.					
ref. 5	3	148 healthy volun					
Belmonte C et al.		10 mg on two separate occasions with an interval of 28					'Pharmacokinetics
Influence of		days.	olo woo dot	orminad in	102 volunt	ooro	of aripiprazole is
CYP2D6, CYP3A4, CYP3A5 and ABCB1		Dehydroaripipraz Adverse drug rea					affected by CYP2D6 phenotype but also
polymorphisms on		definite, probably					by sex and C1236T
pharmacokinetics		of the volunteers					(ABCB1 gene),
and safety of aripi-		The most frequen					while dehydro-aripi-
prazole in healthy		(38.5%), nausea/					prazole pharmaco-
volunteers.		(21.6%), and hea					kinetics is affected
Basic Clin Pharma-		tions (52.0%) wer					by CYP2D6 and
col Toxicol		tinal. Factors rela					C1236T. Concentra-
2018;122:596-605.		were identified: a	ny adverse	drug reaction	on, dizzines	ss, head-	tions of aripiprazole,
PubMed PMID:		ache and nausea					sex, CYP3A5*3 and
29325225.		Plasma sampling					CYP2D6 were invol-
		enough for an add					ved in the develop-
		and of aripiprazol	e in volunte	ers with a l	ong elimina	ation half-	ment of adverse
		life like PM.					drug reactions.'
		Co-medication wa	as excluded	•			
		Conotypin					
		Genotyping: - 77x NM					
		- 77x NW   - 61x (IM + gene	dose 1 5) /5	5 IM 6 0	ane dose	1.5)	
		- 81X (IIVI + gene (   - 3x PM	uus <del>e</del> 1.3) (3	ON IIVI, OX (	Jenie UUSE	1.0)	
		- 7x UM (6x gene	dose 3 1x	gene dose	4)		
		Ow Gorio	3000 O, 1X	goo accc	•,		
		Results:					
		Results versus N	M:				
			PM	IM +	UM	value	
				gene		for NM	
				dose 1.5			
			No associa		YP2D6		
			phenotype		0.55	00.00:	
		nausea and	x 1.60	x 2.13	x 0.69	20.8%	

ref. 5, continuation	PM: B IM: B UM: AA#	vomiting	gene dose sus UM In multiple nausea ar influenced aripiprazo 2D6 phen- that the C exerts its on the AU (and the A	rend PM ve e 1.5) versus e regression nd vomiting I by the AUG le, not by th otype. This YP2D6 phe effect via its C <sub>0-72h</sub> of arip AUC <sub>0-72h</sub> of anydroaripipra	analysis, was only Co-72h of the CYP-suggests notype influence piprazole uripipra-		
		dizziness		ation with C			AUC <sub>0-72h</sub> AP+DAP
		headache		ation with C	YP2D6		versus NM:
		AUC <sub>0-72h</sub> aripiprazole + dehydroaripi- prazole	x 1.31 (NS), S in mul- tiple re- gression analysis (adjus- ted for dose/	x 1.10 (NS)	x 0.97 (NS)	1932.9 ng.h/ml	PM: 131% IM+gendose 1.5: 110% UM: 97%
			increased prazole + decreased ting for do multiple re	mber of acti , the AUC <sub>0-7</sub> dehydroarip d (S, NS afto sse/weight, begression ar	r <sub>2h</sub> of aripi- piprazole er correc- put S in palysis).		
		AUC <sub>0-72h</sub> aripiprazole	x 1.50 (S), also S in multiple regression analysis (adjusted for dose/ weigth)	x 1.20 (S), also S in multiple regression analysis (adjusted for dose/ weigth)	x 0.89 (NS)	1497.9 ng.h/ml	
			increased prazole de	mber of acti , the AUC <sub>0-7</sub> ecreased (S egression ar	<sub>72h</sub> of aripi- , also S in		
		AUC <sub>0-72h</sub> dehydroaripi- prazole	x 0.68 (NS)	x 0.79 (S), also S in multiple regression analysis (adjusted for dose/ weigth)	x 1.23 (NS)	431.9 ng.h/ml	
			increased dro-aripipi	mber of acting the AUC <sub>0-7</sub> razole incress multiple regr	<sub>72h</sub> of dehy- ased (S,		

not E continued:		The second of	-1:11! ·	alada a I					
ref. 5, continuation		The authors in							
		more important inducing side e							
		receptors, like			e or dopam	ine			
		receptors, like	i iausca/ V UI I	nung.					
		NOTE: Genotyp							
		plication of *1 ar							
		variants in this S							
ref. 6	3	18 patients were	18 patients were treated with aripiprazole. Patients were on						
Patteet L et al.		the same oral do					'It was demonstra-		
Genotype and co-		median 15 mg/d					ted that CYP2D6		
medication depen-		of long-acting su					polymorphisms		
dent CYP2D6 meta-		(calculated as th					affect the serum		
bolic activity: effects		the number of da				OT	concentrations of		
on serum concentra- tions of aripiprazole,		patients received Relevant co-med				otal aroun	aripiprazole.'		
haloperidol, risperi-		of 82 patients tre							
done, paliperidone		aripiprazole, hal							
and zuclopenthixol.		strong CYP2D6							
Eur J Clin Pharmacol		aripiprazole use							
2016;72:175-84.		using strong CY							
PubMed PMID:		PM. Patients usi							
26514968.		assigned to the	phenotype I	based on ha	alf of their g	ene dose.			
		Phenotype base							
		- 5x NM (gene d				utlier and			
		not included in - 9x (IM + gene				( INA)			
		- 3x PM (genetic							
		CYP2D6 inhibi		gene dose c	7.25-2 With 6	a strong			
		- 1x UM	101)						
		Results:							
		Results versus			1	1			
			PM	IM +	UM	value	Median dose-cor-		
				gene		for NM	rected C <sub>ss</sub> AP+DAP versus *1/*1:		
				dose 1.25-1.5			PM: 120%		
		median dose-	x 1.20	x 1.28	x 0.58	16.0	IM+gendose 1.5:		
		corrected C <sub>ss</sub>		trend PM v		ng/ml.	128%		
		aripiprazole +		se 1.25-1.5	`	mg	UM: 58%		
		dehydroaripi-	NM versus		, 10.000	9			
		prazole		jene dose 2	), the ratio b	between			
				st and lowes					
			was 1.4, ii	ndicating a	high variabi	lity also			
				phenotype		T			
	PM: A	median dose-	x 1.54	x 1.22	x 0.60	10.1			
	IM: A	corrected C <sub>ss</sub>		differences		ng/ml.			
	UM: A	aripiprazole		s, but S for t		mg			
				s (IM + 1.25					
				<u>/I versus UN</u> jene dose 2		) Detween			
				st and lowes					
				ndicating a					
				phenotype		·,			
		median dose-	x 0.74	x 1.26	x 0.64	5.0			
		corrected Css		trend PM v		ng/ml.			
		dehydroaripi-	+ gene do	se 1.25-1.5		mg			
		prazole	NM versus						
				jene dose 2					
				st and lowes					
1		11	was 1.4, ii	ndicating a	nıgn variabi	iity also			

not C continuation							1
ref. 6, continuation		median dose	x 1.0	phenotype x 1.0	x 1.7	15.0	
		III III dose	(NS)	(NS)	X 1.7	mg/day	
			(140)	(110)		mg/day	
		NOTE: Genotyp					
		*35, *41 and dup			most impo	tant gene	
		variants in this B					
ref. 7	3	128 patients wer	Authors' conclusion:				
van der Weide K et al.		drug monitoring trations were def	'Heterozygous presence of CYP3A4				
The influence of the		after dosing). Fo		*22 does not increa-			
CYP3A4*22 poly-		level and the imi					se serum levels of
morphism and CYP-		calculating dose					antipsychotics me-
2D6 polymorphisms		Relevant co-med					tabolized by both
on serum concentra-		patients used C					CYP3A4 and CYP-
tions of aripiprazole,		inducers on dose			ions was no	t signifi-	2D6, whereas CYP- 2D6 polymorphisms
haloperidol, pimozi- de, and risperidone		cant in multiple r Parameters inclu			sion analysi	s were	do affect serum
in psychiatric pa-		sex, age, dose,					levels to a limited
tients.		and use of CYP:				, ,	extent.'
J Clin Psychophar-							
macol		Genotyping:					
2015;35:228-36.		- 69x NM					
PubMed PMID: 25868121.		- 44x IM - 13x PM					
23000121.		- 13x PW - 2x UM					
		ZX OW					
		Results:					
		Results versus					Median dose-cor-
			PM	IM	UM	value	rected C <sub>ss</sub> AP+DAP
		and Paradage	4.00	0.00	0.07	for NM	versus NM: PM: 130%
		median dose- corrected C <sub>ss</sub>	x 1.30 (S)	x 0.89 (NS)	x 0.87 (NS)	14.7 ng/ml.	IM: 89%
		aripiprazole +		egression a		mg	UM: 87%
		dehydroaripi-		nat CYP2D6		9	
		prazole		art of the va			
			(NS).				
					e highest an		
					as 16.8 for l g a higher v		
					than betwe		
			phenotype		than botto	011	
					on analysis	did not	
			-		ers contribut	ing to	
			this high		0.00	40.0	
		median dose- corrected C <sub>ss</sub>	x 1.56 (S)	x 1.04 (NS)	x 0.89 (NS)	10.3 ng/ml.	
	PM: A	aripiprazole		egression a		mg	
	IM: A			nat CYP2D6		9	
	UM: A		4% of the	variation (S	S).		
					e highest an		
					as 19.2 for I		
					g a higher v		
			phenotype		than betwe	en	
					on analysis	did not	
					ameters cor		
			to this hig	ń variability	•		
		median dose-	x 0.58	x 0.80	x 0.93	4.0	
		corrected C <sub>ss</sub>	(S)	(S)	(NS)	ng/ml.	
		dehydroaripi-		egression a		mg	
		prazole	snowed tr	nat CYP2D6	explained		

ref. 7, continuation	4	median dose  NOTE: Genotypir multiplication. The this Dutch popula 89 patients were	dose-corre 11.4 for P within one phenotype Apart from multiple re fy any oth this high v x 1.0 (NS)  ng was per ese are the ation. treated wit	between the decreted C <sub>ss</sub> M, indicate the phenotypes. In the dose egression er parametrariability.  In the context in the dose the parametrariability.  In the dose the parametrariability.  In the dose the parametrariability.  In the context in the parametrariability.  In the context in the parametrariability.  In the context in the parametrariability is the parametrariability.  In the context in the parametrariability is the parametrariability.  In the context in the parametrariability is the parametrary in the parametrary in the parametrary is the parametrary in the parametrary in the parametrary is the parametrary in the parametr	he highest an was 14.7 for I was 14.7 for I ing a higher vote than between explaining 2. analysis did reters contribute	NM and ariability en  4%, the lot identing to  15 mg/day  0, *41 and variants in ole for at	Authors' conclusion: 'The findings of this
Suzuki T et al. Effects of genetic polymorphisms of CYP2D6, CYP3A5, and ABCB1 on the steady-state plasma concentrations of aripiprazole and its active metabolite, dehydroaripiprazole, in Japanese patients with schizophrenia. Ther Drug Monit 2014;36:651-5. PubMed PMID: 24682161.		33) once daily. Bl dosing. Co-medication ot excluded. Parameters incluses, age, smoking CYP3A5 *3, abservabsence of ABCE Genotyping: - 33x *1/*1 - 49x NM+IM (43)	-medication other than biperiden and flunitrazepam was cluded. rameters included in multiple regression analysis were x, age, smoking, absence of CYP2D6 *1, presence of P3A5 *3, absence of ABCB1 3435 wild type allele, and sence of ABCB1 2677 wild type allele.  notyping: 3x *1/*1 9x NM+IM (43x *1/*10, 6x *1/*5) x IM (6x *10/*10, 1x *5/*10) sults:				
	IM: A	dose-corrected C <sub>ss</sub> aripiprazole	x 1.66 (\$	S) x	MH+IM : 1.42 (S) n analysis	value for *1/*1 15.9 ng/ml.	Dose-corrected C <sub>ss</sub> AP+DAP versus *1/*1: IM: 166%
		+ dehydroari- piprazole  dose-corrected C <sub>ss</sub> aripiprazole  dose-corrected C <sub>ss</sub> dehydroari-	showed allele (S x 1.95 (S Multiple showed allele (S x 1.1 (N: Multiple	an effect ().  S) x regression an effect (). S) x regression	of the *1-  1.45 (S) n analysis of the *1-  1.3 (NS) n analysis	mg 10.4 ng/ml. mg 5.6 ng/ml.	-
		The authors individual variation of limited value and the sum of a NOTE: Genotyping These are the more population.	*1-allele icate that t 06 genotyp ion in each for CYP2D for the pre- aripiprazol	(NS). he large of e groups of genotype 6 before sidiction of e and deh	and the large e group suggestarting aripiprothe Css of ariphydroaripipraz	inter- est that razole is iprazole ole.	
ref. 9 Suzuki T et al. Effects of the CYP-	4	63 patients with c with fixed doses a was 24 mg (n = 4	aripiprazol	e for at lea	ast 2 weeks. 7	he dose	Authors' conclusion: 'This study suggests that the *10 allele

2D6*10 allele on the steady-state plasma concentrations of aripiprazole and its active metabolite, dehydroaripiprazole, in Japanese patients		samples were taken 19 Co-medication other the excluded. Genotyping: - 27x *1/*1 - 31x *1/*10	plays an important role in controlling the steady-state plasma concentrations of aripiprazole and the sum of aripiprazole and			
with schizophrenia. Ther Drug Monit 2011;33:21-4.		- 5x IM (*10/*10)  Results:				dehydroaripiprazole in Asian subjects.'
PubMed PMID:		Results versus *1/*1:				Dose-corrected C <sub>ss</sub>
21157400.			*10/*10	*1/*10	value for *1/*1	AP+DAP versus *1/*1:
ref. 9, continuation	IM: A	dose-corrected C <sub>ss</sub> aripiprazole + dehy- droaripiprazole	x 1.77 (S)	x 1.34 (S)	13.9 ng/ml.mg	IM: 177%
		dose-corrected C <sub>ss</sub> aripiprazole	x 2.11 (S)	x 1.41 (S)	9.0 ng/ml.mg	
		dose-corrected C <sub>ss</sub>	x 1.20	x 1.20	4.9	
		dehydroaripiprazole dose	(NS) no difference	(NS)	ng/ml.mg	
			the genotyp	es (NS)		
		The authors indicate to dose-corrected C <sub>ss</sub> of and the sum of aripiper among the three general variations in each pate	aripiprazole, azole and de otype groups	, dehydroarip ehydroaripipr	iprazole, azole	
		NOTE: Genotyping war These are the most impopulation. Patients wi	portant gene	variants in th	nis Japanese	
ref. 10 Hendset M et al. Impact of the CYP- 2D6 genotype on steady-state serum concentrations of aripiprazole and dehydroaripiprazole.	4	The plasma concentrate therapeutic drug monitour 17x IM (16x *1/*4, 1x * *5/*6)) receiving aripipation with strong CYP2D6 in CYP3A4 inducers was PM versus NM:	Authors' conclusion: "The present study demonstrates that serum concentra- tions of both ARI and the active sum of ARI + DARI in psychiatric patients			
Eur J Clin Pharmacol 2007;63:1147-51.	PM: A	- increase in median C (S by 46%)			J	were significantly affected by CYP2D6
		- increase in median C 73%) - increase in median C				genotype. The ob- served differences in median C/D ratios
		by 6%)	33 DAI HOH	17.5 10 0.4 11	Wiring (NO	indicate that PMs typically need 30–
	IM: A	IM versus NM: - increase in median C (S by 15%)	ssª AP+DAP	from 37.0 to	42.6 nM/mg	40% lower doses to achieve a similar steady-state serum
		- increase in median C 27%)	ss <sup>a</sup> AP from 2	26.3 to 33.5 ı	nM/mg (S by	concentration as
		- increase in median C by 4%)	Median Css <sup>a</sup> AP+			
		NOTE: Genotyping was cation.	DAP versus NM: PM: 146% IM: 115%			
ref. 11 Kubo M et al. Pharmacokinetics of aripiprazole, a new	4	A total of 20 healthy Ja 2x *1/*2, 5x *1/*10, 1x 1x *1/*5, 1x *41/*41)) re 6 mg.				
antipsychotic, follo-						
wing oral dosing in		IM versus NM:				

healthy adult Japanese volunteers: influence of CYP2D6 polymorphism. Drug Metab Pharmacokinet 2007;22:358-66.  ref. 11, continuation	IM: A	<ul> <li>- increase in AUC for AP from 1459 ng.h/mL to 2487 ng.h/mL (S by 70%)</li> <li>- decrease in Cl<sub>or</sub> for AP from 4186 mL/hour to 2569 mL/hour (S by 38%)</li> <li>A total of 15 healthy Japanese volunteers (11x NM# (2x *1/*1, 2x *1/*2, 6x *1/*10, 1x *1/*5), 1x IM (*10/*10), 3x Type *2 (1x *2/*2, 1x *2/*5, 1x *2/*10)) received aripiprazole 3 mg/day for a period of 2 weeks.</li> <li>IM versus NM#: <ul> <li>- increase in AUC<sub>24hr</sub> AP+DAP from 731.5 to 1977.7 ng.hour/mL (NS by 170%)</li> <li>- increase in AUC<sub>24hr</sub> AP from 556.13 to 1683.2 ng.hour/mL (NS by 203%)</li> <li>- increase in AUC<sub>24hr</sub> DAP from 175.33 to 294.5 ng.hour/mL (NS by 68%)</li> </ul> </li> <li>Type *2 (IM+NM) versus NM#: <ul> <li>- increase in AUC<sub>24hr</sub> AP+DAP from 731.5 to 977.2 ng.hour/mL (NS by 34%)</li> <li>- increase in AUC<sub>24hr</sub> AP from 556.13 to 789.6 ng.hour/mL (NS by 42%)</li> <li>- increase in AUC<sub>24hr</sub> AP from 175.33 to 187.53 ng.hour/mL (NS by 7%)</li> </ul> </li> <li>NOTE: Genotyping was performed for (*2 or *41), *4, *5, *10, *14, *18 and *36. NOTE: NM# consists of 91% NM and 9% IM (*1/*5).</li> </ul>	AUC of AP+DAP versus NM*: IM: 270%
ref. 12 Oosterhuis M et al. Safety of aripiprazole: high serum levels in a CYP2D6 mutated patient. Am J Psychiatry 2007;164:175.	2 PM: C	A 51-year-old female patient experienced progressive symptoms of lethargy and memory loss following an increase in the aripiprazole dose from 15 to 30 mg/day due to insufficient clinical effectiveness. C <sub>ss</sub> AP was found to be 2990 ng/mL, approximately 7 times the expected plasma concentration at a dose of 30 mg/day (320-584 ng/mL). The patient was found to be *4/*4. Pharmacokinetic interactions with CYP3A4 were excluded, since the patient did not use concomitant medication, herbals or grapefruit juice. The side effects improved once aripiprazole was replaced by quetiapine 400 mg/day.  As the plasma concentration in this patient was in the same order of magnitude as toxic concentrations in animal studies, the authors indicated that - due to the good tolerability of aripiprazole - poor metabolisers are at risk of long-term toxicity due to high plasma concentrations of AP that go undetected.  NOTE: Normally, the maximum dose of aripiprazole is 15 mg/day. Problems only occurred after exceeding this maximum dose.	Authors' conclusion: "The high serum levels of aripiprazole, not the adverse events, are disconcerting. Assuming our patient to represent all poor metabolizers, many patients would potentially be at risk of long-term toxicity because of the good tolerability of aripiprazole."
ref. 13 Kim E et al. Effects of DRD2 and CYP2D6 genotypes on delta EEG power response to aripipra- zole in healthy male volunteers: a prelimi- nary study. Hum Psychopharma- col 2006;21:519-28.	IM: AA	A total of 17 healthy volunteers (5x NM (3x *1/*1, 1x *2/*2, 1x *2/*41), 2x IM (2x *1/*5), 9x IM+NM (4x *10/*10, 5x *1/*10), (1x UM (*2N/*10)) received a single dose of aripiprazole 10 mg. Absolute delta power derived from a quantitative EEG was used as a pharmacodynamic parameter, because this exhibits a significant change in schizophrenic patients on aripiprazole.  *1/*5 versus NM+UM (gene dose ≥ 2): - increase in AUC <sub>72hr</sub> AP from 1557.2 to 1957.1 ng.hour/mL (NS by 26%) - decrease in Cl <sub>or</sub> AP from 4.7 to 3.5 L/hour (NS by 26%) - increase in t <sub>1/2</sub> AP from 46.0 to 54.7 hours (NS by 19%)	Authors' conclusion: "The linear relation- ship between AUC and AUEC and the trend of different AUC according to CYP2D6 genotypes suggest that the clinical effects of aripiprazole could be influenced by CYP2D6 genotypes and that dose ad-

ref. 13, continua-		- trend towards higher area under the EEG response-time	justment of aripipra-
tion		curve (AUEC <sub>6hr</sub> )	zole could be nee- ded according to
		IM+NM (*10/*10 + *1/*10) versus NM+UM (gene dose ≥ 2): - increase in AUC <sub>72hr</sub> AP from 1557.2 to 1749 ng.hour/mL (NS by 12%)	CYP2D6 genoty- pes."
		- decrease in Cl <sub>or</sub> AP from 4.7 to 3.6 L/hour (NS by 23%) - increase in $t_{1/2}$ AP from 46.0 to 64.0 hours (NS by 39%)	
		Plasma concentrations of DAP were much lower than those of AP and were not included in the analysis. After exclusion of two outliers, a significant linear relationship was found between AUC AP and AUEC for the periods from 0-2 hours and 0-6 hours after ingestion. In addition to *1/*5, a trend towards higher AUEC <sub>6hr</sub> was also observed in *1/*10.	
		NOTE: Genotyping was performed for *2, *5, *10, *17, *41 and gene duplication.	
ref. 14 Kubo M et al. Influence of itracona-	3	A total of 24 healthy study subjects, 18x NM (4x *1/*1, 10x *1/*10, 4x *2/*10), 6x IM (3x*10/*10, 3x *1/*5), single dose of 3 mg aripiprazole, no relevant co-medication;	
zole co-administration and CYP2D6 genotype on the pharmacokinetics of the new antipsychotic aripiprazole.		IM versus NM: - increase in AUC AP+DAP from 990 to 1011 ng.hour/mL (significance unknown, by 2%) - increase in AUC AP from 702 to 800 ng.hour/mL (significance unknown, by 14%)	AUC AP+DAP versus NM: IM: 102%
Drug Metab Pharmacokinet 2005;20:55-64.		- decrease in AUC DAP from 288 to 211 ng.hour/mL (significance unknown, by 27%)	
		*10/*10 versus *1/*1: - increase in AUC AP+DAP from 931 to 1176 ng.hour/mL (significance unknown, by 26%)	
	IM: A	- increase in AUC AP from 612 to 960 ng·hour/mL (S by 87%) - decrease in AUC DAP from 319 to 216 ng·hour/mL (NS by	
		32%), NOTE: Genotyping was performed for *2, *4, *5, *10, *14,	
		*18 and *36. NOTE: in this case, *2 is either *2 or *41 (see Kubo, 2007).	
ref. 15 SmPC Abilify (aripiprazole) 20-10-20.	0	Pharmacokinetics: The mean elimination half-lives for aripiprazole are approximately 75 hours in normal metabolisers of CYP2D6 and	
, 11 1, 15 10 20.	PM: AA	approximately 146 hours in poor metabolisers of CYP2D6. <a href="Interactions">Interactions</a> :	
		In CYP2D6 poor metabolisers, concomitant use of strong inhibitors of CYP3A4 may result in higher plasma concentrations of aripiprazole compared to that in CYP2D6 normal metabolisers.	
ref. 16 SmPC Abilify Maintena (aripiprazole for	0 PM: A	Dose: In patients who are known to be CYP2D6 poor metabolisers:  • One injection start: The starting dose should be 300 mg  Ability Maintage and continue treatment with prescribed	
prolonged-release suspension) 02-12- 20.		<ul> <li>Abilify Maintena and continue treatment with prescribed dose of oral aripiprazole per day for 14 consecutive days.</li> <li>Two injection start: The starting dose should be 2 separate injections of 300 mg Abilify Maintena along with one single dose of the previous prescribed dose of oral aripiprazole. For known CYP2D6 poor metabolisers administer in either</li> </ul>	Dose versus NM: PM: 75%
		two separate deltoid muscles or one deltoid and one glute- al muscle. DO NOT inject into two gluteal muscles.	

ref. 16, continua- tion		In patients who are known to be CYP2D and concomitantly use a strong CYP3A4  • The one injection start: The starting do ced to 200 mg and continue treatment dose of oral aripiprazole per day for 14  • Two injection start is not to be used in known to be CYP2D6 poor metabolises use a strong CYP3A4 inhibitor.  After the injection start, see table belowed the ded maintenance dose of Abilify Mainter should be administered once monthly as (no sooner than 26 days after the previous Maintenance dose adjustments of Abilify Who are taking concomitant strong CYP2ICYP3A4 inhibitors, and/or CYP3A4 induced				
		days	Adjusted dose			
		Patients taking 300 mg of Abilify Mainter				
		Strong CYP2D6 or strong CYP3A4 inhibi-	200 mg*	Dose versus NM:		
		tors	100 #	PM: 67-80%		
		Strong CYP2D6 and strong CYP3A4 inhibitors	160 mg*	1 101. 07 0070		
		CYP3A4 inducers	Avoid use			
		* 200 mg and 160 mg can be achieved via ac				
		tion volume only by using Abilify Maintena prolonged-release suspension for injection.  Pharmacokinetics:  Based on population pharmacokinetic events.				
		Maintena, the total body clearance of ari L/h in normal metabolisers of CYP2D6 a 1.88 L/h (approximately 50% lower) in po				
		CYP2D6. Interactions: In CYP2D6 poor metabolisers, concomit				
		inhibitors of CYP3A4 may result in higher trations of aripiprazole compared to that metabolisers.	•			
ref. 17 SmPC Abilify (aripi- prazole) 02-05-20,	0 PM: A	Dose: Known CYP2D6 poor metabolizers: Half Dosage adjustments are recommended		Dose versus NM: PM: 50%		
USA.		known CYP2D6 poor metabolizers (see Table).  Dosage adjustments for Abilify in patients who are known				
		CYP2D6 poor metabolizers Factors	December and the state of the s			
		Factors	Dosage adjust- ments for Abilify			
		Known CYP2D6 poor metabolizers	Administer half of			
		Known CVD2DC magazine at the literate to literate literate to literate to literate to literate to literate to literate l	usual dose			
		Known CYP2D6 poor metabolizers taking concomitant strong CYP3A4 inhibitors (e.g., itraconazole, clarithromycin)	Administer a quarter of usual dose			
		When adjunctive Abilify is administered to				
		major depressive disorder, Abilify should without dosage adjustment.				
		Pharmacokinetics: The mean elimination half-lives are about	it 75 hours and 94			
		hours for aripiprazole and dehydroaripiprazole, respectively.				
		For CYP2D6 poor metabolizers, the mea				
		life for aripiprazole is about 146 hours.  Use in specific populations:				
		Dosage adjustment is recommended in I				
		poor metabolizers due to high aripiprazo				
		Approximately 8% of Caucasians and 3-	-8% of Black/African	frican		
			nericans cannot metabolize CYP2D6 substrates and are			
		classified as poor metabolizers (PM).				

6.4= 4:	I	11.4.4		
ref. 17, continua-		Interactions:		
tion		Based on simulati		
		AUC values at ste		
		bolizers of CYP2D	06 are administered with both strong CYP-	
			inhibitors. A 3-fold increase in mean C <sub>max</sub>	
			at steady-state is expected in poor metabo-	
			administered with strong CYP3A4 inhibi-	
		tors.		
ref. 18	0	Dose:		
SmPC Aristad (aripi-	PM: A	Dose adjustments	are required for known CYP2D6 poor	
prazole lauroxil,		metabolizers.		
extended-release			stments with concomitant CYP450 modula-	
		tors added for mor		
injectable suspen-		Concomitant	Dose change for Aristada <sup>a</sup>	
sion) 27-08-20, USA.		medicine	Dose change for Aristada"	
			Doduce the does of Arietade to the post	
		Strong CYP3A4	Reduce the dose of Aristada to the next	
		inhibitor	lower strength. No dosage adjustment is	
			necessary in patients taking 441 mg Arista-	
			da, if tolerated.	
			For patients known to be poor metabolizers	Danaanaa NIM.
			of CYP2D6: Reduce dose to 441 mg from	Dose versus NM:
			662 mg, 882 mg, or 1064 mg. No dosage	PM: 41-67%
			adjustment is necessary in patients taking	
			441 mg Aristada, if tolerated.	
		Strong CYP2D6	Reduce the dose of Aristada to the next	
		inhibitor	lower strength. No dosage adjustment is	
			necessary in patients taking 441 mg Arista-	
			da, if tolerated.	
			For patients known to be poor metabolizers	
			of CYP2D6: No dose adjustment required.	
		a For the 882 mg do	se administered every 6 weeks and the 1064	
		mg administered ev		
		441 mg administere		
			75 mg injection of Aristada Initio and one	
			al aripiprazole in conjunction with the first	
			Avoid use of Aristada Initio in known	
		CYP2D6 poor me		
		Use in specific po		
			nt is recommended in known CYP2D6	
		poor metabolizers	due to high aripiprazole concentrations.	
		l'	of Caucasians and 3-8% of Black/African	
			t metabolize CYP2D6 substrates and are	
			metabolizers (PM).	
		-	metabolizera (F W).	
		Interactions:		
			on, a 4.5-fold increase in mean C <sub>max</sub> and	
			eady-state is expected when normal meta-	
		bolizers of CYP2D	06 are administered with both strong CYP-	
		2D6 and CYP3A4		
			ean C <sub>max</sub> and AUC values at steady-state	
			or metabolizers of CYP2D6 administered	
a Corrected for dose		with strong CYP3	A4 ITITIIDITOIS.	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Corrected for dose.

Risk group	PM and IM and use of CYP3A4 inhibitors, UM and use of CYP3A4 inducers

#### **Comments:**

- From 2008 onwards, studies with kinetic endpoints were only included if exposure of the sum of aripiprazole and dehydroaripiprazol for at least one of the phenotypes PM, IM or UM was compared with exposure for NM or \*1/\*1. The meta-analysis of Milosavljevic 2021 (Milosavljevic F et al. Association of CYP2C19 and CYP2D6 poor and intermediate metabolizer status with antidepressant and antipsychotic exposure: a systematic review and meta-analysis. JAMA Psychiatry 2021;78:270-80) was not included, because there seems to be some irregularities and the IM definition used seems to differ from our definition. For Van der Weide 2015, the included data were based on aripiprazole instead of aripiprazole + dehydroaripiprazole. In addition, IM data from Van

der Weide 2015 and Belmonte 2018 were ignored, whereas IM data from studies defining gene dose 1/0 (which is the major IM group in the Netherlands) as NM were included in the meta-analysis.

Studies investigating the effect of CYP2D6 phenotype on adverse events were only included if they involved at least 1 PM or at least 10 IM. Studies investigating the effect of CYP2D6 phenotype on surrogate parameters like prolactin concentration were only included if an effect of (dehydro)aripiprazole concentration on this parameter had been shown, and from 2018 only if it were multiple dose studies. Reports on results of genotype-guided dosing were only included if they involved more dan 1 patient.

Other studies did not contribute sufficiently to the burden of proof.

Date of literature search: 30 June 2021.

	Phenotype	Code	Gene-drug interaction	Action	Date
Dutch Pharmacogenetic	PM	4 C	yes	yes	13 September 2021
Working Group decision	IM	4 B	yes	no	
	UM	4 A	yes	no	

#### Mechanism:

Aripiprazole is primarily metabolised by CYP3A4 and CYP2D6, resulting in formation of the metabolite dehydroaripiprazole, which has an activity similar to that of aripiprazole. Dehydroaripiprazole is further metabolised by CYP3A4 and CYP2D6 to inactive metabolites. A CYP2D6 genetic polymorphism may cause a change in the plasma concentration of aripiprazole and the active metabolite.

According to the NVZA, the therapeutic range of aripiprazole is 100-300 ng/ml and values higher than 500 ng/ml are considered to be toxic.

The NVZA states that the therapeutic range of aripiprazole + dehydroaripiprazole is unknown, but in literature, a therapeutic range of aripiprazole + dehydroaripiprazole of 150-500 ng/ml is mentioned (Hiemke C et al. Consensus guidelines for therapeutic drug monitoring in neuropsychopharmacology: update 2017. Pharmacopsychiatry 2018; 51:9-62).

#### **Clinical Implication Score:**

Table 1: Definitions of the available Clinical Implication Scores

Potentially	PGx testing for this gene-drug pair is potentially beneficial. Genotyping can be	0-2 +
beneficial	considered on an individual patient basis. If, however, the genotype is available, the DPWG recommends adhering to the gene-drug guideline	
Beneficial	PGx testing for this gene-drug pair is beneficial. It is advised to consider genotyping the patient before (or directly after) drug therapy has been initiated to guide drug and dose selection	3-5 +
Essential	PGx testing for this gene-drug pair is essential for drug safety or efficacy. Genotyping must be performed before drug therapy has been initiated to guide drug and dose selection	6-10 +

Table 2: Criteria on which the attribution of Clinical Implication Score is based

Clinical Implication Score Criteria		Given
	Score	Score
Clinical effect associated with gene-drug interaction (drug- or diminished efficacy-induced)		
CTCAE Grade 3 or 4 (clinical effect score D or E)	+	
CTCAE Grade 5 (clinical effect score F)	++	
Level of evidence supporting the associated clinical effect grade ≥ 3		
<ul> <li>One study with level of evidence score ≥ 3</li> </ul>	+	
<ul> <li>Two studies with level of evidence score ≥ 3</li> </ul>	++	
<ul> <li>Three or more studies with level of evidence score ≥ 3</li> </ul>	+++	
Number needed to genotype (NNG) in the Dutch population to prevent one clinical effect		
grade ≥ 3		
• 100 < NNG ≤ 1000	+	
• 10 < NNG ≤ 100	++	
• NNG ≤ 10	+++	
PGx information in the Summary of Product Characteristics (SmPC)		
At least one genotype/phenotype mentioned	+	+
OR		
Recommendation to genotype	++	
OR 31		

At least one genotype/phenotype mentioned as a contra-indication in the corresponding section	++	
Total Score:	10+	1+
Corresponding Clinical Implication Score:	1	Potentially beneficial