

# CYP2D6: nortriptyline

2403-2405

AUC = area under the concentration-time curve,  $Cl_{or}$  = oral clearance,  $C_{ss}$  = plasma concentration in steady state, CTCAE = common terminology criteria for adverse events, FIBSER = Frequency, Intensity, and Burden of Side Effects Rating scale, HAMD-17 = 17-item Hamilton Rating Scale for Depression, HNT = 10-hydroxynortriptyline, IM = intermediate metaboliser (gene dose 0.25-1) (decreased CYP2D6 enzyme activity), MR = metabolic ratio, NM = normal metaboliser (gene dose 1.25-2.5) (normal CYP2D6 enzyme activity), NS = non-significant, NT = nortriptyline, PM = poor metaboliser (gene dose 0) (absent CYP2D6 enzyme activity), S = significant, SmPC = Summary of Product Characteristics,  $t_{1/2}$  = half-life, TCA = tricyclic antidepressant, TDM = therapeutic drug monitoring, UM = ultrarapid metaboliser (gene dose  $\geq$  2.75) (increased CYP2D6 enzyme activity)

**Disclaimer**: The Pharmacogenetics Working Group of the KNMP formulates the optimal recommendations for each phenotype group based on the available evidence. If this optimal recommendation cannot be followed due to practical restrictions, e.g. therapeutic drug monitoring or a lower dose is not available, the health care professional should consider the next best option.

# Brief summary and justification of choices:

Nortriptyline is mainly metabolised by CYP2D6 to the active metabolite E-10-hydroxynortriptyline. This metabolite is approximately half as potent as nortriptyline itself, but the therapeutic range of nortriptyline is only based on the nortriptyline concentration (50-150 ng/ml).

Nortriptyline is converted by CYP2D6 and CYP2C19 to the inactive metabolite desmethylnortriptyline. Genetic variants in CYP2D6 can result in a decreased CYP2D6 enzyme activity (intermediate metabolisers (IM)), an absent CYP2D6 enzyme activity (poor metabolisers (PM)) or an increased CYP2D6 enzyme activity (ultra-rapid metabolisers (UM)).

Kinetic studies showed differences in nortriptyline exposure for patients with CYP2D6 gene variants (Ganesh 2021, Hodgson 2014, Lee 2006, Murphy 2001, Morita 2000, Yue 1998, Dalen 1998 and Dahl 1996). In addition, Vos 2023 found genotype-guided therapy to decrease the time to therapeutic plasma concentration, although van der Schans 2019, excluding NM and only starting genotype-guided treatment approximately 2 weeks after treatment start, did not. Case reports suggest an increased risk of toxic plasma concentrations and adverse events in PM and IM (Lee 2004, Chen 1996 and Bertilsson 1981). However this could not be confirmed in a study with 20 IM and 20 PM (Hodgson 2015), a study with 10 IM and 3 PM (Berm 2016) and a study with 4 PM (Roberts 2004). A case report suggests an increased risk of subtherapeutic plasma concentrations and ineffectiveness in UM (Bertilsson 1993). However, this could not be confirmed in a study with 11 UM. Because nortriptyline has a narrow therapeutic range, changes in exposure are likely to have therapeutic consequences. For this reason and despite the contradictory evidence from the literature, the KNMP Pharmacogenetics Working Group decides that a gene-drug interaction is present and that dose adjustments are required for PM, IM and UM (yes/yes-interactions).

Justification of recommendations per CYP2D6 phenotype

Dose adjustments were calculated on the basis of the AUC or Css for nortriptyline.

PM: The weighted mean of the calculated dose adjustment based on a total of 41 PM from 5 studies (Vos 2023, Ganesh 2021, Kvist 2001, Dalen 1998, and Dahl 1986) is a dose reduction to 35% of the normal dose (30%-41%; median 38%). This was rounded off to 40% to be more achievable in clinical practice. Effectiveness and side effects and/or the plasma concentration should be monitored when performing dose adjustments.

IM: The weighted mean of the calculated dose adjustment based on a total of 215 IM from 9 studies (Vos 2023, Ganesh 2021, Lee 2006, Kvist 2001, Murphy 2001, Morita 2000, Dalen 1998, Yue 1998, and Dahl 1986) is a dose reduction to 61% of the normal dose (36%-74%; median 59%). This was rounded off to 60% to be more achievable in clinical practice. Effectiveness and side effects and/or the plasma concentration should be monitored when performing dose adjustments.

UM: The weighted mean of the calculated dose adjustment based on a total of 18 UM from 5 studies (Vos 2023, Ganesh 2021, Lee 2006, Kvist 2001, and Dalen 1998) is a dose increase to 174% of the normal dose (130%-185%; median 170%). This was rounded off to 170% to be more achievable in clinical practice. Effectiveness and side effects and/or the plasma concentration should be monitored when performing dose adjustments. As the adjustment of UMs is difficult (Bertilsson L et al. Extremely rapid hydroxylation of debrisoquine: a case report with implication for treatment with nortriptyline and other tricyclic antidepressants. Ther Drug Monit 1985;7:478-80. PMID: 4082245) and the cardiotoxic metabolite can accumulate (Bertilsson 1985), the recom-

mendation is to choose a different antidepressant that is not metabolised by CYP2D6 if a dose increase is unwanted due to the cardiotoxic metabolites or if the dose increase does not give the desired results.

Note: The dose calculations do not take into consideration the active metabolite E-10-hydroxynortriptyline. The reason for this is that the effectiveness determination is normally performed based on nortriptyline alone. If this metabolite is taken into consideration, then the calculated dose adjustment is smaller.

You can find an overview of the observed kinetic and clinical consequences per phenotype in the background information text of the gene-drug interactions in the KNMP Kennisbank. You might also have access to this background information text via your pharmacy or physician electronic decision support system.

# Recommendation concerning pre-emptive genotyping, including justification of choices:

The KNMP Pharmacogenetics Working Group considers genotyping before starting nortriptyline to be potentially beneficial for the prevention of side effects and for drug efficacy. Genotyping can be considered on an individual patient basis. If, however, the genotype is available, the KNMP Pharmacogenetics Working Group recommends adhering to the gene-drug guideline.

The clinical implication of the gene-drug interaction scores 0 out of the maximum of 10 points (with pre-emptive genotyping considered to be potentially beneficial for scores ranging from 0 to 2 points) (see also the clinical implication score tables at the end of this risk analysis):

No severe clinical effects were observed in users of nortriptyline with a variant phenotype. The maximum severity code was C corresponding to CTCAE grade 2. This results in a score of 0 out of the maximum of 2 points for the first criterion of the clinical implication score, the clinical effect associated with the gene-drug interaction (only points for CTCAE grade  $\geq$  3).

The lack of a severe clinical effect also results in a score of 0 of the maximum of 3 points for the second and third criterion of the clinical implication score: the level of evidence supporting an associated clinical effect grade  $\geq$  3 and the number needed to genotype (NNG) in the Dutch population to prevent one clinical effect code  $\geq$  D (grade  $\geq$  3). The American Summary of Product Characteristics (SmPC) of nortriptyline mentions the CYP2D6 PM phenotype, but the Dutch SmPC does not. This results in 0 out of the maximum of 2 points for the fourth and last criterion of the clinical implication score, the pharmacogenetics information in the SmPC (only points for at least one genotype/phenotype mentioned in the (Dutch) SmPC).

The table below follows the KNMP definitions for NM, PM, IM and UM. Therefore, the definitions of NM, PM, IM and UM used in the table below may differ from the definition used by the authors in the article.

Comments

Code

Source

Effoct

Source	Code	Effect	Comments
ref. 1	4	After randomisation, 34 unipolar nonpsychotic major	Authors' conclusion:
Vos CF et al.		depressive disorder patients received at least one dose	'In this randomized
Effectiveness of geno-		of CYP2D6 genotype-guided nortriptyline treatment and	clinical trial, pharma-
type-specific tricyclic		33 patients received at least one dose of not genotype-	cogenetics-informed
antidepressant dosing		guided nortriptyline treatment. Plasma concentrations	treatment resulted in
in patients with major		and genotypes were reported for 34 and 31 patients,	faster attainment of
depressive disorder: a		respectively. The dosing recommendation in the not	therapeutic TCA
randomized clinical		genotype guided treatment arm was 125 mg/day. The	concentrations, with
trial.		dosing recommendations in the genotype-guided treat-	potentially fewer and less severe adverse
JAMA Netw Open		ment arm were according to the 2022 KNMP Pharmaco-	effects. No effect on
2023;6:e2312443.		genetics Working Group guidelines: 125 mg/ day (100%)	depressive symp-
PMID: 37155164.		for NM, 75 mg/day (60%) for IM, 50 mg/day (40%) for	toms was observed.
		PM, and 200 mg/day (160%) for UM. 96.4% of patients	These findings indi-
		initiated treatment with the recommended dose and all	cate that pharmaco-
		patients attained the recommended dose within the first	genetics-informed
		week of treatment. Steady state plasma concentrations	dosing of TCAs can
		were determined (i.e., after 7 days without dose adjust-	be safely applied
		ment). In cases of subtherapeutic or supratherapeutic	and may be useful
		plasma concentrations, dose adjustments were made	in personalizing
		based on linear kinetics until a therapeutic drug concen-	treatment for
		tration was reached. Follow-up was for 7 weeks. Both	patients with major
		groups were enriched in patients with a variant pheno-	depressive disor-
		type, because 56% of NM were not included in one of	der.'
		these treatment arms, but in a reference group. In both	
		treatment arms, therapeutic drug monitoring was weekly,	
		which is more often than usual (in clinical practice, it	
		takes several weeks until plasma concentrations are	

## ref. 1, continuation

measured).

17-item Hamilton Rating Scale for Depression (HAMD-17) scores (score range 0-52, with higher scores indicating greater depression severity) were used to measure severity of depressive symptoms. Frequency, Intensity, and Burden of Side Effects Rating (FIBSER) scores (score range of each of the 3 items 0-6, with higher scores indicating more severe interference with activities) were used to measure severity (intensity) of adverse events. The interaction between treatment group and time for both depression severity and severity of adverse effects was examined.

Comedication affecting nortriptyline pharmacokinetics (e.g. CYP2D6 inhibitors) and psychotropic comedication other than a benzodiazepine in a dose equivalent up to 4 mg lorazepam per day were excluded.

Based on the assumption that 50% of the not genotpeguided group would reach a therapeutic plasma concentration within 4 weeks and that 50% of the genotypeguided group would reach a therapeutic concentration within 2 weeks, a power of 80% was calculated to require a sample size of 44 patients per treatment arm. Based on the mean reduction of adverse event scores reported previously, a power of 80% was calculated to require a sample size of 63 patients per treatment arm.

## Genotyping:

Genotype-guided arm Not genotype-guided arm

- 11x NM - 10x NM - 18x IM - 17x IM - 4x PM - 3x PM - 1x UM - 1x UM

## Results:

Genotype-guided versus not genotype -guided treatment : A

Results f	Results for genotype-guided treatment compared to			
not geno	type-guide	ed treatment:		
			value for	
			not geno-	
			type-	
			guided	
			treatment	
time to	mean	x 0.66 (S)	20.2	
thera-			days	
peutic	me-	x 0.6 (S)	15 days	
plasma	dian			
concen				
tration				
depression		NS		
severity (				
17) score	over			
time				
adverse (		stronger decrease in		
severity (	•	time (NS (significance		
item 2 (Ir	• , ,	not mentioned for nor-		
score ove	er time	triptyline separately,		
		but S for nortriptyline,		
		clomipramine and		
		imipramine together,		
		showing highly similar		
		curves))		

ref. 1, continuation		nortripty-	NM	x 1.26 (N	IS)		83.5	5	
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		line plas-		720 (1	,		ng/r	l l	
		ma con-	PM	x 0.39 (S	5)		208		
		centration			ma concei	n-	ng/r		
					as suprath				
					(> 150 ng/				
					t genotype	,			
					rm and the				
				_	the genoty				
				guided a		,,,			
			IM	x 0.77 (N			122	8	
				X 011 1 (11	.0,		ng/r	l l	
			UM	x 1.57 (N	IS)		53.0		
			O IVI		ma concei	n-	ng/r	l l	
					as close to		119/1		
					it of the th				
					nge (50 ng				
					e not geno	_			
				type-guid					
		L	1	ı iype-guli	Jou aiii.				
		Results com	nared	to NM (sid	nificance	not de	term	ined).	1
		Tresuits con	ipareu	PM	IM	UM	CITI	value	plasma concentra-
				1 101	''''	Oivi		for	tion of nortriptyline
								NM	versus NM:
		plasma con	cen-	x 2.49	x 1.47	x 0.6	63	83.5	PM: 249%
		tration of no		(NS)	(NS)	(NS		ng/ml	IM: 147%
		tyline at a de		,		, ,	,	J	UM: 63%
		of 125 mg/d							
		plasma con	cen-	x 0.77	x 0.90	x 0.7	79	105.2	
		tration of no	rtrip-	(NS)	(NS)	(NS)	)	ng/ml	
		tyline at the							
		genotype-gu	uided						
		dose							
		Note: Genoty							
		*35, *41, and						τ	
rof 2	3	important ger						lion	Authora' conclusions
ref. 2	3	Data of 299 p				-	•		Authors' conclusion: 'The metabolic ratio
Ganesh SV et al.		daily dose 60 enriched for p							showed the stron-
Therapeutic drug									gest predictive value
monitoring of psychotropics as a		Routine thera	-	-	-	-			in identifying pa-
diagnostic tool for		concentration concentration		•					tients with a CYP-
CYP2D6 poor meta-		were calculat							2D6 PM phenotype
bolizer phenotype.		patient. Serui		•					in those receiving
Ther Drug Monit		of the analytic							venlafaxine, risperi-
2021;43:672-80.		detection of t			verteu to t	iie iov	vei iii	THE OI	done, and aripipra-
PMID: 33560096		Comedication			arfarad wit	th CV	ם מס	:	zole, whereas dose-
1 WID. 33300030		during or less		• •					corrected serum
and personal commu-		excluded, but							concentrations
nication (mean dose-		cers was not.							showed a moderate
corrected serum con-		versus 63 mg		•			•		predictive value for
centrations)		tion (kidney f					COLICE	ziilia-	the aforementioned
cermanons)							ina th	20	psychotropics and
		P-values wer Benjamini an					ıııy ıl	i <del>C</del>	nortriptyline.'
		Denjamin an	u i iUUl	ineid (LDL	v) memou.	•			
		Genotyping:							
		- 136x NM							
		- 131x IM							
		- 28x PM							
		- 4x UM							
L	L	I IX OIVI							l

ref. 2, continuation							
		Results:					
		Results compa	red to NM:	_			
			PM	IM	UM	value	
						for	
	PM: A	median dose-	x 3.10	x 1.63	x 0.58	NM 1.02	
	IM: A	corrected	(S)	(S)	(NS)	ng/ml	mean dose-correc-
	UM: AA	serum con-		versus IM		per	ted serum concen-
		centration of	NM vers		70.000	mg/day	tration of nortripty-
		nortriptyline					line versus NM:
		mean dose-	x 2.89	x 1.63	x 0.56	1.03	PM: 289%
		corrected	Significa	nce not de	termined.	ng/ml	IM: 163%
		serum con-				per	UM: 56%
		centration of				mg/day	
		nortriptyline					
		Note: Genotypin	n was for *	3 through	*6 *9 *10	*41 and	
		gene duplication					
		variants in this D			important (	30.10	
ref. 3	4	After randomisat			sive disord	er	Authors' conclusion:
van der Schans J et		patients with a va					'The results of this
al.		or older, receive					study do not support
Effects of pharmaco-		treatment and 13	•		•	-	pharmacogenetic
genetic screening for		nortriptyline trea		•			CYP2D6 screening to accelerate dose
CYP2D6 among		could be extended		•		-	adjustment for nor-
elderly starting thera-		an adequate nor					triptyline and venla-
py with nortriptyline or		Nortriptyline trea					faxine in older pa-
venlafaxine: a prag- matic randomized		after approximat types were not k					tients with depres-
controlled trial		recommendation				-	sion.'
(CYSCE Trial).		were derived from					
J Clin Psychopharma-		Working Group			-		
col		IM, 50% for PM,					
2019;39:583-90.		mised, because					
PMID: 31688392.		later because of	-		•		
		allele. In both tre	•	•			
		ring was perform	ned every 2	2 weeks.			
		Attainment of an					
		as a therapeutic				no dose	
		adjustment within	•				
		Frequency and s	• `				
		ous) of adverse			-	-	
		a shorter and mo		sion of the	Antidepres	Sanı	
		Comedication af	,	trintylina n	harmacokir	natics	
		(e.g. CYP2D6 in	-				
		excluded, but co					
		mic interaction w					
		Based on the as		hat genoty	pe-guided t	treatment	
		would reduce the	•	• .			
		power of 100% v		•			
		48 patients reacl	hing adequ	ıate dose p	er treatme	nt arm.	
		Conotypic					
		Genotyping:	od 0***	Not ~-	ootuna =::!=	lad arms	
		Genotype-guide	eu aiiii	Not ger - 9x IM	notype-guid	eu am	
	Geno-	- 9x IM - 7x PM		- 9x IIVI - 4x PN			
	type-gui-	- 7x PM - 2x UM+(*1/*4	1)v2	- 4X FIV	ı		
	ded ver-	- 2x Olvit( 1/ 4	1 / 1/2				
	sus not	Results:					
	1	. 1000110.					l .

ref. 3, continuation	genotype	Results for ge	notype-g	uided treat	ment	compared	l to	
	-guided	not genotype-	guided tr	eatment:				
	treatment	time to adequa	ate	NS				
	: AA	dose						
		nortriptyline do		NS				
		study endpoin		NC				
		overall frequer	,	NS				
		overall severit		NS				
		adverse event	-	110				
		Note: The lack	c of a diff	erence in d	lose	at study en	ıd-	
		point could inc	dicate a la	ack of geno	type	-based dos	se	
		prescription by	y the phy	sicians.				
		N						
		Note: The time						
		for the genotype trend for being						
		non-genotype g						
		guided control						
		beneficial effect						
		might be in a fa	ister titrat	tion among	patie	ents with a	NM	
		genotype.						
		Note: Genotypi	ng was fo	or *3 throug	h *6	, *10, *17, <sup>*</sup>	*41,	
		and gene duplic	_	_	•			
		variants in this						
ref. 4	3	38 patients with						Authors' conclusion:
Berm E et al. Relation between		or older with a r						'Genotype informa- tion could be used
CYP2D6 genotype,		effects and ther						as a valuable tool, in
phenotype and thera-		monitoring was						addition to therapeu-
peutic drug concen-		of nortriptyline (						tic drug monitoring,
trations among		The reason for			ting t	therapeutic	drug	to prevent suprathe-
nortriptyline and venlafaxine users in		monitoring was Co-medication			מוותפ	was restric	ted to	rapeutic drug levels of nortriptyline or
old age psychiatry.		oxazepam, tem						venlafaxine in elder-
Pharmacopsychiatry		somatic medica						ly patients with a PM
2016;49:186-190.		excluded.						genotype.'
PubMed PMID:		Construcion						
27101231.		Genotyping: - 25x NM						
		- 10x IM						
		- 3x PM						
		D						
		Results:  Results compa	ared to N	IN/I·				1
		Tresuits compe	area to re	PM		IM	value	
							for	
							NM	]
	PM: AA	% of pa-	3 weeks	l l		versus	16%	
	IM: AA	tients with a suprathera-	5 weeks	IM ve		NM n increa-	12%	-
		peutic nor-	5 weeks			n increa- versus	1270	
		triptyline		l l		NM (p =		
		plasma con-		0.07)	(NS)			]
		centration (>	12 weel	l l		versus	20%	
		150 ng/ml)		IM ve	rsus	NM	<u> </u>	
		Note: Genotypi	ng was fo	or *3 and *4	1. Ne	xt to gene	multi-	
		plication, these	-			-		
		this Dutch popu	ılation.	•				
ref. 5	4	284 patients with	th moder	ate to seve	re ur	nipolar disc	order	Authors' conclusion:

Hodgson K et al. Exploring the role of drug-metabolising enzymes in antidepressant side effects. Psychopharmacology (Berl) 2015;232:2609-17. PubMed PMID: 25761838.

ref. 5, continuation

were treated with nortriptyline for 12 weeks. Adverse event data were available for 251 patients. Nortriptyline was initiated at 50 mg/day and titrated to a target dose of 100 mg/day within the first 2 weeks unless adverse events limited dose increase, and could be further increased to 150 mg/day (and up to 200 mg/day if there was clinical agreement that a higher dose was needed). Dose titration was informed by assessments of depressive symptoms and adverse events.

The presence or absence of 21 adverse events was assessed weekly with the self-report Antidepressant Side Effect Checklist (ASEC), which was also administered prior to treatment. Associations with total adverse event burden were tested using linear models, whilst the weekly presence/absence of each specific adverse event was examined using logistic models. When considering the 21 different adverse events, Bonferroni correction for multiple testing was applied (significance if p < 0.002381 (i.e. < 0.05/21)). To ensure that reports of adverse events were not confounded by the severity of depression, MADRS scores were entered as a covariate in all analyses, along with baseline reports of adverse events, age. sex, linear and quadratic effects of time and centre of recruitment. When testing CYP2D6 genotype as a predictor, both CYP2D6-inhibiting medication and dose of nortriptyline were used as covariates.

Finally, CYP2D6 genotype was considered as a predictor of time to study discontinuation, using a survival Cox proportional hazards model. Covariates of age, sex, centre, baseline depression and baseline total adverse event score were included in the model.

Co-medication with psychotropic drugs was restricted to occasional use of hypnotics. Other medication was not excluded, but CYP2D6-inhibiting co-medication was controlled for. In week 8, no patients used CYP2D6 inducers and 4.7% used weak CYP2D6 inhibitors (combined oral contraceptive pill, amiodarone or ranitidine). The smallest sample size included in these analysis was the 168 patients taking nortriptyline with both plasma concentration and dose information available. It was calculated that in this sample, it is possible to detect an effect size explaining 4.7% of the variance in outcome with 80% power, at a p value threshold of 0.05. This corresponds to 0.52 points on the ASEC when measuring total adverse event burden. For study drop-out, hazard ratios of 0.64 (or 1.56) could be detected at p < 0.05 with 80% power.

#### Genotyping:

- 238x NM+IM (gene dose 1.5-2 and gene dose 1/0)
- 20x IM (gene dose 0.5 and gene dose 0.5/0.5)
- 20x PM
- 6x UM

#### Results:

PM: AA IM: AA UM: AA There was no association of CYP2D6 genotype with:
- total number of adverse events (NS, also NS for PM versus IM+NM+UM)

the following specific adverse events (all NS):
 dry mouth
 problems with up

dry mouth	problems with urination
drowsiness	palpitations
insomnia (difficulty slee-	feeling light-headed on
ping)	standing

'In this sample where antidepressant dosage is titrated using clinical judgement, P450 genotypes do not explain differences between patients in side effects with antidepressants.'

					1
ref. 5, continuation			blurred vision	feeling like the room is	
				spinning around	
			headache	sweating	
			constipation	increased body tempe-	
				rature	
			diarrhoea	tremor	
			increased appetite	disorientation	
			decreased appetite	yawning	
			nausea or vomiting	weight gain	
			problems with sexual		
		╟╜	function		
		نسا	study discontinuation (NS)		
ref. 6 Hodgson K et al. Genetic differences in cytochrome P450 enzymes and antidepressant treatment response. J Psychopharmacol 2014;28:133-41. PubMed PMID: 24257813.	4	No manure version and nure version and n	te: In this study there was a contriptyline concentrations where of adverse events and ents other than dry mouth. Noticipated in the study and a notre of recruitment on nortripserved.  The Genotyping was for 33 vapliChip P450.  The plasma concentrations we see that and 10-hydroxynortripments and 10-hydroxynortripments for 158 patients. The means are plasma concentration of 92 to severity of depressive symplications and 10-hydroxynortripments for 158 patients. The means are plasma concentration of 92 to severity of depressive symples (MADRS). Prior to treatment and 10-hydroxynortripments were 28.76.  The plasma concentration of 92 to severity of depressive symples (MADRS). Prior to treatment and the plasma concentrations droxynortriptyline were means and the plasma concentrations droxynortriptyline were calculated and deviation of 1.  The prior to treatment that the plasma concentration of 1.  The prior to treatment were calculated and deviation of 1.  The prior to treatment than the plasma concentration measurements were obtained to treatment than the proposed to the proposed to the proposed to the	sin week 8 with the total with specific adverse line European centres significant effect of the otyline concentrations was ariants with the Roche rom the same study as Of the 79% of patients dy before week 8, nortriptere measured for 161 tyline plasma concentration nortriptyline dose in ulting in a mean nortripty-2.6 ng/ml. Inptoms was measured -Åsberg Depression Ratingment, mean scores on the of nortriptyline and 10-sured in week 8. For ease plasma concentration d, with a mean of 0 and a served in treatment with and without plasma who remained in the study with plasma concentration more likely to have nose without plasma (S). With linear mixed effect ochrome CYP2D6-inhibitor recruitment as covariantered as a covariate into ect of CYP2D6 genotype entrations to consider dosegenotype was found to be a Baseline depression effects of time, and indivies in the model investiga-	Authors' conclusion: 'While there is a significant relation- ship between the CYP450 genotype and serum concen- trations of escitalo- pram and nortripty- line, the genotypes are not predictive of differences in treat- ment response for either drug.'
		effe	-medication with CYP2D6 ir ect on both nortriptyline and	10-hydroxynortriptyline	
			sma concentrations, but all medication and results were		

not C continued on		Adding OVDODC inhibitana	
ref. 6, continuation	PM: A IM: A UM: A	taking CYP2D6 inhibitors were excluded from the analysis.  Uher 2012 calculated that, for studies addressing predictors of antidepressant treatment outcomes, continuous biomarkers (such as serum levels) should explain at least 6.3% of the variance in treatment response in order to be clinically significant. It was calculated that a sample size of n = 120 would be needed to detect an effect size of this magnitude with p = 0.05, and power of 80%. This study exceeds this sample size, and thus is adequately powered to detect clinically significant associations between serum levels of antidepressant and treatment response.  Genotyping (calculated with the percentages for all patients (treated with nortriptyline or escitalopram)): - 273x NM+IM (gene dose 1.5-2 and gene dose 1/0) - 26x IM (gene dose 0.5 and gene dose 0.5/0.5) - 24x PM - 11x UM  Results:  Results for PM versus IM versus (NM+gene dose 1/0) versus UM:  - no difference in treatment response (NS)  - increase in the (dose-corrected) nortriptyline plasma concentration (S)  - decrease in the (dose-corrected) 10-hydroxynortriptyline plasma concentration swere associated with poorer treatment response (NS). Higher 10-hydroxynortriptyline plasma concentrations were associated with poorer treatment response, but not after correction for drug dose. Because dose titration was based on depressive-symptoms and adverse events, higher drug doses were prescribed to patients failing to adequately respond to treatment. Nine European centres participated in the study and a significant effect of the centre of recruitment on nortriptyline dose and dose-corrected nortriptyline concentrations was observed.	
ref. 7 Lee SY et al. Sequence-based CYP2D6 genotyping in the Korean popula- tion. Ther Drug Monit 2006;28:382-7.	3 IM: A	16 Korean volunteers (12x NM (3x *1/*1, 8x *1/*10, 1x *2/*10), 3x IM (2x *10/*10, 1x *5/*10), 1x UM (*2N/*10)) received a single dose of nortriptyline 15 mg.  IM versus NM: - AUC NT increased from 743.2 to 1898.4 μg.h/L (S by 155%)	AUC NT versus NM: IM: 255% UM: 77%
	UM: AA	UM versus NM: - AUC NT decreased from 743.2 to 572.0 μg.h/L (NS by 23%)	
ref. 8 Lee S et al. A case report of a poor metabolizer of CYP2D6 presented with unusual responses to nortriptyline medication. J Korean Med Sci	2 IM: C	- A patient receiving nortriptyline 150 mg/day developed side effects (dry mouth, constipation, dizziness), C <sub>ss</sub> is 471 μg/L. No side effects when the dose was reduced to 50 mg/day. Genotype: *5/*10.	

Tef. 10 Dalen Pet 48. Disposition of debriso- quine and outpriprine in lation and outpress on with  Coursess of With  Dalen Pet 48. Disposition of debriso- guine and outpriprine in lation and outpress on with  Coursess on With  Coursess on With  Coursess of With  Disposition of debriso- guine and outpreprine in lation and specifical profit  Dalen Pet 48. Disposition of debriso- guine and outpreprine in lation and specifical profit  Dalen Pet 48. Disposition of debriso- guine and outpreprine in lation and outpreprine in lation and specifical  Dalen Pet 48. Disposition of debriso- guine and outpreprine in lation and outpreprine in latio	2004;19:750-2.			
Roberts RL et al. No evidence of increased adverse drug reactions in cytochrome P450 CVP2D6 poor metabolizers treated with fluxoefline or nortriphyline. htm Psychopharmacol 2004;19:17-23.  PM: AA Hum Psychopharmacol 2004;19:17-23.  PM: AA Daien P et al. Disposition of debrice-quine and nortriphyline in Korean subjects in relation to CYP2D6 genotyping and comparison with Caucasians Br J Clin Pharmacol 2003;35:6304.  ref. 10	-	3	60 patients, 56x NM+IM (carriers of *1 *2 *9 or *10) 4x	Authors' conclusion:
No evidence of increased adverse drug reactions in cincreased adverse drug reactions increased adverse drug reactions in concentrations and clinical effect, study duration was 6 weeks, no relevant co-medication; of the bolizers treated with fluoxetine or nortriptyline.  PM: AA fluoxetine or nortriptyline. PM: AA fluoxetine or nortriptyline.  Hum Psychopharma-col 2004;19:17-23.  PM: AA increased adverse drug reactions and clinical effect, study duration was 6 weeks, no relevant co-medication; of the weeks.  PM: AA increased with fluoxetine or nortriptyline.  NOTE: the aim of the study (examining whether PMs suffered more side effects, measured after 3 and 6 weeks) was obscured by the fact that the dose could be adjusted during the study based on side effects.  NOTE: the aim of the study (examining whether PMs suffered more side effects, measured after 3 and 6 weeks) was obscured by the fact that the dose could be adjusted during the study based on side effects.  NOTE: the aim of the study (examining whether PMs suffered more side effects, measured after 3 and 6 weeks), was obscured by the fact that the dose could be adjusted during the study based on side effects.  10 healthy volunteers, 5x *1/*1,5x *1/*10, a single dose of 25 mg nortriptyline, not co-medication.  11 healthy volunteers, 5x *1/*1,5x *1/*10, a single dose of 25 mg nortriptyline, not nortriptyline, an increase in AUC HNT from 1591 to 1672 nMH (NS by 5%), decrease in AUC HNT from 12, 11 to				
increased adverse drug reactions in cytochrome P450 CVP2D6 poor metabolizers treated with fluxestine or nortrip-tyline. Hum Psychopharma-col 2004;19:17-23.  PM: AA Tituoseline or nortrip-tyline. Hum Psychopharma-col 2004;19:17-23.  PM: AA Tituoseline or nortrip-tyline was obscured by the fact that the dose could be adjusted during the study (examining whether PMs suffered more side effects, measured after 3 and 6 weeks) was obscured by the fact that the dose could be adjusted during the study based on side effects.  PM: AA Tituoseline or nortrip-tyline in Korean subjects in relation to CYP2D6 genotypes, and comparison with Caucasians. Br J Cilin Pharmacol 2003;55:630 -4.  ref. 11 Caucasians. Br J Cilin Pharmacol 2003;55:630 -4.  ref. 12 Caucasians and comparison with Caucasians. Br J Cilin Pharmacol 2003;55:630 -4.  ref. 12 Caucasians and thin tyline or the comparison with Caucasians and the comparison with caucasians and the comparison with caucasians. Br J Cilin Pharmacol 2004;56:630 -4.  ref. 12 Caucasians and the comparison with caucasians and that an effect on CYP2D6.  Septiment of the study (examining whether PMs suffered more side effects, measured after 3 and 6 weeks) was obscured by the fact that the dose could be adjusted during the study based on side effects.  10 healthy volunteers, Sr. 11*1, Sr. 11*10, a single dose of 25 mg nortriptyline, an occ-medication; and comparison with caucasians. Br J Cilin Pharmacol 2003;55:630 -4.  ref. 12 Caucasians. Br J Cilin Pharmacol 2004;25:737-43 ref. 12 Caucasians. Br J Cilin Pharmacol 2004;25:737-43 ref. 12 Caucasians. Br J Cilin Pharmacol 2004;25:737-43 ref. 12 Caucasians. Caucasians. Br J Cilin Pharmacol 2004;25:737-43 ref. 12 Caucasians. Ca				0 0
weeks, no relevant co-medication; cytechrome P450 CYP2D6 poor metabolizers treated with fluxweitine or nortripyline. Hum Psychopharma- col 2004;19:17-23.  MoHad a slightly lower dose after 6 weeks than NM- lim.  NOTE: the aim of the study (examining whether PMs suffered more side effects, measured after 3 and 6 weeks) was obscured by the fact that the dose could be adjusted during the study based on side effects.  NOTE: the aim of the study (examining whether PMs suffered more side effects, measured after 3 and 6 weeks) was obscured by the fact that the dose could be adjusted during the study based on side effects.  NOTE: the aim of the study (examining whether PMs suffered more side effects, measured after 3 and 6 weeks) was obscured by the fact that the dose could be adjusted during the study based on side effects.  NOTE: the aim of the study (examining whether PMs suffered more side effects, measured after 3 and 6 weeks) was obscured by the fact that the dose could be adjusted during the study based on side effects.  NOTE: the aim of the study (examining whether PMs suffered more side effects, measured after 3 and 6 weeks) was obscured by the fact that the dose could be adjusted during the study based on side effects.  NOTE: the aim of the study (examining whether PMs suffered more side effects, measured after 3 and 6 weeks) was obscured by the fact that the dose could be adjusted during the study based on side effects.  PM the suffered more side effects, measured after 3 and 5 weeks) was obscured by the fact that the dose could be adjusted during the study based on side effects.  PM: A1 1/10: for nortipyline, an occomedication;  To motification;  NOTE: it is not clear to motification;  NOTE: it is not clear to what extent the co-medication and allele, 17x 1 functional alleles, 2x 2 functional alleles, 3x 3 or more functional alleles ("1 and "2" functional alleles, 3x 3 or more functional alleles, 12x 2 functional alleles, 3x 3 or more functional alleles, increased Cl <sub>tot</sub> from 65.5 to 25.1  Lh (NS by 31%)				
CVP2D6 por metabliczers treated with fluxestine or nortriptyline. Hum Psychopharma- col 2004;19:17-23.  ***PM and NM+IM had equal levels of side effects after 6 weeks. PM had a slightly lower dose after 6 weeks than NM+IM.**  **NOTE: the aim of the study (examining whether PMs suffered more side effects, measured after 3 and 6 weeks) was obscured by the fact that the dose could be adjusted during the study based on side effects.  **OTE: the aim of the study (examining whether PMs suffered more side effects, standard and the provided of the provided and the prov				_
CYP2D6 poor metabolizers treated with fluoxetine or nortripyline. Hum Psychopharmacol 2004;19:17-23.  **PM and NN4+IM had equal levels of side effects after 6 weeks than NM+thyline. Hum Psychopharmacol 2004;19:17-23.  **The subjects in relation to CYP2D6 genotypes, and comparison with Caucasians. Br J Clin Pharmacol 2003;55:630-4.  **ref. 10			weeks, no relevant of medication,	
bolizers treated with fluxostine or nortriptyline. Howseline or nortriptyline. PM: AA fluxostine or nortriptyline. PM: AA fluxostine or nortriptyline. PM: AB fluxostine or nortriptyline. PM: AB fluxostine or nortriptyline. PM: AB fluxostine or nortriptyline or side effects, measured after 3 and 6 weeks) was obscured by the fact that the dose could be adjusted during the study based on side effects. PM: AB fluxostine of debriso-quine and nortriptyline in following in the fluxostine of debriso-quine and nortriptyline in following in the fluxostine of the study based on side effects. PM: AB fluxostine			- PM and NM+IM had equal levels of side effects after	
The Name of the study (examining whether PMs suffered more side effects, measured after 3 and 6 weeks) was obscured by the fact that the dose could be adjusted during the study based on side effects.  The Note of the study (examining whether PMs suffered more side effects, measured after 3 and 6 weeks) was obscured by the fact that the dose could be adjusted during the study based on side effects.  The Note of the study based on side effects of the sudy based on side effects.  The Note of the study based on side effects of the sudy based on side effects.  The Note of the study based on side effects of the sudy based on side effects.  The Note of the study based on side effects of the sudy based on side effects.  The Note of the study based on side effects on the sudy based on side effects.  The Note of the study based on side effects on the sudy based on side effects.  The Note of the study based on side effects on the sudy based on side effects.  The Note of the study based on side effects on the sudy based on side effects.  The Note of the study based on side effects on the sudy based on side effects.  The Note of the study based on side effects on the sudy based on side effects.  The Note of the study based on side effects on the sudy based on side effects.  The Note of the study based on side effects on the sudy based			·	
Jyline. Hum Psychopharmacol 2004;19:17-23.  IM. NOTE: the aim of the study (examining whether PMs suffered more side effects, measured after 3 and 6 weeks) was obscured by the fact that the dose could be adjusted during the study based on side effects.  10 healthy volunteers, 5x 11/1, 5x 11/10, a single dose of 26 mg nortriptyline, no co-medication; Disposition of debriso-quine and nortriptyline in Korsan subjects in relation to CYP2D6 genotypes, and companison with Caucasians. Br J Clin Pharmacol 2003;55:630-4. ref. 11 a. Murphy GM et al. 7 (Fr. 11 a. Murphy GM et al.		F IVI. AA		
Annual Systems of the study (examining whether PMs suffered more side effects, measured after 3 and 6 weeks) was obscured by the fact that the dose could be adjusted during the study based on side effects.  10 healthy volunteers, 5x *1/*1, 5x *1/*10, a single dose of 25 mg nortriptyline, no co-medication; or leating to 10 healthy volunteers, 5x *1/*11, 15x *1/*10, a single dose of 25 mg nortriptyline, no co-medication; or leating to 10 healthy volunteers, 5x *1/*11, 15x *1/*10, a single dose of 25 mg nortriptyline, no co-medication; or leating to 10 healthy volunteers, 5x *1/*11, 15x *1/*10, a single dose of 25 mg nortriptyline, no co-medication; or leating to 10 healthy volunteers, 5x *1/*11, 15x *1/*10, a single dose of 25 mg nortriptyline, no nicrease in the AUC versus *1/*11 from 1591 to 1672 nM-h (NS by 5%), decrease in Cl <sub>w</sub> from 1.9 to 1.0 L/kgh (NS by 47%), decrease in Cl <sub>w</sub> from 1.9 to 1.0 L/kgh (NS by 47%).  10 healthy volunteers, 5x *1/*11, 15x *1/*10, a single dose of 25 mg nortriptyline, no nicrease in the AUC versus *1/*11 from 1591 to 1672 nM-h (NS by 5%), decrease in Cl <sub>w</sub> from 1.51 to 2.143 (NS by 8%), lncrease in AUC ratio NT/HNT from 0.69 to 0.77 (NS by 12%).  10 healthy volunteers, 5x *1/*11, 15x *1/*12, a single dose of 25 mg 0.0 nortriptyline versus NM (5x *1/*11, 12x *1/*2, 1x *1/*12, 1x			- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Adverse drug reactions.  NOTE: the aim of the study (examining whether PMs suffered more side effects, measured after 3 and 6 weeks) was obscured by the fact that the dose could be adjusted during the study based on side effects.  10 healthy volunteers, 5x **17*10, a single dose of 25 mg nortriptyline, no co-medication; properties in Korean subjects in relation to CYP2DB genotypes, and comparison with Caucasians.  13 John Parmacol 2003;55:630-4.  15 J Clin Pharmacol 2003;55:630-4.  16 F.1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1			IIVI.	· ·
suffered more side effects, measured after 3 and 6 weeks) was obscured by the fact that the dose could be adjusted during the study based on side effects.  3 10 healthy volunteers, 5x *1/*1, 5x *1/*10, a single dose of 25 mg nortriptyline, no co-medication; or 10 healthy volunteers, 5x *1/*11, 15x *1/*10, a single dose of 25 mg nortriptyline, no co-medication; or 10 healthy volunteers, 5x *1/*11, 15x *1/*10, a single dose of 25 mg nortriptyline, no co-medication; or 10 healthy volunteers, 5x *1/*11, 15x *1/*10, a single dose of 25 mg nortriptyline, no co-medication; or 10 healthy volunteers, 5x *1/*11, 15x *1/*10, a single dose of 25 mg nortriptyline, no co-medication; or 10 healthy volunteers, 5x *1/*11, 15x *1/*10, a single dose of 25 mg nortriptyline, no increase in the AUC versus *1/*11 from 1591 to 1672 mM-h (NS by 5%), decrease in Cloeffort 10 healthy			NOTE: the aim of the study (examining whether PMs	
weeks) was obscured by the fact that the dose could be adjusted during the study based on side effects.  10 Dalen P et al. Disposition of debrisoquine and nortriptyline in Korean subjects in relation to CYP2D6 genotypes, and comparison with Caucasians.  17 J Clin Pharmacol 2003;55:630-4.  ref. 11 Murphy GM et al. CYP2D6 genotyping with oligonucleotide microarrays and nortriptyline concentrations in geriatric depression. Neuropsychopharmacol 2001;25:737-43  IM: A  PM: A4  PM: A4  PM: AA  PM: AA  PM: AA  PM: AA  LM: AA  PM: AA  LM: AA  LM				_
adjusted during the study based on side effects.  7ef. 10 Dalen P et al. Disposition of debriso- quine and nortriptyline in Korean subjects in  relation to CVP2D6 genotypes, and  comparison with  Caucasians.  8r J Clin Pharmacol  2003;35:630-4.  7ef. 11 Murphy GM et al. CYP2D6 genotyping  with oligonucleotide  microarrays and  nortriptyline concentrations in geniatric  depression.  Neuropsychopharma- coll  2001;25:737-43  1M: AA  PM: AA  PM: AA  PM: AA  IM: AA  IIII Disposition of debriso- of 25 mg nortriptyline, an increase in the AUC  of 25 mg nortriptyline, an increase in the AUC  versus "1/"1 from 1591 to 1672 nMh (NS by 5%),  decrease in Cl., from 1, 9 to 1, 0 L /kg/h (NS by 47%),  For HNT, a decrease in AUC HNT from 2,317 to  2,143 (NS by 8%). Increase in AUC ratio NT/HNT  from 0.69 to 0.77 (NS by 12%),  36 geriatric patients, 18x NM (5x "1/"1, 12x "1/"2, 1x  "1/"10) and 18x IM (2x "1/"3, 4x "1/"4, 1 x "5/"10, 3x  "2""10, 2x "2"/2, 4x "2"/4, 1x "3"/4, 1x "4"/4), NT dosed  according to target concentration of 50-150 µg/L, with co- medication;  IM: A A  IM: AA  IM: AB  IM: AB  IM:	2004,10.17 20.		· ·	tiono.
ref. 10 Dalen P et al. Disposition of debriso- quine and nortriptyline in Korean subjects in relation to CVP2D6 genotypes, and comparison with Caucasians. Br J Clin Pharmacol 2003;55:630-4. ref. 11 Murphy GM et al. CYP2D6 genotyping with oligonucleotide microarrays and nortriptyline concentrations in geriatric depression. Neuropsychopharmacol 2001;25:737-43  IM: A PM: AA PM: AA PM: AA IM: AA IM: AA UM: Aa Umantative plamacol and incompanion of the inter-individual variation in CyP2D6 alleles explains 21% of the inter-individual variation in CYP2D6 alleles explains 21% of the inter-individual variation in CYP2D6 alleles explains 21% of the inter-individual variation in CYP2D6 alleles explains 21% of the inter-individual variation in CyP2D6 alleles explains 21% of the inter-individual variation in CyP2D6 alleles explains 21% of the inter-individual variation in CyP2D6 alleles explains 21% of the inter-individual variation in CyP2D6 alleles explains 21% of the inter-individual variation in CyP2D6 alleles explains 21% of the inter-individual variation in CyP2D6 alleles explains 21% of the inter-individual variation in CyP2D6 alleles explains 21% of the inter-individual variation in Cle, and 34% of the			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Dalen P et al. Disposition of debriso- quine and nortriptyline in Korean subjects in  relation to CYP2D6 genotypes, and  comparison with  Caucasians. Br J Clin Pharmacol  2003;55:630-4.  ref. 11 Murphy GM et al.  CYP2D6 genotyping  with Oligonucleotide  microarrays and  nortriptyline concentrations in griatric  depression.  Neuropsychopharma- coll  2001;25:737-43  IM: A  PM: AA  PM: AA  IM: AA		2		
Disposition of debriso- quine and nortriptyline in Korean subjects in relation to CYP2D6 genotypes, and comparison with Caucasians. Br J Clin Pharmacol 2003;55:630-4. ref. 11 Murphy GM et al. CYP2D6 genotyping with oligonucleotide microarrays and nortriptyline concentrations in geriatric depression. Neuropsychopharma- col 2001;25:737-43  IM: A  PM: A  PM: AA  PM: AA  PM: AA  IM: AA  IIII A (To ro nottriptyline, an increase in the AUC versus '1/"1 from 1591 to 1672 nlM-h (NS by 5%), decrease in Clo₂ from 1.9 to 1.0 L/kg/h (NS by 47%), For nortriptyline va 10 to 1.0 L/kg/h (NS by 47%), decrease in Clo₂ from 1.9 to 1.0 L/kg/h (NS by 47%), For ND: 10 to 1.0 L/kg/h (NS by 47%), decrease in AUC ratio NT/HNT from 0.69 to 0.77 (NS by 12%).  BY 12/"10 and 18x NM (5x *1/"1, 12x *1/"2, 1x *1/"10) and 18x IM (2x *1/"3, 4x *11"4, 1x *5/"10, 3x *2/"10, 2x *2/"2, 4x *2/"4, 1x *3/"4, 1x *4/"4), NT dosed according to target concentration of 50-150 μg/L, with co- medication;  IM: A (S by 30%).  NOTE: it is not clear to what extent the co-medication had an effect on CYP2D6.  20 patients and 20 healthy study subjects, 5x no functio- nal allele, 17x 1 functional alleles, 6x 3 or more functional alleles: 1 functional alleles: 2 functional alleles, 6x 3 or more functional alleles: 2 functional alleles: 3 functi		3		
- *1/*10' for nortriptyline, in Korean subjects in relation to CYP2D6 genotypes, and comparison with Caucasians. Br J Clin Pharmacol 2003;55:630-4.  ref. 11 Murphy GM et al. CYP2D6 genotyping with oligonucleotide microarrays and nortriptyline concentrations in geriatric depression.  Neuropsychopharma-col 2001;25:737-43  IM: A Merch S March			or 25 mg normptyline, no co-medication;	
in Korean subjects in relation to CYP2D6 genotypes, and comparison with Caucasians. Br J Clin Pharmacol 2003;55:630-4.  ref. 11  3 36 geriatric patients, 18x NM (5x *1/*1, 12x *1/*2, 1x *1/*10) and 18x IM (2x *1/*3, 4x *1/*4, 1x *5/*10, 3x *2/*10, 2x *2/*2, 4x *2/*4, 1x *3/*4, 1x *4/*3). NT dosed according to target concentrations in geriatric depression. Neuropsychopharmacol 2001;25:737-43  ref. 12  Kvist EE et al. Quantitative pharmacogenetics of nortriptyline a novel approach. Clin Pharmacokinet 2001;40:869-77.  BM: AA  PM: AA  PM: AA  UM: AA  UM: AA  UM: AA  UM: AA  UM: AA  Versus *1/*1 from 1.591 to 167z nM-h (NS by 5%), decrease in AUC HNT from 2.317 to 2,143 (NS by 98%). Increase in AUC ratio NT/HNT from 0.69 to 0.77 (NS by 12%).  3 36 geriatric patients, 18x NM (5x *1/*1, 12x *1/*2, 1x *1/*10, 3x *2/*10, 2x *2/*2, 4x *2/*4, 1x *3/*4, 1x *4/*4), NT dosed according to target concentration of 50-150 μg/L, with comedication, 18 to 2.9 ng/mL (S by 123%), decrease in dose from 66.9 to 43.3 mg (S by 30%).  NOTE: it is not clear to what extent the co-medication had an effect on CYP2D6.  Ref. 12  Rvist EE et al. Quantitative pharmacogenetics of nortriptyline: a novel approach. Clin Pharmacokinet 2001;40:869-77.  PM: AA  PM: AA  PM: AA  UM: AA  UM: AA  UM: AA  UM: AA  UM: AA  Versus *1/*1 from 1.591 to 1.0 L/kg/h (NS by 47%).  For HNT, a decrease in AUC ratio NT/HNT from 0.69 to 0.77 (NS by 12%).  1			*1/*10: for partript line, an ingresses in the ALIC	
decrease in Cl <sub>or</sub> from 1.9 to 1.0 L/kg/h (NS by 47%). For HNT, a decrease in AUC HNT from 2,317 to 2,143 (NS by 8%). Increase in AUC HNT from 2,317 to 5,143 (NS by 8%). Increase in AUC ratio NT/HNT from 0.69 to 0.77 (NS by 12%).  BY J Clin Pharmacol 2003;55:630-4.  ref. 11 Murphy GM et al. CYP2D6 genotyping with oligonucleotide microarrays and nortriptyline concentrations in geriatric depression.  Neuropsychopharmacol 2001;25:737-43  IM: A  ref. 12  Kvist EE et al. Quantitative pharmacogenetics of nortriptyline: a novel approach. Clin Pharmacokinet 2001;40:869-77.  James A  IM: AA  IM: AA  UM: AA  UM: AA  UM: AA  J Intercease in Cl <sub>or</sub> from 1.9 to 1.0 L/kg/h (NS by 47%). For HNT, a decrease in AUC HNT from 2,317 to 2.17 to 1.7 to 1.27 to 1.7 to 1.27 to 1.				
genotypes, and comparison with Caucasians. Br J Clin Pharmacol 2003;55:630-4. ref. 11  Murphy GM et al. CYP2D6 genotyping with oligonucleotide microarrays and nortriptyline concentrations in geriatric depression.  Mericon Genotypes and correct and the concentrations in geriatric depression.  Mericon Genotypes and correct and the concentrations in geriatric depression.  Min A September 12  Morphy GM et al. CYP2D6 genotyping with oligonucleotide microarrays and nortriptyline concentrations in geriatric depression.  Min A September 13  Min A September 14  Min A September 15  Min A September 16  Min A September 16  Min A September 17  Morphy GM et al. CYP2D6 alleles explains 21% of the inter-individual variation in Clox and 34% of the				
comparison with Caucasians. Br J Clin Pharmacol 2003;55:630-4. ref. 11  Murphy GM et al. CYP2D6 genotyping with oligonucleotide microarrays and nortriptyline concentrations in geriatric depression. Neuropsychopharmacol 2001;25:737-43  Fef. 12  Kvist EE et al. Quantitative pharmacogenetics of nortriptyline and an effect on CYP2D6.  Fef. 12  Kvist EE et al. Quantitative pharmacogenetics of nortriptyline and an effect on CYP2D6.  Fer. 12  Fer. 13  AB geriatric patients, 18x NM (5x *1/*1, 12x *1/*2, 1x *1/*10) and 18x IM (2x *1/*3, 4x *1/*4, 1x *5/*10, 3x *2/*10, 2x *2/*2, 4x *2/*4, 1x *3/*4, 1x *4/*4), NT dosed according to target concentration of 50-150 μg/L, with commoditation in 66.9 to 43.3 mg (S by 30%).  NOTE: it is not clear to what extent the co-medication had an effect on CYP2D6.  Fer. 12  Kvist EE et al. Quantitative pharmacogenetics of nortriptyline: a novel approach. Clin Pharmacokinet 2001;40:869-77.  For nortriptyline versus two functional alleles, "3, "4 and "5: completely dysfunctional alleles, "3, "4 and "5: completely dysfunctional alleles, "3, "4 and "6: completely dysfunctional alleles, "6: 3 or more functional alleles, "6: 3 or more functional alleles, "6: 5 to 45.3 L/h (NS by 31%)				
Caucasians.  Br J Clin Pharmacol 2003;55:630-4.  ref. 11  Murphy GM et al.  CYP2D6 genotyping with oligonucleotide microarrays and nortriptyline concentrations in geriatric depression.  Neuropsychopharmacol 2001;25:737-43  IM: A  Neuropsychopharmacol 2001;25:737-43  ref. 12  Rountitative pharmacogenetics of nortriptyline versus of nortriptyline versus of nortriptyline in a lallel, 17x 1 functional alleles (*1 and *2: functional alleles), patients received 50 mg 2-3 times daily, healthy study subjects received a single dose of 25-50 mg, no co-medication;  For nortriptyline versus two functional alleles: no functional alleles: increased Cl <sub>or</sub> from 65.5 to 45.3 L/h (NS by 31%)  UM: AA  UM: AA  IM: AA  UM: AA  IM: AB: A: A''*1, *1, **4**(*1, *1, **1**(*1, *1***(*1, *1**)*(*1, *1***(*1, *1**)*(*1, *1***(*1, *1**)*(*1, *1***(*1, *1**)*(*1, *1**(*1, *1**)*(*1, *1**(*1, *1**)*(*1, *1**(*1, *1**(*1, *1**)*(*1, *1**(*1, *1**(*1, *1**(*1, *1**)*(*1, *1**(*1, *1**(*1, *1**(*1, *1**(*1, *1**(*1, *1**(*1, *1**(*1, *1**(*1, *1**(*1, *1**(*1, *1**(*1, *1**(*1, *1**(*1, *1**(*1, *1**(*1, *1**(*1, *1**(*1, *1**(*1, *1**(*1, *				
Br J Clin Pharmacol 2003;55:630-4.  ref. 11  Murphy GM et al. CYP2D6 genotyping with oligonucleotide microarrays and nortriptyline concentrations in geniatric depression.  Neuropsychopharma-col 2001;25:737-43  ref. 12  ref. 12  ref. 12  ref. 12  RVist EE et al. Quantitative pharmacocogenetics of nortriptyline: a novel approach. Clin Pharmacokinet 2001;40:869-77.  PM: AA  IM: AA				
2003;55:630-4.  ref. 11  Murphy GM et al.  CYP2D6 genotyping with oligonucleotide microarrays and nortriptyline concentrations in geriatric depression.  Neuropsychopharmacol 2001;25:737-43  IM: A  PM: AA  PM: AA  IM: AA  UM: AA  UM: AA  UM: AA  UM: AA  Jim: AA  UM: AA  Jim: AA  Jim: AA  Jim: AA  UM: AA  Jim: AA  Jim: AA  Jim: AA  Jim: AA  UM: AA  Jim: AA  Jim: AA  UM: AA  Jim: AA  Jim: AA  Jim: AA  UM: AA  Jim: AA  Jim: AA  UM: AA  Jim: AA  Jim: AA  UM: AA  Jim: AB			from 0.69 to 0.77 (NS by 12%).	
ref. 11  Murphy GM et al.  CYP2D6 genotyping with oligonucleotide microarrays and nortriptyline concentrations in geriatric depression.  Neuropsychopharmacol 2001;25:737-43  IIM: A				
Murphy GM et al. CYP2D6 genotyping with oligonucleotide microarrays and nortriptyline concentrations in geriatric depression. Neuropsychopharmacol 2001;25:737-43  IM: A  IM: A  IM: A  IM: A  IM: AA		2	36 gariatric patients 18v NM /5v *1/*1 12v *1/*2 1v	
<ul> <li>CYP2D6 genotyping with oligonucleotide microarrays and nortriptyline concentrations in geriatric depression. Neuropsychopharmacol 2001;25:737-43</li> <li>IM: A NOTE: it is not clear to what extent the co-medication had an effect on CYP2D6.</li> <li>ref. 12 (Vist EE et al. Quantitative pharmacognetics of nortriptyline: a novel approach. Clin Pharmacokinet 2001;40:869-77.</li> <li>PM: AA (IM: AA) IM: AA</li> <li>PM: AA (IM: AA) IM: AA</li> <li>IM: AA</li> <li>IM: AA (IM: AA) IM: AA</li> <li>IM: AA (IM: AA) IM: AA</li></ul>		3		
with oligonucleotide microarrays and nortriptyline concentrations in geriatric depression.  Neuropsychopharmacol 2001;25:737-43  IM: A  ref. 12  Kvist EE et al. Quantitative pharmacogenetics of nortriptyline: a novel approach. Clin Pharmacokinet 2001;40:869-77.  PM: AA  PM: AA  UM: AA  UM: AA  UM: AA  Linctional alleles: increased Cl <sub>or</sub> from 65.5 to 25.1 L/h (NS by 31%)  UM: AA  Linctional alleles: increased Cl <sub>or</sub> from 65.5 to 105.9 L/h (NS by 31%)  UM: AA  Linctional alleles: increased Cl <sub>or</sub> from 65.5 to 27. L/h (NS by 325%)  The number of functional CYP2D6 alleles explains 21% of the inter-individual variation in Cl <sub>or</sub> and 34% of the				
microarrays and nortriptyline concentrations in geriatric depression.  Neuropsychopharmacol 2001;25:737-43  IM: A				
nortriptyline concentrations in geriatric depression. Neuropsychopharmacol 2001;25:737-43  IM: A  IM: A  IM: increase in C <sub>ss</sub> <sup>b</sup> nortriptyline versus NM from 1.3 to 2.9 ng/mL (S by 123%), decrease in dose from 66.9 to 43.3 mg (S by 30%).  NOTE: it is not clear to what extent the co-medication had an effect on CYP2D6.  7				
trations in geriatric depression.  Neuropsychopharmacol 2001;25:737-43  IM: A  IM: A  IM: A  IM: increase in C <sub>ss</sub> <sup>b</sup> nortriptyline versus NM from 1.3 to 2.9 ng/mL (S by 123%), decrease in dose from 66.9 to 43.3 mg (S by 30%).  NOTE: it is not clear to what extent the co-medication had an effect on CYP2D6.  Ref. 12  Kvist EE et al. Quantitative pharmacogenetics of nortriptyline: a novel approach. Clin Pharmacokinet 2001;40:869-77.  PM: AA  IM: AA  IM: increase in C <sub>ss</sub> <sup>b</sup> nortriptyline versus NM from 1.3 to 2.9 ng/mL (S by 123%), decrease in dose from 66.9 to 43.3 mg (S by 30%).  VOTE: it is not clear to what extent the co-medication had an effect on CYP2D6.  Potential allele, 17x 1 functional alleles, 6x 3 or more functional alleles, 6x 3 or more functional alleles (*1 and *2: functional alleles), 6x 3 or more functional alleles), 6x 3 or more functional alleles, 6x 3 or more functional alleles), 9x 4 and *5: completely dysfunctional alleles), patients received 50 mg 2-3 times daily, healthy study subjects received a single dose of 25-50 mg, no co-medication;  For nortriptyline versus two functional alleles:  - no functional allele: decreased Cl <sub>or</sub> from 65.5 to 45.3 L/h (NS by 62%)  - 1 functional alleles: increased Cl <sub>or</sub> from 65.5 to 45.3 L/h (NS by 31%)  - 3 functional alleles: increased Cl <sub>or</sub> from 65.5 to 105.9 L/h (NS by 62%)  - 13 functional alleles: increased Cl <sub>or</sub> from 65.5 to 105.9 L/h (NS by 62%)  - 13 functional alleles: increased Cl <sub>or</sub> from 65.5 to 105.9 L/h (NS by 62%)  - 13 functional alleles: increased Cl <sub>or</sub> from 65.5 to 105.9 L/h (NS by 62%)  - 13 functional alleles: increased Cl <sub>or</sub> from 65.5 to 105.9 L/h (NS by 62%)  - 14 functional alleles: increased Cl <sub>or</sub> from 65.5 to 105.9 L/h (NS by 62%)  - 15 functional alleles: increased Cl <sub>or</sub> from 65.5 to 105.9 L/h (NS by 62%)  - 16 functional alleles: increased Cl <sub>or</sub> from 65.5 to 105.9 L/h (NS by 62%)			inedication,	
depression. Neuropsychopharma- col 2001;25:737-43  IM: A  to 2.9 ng/mL (S by 123%), decrease in dose from 66.9 to 43.3 mg (S by 30%).  NOTE: it is not clear to what extent the co-medication had an effect on CYP2D6.  ref. 12  Kvist EE et al. Quantitative pharma- cogenetics of nortrip- tyline: a novel approach. Clin Pharmacokinet 2001;40:869-77.  PM: AA  IM: AA  IM: AA  IM: AA  IM: AA  IM: AA  UM: AA  UM: AA  The number of functional alleles: increased Cl <sub>or</sub> from 65.5 to 25.7 to 13 functional alleles: increased Cl <sub>or</sub> from 65.5 to 278.7 L/h (NS by 325%)  The number of functional CYP2D6 alleles explains 21% of the inter-individual variation in Cl <sub>or</sub> and 34% of the			IM: increase in C. b portriptyling versus NM from 1.3	Coo NT versus NM:
Neuropsychopharma-col 2001;25:737-43  66.9 to 43.3 mg (\$ by 30%).  NOTE: it is not clear to what extent the co-medication had an effect on CYP2D6.  ref. 12 Kvist EE et al. Quantitative pharma-cogenetics of nortrip-tyline: a novel approach. Clin Pharmacokinet 2001;40:869-77.  PM: AA  IM: AA  IM: AA  UM: AA  UM: AA  UM: AA  UM: AA  IIII AA  IIII AA  IIII AA  IIII AA  IIII AA  IIIII AA  IIII AA  IIII AA  IIII AA  IIII AA  IIII AA  IIII AA  IIIII AA  IIII AA  IIII AA  IIII AA  IIII AA  IIII AA  IIII AA  IIIII AA  IIII AA  IIII AA  IIII AA  IIII AA  IIII AA  IIII AA  IIIII AA  IIII AA  IIII AA  IIII AA  IIII AA  IIII AA  IIII AA  IIIII AA  IIII AA  IIII AA  IIII AA  IIII AA  IIII AA  IIII AA  IIIII AA  IIII AA		INA: A		
Col 2001;25:737-43  NOTE: it is not clear to what extent the co-medication had an effect on CYP2D6.  ref. 12 Kvist EE et al. Quantitative pharmacogenetics of nortriptyline: a novel approach. Clin Pharmacokinet 2001;40:869-77.  PM: AA IM: AA IM: AA  UM: AA  UM: AA  UM: AA  IM: AA  The number of functional alleles: increased Clor and alleles increased Clor and alleles: increased Clor and alleles in		IIVI. A		1101. 22070
NOTE: it is not clear to what extent the co-medication had an effect on CYP2D6.  ref. 12  Kvist EE et al.  Quantitative pharma- cogenetics of nortrip- tyline: a novel approach. Clin Pharmacokinet 2001;40:869-77.  PM: AA  IM: AA  IM: AA  UM: AA  IM: AA  UM: AA  IM: AA  I			00.9 to 45.5 mg (5 by 50 %).	
had an effect on CYP2D6.  ref. 12  Kvist EE et al. Quantitative pharmacogenetics of nortriptyline: a novel approach. Clin Pharmacokinet 2001;40:869-77.  PM: AA  IM: AA  IM: AA  UM: AA  IM: A			NOTE: it is not clear to what extent the co-medication	
PM: AA  PM: AA  IM: AA  Washing AA  Which AA				
Rvist EE et al. Quantitative pharmacogenetics of nortriptyline: a novel approach. Clin Pharmacokinet 2001;40:869-77.  PM: AA  PM: AA  IM: AA  UM: AA  IM: AA	ref 12	3		
Quantitative pharmacogenetics of nortriptyline: a novel approach. Clin Pharmacokinet 2001;40:869-77.  PM: AA  IM: AA  IM: AA  UM: AA  UM: AA  UM: AA  IM: AA  IM: AA  IIII: AA  IIII: AA  IIIIIIIIIIIIII		٦		
les, *3, *4 and *5: completely dysfunctional alleles), patients received 50 mg 2-3 times daily, healthy study subjects received a single dose of 25-50 mg, no co-medication;  PM: AA  PM: AA  IM: AA  IM: AA  UM: AA  UM: AA  IM: AA  IM: AA  IM: AA  PM: AA  IM: AA  IM: AA  PM: AA  IM: AA				
patients received 50 mg 2-3 times daily, healthy study subjects received a single dose of 25-50 mg, no co-medication;  For nortriptyline versus two functional alleles: - no functional allele: decreased Clor from 65.5 to 25.1 L/h (NS by 62%) - 1 functional allele: decreased Clor from 65.5 to 45.3 L/h (NS by 31%) - 3 functional alleles: increased Clor from 65.5 to 85.7 L/h (NS by 31%) - 4 functional alleles: increased Clor from 65.5 to 105.9 L/h (NS by 62%) - 13 functional alleles: increased Clor from 65.5 to 27.8.7 L/h (NS by 325%)  The number of functional CYP2D6 alleles explains 21% of the inter-individual variation in Clor and 34% of the	-			
approach. Clin Pharmacokinet 2001;40:869-77.  PM: AA  IM: AA  IM: AA  IM: AA  UM: AA  UM: AA  IM: AB				
Clin Pharmacokinet 2001;40:869-77.  PM: AA  IM: AA  IM: AA  UM: AA  UM: AA  UM: AA  Cation;  For nortriptyline versus two functional alleles: - no functional allele: decreased Cl <sub>or</sub> from 65.5 to 25.1 L/h (NS by 62%) - 1 functional allele: decreased Cl <sub>or</sub> from 65.5 to 45.3 L/h (NS by 31%) - 3 functional alleles: increased Cl <sub>or</sub> from 65.5 to 85.7 L/h (NS by 31%) - 4 functional alleles: increased Cl <sub>or</sub> from 65.5 to 105.9 L/h (NS by 62%) - 13 functional alleles: increased Cl <sub>or</sub> from 65.5 to 25.1 L/h (NS by 31%)  The number of functional CYP2D6 alleles explains 21% of the inter-individual variation in Cl <sub>or</sub> and 34% of the	1 2		1,	
PM: AA  PM: AA  IM: AA  IM: AA  UM: AA  IM: AB				
For nortriptyline versus two functional alleles: - no functional allele: decreased Cl <sub>or</sub> from 65.5 to 25.1 L/h (NS by 62%) - 1 functional allele: decreased Cl <sub>or</sub> from 65.5 to 45.3 L/h (NS by 31%) - 3 functional alleles: increased Cl <sub>or</sub> from 65.5 to 85.7 L/h (NS by 31%) - 4 functional alleles: increased Cl <sub>or</sub> from 65.5 to 105.9 L/h (NS by 62%) - 13 functional alleles: increased Cl <sub>or</sub> from 65.5 to 27.0 The number of functional CYP2D6 alleles explains 21% of the inter-individual variation in Cl <sub>or</sub> and 34% of the			<del></del> ,	
PM: AA  IM: AB			For nortriptyline versus two functional alleles:	
PM: AA IM: AA IM: AA  L/h (NS by 62%) - 1 functional allele: decreased Cl <sub>or</sub> from 65.5 to 45.3 L/h (NS by 31%) - 3 functional alleles: increased Cl <sub>or</sub> from 65.5 to 85.7 L/h (NS by 31%) - 4 functional alleles: increased Cl <sub>or</sub> from 65.5 to 105.9 L/h (NS by 62%) - 13 functional alleles: increased Cl <sub>or</sub> from 65.5 to 278.7 L/h (NS by 325%)  The number of functional CYP2D6 alleles explains 21% of the inter-individual variation in Cl <sub>or</sub> and 34% of the			· ·	
IM: AA  IM: AB		ΡΜ· ΔΔ		Clor NT versus NM:
L/h (NS by 31%)  UM: AA  L/h (NS by 31%)  4 functional alleles: increased Cl <sub>or</sub> from 65.5 to 85.7  L/h (NS by 31%)  4 functional alleles: increased Cl <sub>or</sub> from 65.5 to 105.9  L/h (NS by 62%)  13 functional alleles: increased Cl <sub>or</sub> from 65.5 to 278.7 L/h (NS by 325%)  The number of functional CYP2D6 alleles explains 21% of the inter-individual variation in Cl <sub>or</sub> and 34% of the		1 IVI. AA		PM: 38%
UM: AA  - 3 functional alleles: increased Cl <sub>or</sub> from 65.5 to 85.7 L/h (NS by 31%)  - 4 functional alleles: increased Cl <sub>or</sub> from 65.5 to 105.9 L/h (NS by 62%)  - 13 functional alleles: increased Cl <sub>or</sub> from 65.5 to 278.7 L/h (NS by 325%)  The number of functional CYP2D6 alleles explains 21% of the inter-individual variation in Cl <sub>or</sub> and 34% of the		IN 1 · · · · · ·		IM: 69%
L/h (NS by 31%)  - 4 functional alleles: increased Cl <sub>or</sub> from 65.5 to 105.9 L/h (NS by 62%)  - 13 functional alleles: increased Cl <sub>or</sub> from 65.5 to 278.7 L/h (NS by 325%)  The number of functional CYP2D6 alleles explains 21% of the inter-individual variation in Cl <sub>or</sub> and 34% of the		IIVI. AA		UM: 185%
UM: AA  - 4 functional alleles: increased Cl <sub>or</sub> from 65.5 to 105.9 L/h (NS by 62%) - 13 functional alleles: increased Cl <sub>or</sub> from 65.5 to 278.7 L/h (NS by 325%)  The number of functional CYP2D6 alleles explains 21% of the inter-individual variation in Cl <sub>or</sub> and 34% of the				
L/h (NS by 62%) - 13 functional alleles: increased Cl <sub>or</sub> from 65.5 to 278.7 L/h (NS by 325%)  The number of functional CYP2D6 alleles explains 21% of the inter-individual variation in Cl <sub>or</sub> and 34% of the		1104. 4 4		
- 13 functional alleles: increased Cl <sub>or</sub> from 65.5 to 278.7 L/h (NS by 325%)  The number of functional CYP2D6 alleles explains 21% of the inter-individual variation in Cl <sub>or</sub> and 34% of the		UIVI: AA		
278.7 L/h (NS by 325%)  The number of functional CYP2D6 alleles explains 21% of the inter-individual variation in Cl <sub>or</sub> and 34% of the				
of the inter-individual variation in Cl <sub>or</sub> and 34% of the				
of the inter-individual variation in Cl <sub>or</sub> and 34% of the			The number of functional CYP2D6 alleles explains 21%	

ref. 12, continuation		NOTE: genotyping performed, but only the number of functional alleles is presented	
ref. 13 Morita S et al. Steady-state plasma levels of nortriptyline and its hydroxylated metabolites in Japa- nese patients: impact of CYP2D6 genotype on the hydroxylation of nortriptyline. J Clin Psychopharma- col 2000;20:141-9.	IM: A	41 patients, 7x *1/*1, 8x *1/*2, 16x *1/*10, 1x *2/*10, 3x *1/*5, 5x *10/*10, 1x *5/*10, nortriptyline 15-120 mg/day, no relevant co-medication;  - 2 mutations (*10/*10, *10/*5): increase in C <sub>ss</sub> <sup>b</sup> NT versus no mutation from 70.3 to 147 ng/mL/mg/kg (S by 109%), decrease in C <sub>ss</sub> <sup>b</sup> HNT from 89.6 to 59.8 ng/mL/mg/kg (S by 33%). Increase in ratio NT/HNT from 0.82 to 2.71 (S by 230%).  - 1 mutation (*1/*10, *2/*10,*1/*5): increase in Css <sup>b</sup> NT versus no mutation from 70.3 to 98.4 ng/mL/mg/kg (S by 40%), increase in C <sub>ss</sub> <sup>b</sup> HNT from 89.6 to 107 ng/mL/mg/kg (NS by 19%). Increase in ratio NT/HNT from 0.82 to 1.04 (NS by 27%).	C <sub>ss</sub> <sup>b</sup> NT versus NM (*1/*1+*1/*2+*1/*10+ *2/*10+*1/*5): IM: 170%
ref. 14 Yue QJ et al. Pharmacokinetics of nortriptyline and its 10-hydroxy metabolite in Chinese subjects of different CYP2D6 genotypes. Clin Pharmacol Ther 1998;64:384-90.	3 IM: A	15 healthy volunteers, 5x *1/*1, 5x *1/*10, 5x *10/*10, a single dose of 25 mg nortriptyline, no co-medication;  - *10/*10: increase in the AUC NT versus *1/*1 from 1817 to 4002 nM·h (NS by 120%), decrease in Clor NT from 1.86 to 0.80 L/h/kg (NS by 57%). Decrease in AUC HNT from 2,273 to 1,704 nM·h (S by 25%). Increase in ratio AUC NT/HNT from 0.82 to 2.51 (by 244%).  - *1/*10: increase in the AUC NT versus *1/*1 from 1,817 to 2,492 nM·h (NS by 37%), decrease in Clor NT from 1.86 to 1.39 L/h/kg (NS by 25%). Increase in AUC HNT from 2,273 to 2,975 nM·h (NS by 31%). Increase in ratio AUC NT/HNT from 0.82 to 0.94 (by 15%).	AUC NT versus NM (*1/*1+*1/*10): IM: 186%
ref. 15 Dalen P et al.	3	20 healthy volunteers, 4x *4/*4, 5x *1/*1, 3x *1/*4, 2x *1/*5, 5x *2x2/*2, 1x *2x13/*1, a single dose of 25 mg NT	
10-Hydroxylation of		(UM 50 mg), no co-medication;	
	PM: A	(UM 50 mg), no co-medication;  - 0 functional alleles: increase in AUC NT versus NM from 1,295 to 4,301 nM·h (S by 232%), t½ is 54.5 h. Decrease in AUC HNT from 1,711 to 1,537 nM·h (NS by 10%), t½ HNT is 52.2 h. Increase in ratio AUC NT/HNT from 0.77 to 2.89 (S by 275%).	AUC NT versus NM: PM: 332% IM: 279% UM: 59%
10-Hydroxylation of nortriptyline in white persons with 0, 1, 2, 3, and 13 functional CYP2D6 genes. Clin Pharmacol Ther 1998;63:444-52.	IM: A	<ul> <li>(UM 50 mg), no co-medication;</li> <li>O functional alleles: increase in AUC NT versus NM from 1,295 to 4,301 nM·h (S by 232%), t½ is 54.5 h. Decrease in AUC HNT from 1,711 to 1,537 nM·h (NS by 10%), t½ HNT is 52.2 h. Increase in ratio AUC NT/HNT from 0.77 to 2.89 (S by 275%).</li> <li>1 functional allele: increase in AUC NT versus NM from 1,295 to 3,617 nM·h (S by 179%), t½ is 47.5 h. Increase in AUC HNT from 1,711 to 1,856 nM·h (NS by 8%), t½ HNT is 39.7 h. Increase in ratio AUC NT/HNT from 0.77 to 2.06 (S by 168%).</li> <li>3 functional alleles: decrease in AUC NT versus NM from 1,295 to 860 nM·h (NS by 34%), t½ is 18.1 h. Increase in AUC HNT from 1,711 to 2,731 nM·h (NS by 60%), t½ HNT is 17.6 h. Decrease in ratio AUC NT/HNT from 0.77 to 0.32 (S by 58%).</li> <li>13 functional alleles: decrease in AUC NT versus NM from 1,295 to 267 nM·h (NS by 79%), t½ is 19 h. Increase in AUC HNT from 1,711 to 3,442 nM·h (NS by 101%), t½ HNT is 9.5 h. Decrease in ratio AUC NT/HNT from 0.77 to 0.08 (NS by 90%).</li> </ul>	PM: 332% IM: 279%
10-Hydroxylation of nortriptyline in white persons with 0, 1, 2, 3, and 13 functional CYP2D6 genes. Clin Pharmacol Ther	IM: A	<ul> <li>(UM 50 mg), no co-medication;</li> <li>O functional alleles: increase in AUC NT versus NM from 1,295 to 4,301 nM·h (S by 232%), t½ is 54.5 h. Decrease in AUC HNT from 1,711 to 1,537 nM·h (NS by 10%), t½ HNT is 52.2 h. Increase in ratio AUC NT/HNT from 0.77 to 2.89 (S by 275%).</li> <li>1 functional allele: increase in AUC NT versus NM from 1,295 to 3,617 nM·h (S by 179%), t½ is 47.5 h. Increase in AUC HNT from 1,711 to 1,856 nM·h (NS by 8%), t½ HNT is 39.7 h. Increase in ratio AUC NT/HNT from 0.77 to 2.06 (S by 168%).</li> <li>3 functional alleles: decrease in AUC NT versus NM from 1,295 to 860 nM·h (NS by 34%), t½ is 18.1 h. Increase in AUC HNT from 1,711 to 2,731 nM·h (NS by 60%), t½ HNT is 17.6 h. Decrease in ratio AUC NT/HNT from 0.77 to 0.32 (S by 58%).</li> <li>13 functional alleles: decrease in AUC NT versus NM from 1,295 to 267 nM·h (NS by 79%), t½ is 19 h. Increase in AUC HNT from 1,711 to 3,442 nM·h (NS by 101%), t½ HNT is 9.5 h. Decrease in ratio AUC</li> </ul>	PM: 332% IM: 279%

-			
genotype.		1.4 (S by 180%).	
Psychopharmacol		- IM (*1/*3, *1/*4, *1/*5): increase in C <sub>ss</sub> NT versus	
1996;123:315-9.		*1/*1 from 2.60 to 3.50 (NS by 35%), decrease in Css	
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	IM: A	HNT from 5.20 to 3.50 (S by 33%), increase in ratio	
ref. 16, continuation		C <sub>ss</sub> NT/HNT from 0.5 to 1.0 (S by 100%).	
•	4		
ref. 17	1	Side effects occurred in 8 patients (4x *1/*1, 1x *1/*3, 1x	
Chen S et al.		*1/*4, 1x *3/*9, 1x *4/*4) receiving nortriptyline 10-75	
The cytochrome P450		mg/day; co-medication unknown;	
2D6 (CYP2D6) enzy-			
me polymorphism:		Side effects that occurred in IM and PM following admini-	
screening costs and		stration of NT:	
influence on clinical	IM: C	- *1/*3: 25-50 mg/day: nervousness, tinnitus	
outcomes in psychia-	11111. 0	- *1/*4: 75-100 mg/day: instability of the knees and	
try.		nervousness	
Clin Pharmacol Ther	D14 0	- *3/*9: 10 mg/day: drowsiness, sluggishness	
1996;60:522-34	PM: C	- *4/*4: 10 mg/day: anxiety, agitation, nervousness	
1550,00.522 54		4/ 4. To mg/day. anxiety, agitation, hervodshess	
		NOTE, no analysis to determine whether the listed of the	
		NOTE: no analysis to determine whether the listed side	
		effects could also be symptoms of the condition	
ref. 18	2	For one patient, the nortriptyline dose had to be increa-	
Bertilsson L et al.		sed to 500 mg/day (3-5x the standard dose) in order to	
Molecular basis for		achieve therapeutic plasma concentrations and a respon-	
rational megaprescri-		se.	
bing in ultrarapid	UM: C	The patient was found to have a CYP2D6 duplication.	
hydroxylators of			
debrisoquine.			
Lancet			
1993;341:63.			
ref. 19	2	A patient exhibited dizziness and hypotension 2 days	
	_		
Bertilsson L et al.		after starting a low dose of nortriptyline (75 mg/day).	
Slow hydroxylation of	514.0	Eight days after starting treatment, she complained about	
nortriptyline and	PM: C	increasing fatigue and dizziness and appeared confused.	
concomitant poor		C <sub>ss</sub> NT was 1,300 nmol/L (normally 200-600 nmol/L at	
debrisoquine hydroxy-		this dose). After twelve days of nortriptyline 25 mg/day,	
lation: clinical implica-		the C <sub>ss</sub> NT was 742 nmol/L. The side effects disappeared	
tions.		once the dose was reduced to 20 mg/day.	
Lancet			
1981;1:560-1.			
ref. 20	0	Pharmacokinetic properties:	
SmPC Nortrilen (nor-	-	The metabolism is subject to genetic polymorphism	
triptyline) 11-05-22.		(CYP2D6).	
ref. 21	0	Drug interactions:	
SmPC Pamelor	١		
		Drugs metabolized by P450 2D6	
(nortriptyline) 09-04-		The biochemical activity of the drug metabolizing isozyme	
19, USA.		cytochrome P450 2D6 (debrisoquin hydroxylase) is redu-	
		ced in a subset of the Caucasian population (about 7% to	
		10% of Caucasians are so-called "poor metabolizers");	
		reliable estimates of the prevalence of reduced P450 2D6	
		isozyme activity among Asian, African, and other popula-	
		tions are not yet available. Poor metabolizers have higher	
	PM: A	than expected plasma concentrations of tricyclic antide-	
	' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' '	pressants (TCAs) when given usual doses. Depending on	
		the fraction of drug metabolized by P450 2D6, the increa-	
		se in plasma concentration may be small, or quite large	
		(8-fold increase in plasma AUC of the TCA).	
	İ	Lonor increase in plasma AUC of the TCA).	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> corrected for the dose

<sup>#:</sup> the calculations were based on the assumption that the metabolite 10-hydroxynortriptyline is half as potent as the mother substance nortriptyline.

Risk group	IM with CYP2D6 inhibitor

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> corrected for the dose and body weight

#### Comments:

- After 2010, case reports were not included in the risk analysis, because they did not add enough to the

The kinetic meta-analysis of Milosavljevic 2021 was not included in the risk analysis, because the meta-analysis included only 1 study for PM (Dalen 1998). In addition, the major IM group (gene dose 1/0) was excluded from the meta-analysis for IM, resulting in inclusion of none of the 5 IM from the study of Dalen 1998 (the only non-Asian study in the meta-analysis). So, this meta-analysis does not provide any information on the major IM group in European countries. In addition, no meta-analysis was performed for UM, so the 6 UM in Dalen 1998 were also ignored. (Milosavljevic F et al. Association of CYP2C19 and CYP2D6 poor and intermediate metabolizer status with antidepressant and antipsychotic exposure: a systematic review and meta-analysis. JAMA Psychiatry 2021;78:270-80. PMID: 33237321.)

The study of Benavides 2020 investigating 25 neuropathic pain patients among whom 1 IM and 1 PM was not included in the risk analysis, because the authors indicate that CYP2D6 genotypes have been removed from subsequent analyses due to low number of genetic variants carriers. (Benavides R et al. A functional polymorphism in the ATP-Binding Cassette B1 transporter predicts pharmacologic response to combination of nortriptyline and morphine in neuropathic pain patients. Pain 2020;161:619-29. PMID: 31738228.)

# - Cost-effectiveness:

 Berm EJ et al. A model based cost-effectiveness analysis of routine genotyping for CYP2D6 among older, depressed inpatients starting nortriptyline pharmacotherapy. PLoS One 2016;11:e0169065. PubMed PMID: 28033366

Routine genotype-guided therapy for old aged Dutch depressed inpatients starting nortriptyline pharmacotherapy is not cost-effective (costs of € 1,333,000 per quality adjusted life year (QALY) gained) at current genotyping costs (€ 190 per test) compared to not-genotype-guided nortriptyline pharmacotherapy. However at test costs below € 40, genotype-guided therapy could be cost-effective (costs equal to or less than € 50,000 per QALY gained). Genotype-guided therapy consisted of a starting dose of 40% of the normal starting dose for CYP2D6 PM, 100% of the normal starting dose for CYP2D6 UM.

At genotyping test costs < € 35 per test, genotyping was both better (i.e. resulting in more QALYs) and cheaper than not genotyping.

Varying all other input parameters at genotyping test costs of € 17 per test, showed a 95% probability that genotyping was cost-effective. At genotyping test costs of € 190, the probability was < 1%.

If also the starting dose for IM was changed (to 60% of the normal starting dose), the calculated costs were € 2,380,626 per QALY gained and genotype-guided therapy could be cost-effective at genotyping test costs below € 68.

Direct medical costs were calculated from a health-care insurance pavers perspective. Costs were calculated during the dose titration phase (the first 12 weeks of therapy including a maximum of 3 dose adaptations based on therapeutic drug monitoring (TDM) (at 12 days, 43 days and 81 days after start of nortriptyline)). Patients were assumed to be discharged from hospital when antidepressant dose titration was completed. Patients discontinuing nortriptyline were assumed to receive tranylcypromine thereafter. For 1000 patients, not-genotype guided therapy resulted in total costs of € 7,374,826 and a loss of 4.57 QALYs. Genotypeguided therapy resulted in total costs of € 7,528,292 and a loss of 4.46 QALYs. Thus genotype-guided therapy resulted in costs of € 153,466 for a gain of 0.12 QALYs. Costs included in the calculation were hospitalisation costs of € 255.62/day (including costs for medication and the first TDM measurement), TDM costs of € 23.11/measurement, costs for ambulant contact with the psychiatrist of € 190.62, nortriptyline costs of € 0.08 for 10 mg, € 0.15 for 25 mg and € 0.29 for 50 mg, tranylcypromine costs of € 0.96 per 40 mg, and costs of € 188.20 for the genotyping test (genotyping of CYP2D6 \*2, \*3, \*4, \*5 and gene duplication). Proportions of therapeutic, sub-, and supratherapeutic plasma concentrations, and the reduction in inadequately dosed patients by starting dose adjustment (35% for both PM and UM) were derived from Jornil J et al. Risk assessment of accidental nortriptyline poisoning: the importance of cytochrome P450 for nortriptyline elimination investigated using a population-based pharmacokinetic simulator. Eur J Pharm Sci 2011;44: 265-72. Genotype frequencies (8% for PM, 11% for IM, 2% for UM and 79% for NM), average duration of inpatient care (28.6 days), shorter hospital stay when correctly dosed (13.0%), patients who discontinue therapy after the first dose evaluation (22%) and after second dose evaluation (8.5%) were also derived from literature. Assumptions made were that PMs and IMs could only receive a dose that was either correct or too high and UMs could only receive a dose that was either correct or too low. In addition, after dose adjustment, dosing could not become incorrect in an opposite way.

Main parameters which influenced the costs per QALY gained were the genotyping test costs, the improvement in the duration of hospitalization among correctly dosed patients, mean duration of hospitalization, and the proportion of patients who discontinued nortriptyline pharmacotherapy.

For the scenario in which also the starting dose for IMs was adjusted (to 60% of standard dose), the percentage of IMs being supratherapeutically dosed was assumed to be the average of the NM and PM group (56%) and the effect of dose adaptation was assumed to be the same as for PM and UM (35% reduction of incorrectly dosed patients). With respect to clinical validity, It has been reported that 38% of CYP2D6 IMs

are false positive, i.e. have normal CYP2D6 enzyme activity despite the genetic variation (Rebsamen MC et al. The AmpliChip CYP450 test: cytochrome P450 2D6 genotype assessment and phenotype prediction. Pharmacogenomics J 2009;9:34-41). This means, these patients would be dosed too low when dose adaptations would be made based on genotype. So, although inclusion of dose adaptations for IMs was found to increase the costs savings from € 153,466 to € 122,346 by reduced inpatient care of correctly dosed IMs, it decreased the QALY gain from 0.12 to 0.05 per 1000 patients, due to false positive IM genotypes which resulted in more subtherapeutic dosed patients. Consequently, the costs per QALY gained increased to € 2,400,000 and the cost-effectiveness decreased. At test costs below € 68, genotype-guided therapy could be cost-effective in this scenario (costs equal to or less than € 50,000 per QALY gained). At genotyping test costs < € 66 per test, genotyping was both better (i.e. resulting in more QALYs) and cheaper than not genotyping in this scenario.

## Existing guideline:

Hicks JK et al. Clinical pharmacogenetics implementation consortium guideline (CPIC) for CYP2D6 and CYP2C19 genotypes and dosing of tricyclic antidepressants: 2016 update. Clin Pharmacol Ther 2017;102:37-44, PubMed PMID: 27997040 and October 2019 update on the CPIC site (modifications to CPIC's prior system of genotype-phenotype translation, including downgrading the value assigned to the CYP2D6\*10 allele for activity score calculation from 0.5 to 0.25 and changing the phenotype assignment for an activity score of 1 from normal metaboliser to intermediate metaboliser).

CPIC uses the same definition for NM, IM and PM as we do. However, CPIC uses a different definition for UM (gene dose  $\geq$  2.5 instead of  $\geq$  2.75), because CPIC did not decide to include gene dose 2.5 in NM until most laboratories can determine which allele has been duplicated and therefore can distinguish between e.g. \*1x2/\*41 (gene dose 2.5) and \*1/\*41x2 (gene dose 2). The summary below uses the KNMP definition for NM, PM, IM and UM.

CPIC states that the recommended starting dose of nortriptyline does not need dose adjustment for NM. In addition, CPIC states that a 25% reduction of the recommended dose may be considered for patients with a CYP2D6 gene dose of 0.5. As a reference for this percentage reduction they mention the 2011 publication of our dosing recommendations in Clinical Pharmacology and Therapeutics. However, this dosing recommendation is primarily based on patients with gene dose 1. In addition, for IM we recommended a nortriptyline dose reduction of 50% in that publication, which was decreased to 40% in 2012. Because patients with a CYP2D6 activity score of 1.0 are inconsistently categorised as intermediate or normal metabolisers in the literature, making these studies difficult to evaluate, CPIC classified the strength of the recommendation for gene dose 0.5 as moderate (i.e. there is a close or uncertain balance as to whether the evidence is high quality and the desirable clearly outweigh the undesirable effects). After the October 2019 update, CPIC states that a a 25% reduction of the recommended dose may also be considered for patients with a CYP2D6 gene dose of 1. CPIC states that CYP2D6 ultra-rapid metabolisers + gene dose 2.5 have a higher probability of failing nortriptyline pharmacotherapy due to subtherapeutic plasma concentrations, and alternate agents are preferred. CPIC mentions a documented case of a CYP2D6 ultrarapid metaboliser receiving large doses of nortriptyline in order to achieve therapeutic concentrations (Bertilsson L. et al. Extremely rapid hydroxylation of debrisoquine: a case report with implication for treatment with nortriptyline and other tricyclic antidepressants. Ther Drug Monit 1985:7;478-80. (This concerns the same case as in Bertilsson 1993)). CPIC indicates that this case had very high plasma concentrations of the nortriptyline hydroxy-metabolite, which may increase the risk for cardiotoxicity. CPIC states that, if nortriptyline is warranted, there are insufficient data in the literature to calculate a starting dose for a patient with CYP2D6 ultra-rapid metaboliser or gene dose 2.5 status, and therapeutic drug monitoring is strongly recommended.

Based on Bertilsson 1981, CPIC indicates that adverse effects are more likely in CYP2D6 poor metabolisers due to elevated tricyclic plasma concentrations; therefore, alternate agents are preferred. If a tricyclic is warranted, CPIC recommends to consider a 50% reduction of the usual dose, and strongly recommends therapeutic drug monitoring.

The therapeutic recommendations for nortriptyline are indicated below:

Dosing recom on CYP2D6 p	nmendations for nortriptyline for conditions requiring higher doses such as c phenotype <sup>a</sup>	lepression based
Phenotype	Therapeutic recommendation	Classification of recommendation
UM + gene dose 2.5	Avoid nortriptyline use due to potential lack of efficacy. Consider alternative drug not metabolised by CYP2D6.  If nortriptyline is warranted, consider titrating to a higher target dose (compared to normal metabolisers). <sup>b</sup> Utilise therapeutic drug monitoring to guide dose adjustments.	Strong <sup>d</sup>
NM	Initiate therapy with recommended starting dose.c	Strongd
gene dose 1	Consider a 25% reduction of recommended starting dose. <sup>c</sup> Utilise therapeutic drug monitoring to guide dose adjustments. <sup>b</sup>	Optional <sup>e</sup>
gene dose 0.5	Consider a 25% reduction of recommended starting dose. <sup>c</sup> Utilise therapeutic drug monitoring to guide dose adjustments. <sup>b</sup>	Moderate <sup>f</sup>

PM	Avoid tricyclic use due to potential for side effects. Consider alternative	Strong <sup>d</sup>
	drug not metabolised by CYP2D6.	
	If nortriptyline is warranted, consider a 50% reduction of recommended	
	starting dose. <sup>c</sup> Utilise therapeutic drug monitoring to guide dose adjust-	
	ments. <sup>b</sup>	

- <sup>a</sup> Dosing recommendations only apply to higher initial doses of nortriptyline for treatment of conditions such as depression. For conditions at which lower initial doses are used, such as neuropathic pain, CPIC recommends no dose modifications for PM or IM, because it is less likely that PM or IM will experience adverse effects due to supra-therapeutic plasma concentrations of nortriptyline. However, CPIC indicates that these patients should be monitored closely for side effects. In addition, if larger doses of TCA are warranted, CPIC recommends following the gene-based dosing guidelines in the table above. For UM+gene dose 2.5, CPIC recommends considering an alternative agent. Based on predicted and observed pharmacokinetic data in those with depression, CYP2D6 UM+gene dose 2.5 may be at an increased risk of failing nortriptyline therapy for neuropathic pain due to lower than expected drug concentrations (Dworkin RH et al. Pharmacologic management of neuropathic pain: evidence-based recommendations. Pain 2007;13: 237-51).
- <sup>b</sup> Titrate dose to observed clinical response with symptom improvement and minimal (if any) side effects.
- <sup>c</sup> Patients may receive an initial low dose of nortriptyline, which is then increased over several days to the recommended steady-state dose. The starting dose in this guideline refers to the recommended steady-state dose.
- d Strong indicates that "The evidence is high quality and the desirable effects clearly outweigh the undesirable effects."
- <sup>e</sup> Optional indicates that the desirable effects are closely balanced with undesirable effects, or the evidence is weak or based on extrapolations. There is room for differences in opinion as to the need for the recommended course of action.
- <sup>f</sup> Moderate indicates that "there is a close or uncertain balance" as to whether the evidence is high quality and the desirable clearly outweigh the undesirable effects.

As evidence linking CYP2D6 genotype with nortriptyline phenotype, CPIC mentions Berm 2016, Hodgson 2014, Chua 2013, Piatkov 2011, Bijl 2008, Lee 2006, Kawanishi 2004, Lee 2004, Kvist 2001, Laine 2001, Murphy 2001, Morita 2000, Dalen 1998, Yue 1998, Chen 1996, Dahl 1996, Bertilsson 1993, Bertilsson 1985, Nordin 1985, Woolhouse 1984, Bertilsson 1981, Mellstrom 1981 and Bertilsson 1980. All these studies, except for Bertilsson 1980, Mellstrom 1981, Woolhouse 1984, Nordin 1985, Bertilsson 1985, Laine 2001, Kawanishi 2004, Bijl 2008, and the case reports of Piatkov 2011 and Chua 2013, are included in our risk analysis. Chua 2013 and Piatkov 2011 were not included in our risk analysis because we did not include case reports published after 2010. Biil 2008 and Kawanishi 2004 were not included in our risk analysis because only a minority of the patients in the studies used nortriptyline (35 of the 1198 patients (among whom 807 TCA users) in Bijl 2008 and 1 of the 8 UM in Kawanishi 2004), and results were not reported separately for nortriptyline. Laine 2001 was not included in our risk analysis, because the study compares UM to UM with paroxetine not to NM. Bertilsson 1985 was not included in our risk analysis, because it describes the same case as Bertilsson 1993. Bertilsson 1980, Mellstrom 1981, Woolhouse 1984 and Nordin 1985 were not included in our risk analysis, because phenotyping was not used to distinguish and compare different pharmacogenetic genotypes. In addition to the studies considered by CPIC, our risk analysis includes the studies of Vos 2023, Ganesh 2021, van der Schans 2019, Hodgson 2015, Roberts 2004, and Dalen 2003. CPIC indicates that these studies provide a high level of evidence for a decreased nortriptyline metabolism in PM and in gene dose 0.5 compared to gene dose 1-2 (based on 5 references for PM and on 2 references for gene dose 0.5). Likewise, CPIC indicates that these studies provide a high level of evidence for an increased nortriptyline metabolism in UM + gene dose 2.5 compared to gene dose 1-2 (based on 4 references, including Laine 2001). In addition, CPIC indicates that these studies provide a high level of evidence for a correlation between the number of CYP2D6 variant alleles and for a correlation of debrisoquine hydroxylation with nortriptyline metabolism (8 references, including Chua 2013, for the number of variant alleles, and the 4 references Bertilsson 1980, Mellstrom 1981, Woolhouse 1984 and Nordin 1985 for the debrisoquine hydroxylation). CPIC indicates that these studies provide a moderate level of evidence for the requirement of a decreased dose of nortriptyline in PM (3 references, including Bijl 2008 and including Hodgson 2014 that does not report an association) and an increased dose of nortriptyline in UM + gene dose 2.5 (Bertilsson 1993) compared to gene dose 1-2. In addition, CPIC indicates that these studies provide a moderate level of evidence for an increased risk of side effects in carriers of no function and decreased function alleles compared to carriers of other alleles (5 references, including Piatkov 2011 and including Hodgson 2014 that does not report an association) and for a decreased response in UM + gene dose 2.5 receiving nortriptyline (3 references including Kawanishi 2004 and including Bertilsson 1985 and Bertilsson 1993 that describe the same case). Finally, CPIC indicates a moderate level of evidence from a pharmacokinetic model using published data for the intrinsic clearance of nortriptyline being a linear function of the number of functional CYP2D6 alleles (Kvist 2001).

CPIC also took other gene-based dosing recommendations in consideration, including the 2008 and 2011 publications of our dosing recommendations in Clinical Pharmacology and Therapeutics.

CPIC also provides therapeutic recommendations based on both CYP2D6 and CYP2C19 genotypes. For CYP2D6 UM+gene dose 2.5 and for CYP2D6 PM the therapeutic recommendations for the different CYP-2C19 phenotypes are similar, reflecting the stronger influence of the CYP2D6 phenotype compared to the CYP2C19 phenotype. CPIC indicates that further studies are needed to develop moderate or strong dosing recommendations for TCAs when considering combined CYP2D6/CYP2C19 phenotypes. At the moment, insufficient data are available. Based on Steimer 2005, CPIC mentions that patients carrying at least one

CYP2D6 no function allele and two CYP2C19 normal function alleles had an increased risk of experiencing side effects when administered amitriptyline. This would argue for a therapeutic recommendation also for patients with CYP2D6 gene dose 1, which is the predominant phenotype in this patient group. On 1-12-2023, there was not a more recent version of the recommendations present on the PharmGKB- and on the CPIC-site.

Date of literature search: 30 November 2023.

	Phenotype	Code	Gene-drug interaction	Action	Date
KNMP Pharmacogenetics	PM	3 C	yes	yes	8 February 2024
Working Group decision	IM	4 C	yes	yes	
	UM	3 C	yes	yes	

#### Mechanism:

Nortriptyline is mainly metabolised by CYP2D6 to the active metabolite E-10-hydroxynortriptyline. This metabolite is approximately half as potent as nortriptyline itself. A CYP2D6 genetic polymorphism may cause a change in the plasma concentration of nortriptyline and its active metabolite.

Nortriptyline is converted by CYP2D6 and CYP2C19 to the inactive metabolite desmethylnortriptyline.

The therapeutic range is 50-150 ng/ml and values higher than 250 ng/ml are considered to be toxic. The Z-hydroxymetabolites can cause cardiotoxicity and plasma concentrations of Z-hydroxynortriptyline higher than 40 ng/ml are considered to be toxic.

# **Clinical Implication Score:**

Table 1: Definitions of the available Clinical Implication Scores

Potentially beneficial	PGx testing for this gene-drug pair is potentially beneficial. Genotyping can be considered on an individual patient basis. If, however, the genotype is available, the DPWG recommends adhering to the gene-drug guideline	0-2 +
Beneficial	PGx testing for this gene-drug pair is beneficial. It is advised to consider genotyping the patient before (or directly after) drug therapy has been initiated to guide drug and dose selection	3-5 +
Essential	PGx testing for this gene-drug pair is essential for drug safety or efficacy. Genotyping must be performed before drug therapy has been initiated to guide drug and dose selection	6-10 +

Table 2: Criteria on which the attribution of Clinical Implication Score is based

Clinical Implication Score Criteria	Possible Score	Given Score
Clinical effect associated with gene-drug interaction (drug- or diminished efficacy-induced)		
CTCAE Grade 3 or 4 (clinical effect score D or E)	+	
CTCAE Grade 5 (clinical effect score F)	++	
Level of evidence supporting the associated clinical effect grade ≥ 3		
<ul> <li>One study with level of evidence score ≥ 3</li> </ul>	+	
<ul> <li>Two studies with level of evidence score ≥ 3</li> </ul>	++	
<ul> <li>Three or more studies with level of evidence score ≥ 3</li> </ul>	+++	
Number needed to genotype (NNG) in the Dutch population to prevent one clinical effect grade		
≥3		
• 100 < NNG ≤ 1000	+	
• 10 < NNG ≤ 100	++	
• NNG ≤ 10	+++	
PGx information in the Summary of Product Characteristics (SmPC)		
At least one genotype/phenotype mentioned	+	
OR		
Recommendation to genotype	++	
OR .		
At least one genotype/phenotype mentioned as a contra-indication in the corresponding section	++	
Total Score:	10+	0+
Corresponding Clinical Implication Score:		Potentially
		beneficial