

CYP2D6: zuclopenthixol

1547/1548/1549

AUC = area under the concentration-time curve, Cl_{or} = oral clearance, C_{ss} = steady state concentration, IM = intermediate metaboliser (gene dose 0.25-1) (decreased CYP2D6 enzyme activity), NM = normal metaboliser (gene dose 1.25-2.5) (normal CYP2D6 enzyme activity), NS = non-significant, PM = poor metaboliser (gene dose 0) (absent CYP2D6 enzyme activity), S = significant, SmPC = Summary of Product Characteristics, UM = ultra-rapid metaboliser (gene dose \geq 2.75) (increased CYP2D6 enzyme activity)

Disclaimer: The Pharmacogenetics Working Group of the KNMP formulates the optimal recommendations for each phenotype group based on the available evidence. If this optimal recommendation cannot be followed due to practical restrictions, e.g. therapeutic drug monitoring or a lower dose is not available, the health care professional should consider the next best option.

Brief summary and justification of choices:

Zuclopenthixol is primarily metabolised by CYP2D6 to inactive metabolites. Correspondingly, all 7 studies with more than 10 patients showed a significant effect of gene variants altering CYP2D6 activity on (dose-corrected) zuclopenthixol exposure (Waade 2021, Tveito 2021, Van Berlo-van de Laar 2004, Jaanson 2002, Linnet 1996, Jerling 1996 and Dahl 1991). So, there is a gene-drug interaction. The only study investigating clinical outcomes (Jaanson 2002; 52 patients including 13x IM and 4x PM) found a numerically higher percentage of gene variants resulting in an inactive CYP2D6 enzyme in the group with extrapyramidal disorders and tardive dyskinesia, but significance was not reached. Van Berlo-van de Laar 2004 found a decrease in daily dose and an increase in the number of changes in dose for 11 IM. Because the rather small size of Jaanson 2002 could explain the lack of a significant effect, the increase in dose changes in Van Berlo-van de Laar 2004 suggests a clinical effect, and both adverse events and effectiveness of zuclopenthixol are known to be dose (and thus exposure) dependent, the KNMP Pharmacogenetics Working Group decided to recommend dose adjustments for patients with a CYP2D6 gene variant (yes/yes-interactions). QT-elongation and Torsade de Pointes have also been reported in zuclopenthixol users. Also the risk for these rare, but serious adverse events increases with increasing exposure. *Justification for the recommended dose adjustments:*

Recommended dose adjustments were based on the weighted means of the observed zuclopentixol exposure compared to NM.

- PM: The weighted mean of the calculated dose adjustment for a total of 40 PM is a dose reduction to 62% of the normal dose (median 60%, range 44%-69%). This was translated to 50% to be more achievable in clinical practice.
- IM: The weighted mean of the calculated dose adjustment for a total of 122 IM is a dose reduction to 74% of the normal dose (median 72%, range 67%-76%). This was translated to 75% to be more achievable in clinical practice.
- UM: The calculated dose adjustment based on one study with 2 UM is a dose increase to 150% of the normal dose (Patteet 2016). However, Tveito 2021 found a numerically much smaller effect on the geometric mean dose-corrected serum concentration compared to (NM+gene dose 1/0) for 14 UM on long-acting injectable zuclopenthixol and 2 UM on oral zuclopenthixol (dose increase to 102% of the normal dose based on the weighted mean of the observed exposure differences). This difference should be even smaller in comparison with NM instead of (NM+gene dose 1/0). The KNMP Pharmacogenetics Working Group concludes that there are not enough data to calculate a dose adjustment for UM. Considering the broad therapeutic range of zuclopenthixol (4-50 ng/ml (10-125 nmol/L) with > 100 ng/ml (249 nmol/L) considered to be toxic) and the lack of any studies providing indications for ineffectiveness in UM, recommending an alternative (so not to start zuclopenthixol) is too strong. For this reason, it is recommended to try a dose increase in case of ineffectiveness (not exceeding 1.5 times the normal dose).

You can find a detailed overview of the observed kinetic and clinical effects in the background information text of the gene-drug interactions in the KNMP Kennisbank. You might also have access to this background information text via your pharmacy or physician electronic decision support system.

Recommendation concerning pre-emptive genotyping, including justification of choices:

The KNMP Pharmacogenetics Working Group considers genotyping before starting zuclopenthixol to be potentially beneficial for the prevention of side effects and for effectiveness. Genotyping can be considered on an individual

patient basis. If, however, the genotype is available, the KNMP Pharmacogenetics Working Group recommends adhering to the gene-drug guideline.

The clinical implication of the gene-drug interaction scores 1 out of the maximum of 10 points (with pre-emptive genotyping considered to be potentially beneficial for scores ranging from 0 to 2 points) (see also the clinical implication score tables at the end of this risk analysis):

No significant clinical effects were reported in users of zuclopenthixol with a variant phenotype. This results in a score of 0 out of the maximum of 2 points for the first criterion of the clinical implication score, the clinical effect associated with the gene-drug interaction (only points for CTCAE grade \geq 3).

The lack of a clinical effect also results in a score of 0 of the maximum of 3 points for the second and third criterion of the clinical implication score: the level of evidence supporting an associated clinical effect grade \geq 3 and the number needed to genotype (NNG) in the Dutch population to prevent one clinical effect code \geq D (grade \geq 3). The Summaries of Product Characteristics (SmPCs) of zuclopenthixol mention the influence of CYP2D6 gene variants on zuclopenthixol metabolism, but do not mention the presence of a gene variant as a contraindication and do not recommend pre-emptive genotyping. This results in 1 out of the maximum of 2 points for the fourth and last criterion of the clinical implication score, the pharmacogenetics information in the SmPC (1 point for at least one genotype/phenotype mentioned in the SmPC, but not mentioned as a contra-indication and no recommendation to genotype).

The table below follows the KNMP definitions for NM, PM, IM and UM. The definitions of NM, PM, IM and UM used in the table below may therefore differ from the definitions used by the authors in the article.

Source	Code	Effect				Comments		
ref. 1 Waade RB et al. Impact of CYP- 2D6 on serum concentrations of flupentixol, haloperidol, perphenazine and zuclopen- thixol. Br J Clin Phar- macol 2021;87:2228- 35. PMID: 33118660.	4	248 patients were treat study were from the sau the patient group is ver in Tveito 2021 or at lea was routinely done. The was 2.5 for NM, 2.7 for either before or after treat were not included. Trout to 26 hours after dosing the lower and upper lim Measurements were als approximately 11% of r ble noncompliance, or of the CYP enzymes invol Genotyping: - 135x NM - 98x IM	Authors' conclu- sion: 'This study shows that CYP- 2D6 is important for the metabo- lism of perphena- zine and zuclo- penthixol.'					
		- 15x PM Results: Results compared to I	Results:					
			PM IM value for NM					
	PM: A IM: A	dose-corrected trough concentration	x 1.45 (S)	x 1.34 (S)	1.45 nmol/L. mg	PM: 145% IM: 134%		
		trough concentration	trend for an increase (p = 0.064) (NS)	NS	20.8 nmol/L			
		daily dose	NS	NS	15.2 mg			
		NOTE: Genotyping was multiplication. These ar Norwegian population. Patients with multiplied study due to few sampl						
ref. 2 Tveito M et al.	4	577 patients were treat		Authors' conclu-				
Impact of age		thixol and 368 with long-acting injectable zuclopenthixol. Routine therapeutic drug monitoring was performed. A mean of 3.2 measure-sion:'The present stu-						

and CYP2D6 genotype on exposure of zuclopenthixol in patients using long- acting injecta- ble versus oral formulation-an observational study including 2044 patients. Eur J Clin Phar- macol 2021;77:215- 21. PMID: 33000414.		ments per patien the lower limit or Enzyme inducers tine, fluoxetine, a Linear mixed mo ≥ 65 years), sex, pling, was used. CYP2D6 genotyp oral zuclopenth - 179x NM+gen - 13x IM (only g 0.5 and 0.5/0. - 15x PM - 2x UM Results:	dy showed that zuclopenthixol exposure increa- ses in older pa- tients and that the older long- acting injectable users with redu- ced CYP2D6 function are exposed to high serum concen- trations. Also, the present study showed that simi- lar dose reduc- tions are required for oral and long-						
			n roculto como	arad to NIM -	ono doco 1/0-		acting injectable		
ref. 2, continu- ation		Geometric mea	PM	IM	UM	value for NM+ gene	users.'		
						dose 1/0			
		Oral zuclopenth	nixol	1	1				
	PM: A	dose-adjusted	x 1.44 (S)	x 1.56 (S)	x 1.63	1.74	Not used for		
	IM: A	serum	x (0)	x 1.00 (0)	(trend, p =	nmol/L	dose calculation,		
	UM: AA	concentration			0.092) (NS)	per mg	because data		
	0	Concontration	S for IM+PM	compared to (poring	were only known		
			dose 1/0+UN		initia gene		compared to		
		serum	NS	NS	NS	19.4	NM+gene dose		
		concentration		A compared to	-	nmol/L (range 1.0-117 nmol/L)	1/0, which, based on the frequen- cies of variant alleles in Europe should consist for		
		daily dose	NS	NS	NS	12.1 mg	over 25% of		
				ecrease for IN gene dose 1/0			gene dose 1/0 (IM).		
		Long opting inig	In addition, for oral administra-						
		dose-adjusted	Long-acting injectable zuclopenthixol						
		serum	x 1.35 (S)	x 1.20 (S)	x 0.89 (NS)	2.39 nmol/L	tion, the patient		
		concentration	dose 1/0+UN	compared to (Nivi+ gene		group most		
		serum	x 1.25 (S)	NS	NS	per mg 22.8	probably includes		
		concentration		compared to (nmol/L	that of Waade		
		Concentration	dose 1/0+UN		NINT Gene	(range 2.0-109 nmol/L)	2021.		
		daily dose	NS	NS	NS	10.8 mg			
			NS for IM+P	A compared to	(NM+ gene	Ĩ			
			dose 1/0+UN		、 U				
		NOTE: Genotypi duplication. Thes gian population.	ng was perform	ned for *3-*6,					
ref. 3	4	6 patients were t					Authors' conclu-		
Patteet L et al.		same oral dose f					sion:		
Genotype and		received at least					"It was demon-		
co-medication		therapy (n = 4; m	strated that CYP-						
dependent		depot formulation	2D6 polymor-						
CYP2D6 meta-		injections)). 67%	phisms affect the						
bolic activity:		None of the patie	serum concentra-						
effects on serum concen-		The authors indic bly due to the sm			nt results, is mo	ost proba-	tions of aripipra- zole (n = 18),		

trations of ari- piprazole, halo- peridol, risperi- done, paliperi- done and zu- clopenthixol. Eur J Clin Pharmacol 2016;72:175- 84. PubMed PMID: 26514968. ref. 3, continu- ation	IM + gene dose 1.25- 1.5: AA UM: AA	Phenotype based on y - 2x NM (gene dose 2 - 2x (IM + gene dose group being IM base - 2x UM Results: Results compared to dose-corrected C _{ss} zuclopenthixol zuclopenthixol dose	haloperidol (n = 11), risperidone (n = 20), and zuclopenthixol (n = 6), while no influence was seen on the pali- peridone serum concentrations (n = 31)." Dose-corrected C_{ss} zuclopenthi- xol versus gene dose 2: IM + gene dose 1.25-1.5: 150% UM: 67%			
ref. 4 Van Berlo-van de Laar et al. Dosering aan- passen aan eliminatiesnel- heid. Pharm Week- blad 2004;139:740- 43.	4 PM: AA IM: A	A total of 23 patients, (*1/*3, *1/*4 or *1/*5), ted for effect (convert inhibitors as co-medic - PM: big difference possible. Howeve - IM: decrease in d by 39%), increase mg/day (S by 75% concentration ^a fro changes in dose i	2x PM (2 mutant a 10x NM (*1/*1), zu ed to daily dose: 3	Authors' conclu- sion: "Slower metabo- lism is particular- ly associated with higher zuclo- penthixol con- centrations 5 days after the dose and - to a lesser extent - with higher con- centrations 14 days after the dose." The authors recommend the following possi- ble cautious ini- tial dose: IMs 200 mg/2 weeks and NMs 300 mg/2 weeks maximum con- centration ^a ver- sus NM: IM: 175%.		
ref. 5 Jaanson P et al. Maintenance therapy with zuclopenthixol decanoate: associations between plas- ma concentra- tions, neurolo- gical side effects and CYP2D6 geno- type.	4 PM: A IM: A	0.048 nM/mg (S b	bate 100-400 mg p on; css ^a zuclopenthixol by 66%) ss ^a from 0.029 to 0 t alleles (*3 and *4	er 4 weeks, no CY versus NM from 0 .038 nM/mg (S by) is higher in the gi	P2D6 inhi- 0.029 to 31%) roup with	C _{ss} versus NM: PM: 166% IM: 131%

	1		
Psychophar-			
macology			
2002;162:67-			
73.	4	A total of 100 patients 100 DM (*1/*1) 1070 NM + IM (Churthat Or	
ref. 6 Linnet K et al.	4	A total of 108 patients, 12x PM (*4/*4), 107x NM + IM (64x wt/wt, 2x *1/*3, 40x *1/*4), zuclopenthixol dose 2-32 mg/day, 58 of the NM + IM	
Influence of		used no co-medication that affects CYP2D6;	
CYP2D6 gene-			Css ^a versus NM+
tic polymor-	PM: A	- PM: increase in C _{ss} ^a zuclopenthixol versus NM + IM without co-	IM:
phism on ratios		medication from 1.25 to 2.0 nM/mg (S by 60%).	PM: 160%
of steady-state			
serum concen-			
tration to dose			
of the neurolep-			
tic zuclopenthi-			
xol.			
Ther Drug			
Monit			
1996;18:629-			
34. ref. 7	3	A total of 36 patients, 20 received zuclopenthixol, of which 3x PM	
Jerling M et al.	3	(*3/*3, *3/*4 or *4/*4), 9x IM (*wt/*3 or *wt/*4), 8x NM (*wt/*wt), zuclo-	
The CYP2D6		penthixol dose 23 (1-125) mg/day, 1 PM used the CYP2D6 inhibitor	
genotype pre-		levomepromazine;	
dicts the oral			Clor versus NM:
clearance of	PM: A	- PM: decrease in Clor versus NM from 95 to 42 L/hr (S by 56%).	PM: 44%
the neuroleptic	IM: A	- IM: decrease in Clor versus NM from 95 to 65 L/hr (S by 32%)	IM: 68%
agents perphe-		NOTE: unknown whether correction for dose was performed.	
nazine and			
zuclopenthixol.			
Clin Pharmacol			
Ther			
1996;59:423-8.	0	A total of 40 has the study subjects Or DM Or NM# (shows to sed with	
ref. 8	3	A total of 12 healthy study subjects, 6x PM, 6x NM [#] (phenotyped with	
Dahl ML et al. Disposition of		debrisoquine), a single dose of 6-10 mg zuclopenthixol, no co-medi- cation;	
the neuroleptic			AUC ^a versus
zuclopenthixol	PM: A	- PM: increase in AUC ^a versus NM from 145 to 269 nM·hr (S by	NM+IM:
cosegregates	1 101. 7 (86%), decrease in Cl _{or} from 2.12 to 0.78 L/hr/kg (S by 63%),	PM: 186%
with the poly-		increase in $t_2^{1/2}$ from 17.6 to 29.9 hours.	
morphic hydro-			
xylation of		NOTE: Phenotyping is not able to distinguish properly between NM	
debrisoquine in		and IM. NM [#] is therefore equal to NM+IM.	
humans.			
Acta Psychiatr			
Scand			
1991;84:99-			
102.		Desmacolination	
ref. 9	0	Pharmacokinetics:	
SmPC Cisor- dinol (zuclopen-	IM: A	An <i>in vivo</i> study has shown that a part of the metabolism is dependent on polymorphim in sparteine/debrisoquine oxidation (CYP2D6).	
thixol) 08-07-	PM: A		
20.	UM: A		
ao.			
	dinol Depo	ot (zuclopenthixol decanoate) 31-08-19.	

ao.: SmPC Cisordinol Depot (zuclopenthixol decanoate) 31-08-19. ^a corrected for the dose

Risk groupIMs with CYP2D6 inhibitor

Comments:

-

Date of literature search: 24 July 2021.

	Phenotype	Code	Gene-drug interaction	Action	Date
KNMP Pharmacogenetic	PM	4 A	yes	yes	13 September 2020
Working Group decision	IM	4 A	yes	yes	
	UM	4 AA	yes	yes	

Mechanism:

Zuclopenthixol is primarily metabolised by CYP2D6 and to a lesser extent by CYP3A4 to inactive metabolites. A CYP2D6 genetic polymorphism may cause a change in the plasma concentration of zuclopenthixol. The NVZA does not indicate a therapeutic range for zuclopenthixol, but in literature a therapeutic range of zuclopenthixol of 4-50 ng/ml (10-125 nmol/L) is mentioned with serum concentrations > 100 ng/ml (249 nmol/L) considered to be toxic (Hiemke C et al. Consensus guidelines for therapeutic drug monitoring in neuropsychopharmacology: update 2017. Pharmacopsychiatry 2018; 51:9-62).

Clinical Implication Score:

Table 1: Definitions of the available Clinical Implication Scores

Potentially beneficial	PGx testing for this gene-drug pair is potentially beneficial. Genotyping can be considered on an individual patient basis. If, however, the genotype is available, the DPWG recommends adhering to the gene-drug guideline	0-2 +
Beneficial	PGx testing for this gene-drug pair is beneficial. It is advised to consider genotyping the patient before (or directly after) drug therapy has been initiated to guide drug and dose selection	3-5 +
Essential	PGx testing for this gene-drug pair is essential for drug safety or efficacy. Genotyping must be performed before drug therapy has been initiated to guide drug and dose selection	6-10 +

Table 2: Criteria on which the attribution of Clinical Implication Score is based

Clinical Implication Score Criteria	Possible Score	Given Score
Clinical effect associated with gene-drug interaction (drug- or diminished efficacy-induced	i)	
CTCAE Grade 3 or 4 (clinical effect score D or E)	+	
CTCAE Grade 5 (clinical effect score F)	++	
Level of evidence supporting the associated clinical effect grade ≥ 3		
 One study with level of evidence score ≥ 3 	+	
 Two studies with level of evidence score ≥ 3 	++	
• Three or more studies with level of evidence score ≥ 3	+++	
Number needed to genotype (NNG) in the Dutch population to prevent one clinical effect		
grade ≥ 3		
• 100 < NNG ≤ 1000	+	
• 10 < NNG ≤ 100	++	
• NNG ≤ 10	+++	
PGx information in the Summary of Product Characteristics (SmPC)		
At least one genotype/phenotype mentioned	+	+
OR		
Recommendation to genotype	++	
OR		
At least one genotype/phenotype mentioned as a contra-indication in the corresponding	++	
section		
Total Score:	10+	1+
Corresponding Clinical Implication Score:	1	Potentially
		beneficial