

CYP2D6: oxycodone

1586/1587/1588

 C_{ss} = steady-state plasma concentration, EM = extensive metaboliser (gene dose 1.5-2.5) (normal CYP2D6 enzyme activity), IM = intermediate metaboliser (gene dose 0.5-1) (decreased CYP2D6 enzyme activity), MR = metabolic ratio, NS = non-significant, PM = poor metaboliser (gene dose 0) (absent CYP2D6 enzyme activity), S = significant, UM = ultrarapid metaboliser (gene dose \geq 3) (enhanced CYP2D6 enzyme activity)

Disclaimer: The Pharmacogenetics Working Group of the KNMP formulates the optimal recommendations for each phenotype group based on the available evidence. If this optimal recommendation cannot be followed due to practical restrictions, e.g. therapeutic drug monitoring or a lower dose is not available, the health care professional should consider the next best option.

Brief summary and justification of choices:

Oxycodone is predominantly metabolised by CYP3A4 to noroxycodone and to a lesser extent by CYP2D6 to oxymorphone. Oxymorphone has approximately 14x the analgesic activity of oxycodone, for noroxycodone this is approximately 0.01x. Noroxymorphone is a strong μ -opioid receptor agonist, but does not penetrate the blood-brain barrier and therefore lacks a central effect.

Pharmacokinetic studies show a decreased plasma concentration of oxymorphone in patients with gene variants leading to absent or diminished CYP2D6 activity (poor metabolisers (PM) and intermediate metabolisers (IM), indicating a gene-drug interaction.

In cases and in studies involving administration of a single dose, an increased incidence of side effects has been observed in patients with gene variants leading to an enhanced CYP2D6 activity (ultrarapid metabolisers (UMs); 1 case) and inadequate pain relief in poor metabolisers (PM; 3 cases). However, none of the 9 studies in patients (with the number of patients varying from 20 to 918 and including 4 studies with more than 100 patients) showed a significant clinical effect of a variant CYP2D6 phenotype. Reasons for this might be that oxycodone is always titrated guided by pain, that patients often use additional analgesics and that the effect of differences in pain intensity between patients is much stronger than the effect of CYP2D6 phenotype. The Pharmacogenetics Working Group considers the evidence for an effect of CYP2D6 phenotype on oxycodone treatment in patients insufficient. For this reason, no action is advised for these gene-drug interactions (yes/no-interactions).

You can find a detailed overview of the observed kinetic and clinical effects in the background information text of the gene-drug interactions on the KNMP Kennisbank. You might also have access to this background information text via your pharmacy or physician electronic decision support system.

Source	Code	Effect	Comments
Source ref. 1 Cajanus K et al. Analgesic plasma concentrations of oxycodone after surgery for breast cancer-which factors matter? Clin Pharmacol Ther 2017 Jun 23 [Epub ahead of print]. PubMed PMID:	Code 3	Effect 918 women were treated with intravenous oxycodone for approximately 2.5 hours after surgery for breast cancer. The women received oxycodone 1-3 mg every 5 minutes until the pain intensity they reported on a 10-point scale was less than 3. After this, pain scores were recorded every 15 minutes until the women needed a new dose. Preopera- tively, the women received 1 g of paracetamol and directly after the operation 1 μ g/kg of intravenous fentanyl. Because 397 patients did not need a new dose after the first state of satisfactory analgesia, data on the concentra- tions when patients needed a new dose were only available for 521 patients.	Comments Authors' conclusion: 'CYP2D6 and CYP- 3A genotypes did not affect analgesic concentration or duration of analge- sia.'
28643329.		75% of patients had a moderate motion pain intensity (score 4-6 on a 10-point scale), 18% had a high motion	
		pain intensity (score \geq 7). The CYP2D6 phenotype distribution of 47 women, who did not need oxycodone after surgery, did not differ from that of	

The table below follows the KNMP definitions for EM, PM, IM and UM. The definitions of EM, PM, IM and UM used in the table below may therefore differ from the definitions used by the authors in the article.

ref. 1, continuation		the 918 women who Relevant co-medicat The measured mean around the lower limi phone concentrations replaced with half of Genotyping: - 799x 'EM' - 16x 'IM' - 23x 'PM' - 80x 'UM'	ion was not oxymorpho it of quantific s below the	exclude ne conc ation (0 imit of c	d. entratio .1 ng/m quantific	l). Oxymor-	
		Results:					
		Results compared t	o 'EM':				
			'PM' 'IN	Л''	UM'	value	
		First state of satisfa	otory opolac			for 'EM'	
		First state of satisfa total amount of oxycodone needed	NS for PM versus EM	versus		0.11 mg/kg	
		duration of the	NS for PM	versus	M	67.3	
		analgesic effect	versus EM			min	
		oxycodone concentration	NS for PM versus EM			33.0	
		concentration	both in univ multivariate	variate a logistic	and in	ng/ml	
		oxymorphone			(1.5	0.11	
IN	M: A M: A	concentration	S for PM ve sus EM ver	sus UM		ng/ml	
U	JM: A	noroxymorphone	x 0.29 x		(1.5	0.17	
		concentration	S for PM ve sus EM ver			ng/ml	
		When the patient ne					
		oxycodone	NS for PM		М	21.6	
		concentration	versus EM	versus	UM	ng/ml	
		oxymorphone			(1.6	0.14	
		concentration	S for PM ve sus EM ver			ng/ml	
		noroxymorphone			(1.6	0.62	
		concentration	S for PM ve sus EM ver	ersus IN	1 ver-	ng/ml	
		The oxycodone con amount of oxycodor each of the phenoty 6 for IM, 248-3110	ne needed, /pes (by a fa for EM and 9	varied st ctor of 1)-12 for	trongly v I 2-23 fo UM).	within r PM, 3-	
		There was no corre dose of oxycodone and the CYP2D6 ge	after initial s	atisfacto			
		NOTE: genotyping w using Taqman genot (with determination o stated which gene va phenotypes were def	yping assay of the numbe ariants were fined. The hi	s and fo r of cop determi gher nu	r gene o ies). It v ned and mber of	duplication vas not d how the f PM versus	
		IM suggests that the	phenotype of	definitior	n differs	from our	
ref. 2 3		definition. A nested case-contro	nl study com	narod 1	6 breac	tfeeding	Authors' conclusion:
Lam J et al.		oxycodone using mo					Genetic variants in
Putative association of ABCB1 2677G>T/A		lethargy in the infant using mothers with a	with 50 brea symptomation	stfeedir infants	ng oxyc s. The st	odone tudy also	the maternal CYP- 2D6, ABCB1, CYP-
with oxycodone-indu-		compared the 40 mo	thers with sy	mptom	s of slee	epiness and	3A5, and OPRM1

ced central nervous system depression in breastfeeding mo- thers. Ther Drug Monit 2013;35:466-72. PubMed PMID: 23783165. ref. 2, continuation		mothers. Oxyc between patie Co-medication inhibitors of C' excluded. The authors in 9.21% to detect tal central nerv Genotyping: - 57x (EM+IM) - 6x PM - 3x UM Results: Percentage C	codon dose ar nts. with other se YP2D6, CYP3 adicate that the ct the effect of yous system d	d treatment of dative medica A4 and P-gly e study had of CYP2D6 gen lepression.	ations and with coprotein was nly a power of notype on neona-	genes alone did not correlate with oxycodone-induced CNS depression in infants. Genetic vari- ants in CYP2D6, CYP3A5, and OPRM1 were not significantly associa- ted with oxycodone- induced maternal CNS depression.'
			piness and let		value for	
	D 14 A A		UM	PM	EM+IM	
	PM: AA UM: AA	infants	NS	NS	25%	
		mothers	NS Is had a summ	NS	<u>61%</u>	
ref. 3	3	3 UMs was s symptomatic (0.455 versus for a longer p versus 3 day with formula The number use, was ass nervous sym days increase NOTE: The au was associate nervous system with codeine, w depression in compared with NOTE: genoty **17, *29, *41 (with determin NOTE: it was a groups were d being defined and with one of points to inclus one inactive all results are inter	ymptomatic hi infant used a s 0.043 mg/kg period of time of s) and did not since birth. of days breast ociated most ptom depress ed the risk. uthors indicate d with a simila m depression while the incid mothers was n codeine. rping was perf and gene dup ation of the nu not explicitly s lefined. The re as a genotype or two partially sion of patient llele in the EM erpreted accor	erself. The Uf higher oxyco- per day), use during breast supplement b supplement b treeding durin strongly with ion. Durations that materna ar incidence o in breastfed i ence of centr 17x higher wit ormed for *3 t lication of *1, umber of copio pecified how eporting of 0 II without a ful functional all s with one ful phenotype. T dingly.	done dose ed oxycodone feeding (7 preastfeeding g oxycodone neonatal central s longer than 4 I oxycodone use f neonatal central nfants compared al nervous system th oxycodone	Authors' conclusion:
Stamer UM et al. CYP2D6 genotype dependent oxycodone metabolism in postoperative patients. PloS One 2013;8:e60239. PMID: 23555934.		intravenous ox g. In the event sia, doses of 1 Patients could oxycodone (up	 kycodone and of severe pailed 2 mg oxycod subsequently to once/8 mi ous metamizo 	metamizole o n after waking lone were adr self-administ nutes). All pai le 5 g/day or	or paracetamol 1 g from anaesthe- ninistered. ter doses of 1 mg tients were also paracetamol 4	'In this postoperative setting, the number of functionally active CYP2D6 alleles had an impact on oxyco- done metabolism. The genotype also impacted analgesic consumption, there- by causing variation

rof 2 continuation	r	38× IM	of oquiopolacoio
ref. 3, continuation	PM: A IM: A UM: A	 - 38x IM - 8x PM - 5x UM PM versus IM versus EM versus UM: - no differences in the percentage of patients needing additional doses of oxycodone after waking from anaesthesia (NS) - no differences in pain scores at rest or on mobilisation (NS) - decrease in cumulative oxycodone consumption until 12 hours after surgery (S). The difference between PM and EM was significant. The difference was not significant in the 12-24 hour post-operative period. - decrease in the equi-analgesic dose versus piritramide (S) - increase in the plasma concentration of oxymorphone (S) - none of the patients required a switch to piritramide due to lack of efficacy or side effects - only 2 of the patients were dissatisfied with the level of pain relief (1 PM and 1 EM) - 25% of the PM, 11% of the IM, 9% of the EM and 0% of the UM reported that the opioid doses were too low (NS) NOTE: genotyping was performed for *3 to *8, *10, *41 and gene duplication. 	of equianalgesic doses piritramide: oxycodone. Different analgesic needs by genotypes were met by PCA technology in this postoperative cohort.'
ref. 4 Andreassen TN et al. Do CYP2D6 geno- types reflect oxyco- done requirements for cancer patients trea- ted for cancer pain? A cross-sectional multi- centre study. Eur J Clin Pharmacol 2012;68:55-64. PubMed PMID: 21735164.	3 PM: A UM: AA	 450 cancer patients were treated for at least 3 days with oral, intravenous or subcutaneous oxycodone. Relevant comedication was not excluded. Similar results were found after correction of plasma concentrations for factors including CYP3A4 inhibitors or inducers, total daily dose and time since previous dose. The same was true after correction of pain intensity for paracetamol usage and oxymorphone concentrations and after correction of cognitive function for CYP2D6 inhibitors. There was a significant difference in CYP3A4 inducer usage between the genotype groups. Genotyping: 413 EM+IM (243x *1/*1, 23x *1/*5, 12x*1/*3, 124x *1/*4, 11x *1/*6) 27 PM (2x *3/*4, 22x *4/*4 and 3x *4/*6) 10 UM (*2/*2xN) PM versus EM+IM versus UM: no difference in pain intensity, fatigue, nausea, cognitive function and depression (NS). This did not change after exclusion of 7 EM and 2 UM patients who also used another opioid. decrease in the median total daily dose of oxycodone (80, 75 and 70 mg/day respectively) (NS) increase in the median serum concentration of oxymorphone (0.2, 1.6 and 2.3 nM respectively) (S). The difference between PM and EM was significant, but the difference between EM and UM was not. decrease in median oxycodone serum concentration (110, 107 and 74 nM respectively) (NS) 	Authors' conclusion: 'CYP2D6 genotypes caused expected differrences in phar- macokinetics, but they had no phar- macodynamic con- sequence. CYP2D6 genotypes did not influence pain con- trol, the adverse symptoms nausea and sedation or the risk for cognitive failure in this study of patients treated with oxycodone for cancer pain.'
ref. 5 Naito T et al. CYP3A5*3 affects plasma disposition of	3	62 patients were treated with sustained-release oxycodone twice daily. Plasma concentrations were determined 4 days after reaching the first stable dose. In the subsequent 4 weeks, doses were increased if 3 additional doses of 1/6th	Authors' conclusion: 'Oxymorphone predose plasmacon- centrations and its

	1		
noroxycodone and dose escalation in cancer patients recei- ving oxycodone. J Clin Pharmacol 2011;51:1529-38. PubMed PMID: 21209234.		to 1/4th of the daily dose were needed the day before (dose escalation). Relevant co-medication was not excluded, apart from triazole derivatives. Genotyping: - 46x EM + gene dose 1-0 (*1/*1, *1/*2, *2/*2, *1/*10, *2/*10, *1/*5, *2/*5) - 16x gene dose 0.5-0.5 + gene dose 0.5 (*10/*10, *5/*10)	ratio to oxycodone predose plasma concentrations were significantly higher in CYP2D6 exten- sive metabolizers than in intermediate metabolizers but did not affect dose
ref. 5, continuation	IM: A	 (Gene dose 0.5-0.5 + gene dose 0.5) versus (EM + gene dose 1-0): no difference in dose escalation, not in the percentage of patients requiring dose increases or in the actual percentage dose increase (NS) whether the first stable dose and C_{ss} of oxycodone and oxymorphone at this dose were different is not known: the dose is not given and the C_{ss} is only given after correction for dose and body weight no effect on the incidence of the side effect drowsiness (NS) 18% decrease in oxycodone dose- and weight-corrected C_{ss} (from 126 to 103 ng/mL per mg/kg) (NS) 53% decrease in the oxymorphone dose- and weight-corrected C_{ss} (from 1.69 to 0.79 ng/mL per mg/kg) (S) NOTE: Genotyping was performed for *2, *5 and *10. These are the most common alleles in this Japanese patient population 	escalation.'
ref. 6 Zwisler ST et al. Impact of CYP2D6 genotype on post- operative intravenous oxycodone analgesia. Acta Anaesthesiol Scan 2010;54:232-40. PubMed PMID: 19719813.	3 PM: A	 patient population. 270 patients were treated postoperatively with intravenous oxycodone for 24 hours. The dose was 5 mg after the operation. In the event of high pain score (n=96), this was repeated once or twice on waking from anaesthesia. Patients could subsequently self-administer doses of 2 mg oxycodone (up to once/15 minutes). Approximately 60% self-administered. Analgesic co-medication was paraceta-mol 1 g 4x daily and diclofenac 50 mg 3x daily. Intravenous morphine (5 mg) was given in the event that oxycodone delivered inadequate pain relief. Strong CYP2D6 inhibitors (fluoxetine, paroxetine or terbinafine) were excluded. Genotyping: 246x EM+IM (125x *1/*1, 18x *1/*9, 10x *1/*3, 86x *1/*4, 5x *1/*6, 2x *4/*9) 24x PM (3x *3/*4, 19x *4/*4, 1x *4/*6 and 1x *6/*6) PM versus EM+IM: 4-fold decrease in the percentage of patients needing morphine or who were dissatisfied with the level of pain relief (from 17.0% to 4.2% (NS) no difference in sedation, nausea/vomiting, fatigue/drowsiness and itching (NS) 18% decrease in total cumulative self-administered oxycodone dose (from 14.7 to 12.96 mg) (NS) 67% decrease in the oxymorphone plasma concentration (from 0.12 to 0.04 ng/mL) (S) no difference in the oxycodone plasma concentration (41.9 versus 40.9 ng/mL) (NS) 	Authors' conclusion: 'This study showed for the first time in patients that the oxymorphone for- mation depends on CYP2D6, but we found no difference in the post-operative analgesic effect of intravenous oxyco- done between the two CYP2D6 geno- types.'
		NOTE: genotyping was performed for *3, *4, *6 and *9.	

ref. 7 Lemberg KK et al. Does co-administra- tion of paroxetine change oxycodone analgesia: an inter- action study in chro- nic pain patients. Scan Jour Pain 2010:1;24-33. No Pubmed ID.	3 UM: AA IM: AA	 20 patients were initiated on a stable twice daily sustained-release oxycodone dose, on 3 days of which they were allowed to use morphine no more than twice daily for break-through pain. This dose was continued for 1 week. Outcome parameters were measured on the 7th day. Most patients also used non-opioid analgesics. Strong CYP2D6 inhibitors were excluded. Genotyping: 14x EM 4x IM 2x UM UM versus EM versus IM: no difference in pain scores (NS) no difference in pharmacokinetics (NS) The authors stated that the lack of results may have been caused by the small sample size. 	Authors' conclusion: 'No statistically sig- nificant associations of the CYP2D6 or CYP3A4/5 genotype of the patients and the pharmacokine- tics of oxycodone or its metabolites or analgesic effects were observed probably due to the limited number of patients studied.'
		NOTE: genotyping was performed for *3 to *8, *41 and	
ref. 8 Samer CF et al. Genetic polymor- phisms and drug interactions modula- ting CYP2D6 and CYP3A activities have a major effect on oxycodone analgesic efficacy and safety. Br J Pharmacol 2010;16:919-30. PubMed PMID: 20590588.	З РМ: В UM: В	 gene duplication. 9 volunteers received a single dose of 0.2 mg/kg oxyco- done. Various tests were performed 0.5, 1, 1.5, 2, 3 and 6 hours after administration. Naloxone was administered 1.5 hours after administration. Co-medication was excluded. Genotyping: 6x EM + gene dose 1 (1x *1/*41, 1x *2/*41, 1x *5/*35, 1x *4/*35, 1x *1/*4 and 1x *1/*6) 2x PM + gene dose 0.5 (*4/*4, *5/*41) 2x gene duplications (*41/*41xN, *1/*2xN) (PM + gene dose 0.5) versus (EM + gene dose 1) versus gene duplication: decreased pain threshold on exposure to ice water and on electrical nerve stimulation (S) decreased pupillary constriction (opioids decrease pupil size) (S) decreased sedation (S) increased functioning in psychomotor test (replacing a numerical digit) (S for UM versus EM, not determined for PM versus EM) decreased percentage of patients with spontaneously reported side effects (0% versus 17% versus 100%) (NS). Side effects were mild for EM and mild to severe for UM. In a parallel experiment, ketoconazole-driven CYP3A4 inhibition doubled the number of side effects reported. 	Authors' conclusion: 'CYP2D6 activity was correlated with oxycodone experi- mental pain assess- ment. CYP2D6 ultra-rapid metabo- lizers experienced increased pharma- codynamic effects, whereas cold pres- sor test and pupil size were unchan- ged in CYP2D6 poor metabolizers, rela- tive to extensive metabolizers.'
ref. 9 Comer SD et al. Abuse liability of oxycodone as a function of pain and drug use history. Drug Alcohol Depend 2010;109:130-8. PubMed PMID: 20079977	3 IM+PM: AA	 9 volunteers addicted to prescription opioids and 8 volunteers who had used prescription opioids but who were not addicted were genotyped. Results: higher percentage of IM+PM in the addicted group versus the non-addicted group (89% versus 38%) (NS) The authors stated that the results are to be interpreted with caution due to the small sample size. 	Authors' conclusion: 'In the present study 89% of the prescrip- tion opioid abusers had a genotype con- sistent with either a poor or intermediate metabolizer pheno- type, compared to 38% of the non-drug abusers.'

ref. 10 Jannetto PJ et al. Utilization of pharma- cogenomics and therapeutic drug monitoring for opioid pain management. Pharmacogenomics 2009;10:1157-67.	3 PM: AA IM: AA	 29 chronic pain patients (2x PM, 14x IM, 13x EM; genotyping for *3 to *8 and gene duplication), who used oxycodone monotherapy or combination therapy with methadone, tramadol or hydrocodone; 32 additional patients on tramadol, methadone or hydrocodone therapy; co-medication not excluded. PM versus IM versus EM; all patients: therapy delivers complete pain relief in 0% versus 20% versus 21%. therapy delivers no pain relief in 0% versus 12% versus 21%. therapy delivers no pain relief in 0% versus 12% versus 21%. therapy delivers of patients with an increase in the number of functional genes. higher percentage of patients with side effects for PM + IM (4%) than for EM (2%). The only EM with side effects also used multiple CYP2D6 substrates. PM versus EM; oxycodone: decrease in C_{ss}^a by 74% (from 39 to 20 ng/mL per mg/kg). However, this was caused by non-compliance of both PMs. IM versus EM; oxycodone: increase in C_{ss}^a by 54% (NS, from 39 to 60 ng/mL per mg/kg). Oxycodone, all genotypes: on average, patients with complete response had lower C_{ss} than patients with partial response (approximately 19 ng/mL versus approximately 27 ng/mL) (NS). 	Authors' conclusion: 'These results suggest that patient care may be impro- ved by genotyping and following thera- peutic drug concen- trations. In addition, this study clearly demonstrated a relationship between oxycodone steady- state drug concen- trations and pain relief.' C _{ss} oxycodone versus EM: IM: 154%
ref. 11 Zwisler ST et al. The hypoalgesic effect of oxycodone in human experimental pain models in rela- tion to the CYP2D6 oxidation polymor- phism. Basic Clin Pharmacol Toxicol 2009;104:335-44.	3 PM: B	 ng/mL) (NS). 33 volunteers, 17x PM and 16x EM[#] (phenotyped using tramadol), a single dose of 20 mg oxycodone or placebo at a 1 week interval, no relevant co-medication and alcohol. Plasma concentrations were determined approximately 1 hour after administration of the medication. PM versus EM[#]: decrease in pain threshold and pain tolerance on electrical stimulation of the calf nerve by 55% and 42% respectively (S, from 20% to 9% and from 26% to 15% respectively). decrease in the area under the pain-time curve in a cold pressor test by 46% (S, from 26% to 14%). decrease in the total score for the severity of side effects by 28% (NS, from 9.5 to 6.8). increase in the lengthening of response time in the total choice reaction test by 81% (S, mean from 6% to 11%). decrease in the oxymorphone/oxycodone plasma concentration ratio by 58% (S, from 0.010 to 0.0042). NOTE: genotype unknown. It is not possible to distinguish between EM, IM and UM based on phenotyping. EM[#] is therefore equal to EM + IM + UM. 	Authors' conclusion: 'The results indicate that oxycodone metabolism to oxymorphone via CYP2D6 is contri- buting to the anal- gesic effect of oxy- codone, but it is not responsible for all of its effect.'
ref. 12 Foster A et al. Complicated pain management in a CYP450 2D6 poor metabolizer. Pain Pract	1 PM: B	 female patient, *4/*4, history of inadequate response to codeine and nausea/vomiting on morphine, oxycodone 20 mg plus paracetamol 650 mg every 4 hours for post-traumatic pain relief; No or inadequate pain relief achieved. The patient was switched to hydrocodone, which delivered some pain relief and seems to be tolerated better than 	

2007;7:352-6.		other opioids.	
ref. 13 Susce MT et al. Response to hydroco- done, codeine and oxycodone in a CYP- 2D6 poor metabolizer. Prog Neuropsycho- pharmacol Biol Psy- chiatry 2006;30:1356-8.	2 PM: B	 female patient, *4/*6, with codeine intolerance, received oxycodone 10-30 mg/day after hip surgery, no CYP2D6 inhibitors as co-medication; Nausea and vomiting, no analgesic effect, tramadol requirement. Hydrocodone 20-25 mg/day results in better pain relief and fewer side effects. 	Authors' conclusion: 'This case report appears to suggest that, like codeine, oxycodone may need CYP2D6 to provide analgesic effects.'
ref. 14 de Leon J et al. Adverse drug reac- tions to oxycodone and hydrocodone in CYP2D6 ultrarapid metabolizers. J Clin Psychophar- macol 2003;23:420-1.	1 UM: B	 male patient, *2xn/*1, used 10 mg oxycodone twice and developed insomnia, anxiety and extra alertness. 	
ref. 15 Maddocks I et al. Attenuation of morphine-induced delirium in palliative care by substitution with infusion of oxycodone. J Pain Symptom Manage 1996;12:182-9.	2 PM: B	 13 cancer patients with delirium on morphine, 1x PM, 11x EM[#] and 1x phenotype not known (phenotyped using dextromethorphan), continuous oxycodone SC for 6 days 15-250 mg/24h, including the CYP2D6 inhibitor haloperidol as co-medication; PM: initial dose (200 mg/24h) and final dose (250 mg/24h) of oxycodone were higher than in EM[#] patients. Delirium resolved but pain scores (visual analogue scale) worsened, from 1.0 to 3.46 while EM[#] patients showed a non-significant decrease from 3.0 to 1.62. The patient needed 13 times fentanyl for breakthrough pain. 	
^a Corrected for dose and		NOTE: genotype unknown. It is not possible to distinguish between EM, IM and UM based on phenotyping. EM [#] is therefore equal to EM + IM + UM.	

^a Corrected for dose and body weight.

Risk group -		
	Risk group	-

Comments:

 Articles published after 2009 including kinetic data alone were not included, because they do not contribute sufficiently to the burden of proof. Enzyme activity is the limiting factor for PM and IM patients and dose increase will therefore have no or little effect. Adequate pain relief can be achieved in UM patients without the occurrence of side effects.

Studies in which clinical effects were modelled instead of measured were not included in the risk analysis.

- Existing guidelines:
 - Crews KR et al. Clinical Pharmacogenetics Implementation Consortium (CPIC) guidelines for codeine therapy in the context of cytochrome P450 2D6 (CYP2D6) genotype. Clin Pharmacol Ther 2012;91:321-6. PubMed PMID: 22205192
 - and

Crews KR et al. Clinical Pharmacogenetics Implementation Consortium guidelines for cytochrome P450 2D6 genotype and codeine therapy: 2014 update. Clin Pharmacol Ther 2014;95:376-82. PubMed PMID: 24458010. CPIC does not have a guideline for oxycodone, but the guideline for codeine contains also information on oxycodone.

CPIC uses the same definition for PM as we do. However, CPIC uses other definitions for EM (gene dose 1-2), IM (gene dose 0.5) and UM (gene dose \geq 2.5). In the recommendations below, the KNMP definitions for EM, PM, IM and UM are used. CPIC indicates that classifying patients with an activity score of 1.0 as EMs in this guideline is based on data specific for formation of morphine from codeine in these patients (Lötsch J et al. Can

extremely low or high morphine formation from codeine be predicted prior to therapy initiation? Pain 2009;144:119-24).

CPIC indicates that CYP2D6 poor metabolizers have been shown to have lower peak concentrations of oxymorphone after a dose of oxycodone as compared with extensive metabolizers (Zwisler 2010 and Andreassen 2012). However, conflicting data exist on the association of CYP2D6 metaboliser phenotype with the analgesic effect and toxicity of oxycodone in prospective clinical studies. Differential analgesic response to experimental pain was observed between extensive metabolisers and poor metabolisers, as well as between ultrarapid metabolisers and extensive and poor metabolisers in two studies in healthy volunteers (Zwisler 2009 and Samer 2010). However, clinical studies in postoperative patients and in cancer patients failed to demonstrate a significant difference in analgesia or side effects of oxycodone across CYP2D6 phenotypes (Zwisler 2010 and Andreassen 2012). Due to these conflicting data, it is difficult to conclude whether CYP2D6 metaboliser phenotype with hydrocodone analgesia or risk of toxicity. The differences in reported associations of CYP2D6 phenotype with hydrocodone and oxycodone analgesia as compared with that of codeine may be due to differing relative roles of the parent drug and the circulating metabolites in analgesia among these CYP2D6 substrates (Lalovic B et al. Pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics of oral oxycodone in healthy human subjects: role of circulating active metabolites. Clin Pharmacol Ther 2006;79:461-79.).

Based on the data above, CPIC concludes that it is premature to recommend routine therapy adjustment for oxycodone on the basis of CYP2D6 genotype, but that use of an analgesic other than the CYP2D6 substrates tramadol, hydrocodone, or oxycodone in poor metabolizers may be preferable.

Phenotype/ genotype group	Considerations for alternative opioids (i.e. alternatives for codeine)
UM + gene dose	Tramadol and, to a lesser extent, hydrocodone and oxycodone are not good
2.5	alternatives because their metabolism is affected by CYP2D6 activity. ^a
РМ	Tramadol and, to a lesser extent, hydrocodone and oxycodone are not good alternatives because their metabolism is affected by CYP2D6 activity; these agents should be avoided. ^a

^a Some other opioid analgesics, such as hydrocodone and oxycodone, are metabolized by CYP2D6. To avoid treatment complications, opioids that are not metabolized by CYP2D6, including morphine, oxymorphone, buprenorphine, fentanyl, methadone, and hydromorphone, along with non-opioid analgesics, may be considered as alternatives for use in CYP2D6 PMs and UMs.

The recommendations above are still the same after the last update on 20-4-2017 on the PharmGKB-site.

Date of literature search: 18 October 2017.

	Phenotype	Code	Gene-drug interaction	Action	Date
Decision of the Dutch	PM	3 B	yes	no	20 November 2017
Pharmacogenetics	IM	3 A	yes	no	
Working Group	UM	3 B	yes	no	

Mechanism:

Oxycodone is predominantly metabolised by CYP3A4 to noroxycodone and to a lesser extent by CYP2D6 to oxymorphone. Oxymorphone has approximately 14x the analgesic activity of oxycodone, for noroxycodone this is approximately 0.01x. Noroxymorphone is a strong μ -opioid receptor agonist, but does not penetrate the blood-brain barrier and therefore lacks a central effect.

A CYP2D6 genetic polymorphism may change the plasma concentrations of oxycodone and oxymorphone.