

CYP2D6: olanzapine

1560/1561/1562

AUC = area under the concentration-time curve, BMI = body-mass index, CI_{or} = oral clearance, CSF = cerebrospinal fluid, C_{ss} = plasma concentration in steady state, IM = intermediate metaboliser (gene dose 0.25-1) (decreased CYP-2D6 enzyme activity), MR = metabolic ratio, NM = normal metaboliser (gene dose 1.25-2.5) (normal CYP2D6 enzyme activity), NS = non-significant, PM = poor metaboliser (gene dose 0) (absent CYP2D6 enzyme activity), S = significant, $t_{1/2}$ = half-life, UM = ultra-rapid metaboliser (gene dose ≥ 2.75) (increased CYP2D6 enzyme activity)

Brief summary and justification of choices:

Olanzapine is primarily metabolised by UGT and CYP1A2 and to a far lesser extent by CYP2D6 and CYP3A4. The therapeutic range of olanzapine serum concentrations is 20-80 µg/L. Toxicity occurs at olanzapine serum concentrations > 100 µg/L, and lethality has been reported at olanzapine serum concentrations \ge 160 µg/L. Of the 11 studies, only 4 showed a significant effect of genetically decreased CYP2D6 enzyme activity (poor or intermediate metabolisers (PM or IM)) on olanzapine pharmacokinetics or clinical effects (Miroshnichenko 2020, Djordjevic 2020, Skogh 2011, Ellingrod 2002). However, in all four cases the evidence was limited.

Miroshnichenko 2020 showed a 2.7 fold higher median dose-corrected olanzapine trough concentration in 10 IM patients. However, the association between olanzapine dose and olanzapine trough concentration did not reach significance in the patient group in this study. This questions the adequacy of using the dose-corrected olanzapine trough concentration as an outcome measure in this study. Four other studies in patients and three studies in healthy volunteers did not find a significant effect of CYP2D6 phenotype on olanzapine pharmacokinetics (Okubo 2016 (5 IM), Skogh 2011 (7 PM, 2 UM), Nozawa 2008 (10 IM), Carillo 2003 (4 PM), Zubiaur 2021 (18 IM, 4 PM, 5 (UM or gene dose 2.25-2.5)), Cabaleiro 2013 (22x (IM + gene dose 1.25-1.5), 2x (PM + gene dose 0.25-0.75 + gene dose 0.5/0.5), 4 UM), Hägg 2001 (5 PM)).

Djordjevic 2020 showed a better treatment response in 3 PM based on the Clinical Global Impressions Improvement scale. However, based on two other scales (the Positive and Negative Syndrome Scale and Global Assessment of Functioning scale), the PM phenotype had no effect on treatment response in this study. Two other studies in patients did not find an effect of CYP2D6 phenotype on treatment response (Thomas 2008 (12 IM, 1 PM), Carillo 2003 (4 PM)).

Skogh 2011 showed a 30% lower olanzapine daily dose in 7 PM patients, which was significant after adjustment for smoking. However, no effect of the PM phenotype was found on the dose-corrected olanzapine serum concentration in this study. So, the effect on olanzapine dose in this study was not due to a difference in olanzapine pharmacokine-tics.

Ellingrod 2002 found an increase in the percent change in BMI, but no increase in the endpoint BMI, for 5 IM patients. However, none of the other three studies in patients and two studies in healthy volunteers found an effect of CYP2D6 phenotype on adverse events (Djordjevic 2020 (43 IM, 3 PM), Thomas 2008 (12 IM, 1 PM), Carillo 2003 (4 PM)), Zubiaur 2021 (18 IM, 4 PM, 5 (UM or gene dose 2.25-2.5)), Cabaleiro 2013 (22x (IM + gene dose 1.25-1.5), 2x (PM + gene dose 0.25-0.75 + gene dose 0.5/0.5), 4 UM)), with Djordevic 2020 finding no effect on BMI change for 43 IM + 4 PM.

Based on this, the KNMP Pharmacogenetics Working Group decided that there is not enough evidence for a CYP-2D6-olanzapine interaction, and thus no reason to recommend a dose adjustment or an alternative (no/no-interactions).

You can find an overview of the observed kinetic and clinical consequences per phenotype in the background information text of the gene-drug interactions in the KNMP Kennisbank. You might also have access to this background information text via your pharmacy or physician electronic decision support system.

The table below follows the KNMP definition for NM, PM, IM and UM, unless stated otherwise. The definition of NM, PM, IM and UM used in the table below may therefore differ from the definition used by the authors in the article.

Source	Code	Effect	Comments
ref. 1	3	43 healthy volunteers received a single dose of olanzapine 2.5 or 5	Author's conclu-
Zubiaur P et al.		mg twice. Administrations were separated by a 14 day wash-out peri-	sion:
Impact of poly-		od. 24 healthy volunteers (16 NM, 6 IM, 2 UM or gene dose 2.25-2.5)	'Our interest was
morphisms in		received olanzapine 5 mg/day for 5 days. For olanzapine, a period of	to explore the
transporter and		5 days is too short to reach steady state.	impact of CYP-
metabolizing		The AUC _{72h} determined in the single dose study and the AUC _{24h} deter-	2D6 phenotypes
enzyme genes		mined in the multiple dose study were merged into a generic AUC	on the pharma-
on olanzapine		variable for analysis of pharmacokinetics. As expected, the dose- and	cokinetics and

pharmacokine- tics and safety in healthy volunteers. Biomed Phar- macother 2021;133:1110 87. PMID: 33378980. ref. 1, continu- ation		weight-corrected Al oral clearance was dose trials. Causality assessme algorithm of the Spa adverse events with olanzapine intake w Co-medication, alco ded (CYP1A2 inhibi pine start). Bonferroni correctio (i.e. between the for Genotyping: - 40x NM (16 from the - 4x PM (none from - 5x UM or gene do Results:	safety of olanza- pine, especially the impact of UM. Neither of which had an effect in this work.'					
		Results compared	to NM PM	:	IM	UM+gene dose 2.25-	value for NM	-
		adverse drug reactions	NS fo	or PM v us UM+	/ /ersus IM vers -gene dose 2.	2.5 sus NM 25-2.5		-
	PM: AA IM: AA UM [.] AA	AUC olanzapine	x 1.3 NS fo	8 or PM \ us UM+	x 0.95 /ersus IM vers	x 0.92 sus NM 25-2 5	2536 ng/ ml per ma/ka	
		Clor olanzapine	x 0.7 NS fo	9 or PM \	x 1.02 /ersus IM vers	x 1.09 sus NM	292.5 ml/h per	
		t _{1/2} olanzapine	x 1.0 NS fo	1 or PM v us UM+	x 0.94 /ersus IM vers	x 0.93 sus NM 25-2 5	31.6 h	
		Note: Genotyping w tion. These are the lation.	as for most ir	*3-*10, nportai	*14, *17, *41 nt gene variar	, and gene n hts in this Spa	nultiplica- anish popu-	
ref. 2 Miroshnichenko II et al. Therapeutic drug monitoring of olanzapine and cyto- chrome P450 genotyping in nonsmoking subjects. Ther Drug Monit 2020;42:325-9. PMID: 31425442.	3	48 non-smoking par Patients attained st days. 53% of patients had therapeutic range (2 20% above the ther toxic level (184 ng/r detectable in the se Co-medication with tion with CYP2D6 ir One of the originally not indicate which p Genotyping: - 38x NM - 10x IM	tients v eady-si d olanz: 20-80 r apeution nl) was rum. CYP1/ hibitor / 49 pa patient i	vere tre tate ola apine t ng/ml), c range s obser A2 inhil s was n tients i is exclu	eated with olar anzapine concen 27% below th 27% below th 27% below th 2. In one of the ved. In another bitors was exc not. s not analyse uded and why	nzapine 5-25 centrations fo trations withi e therapeutic e patients, a er, olanzapin cluded, but c d, but the au	i mg/day. or at least 8 c range and potentially e was not o-medica- thors do	Author's conclu- sion: 'Evidence indica- ting that CYP2D6 polymorphism has a significant effect on the pharmacokinetics of olanzapine was obtained, confirming the beneficial effects of therapeutic drug monitoring (TDM) for olan- zapine.'
	IM: A	Results: Results for IM com median dose-corre	npared	to NM: IM x 2.72	2 (S)	S for the	value for NM 2.02 ng/	Median dose- corrected olanzapine trough concentration compared to NM:
		concentration		differ	ence between	the mean	ming	IM: 272%

ref. 2. continu-				dose-	corrected ola	nzapine		
ation				troua	h concentratio	ons.		
		Note: The asso	ciation betw	ween	olanzapine do	se and olan	zapine	
		trough concentration did not reach significance in this patient						
		group.						
		Note: Genotyping	g was for *3	3 and	*4. *3 was no	t found. *4 is	s the most	
		important gene v	ariant in th	is Rus	ssian populati	on.		
ref. 3	4	116 patients with	an acute e	exace	rbation of schi	izophrenia s	symptoms	Author's conclu-
Djordjevic N et		were treated with	n olanzapin	e for a	a period of 30	days. The c	blanzapine	sion:
al.		dose in the first t	WO WEEKS \	was 1	0 mg/day and	Was adjuste	ed at day 18	
smoking and		no a maximum or	20 mg/uay	ho tro	atment perior	lineni respor	ISE. The	
heavy coffee		10-20 mg/day)			autient period		ady (range	affected by dose
consumption		Treatment respo	nse was as	ssesse	ed as the char	nge in the ra	tinas on the	e sex. age and
affecting		Positive and Neg	ative Synd	Irome	Scale (PANS	S) and Glob	al Assess-	CYP2D6 meta-
response to		ment of Function	ing (GAF) s	scale	and as the sc	ore on the C	Clinical	bolizer status.'
olanzapine:		Global Impressio	ons Improve	ement	: (CGI-I) scale	. Scores on	the CGI-I	
The role of		range from 0 (ma	arked impro	oveme	ent with no adv	verse drug r	eactions) to	
genetic poly-		4 (unchanged or	worse, with	h advo	erse drug read	ctions outwe	ighing the	
Morphism.		therapeutic effec	IS).	orotto	upp and poffs	o intoko wo	ro olocoly	
Psychiatry		monitored	erence, ciga	arelle	use and cone		le closely	
2020.21.29-52		Alcohol use and	co-medicat	tion in	teracting with	olanzapine	including	
PMID:		other antipsycho	tics, anticol	nvulsa	ants, narcotic	pain relieve	rs and	
30513034.		many more, were	e excluded.	. Benz	zodiazepines v	were exclude	ed with the	
		exception of lora	zepam as a	a resc	ue therapy, b	ut not more	than 4 mg	
		per week, not mo	ore than thr	ree co	nsecutive day	/s, and not ≤	24 h before	e
		treatment respon	ise scales i	rating		10-1		
		Bonterroni correc	ction was u	ised to	b correct for m	ultiple comp	or covori	
		Ates		sioge	ther were auju		er covan-	
		ales.						
		Genotyping:						
		*4:	*3:			*6:		
		- 76x *1/*1 (NM) - 1 [.]	14x *1	I/*1 (NM)	- 112x *1/*	1 (NM)	
		- 37x *1/*4 (IM)	- 2)	x *1/*3	3 (IM)	- 4x *1/*6 (IM)	
		- 3x *4/*4 (PM)						
		Results:						,
		Results for IM+	PM (*4 and	d all va	ariants) or IM	(*3 and *6) o	compared	
		to NM:						
		treatment respo	onse		NO			
		Positive and	*2		NS NS			
		drome Scale	3 *6					
		score change	*3 *1 000	4 *6	NS			
		Clinical	3, 4 and *4	0 1	NS			
		Global	*3		NS			
		Impressions	*6		NS			
	1.4	Improvement	*3, *4 and	d *6	NS. S for PM	l compared	to	
		score	o, ranc		NM+IM.	loonparoa		
	ΑΔ#				The median	score on thi	s 4 point	
	/ / /				scale was 1.	00 for PM a	nd 2.00	
					for NM+IM a	fter adjustm	ent for	
					other covaria	ates, indicati	ng a	
			+ 4		better treatm	ent respons	e in PM.	
		GIODAI	^4 *2					
		Assessment of Functioning	*6					
		score change	*2 *1 ~~-	1 *C				
		adverse avente	ാ, "4 and	סג	СИ			
	L	auverse everils						

	1		م بد			
ref. 3, continu-		BMI change	*4	NS		
ation			*3	NS		
			*6	NS		
			*3, *4 and *	6 NS		
		fasting serum	*4	NS		
		glucose	*3	NS		
		change	*6	S. increase with	0.90 mmol/L for	
		U U	-	IM and decrease	e with 0.10	
				mmol/L for NM		
				Because a signi	ficant effect was	
				not found for *4,	involving 10	
				times the number	er of variant allele	
				carriers, includir	ng 3 PM, the	
				significant result	for *6 is likely to	
				be a chance find	ding.	
			*3, *4 and *	6 NS		
		total	*4	NS		
		cholesterol	*3	NS		
		change	*6	NS		
		J J	*3 *4 and *	6 NS		
		low density	*4	NS NS		
		lipoprotein	*3	NS		
		change	*6	NS		
		onango	*2 *4 and *			
		trialucarida	3, 4 and 1			
		trigiycende	*2	NS NC		
		change	"3 *0	NS NC		
			¹⁰ 6	NS NS		
			*3, *4 and *	6 NS		
		extrapyrami-	*4	NS		
		dal symptoms	*3	NS		
			*6	NS		
			*3, *4 and *	6 NS		
		Note: Genotyping	g was for *3, *	*4 and *6. Except for	*5, these are the	
		most important g	ene variants	in this Serbian popul	ation.	
ref. 4	3	21 patients were	treated with a	a mean olanzapine c	lose of 15.6 mg/day	Author's conclu-
Okubo M et al.		(range 2.5-20 mg	g/day).			sion:
Individual diffe-		Relevant co-mec	lication was n	ot excluded. 62% of	patients used a	'Olanzapine clea-
rences in in		CYP2D6 inhibito	r concomitant	ly, 24% a CYP induc	cer. Of the IM	rance was not
vitro and in vivo		patients, 20% us	ed a CYP2D	o inhibitor concomita	ntly. 29% of the	affected by CYP-
metabolic clea-		patients was smo	oker (40% of	the IM patients).		2D6 or FMO3
rances of the						genotypes or
antipsychotic		Genotyping:				smoking bena-
arug olanza-		- TOX INIVI				vior as a single
pine from non-		- DX IIVI				nacior under the
Smoking and		Deputtor				present condi-
noso subjects		Doog corrected	olonzonino t	rough concentration	for IM compared	
depotyped for		to NM or gono (loco 2:	lough concentration	for the compared	
cytochrome			1036 2.	IN/	volue for NM	ted by multiple
P4502D6 and					or gene dose 2	enzymes invol-
flavincontaining	184- 0.0	compared to NI	1	x 0.63 (NS)	2.5 ng/ml mg	ved in two major
monooxvae-	IIVI. AA		vi na dasa 2	x 0.03 (NS)	2.5 ng/mi.mg	and one minor
nase 3.			115 UU35 Z		1.3 ng/mi.mg	pathwavs.
Hum Psycho-				lose-corrected alan-	P	
pharmacol	harmacol				Dose-corrected	
2016;31:83-92.				and patient group.		olanzapine
PMID:		Note: Genotyping	a was for *2	5 and *10 These ar	e the most impor-	trough concen-
26856397.		tant gene variant	s in this Japa	nese population.		tration compared
		gene vanam				to gene dose 2:
						IM: 84%

raf E		C1 healthy valueteers reach	ad a single E may doop of alang		Author's conclu
rer. 5	3	61 nealthy volunteers receiv	ed a single 5-mg dose of olanza	apine on	Author's conciu-
Cabaleiro I et		two or four timepoints separ	ated by 14-day wash-out period	IS.	sion:
al.		The most frequent adverse of	events in this study were somno	blence	We found no
Polymorphisms		(100%), fatigue (30%), hypo	tension (29%), and dizziness (2	25%). Both	association
influencing		QT interval prolongation and	l vomiting were only observed in	n one	between poly-
olanzapine		volunteer.			morphisms in
metabolism and		Relevant co-medication and	smoking were excluded.		UGT1A1. CYP-
adverse effects			5		1A2, CYP2D6,
in healthy		Genotyping:			and $CYP3A4$ and
cubiocte		22 NM			the pharmaceki
Subjects.			4 5)		
Hum Psycho-		-22x (IVI + gene dose 1.25-	1.5) 25		netics of olanza-
pnarmacol		- 2X (PIVI + gene dose 0.25-0	0.75 + gene dose 0.5/0.5)		pine, although
2013;28:205-		- 4x UM			UGI1A1 and
14.					CYP3A4 were
PMID:		Results:			associated with
23559402.		Results for (PM + gene dos	se 0.25-0.75 + gene dose 0.5/0.	.5)	side effects.
		versus (IM + gene dose 1.2	25-1.5) versus NM versus UM:	,	
		adverse events and plasm	a prolactin increase		
		fatique			
	FIVI. AA	hunstension			
	IIVI: AA	nypotension	NS NO		
	UM: AA	dizziness	NS		
		dry mouth	NS		
		syncope	NS		
		irritability	NS		
		headache	NS		
		OT interval prolongation	NO		
		vomiting	NS		
		plasma prolactin increase	NS		
		pharmacokinetics			
		AUC olanzapine	NS		
		Clor olanzapine	NS		
		t _{1/2} olanzapine	NS		
		Noto: Constuning was for *2	*11 *11 *15 *17 *00 *05 *00) *21 *25	
		*41 *114 and duplications	- 11, 14, 13, 17-20, 23, 25 of \$4, \$2, \$4, \$40, \$17, \$25, and \$	41 These	
		41, 114, and duplications of	Di 1, 2, 4, 10, 17, 35, and	41. These	
		are the most important gene	variants in this Spanish popula	ition.	
ref. 6	3	37 patients were treated with	n olanzapine (mean dose 11.6 r	ng/day) for	Author's conclu-
Skogh E et al.		at least 4 weeks. Cerebrosp	inal fluid (CSF) was available fo	or 29	sion:
High correlation		patients.			'Normal metabo-
between serum		Serum and cerebrospinal flu	id were obtained median 12 ho	urs (range	lizers of CYP2D6
and cerebrospi-		9-14.5 hours) after the last o	lose.	ι υ	had higher daily
nal fluid olanza-		Relevant co-medication was	excluded (the only concomitan	t drugs	doses than poor
nine concentra-		used were benzodiazenines	and/or zoniclone and lithium)	27% of the	metabolizers
tions in patients		patients was smoker (13% c	$r_{57\%}$ of the PM patients)		when the influen-
with schizo-		Construction of			ce of smoking
phrenia or		Genotyping:			was taken into
schizoaffective		- 28X NM+IM		account.	
disorder medi-		- 7x PM			
cating with oral		- 2x UM			
olanzapine as					
the only anti-		Results:			
psychotic drug		Results compared to NM+I	M:		
J Clin Psycho-			PM LIM	value for	
pharmacol					
2011.31.1.0		doop porrected stars			
		uose-corrected olanza-			
	UM: AA	pine serum concentration			
21192135.			NS for PM compared to		
			NM+IM after adjustment for		
			smoking		
		dose-corrected olanza-	NS for PM versus NM+IM		
		pine CSF concentration	versus UM		
			NS for PM compared to		
			NM+IM after adjustment for		
	•	11			1

not C continue			a secol da se		
ation		olanzanine serum	SMOKING		
		concentration	versus UM		
		olanzapine CSF concen-	NS for PM versus NM+IM		
		tration	versus UM		
		ratio CSF/serum olanza-	NS for PM versus NM+IM versus UM		
		olanzapine daily dose	x 0.70	12.3 mg	
				, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
			NS for PM versus NM+IM versus UM		
	PM: A		S for PM compared to		
			NM+IM after adjustment for		
			smoking		
		Note: Genotyping was for *3 the most important gene var	-*6, *41, and gene duplication. iants in this Swedish populatio	These are n.	
ref. 7	3	A total of 117 patients, 104x	*1/*1, 12x *1/*4, 1x *4/*4, olan	zapine for 6	
Thomas P et al.		weeks (start 5-10 mg with w	eekly increases by 5 mg/day, b	based on	
Correlates of		effectiveness and side effect	ts, to a maximum of 20 mg/day	; in appro-	
response to		ximately 10% of the patients	an increase to 25 or 30 mg/da	ay; average	
North Indian		16.5 mg/day), no relevant co	D-medication.		
schizophrenia		- no significant association	n between genotype and the in	nprovement	
sample.	IM: AA	on the scale for clinical e	effectiveness.		
Psychiatry Res	PM: AA	- no significant association	n between genotype and non-r	esponse.	
2008;161:275-		 no significant association 	n between genotype and extra	pyramidal	
83.		side effects and changes	s in insulin levels.		
rof 8	2	A total of 47 patients 21x *1	H. /*1 16y *1/*10 10y *10/*10 (c)	crooping for	
Nozawa M et	5	*5 and *10) olanzapine 5-20) mg/day (average 15 7 mg/day	v) co-	
al.		medication not excluded. 26	% smokers.	y), 00	
The relation-		, _			
ship between		*10/*10 versus *1/*10 versus	s *1/*1:		
the response of	IM: AA	- no significant difference	in C_{ss}^{a} (NS). The same applies	s to the sub-	Css ^a versus
clinical symp-		group of non-smokers (r	n=35). Values were 3.2 ng/mL.i	mg for	*1/*1:
toms and plas-		^10/~10, 3.1 ng/mL.mg to	or "1/"10 and 3.7 ng/mL.mg for	*1/*1.	IIVI: 86%
concentration		 NA (*10/*10) versus NM (*1/3	*1 + *1/*10).		
based on phar-		- decrease in C_{ss}^a from 3.	4 to 3.2 ng/mL per mg/day (NS	S by 7%).	
macogenetics:			······································	<i>z</i>	
Juntendo Uni-					
versity Schizo-					
phrenia Pro-					
jects (JUSP).					
Ther Drug					
2008.30.35-40					
ref. 9	1	- patient 1: *1/*1 for CYP2	2D6 and *1/*1c and *1F/*1F for	CYP1A2.	
Iwahashi K.		no CYP2D6 inhibitors as	co-medication, smoking unkn	own; with	
Olanzapine		olanzapine 5 mg/day, th	e fasting blood glucose concer	tration was	
metabolism by		103 mg/dL, with 10 mg/c	day it was 182 mg/dL, weight g	ain 3 kg.	
CYP1A2/CYP2		NOTE: olanzapine conc	entrations unknown		
D6 and hyper-		- patient 2: *10/*10 for CY	P2D6 and *1F/*1F for CYP1A2	2, olanza-	
giycaemia.	1. 1	pine 10 mg/day, no CYP		n, smoking ma/di	
chiatrica	IIVI. AA	weight loss 0.5 kg C	vincose concentration was 95 f	ng/u∟,	
2004:16:229-		- patient 3: *1/*1 for CVP2	2D6 and CYP1A2 olanzanine 2	10 ma/dav	
230.		co-medication unknown.	non-smoker; fasting blood alu	COSE	
		concentration was 150 n	ng/dL, weight gain 5 kg. C _{ss} is 2	23.4 ng/mL.	
ref. 10	3	17 patients, 4x PM and 13x	NM [#] (phenotyped with debriso	quine),	Authors' conclu-
Carillo JA et al.		olanzapine 10 mg/day for sn	nokers and 5-10 mg/day for no	n-smokers,	sion:

Role of the smoking-indu- ced cytochrome P450 (CYP) 1A2 and poly- morphic CYP- 2D6 in steady- state concen- tration of olan- zapine. J Clin Psycho- pharmacol 2003;23:119- 27.	PM: AA	 no co-medication, smoking performed for this; PM: increase in C_{ss}^a vo 93%). Effectiveness of effects were elevated, significant. NOTE: genotype unknown PM and the other phenotyput. 	'In contrast, the contribution of the polymorphic CYP2D6-depen- dent metabolism is likely to be minor.' C _{ss} ^a versus NM+IM+UM: PM: 193%
ref. 11 Ellingrod VL et al. CYP2D6 poly- morphisms and atypical anti- psychotic weight gain. Psychiatr Genet 2002;12:55-8. PMID: 11901361.	4 IM: B	11 patients were titrated to 7.5 to 20 mg/day) for up to tion of treatment was 13.8 There was a trend for a hig Co-medication other than I Linear regression analysis treatment, age, smoking st was performed. 82% of the of cigarettes per day, but t smoking and percent chan The power to detect differe Genotyping: - 6x NM - 5x IM Results: Results for IM compared percent change in BMI baseline BMI endpoint BMI mean olanzapine dose Note: The authors indicate psychotic serum concentral Note: Genotyping was for These are the most import USA.	Author's conclu- sion: 'Genotype was significant for those with a *1/*3 or *4 genotype experiencing a larger percent BMI change than those with a *1/*1 genotype. This may be due to increased olan- zapine concen- trations leading to increased exposure, which may trigger atypi- cal antipsychotic weight gain.'
ref. 12 Hägg S et al. Olanzapine disposition in humans is unrelated to CYP1A2 and CYP2D6 phenotypes. Eur J Clin Phar- macol 2001;57:493-7.	3 PM: AA	 17 healthy study subjects, debrisoquine), a single dos relevant co-medication; PM: decrease in the A (NS by 25%), increase 21%), t¹/₂ is 27.4 hours NOTE: genotype unknown PM and the other phenotypuM. 	AUC versus NM+IM+UM: PM: 75%

corrected for the dose

Risk group

7

Comments:

A case report of a PM patient showing olanzapine-associated rhabdomyolysis (Skryabin VY et al. Olanzapine-associated rhabdomyolysis: a case report. 2021;13:e12568. PMID: 33564555) was not included in the risk analysis. Because no plasma concentration was determined, it is not known whether a high clozapine plasma concentration and thus a reduced clozapine metabolism was involved.

A study in healthy volunteers (Koller D et al. The effects of aripiprazole and olanzapine on pupillary light reflex and its relationship with pharmacogenetics in a randomized multiple-dose trial. Br J Clin Pharmacol 2020;86: 2051-62. PMID: 32250470) was not included, because the kinetic data concern a subset of the patients in Zubiaur 2021 (the 24 patients receiving olanzapine daily for 5 days) and olanzapine had no effect on pupillary light reflex.

Date of literature search: 29 July 2021.

	Phenotype	Code	Gene-drug interaction	Action	Date
KNMP Pharmacogenetics	PM	4 A	no	no	13 September 2021
Working Group decision	IM	4 B	no	no	
	UM	3 AA	no	no	

Mechanism:

Olanzapine is primarily metabolised by UGT and CYP1A2 and to a far lesser extent by CYP2D6 and CYP3A4. The therapeutic range of olanzapine serum concentrations is 20-80 μ g/L. Toxicity occurs at olanzapine serum concentrations > 100 μ g/L, and lethality has been reported at olanzapine serum concentrations ≥ 160 μ g/L.