

# CYP2D6: tramadol

# 1589/1590/1591

AUC = area under the concentration-time curve, CI = confidence interval,  $C_{max}$  = maximum plasma concentration,  $CI_{or}$  = oral clearance, EM = extensive metaboliser (gene dose 1.5-2.5) (normal CYP2D6 enzyme activity), IM = intermediate metaboliser (gene dose 0.5-1) (decreased CYP2D6 enzyme activity), MR = metabolic ratio, *N*-DT = *N*-desmethyl-tramadol, NS = non-significant, *O*-DT = *O*-desmethyltramadol,  $OR_{corr}$  = odds ratio, PM = poor metaboliser (gene dose 0) (absent CYP2D6 enzyme activity), S = significant, UM = ultra-rapid metaboliser (gene dose 2) (enhanced CYP-2D6 enzyme activity)

**Disclaimer:** The Pharmacogenetics Working Group of the KNMP formulates the optimal recommendations for each phenotype group based on the available evidence. If this optimal recommendation cannot be followed due to practical restrictions, e.g. therapeutic drug monitoring or a lower dose is not available, the health care professional should consider the next best option.

#### Brief summary and justification of choices:

Tramadol is metabolised by CYP2D6, CYP3A4 and by glucuronidation. Conversion by CYP2D6 results in formation of the active metabolite *O*-desmethyltramadol, which has a 300x higher affinity for the  $\mu$ -opioid receptor than the mother substance. Tramadol itself has an analgesic effect through inhibition of the re-uptake of norepinephrine and serotonin. When the ratio of tramadol and *O*-desmethyltramadol changes, the nature of the substance and the total analgesic effect also change. Therefore, the analgesic effect cannot be predicted based on kinetic parameters. That is why it is not possible to calculate a dose adjustment in these cases.

PM + IM: the risk of reduced effectiveness of the therapy increases with these phenotypes. This is evidenced by the data from the literature. For this reason, the working group decides that action is needed for this gene-drug-interactions (yes/yes-interactions).

Literature shows that the *O*-desmethyltramadol/tramadol ratio is decreased in these phenotypes, so a dose adjustment cannot be calculated. If the analgesic effect is inadequate, it is recommended to try a dose increase. If this does not have the desired effect, it is recommended to select an alternative. Do not select codeine, as this is also metabolised by CYP2D6. Morphine is not metabolised by CYP2D6.

UM: Enhanced morphine-like side effects were observed in UMs in three cases. In a boy using tramadol after ambulatory adenotonsillectomy for obstructive sleep apnoea syndrome and in an adult with reduced renal function, these side effects were life-threatening. For this reason, the working group decides that action is needed for this gene-drug-interaction (yes/yes-interaction).

Four studies demonstrated an elevated plasma concentration of *O*-desmethyltramadol. Therefore, select an alternative. Do not select codeine, as this is also metabolised by CYP2D6. Morphine is not metabolised by CYP2D6. As the results for PMs, IMs and UMs indicate that (+)-*O*-desmethyltramadol plays a dominant role in both the analgesia and the side effects, a dose reduction is recommended if there are no alternatives. Only three of the studies that demonstrated an increase in AUC of *O*-desmethyltramadol stated this increase in comparison to EM. One of these (Stamer 2007, 8 UMs) used a median dose that cannot be used for the calculation of a weighted mean. The other two studies were Rauers 2010 (9 UM) and Saarikowski 2015 (4 UM). Based on the AUC of *O*-desmethyltramadol, the weighted mean of the dose adjustment calculated in these studies is a dose reduction to 38% of the standard dose (median 51%, range 17-85%). This was rounded off to 40% to be more achievable in clinical practice. This correlated well with the dose adjustment calculated based on the median AUCs in Stamer 2007 (reduction to 44% of the standard dose).

You can find a detailed overview of the observed kinetic and clinical effects in the background information text of the gene-drug interactions on the KNMP Kennisbank. You might also have access to this background information text via your pharmacy or physician electronic decision support system.

#### Recommendation concerning pre-emptive genotyping, including justification of choices:

The Dutch Pharmacogenetics Working Group considers genotyping before starting tramadol to be potentially beneficial for drug safety and efficacy. Genotyping can be considered on an individual patient basis. If, however, the genotype is available, the Dutch Pharmacogenetics Working Group recommends adhering to the gene-drug guideline. The clinical implication of the gene-drug interaction scores 1 out of the maximum of 10 points (with pre-emptive genotyping considered to be potentially beneficial for scores ranging from 0 to 2 points):

The reduced analgesic efficacy in patients with genetically reduced CYP2D6 activity (intermediate and poor metabolisers (IM and PM)) does not have a high clinical impact (severity code B corresponding to CTCAE grade 1). However, in patients with genetically enhanced CYP2D6 activity (ultra-rapid metabolisers (UM)), tramadol can cause serious and even life-threatening opioid toxicity (code E corresponding to CTCAE grade 4). This results in a score of 1 out of the maximum of 2 points for the first criterion of the clinical implication score, the clinical effect associated with the genedrug interaction (1 point for CTCAE grade 3 or 4).

Life-threatening opioid toxicity was only reported in two cases (a 5.5-year-old boy and a 66-year-old man). Toxicity code  $\geq$  D (grade  $\geq$  3) was not reported in studies. This results in a score of 0 of the maximum of 3 points for the second criterion of the clinical implication score, the level of evidence supporting the associated clinical effect grade  $\geq$  3 (only points for at least one publication with level of evidence score  $\geq$  3).

The prevalence of CYP2D6 UM in the Netherlands is 1-2%. Thus the number needed to genotype to identify one risk patient is 50 to 100. Because severe adverse events have only been observed in cases, the percentage of ultra-rapid metabolisers developing a severe adverse event is not known, but seems to be low. Thus, the number needed to genotype to prevent a severe adverse event seems considerably larger than 50 to 100. Because the number needed to genotype to prevent 1 adverse event code  $\geq$  D (grade  $\geq$  3) is likely to be larger than 1000, this results in 0 out of the maximum of 3 points for the third criterion of the clinical implication score, the number needed to genotype (NNG) in the Dutch population to prevent one clinical effect code  $\geq$  D (grade  $\geq$  3) (only points for NNG  $\leq$  1000).

The Dutch drug label does not mention any CYP2D6 geno- or phenotype and the drug label from the USA states that individuals who are UM should not use tramadol, but does not mention this in the contra-indication section. Neither drug label recommends pre-emptive genotyping. The Dutch drug label results in 0 out of the maximum of 2 points for the fourth and last criterion of the clinical implication score, the pharmacogenetics information in the drug label (only points for at least one genotype/phenotype mentioned in the drug label).

| Source                 | Code | Effect  | Comments             |
|------------------------|------|---|----------------------|
| ref. 1                 | 3    | 90 patients received patient controlled intravenous analgesia | Authors' conclusion: |
| Seripa D et al.        |      | with a maximum total dose of 200-400 mg tramadol and          | 'In respect to the   |
| Role of CYP2D6         |      | 320-640 mg ketoprofen after abdominal or thoracic surgery.    | normal CYP2D6        |
| polymorphisms in the   |      | Patients predicted to have severe post-operative pain recei-  | phenotype, our       |
| outcome of postope-    |      | ved in addition a maximum total dose of 20 mg morphine. All   | results suggested    |
| rative pain treatment. |      | patients received a maximum total dose of 20-40 mg meto-      | that slowly metabo-  |
| Pain Med               |      | clopramide and 100-200 mg ranitidine. Premedication was       | lizers (IMs and PMs) |
| 2015;16:2012-23.       |      | midazolam, diazepam, or no drug. Two patients received        | might have a major   |
| PubMed PMID:           |      | idrossizine to prevent allergic reactions. Anaesthesia was    | sedation, whereas    |
| 25989235.              |      | induced with propofol and remifentanil or fentanyl and main-  | more rapid metabo-   |
|                        |      | tained with the same drugs or with sevorlurane and remiten-   | lizers (UM) a minor  |
|                        |      | tanil or fentanyl.  | sedation, in the     |
|                        |      | Pain was measured with the 10-point Numeric Rating Scale,     | early time after     |
|                        |      | sedation with the 6-point Ramsay Sedation Scale (RSS).        | surgery. A minor     |
|                        |      | Relevant co-medication was excluded.                          | role of CYP2D6       |
|                        |      | The number of patients in this study resulted in 80% power    | pnenotype in post-   |
|                        |      | to detect differences between the CYP2D6 phenotypes in        | operative analgesia  |
|                        |      | the change of sedation between waking up and 24 hours         | may be suggested."   |
|                        |      | later.  |                      |
|                        |      | Construing  |                      |
|                        |      |   |                      |
|                        |      |   |                      |
|                        |      |   |                      |
|                        |      | -6x (LM + gape dose > 2) (2x LM - 3x gape dose > 2            |                      |
|                        |      | $(*4/(1)N)$ 1x gene dose $\geq 2.5$ ( $\times 4.1/(1)N$ )     |                      |
|                        |      | (1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1                     |                      |
|                        |      | Results:  |                      |
|                        |      | Results in comparison with EM:                                |                      |
|                        |      | PM IM UM + value  |                      |
|                        |      |   |                      |

The table below follows the KNMP definitions for EM, PM, IM and UM. The definitions of EM, PM, IM and UM used in the table below may therefore differ from the definitions used by the authors in the article.

| ref. 1. continuation   |       |  |                          |                              | aene                  | for                    |                      |
|------------------------|-------|--|--------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|----------------------|
|                        |       |  |                          |                              | dose 2                | ≥ EM                   |                      |
|                        |       |  |                          |                              | 2                     |                        |                      |
|                        |       | vomiting                                       | x 0                      | x 0                          | x 0                   | 24%                    |                      |
|                        |       |  | S for the                | e trend PN                   | l versus              | s of                   |                      |
|                        |       |  | IM versu                 | us EIM ver                   | sus (UN               | 1 pa-                  |                      |
|                        |       | sedation 0.5 hour                              |                          | v = 1 + 10                   | × 0 77                | BSS-                   |                      |
|                        | IM: B | after waking up                                | (NS                      | (S)                          | (NS                   | score                  |                      |
|                        |       | and waking up                                  | trend.                   | (0)                          | trend.                | =                      |                      |
|                        |       |  | p =                      |                              | p =                   | 1.29                   |                      |
|                        |       |  | 0.091)                   |                              | 0.091)                | )                      |                      |
|                        |       |  | S for the                | e trend PN                   | 1 versus              | 5                      |                      |
|                        |       |  | IM versu                 | us EM ver                    | sus (UN               | 1                      |                      |
|                        |       | codation at the time                           | + gene c                 | $lose \ge 2$                 |                       |                        |                      |
|                        |       | of and 2 6 12 and                              | INO                      |                              |                       |                        |                      |
|                        |       | 24 hours after                                 |                          |                              |                       |                        |                      |
|                        |       | waking up                                      |                          |                              |                       |                        |                      |
|                        |       |  | The timi                 | ng of the                    | CYP2D                 | 6 effect               |                      |
|                        |       |  | suggest                  | s that it is                 | more lil              | kely to                |                      |
|                        |       |  | be an ef                 | fect on re                   | covery                | of seda-               |                      |
|                        |       |  | tion after               | r anaesth                    | esia tha              | n an                   |                      |
|                        |       |  | tive anal                | loesia with                  | by pos<br>trama       | t-opera-               |                      |
|                        |       | pain at the time of.                           | NS                       | igeola witi                  | i ti airia            |                        |                      |
|                        |       | and 0.5, 2, 6, 12,                             |                          |                              |                       |                        |                      |
|                        |       | and 24 hours after                             |                          |                              |                       |                        |                      |
|                        |       | waking up                                      |                          |                              |                       |                        |                      |
|                        |       | decrease in pain                               | NS                       |                              |                       |                        |                      |
|                        |       | scores over time                               |                          |                              |                       |                        |                      |
|                        |       | NOTE: Genotyping was<br>*17, *29, *41 and gene | s performe<br>duplicatio | ed for *2 to<br>on (with ide | o *10, *<br>entificat | 12, *14,<br>ion of the |                      |
|                        |       | duplicated allele).                            |                          |                              |                       |                        |                      |
| ref. 2                 | 4     | 111 patients received p                        | atient cor               | ntrolled int                 | ravenou               | us analge-             | Authors' conclusion: |
| Effect of the CVP2D6   |       | tive peoprectomy Abou                          | it 30 mini               | tas bafor                    | uays ai<br>s tormin   | ation of               | *10 genotypes have   |
| aene polymorphism      |       | surgery, patients receiv                       | ved an intr              | avenous                      | loading               | dose of                | an influence on the  |
| on postoperative       |       | 100 mg tramadol and 1                          | mg grani                 | setron. If                   | analges               | ia was                 | analgesic effect of  |
| analgesia of tramadol  |       | insufficient, 50 mg intra                      | venous tr                | amadol w                     | as give               | n as res-              | tramadol in Han      |
| in Han nationality ne- |       | cue mediation. Anaesth                         | nesia was                | induced v                    | with pro              | pofol and              | nationality patients |
| phrectomy patients.    |       | fentanyl and maintaine                         | d with isof              | lurane an                    | d fentar              | ıyl.                   | after elective       |
| Eur J Clin Pharmacol   |       | Pain was measured with                         | in the TU-p              | Doint visua                  | ai anaio              | gue scale.             | nephrectomy.         |
| PubMed PMID:           |       | nelevani co-medication                         | I Was Excl               | luueu.                       |                       |                        |                      |
| 25948472.              |       | Genotypina:                                    |                          |                              |                       |                        |                      |
|                        |       | - 33x gene dose 2                              |                          |                              |                       |                        |                      |
|                        |       | - 28x gene dose 1.5                            |                          |                              |                       |                        |                      |
|                        |       | - 50x IM                                       |                          |                              |                       |                        |                      |
|                        |       | Decultor                                       |                          |                              |                       |                        |                      |
|                        |       | Results in comparison                          | with app                 | e dose 2.                    |                       |                        |                      |
|                        |       |  | i witi yell              | C 003C 2.                    | T                     | value for              |                      |
|                        |       |  | IM                       | gene                         | 1                     | gene                   |                      |
|                        |       |  |                          | aose                         | C.1                   | dose 2                 |                      |
|                        |       | pain score 2 hours                             | x 1.5 (S)                | x 1.0                        | (NS)                  | 3.7                    |                      |
|                        |       | after operation                                |                          |                              |                       |                        |                      |
|                        |       | pain score 4, 24, 48                           | NS                       | NS                           |                       |                        |                      |
|                        |       | and 72 nours atter                             |                          |                              |                       |                        |                      |
|                        | IM· B | total tramadol                                 | x 1 6 (S)                | x 1 2                        | (NS)                  | 44.8 ma                |                      |
|                        |       | consumption 2                                  |                          |                              | ()                    |                        |                      |

| ref. 2, continuation   |       | hours after              |                 |            |                  |                       |
|------------------------|-------|--------------------------|-----------------|------------|------------------|-----------------------|
|                        |       | operation                |                 |            |                  |                       |
|                        |       | total tramadol           | NS              | NS         |                  |                       |
|                        |       | consumption 4, 24,       |                 |            |                  |                       |
|                        |       | 48 and 72 hours          |                 |            |                  |                       |
|                        |       | after operation          |                 |            |                  |                       |
|                        |       | patient controlled       | x 2.4 (S)       | x 0.88     | 0.8              |                       |
|                        |       | dosing 2 hours after     |                 | (NS)       | times            |                       |
|                        |       | operation                |                 |            |                  |                       |
|                        |       | patient controlled       | x 3.5 (S)       | x 0.75     | 0.4              |                       |
|                        |       | dosing 4 hours after     |                 | (NS)       | times            |                       |
|                        |       | operation                | NC              | NC         |                  |                       |
|                        |       | docing 24, 48 and        | INS .           | 113        |                  |                       |
|                        |       | 72 hours after           |                 |            |                  |                       |
|                        |       | operation                |                 |            |                  |                       |
|                        |       | nausea and vomi-         | NS              | NS         |                  |                       |
|                        |       | ting                     |                 |            |                  |                       |
|                        |       |                          | 1               |            | l                |                       |
|                        |       | NOTE: Genotyping was     | s performed     | for *10.   | This is the most |                       |
|                        |       | important gene variant   | in this Chine   | se patie   | ntgroup.         |                       |
| ref. 3                 | 2     | A 5.5-year-old boy of 2  | 1.0 kg becar    | ne coma    | atose with pin-  | Authors' conclusion:  |
| Orliaguet G et al.     |       | point pupils, minimal re | espiratory effo | ort, frequ | lent episodes of | 'Tramadol may be      |
| A case of respiratory  |       | apnoea, and an oxyger    | n saturation o  | of 48%, (  | on the morning   | associated with a     |
| depression in a child  |       | after an oral dose of 20 | ) mg tramado    | ol (0.95 r | ng/kg). He took  | risk of respiratory   |
| with ultrarapid CYP-   |       | the dose 14 hours after  | r ambulatory    | adenoto    | onsillectomy     | depression after      |
| 2D6 metabolism after   |       | under general anaestn    | esia for obstr  | UCTIVE S   | leep aprioea     | ambulatory tonsiliec- |
| Podiatrice             |       | ma intravonous nalovo    |                 | and thre   |                  | ultrarapid CVP2D6     |
| 2015.135.0753-5        |       | and respiration within r | ninutos Hov     | vae diec   | harged from      | metabolism '          |
| PubMed PMID            |       | hospital the next day    |                 | vas uisc   | naigeu nom       | metabolism.           |
| 25647677.              |       | There was no evidence    | e of renal imp  | airment    | . He was         |                       |
|                        | UM: E | CYP2D6 UM (*2x2/*2).     | The urinary     | metabo     | lic ratio O-     |                       |
|                        |       | desmethyltramadol/trai   | madol was si    | gnifican   | tly increased    |                       |
|                        |       | (0.63).                  |                 | •          |                  |                       |
| ref. 4                 | 3     | 12 healthy volunteers r  | eceived a sir   | ngle oral  | dose of 50 mg    | Authors' conclusion:  |
| Saarikoski T et al.    |       | tramadol. Relevant co-   | medication w    | vas exclu  | uded.            | 'The mean M1          |
| Effects of terbinafine |       |                          |                 |            |                  | AUC₀-∞ was 18%        |
| and itraconazole on    |       | Genotyping:              |                 |            |                  | higher in ultrarapid  |
| the pharmacokinetics   |       | - 8x EM+IM (6x EM, 2x    | (IM)            |            |                  | compared to exten-    |
| of orally administered |       | - 4x UN                  |                 |            |                  | sive metabolizers;    |
| Fur I Clin Pharmacol   |       | Boculte:                 |                 |            |                  | rence was not statis- |
| 2015.71.321-7          |       | I IM voreus FM+IM.       |                 |            |                  | tically significant   |
| PubMed PMID:           | ΙΜ·ΔΔ | ALIC O-desmethyltrar     | madol           |            | (1.18 (NS)       | due to the low num-   |
| 25560051.              |       | AUC ratio O-desmeth      | vltramadol/tr   | a- )       | (1.68 (NS)       | ber of subjects in    |
|                        |       | madol                    | yn an acov      | ŭ j        |                  | each genotype         |
|                        |       | oral clearance tramac    | lol             | >          | (1.46 (NS)       | group.'               |
|                        |       | The authors indicate t   | that the lack   | of statist | ical significan- |                       |
|                        |       | ce is due to the low n   | umber of sub    | jects in   | each genotype    | AUC O-DT versus       |
|                        |       | group.                   |                 | •          | <b>C 1</b>       | EM:                   |
|                        |       |                          |                 |            |                  | UM: 118%              |
|                        |       | NOTE: Genotyping was     | s performed     | for *3 to  | *6, *9, *10, *41 |                       |
|                        |       | and gene duplication.    | These are the   | e most ir  | nportant gene    |                       |
|                        |       | variants in this Finnish | patientgroup    |            | <u> </u>         |                       |
| ret. 5                 | 3     | 200 patients received 1  | 100 mg intrar   | nuscula    | r tramadol once, | Authors' conclusion:  |
| Znao Q et al.          |       | when the pain score or   | 1 a 100 mm v    | lisual an  | alogue scale     | Binary logistic       |
| A logistic equation to |       | was > 40 mm atter upp    | otivopoco ura   | ure interi |                  | regression analyses   |
| of tramadol from role  |       | of the pain score on the | cuveness Wa     |            | u as a ueurease  | 2D6 genotype and      |
| ted gene polymor-      |       | within 6 hours of the tr | amadol doeo     | Jyue su    |                  | anxiety level contri- |
| phisms and psycho-     |       | Relevant co-medication   | n was not ex    | cluded     |                  | butes predominately   |
|                        | 1     | Only 3 patients had ad   | verse drug re   | actions    | (1x somnoles-    | to tramadol respon-   |

| Pharmacogenomics       |         | cence and 2x nausea/v     | omiting).       |                 |                        | ses, while p-gp ge     |
|------------------------|---------|---------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------------|------------------------|
| 2014;15:487-95.        |         |                           |                 |                 |                        | notype comes           |
| PubMed PMID:           |         | Genotyping:               |                 |                 |                        | second. These fin-     |
| 24024910.              |         | - 58x gene dose 2         |                 |                 |                        | ongs indicate that     |
| ref 5 continuation     |         | - 60x gene dose 1.5       |                 |                 |                        | poor tramador res-     |
|                        |         |                           |                 |                 |                        | pends on both gene-    |
|                        |         | Results:                  |                 |                 |                        | tic and nongenetic     |
|                        |         | Results in comparisor     | n with aene d   | ose 2:          |                        | factors and may be     |
|                        |         |                           |                 |                 | value for              | improved by lower      |
|                        |         |                           | IM              | gene            | gene                   | anxiety levels and     |
|                        |         |                           |                 | uose 1.5        | dose 2                 | by genotyping for      |
|                        | IM: B   | % of patients in          | x 0.35          | x 0.73          | 83%                    | CYP2D6 *10 and p-      |
|                        |         | which tramadol was        | S for the tre   | end IM versus   | s (gene                | gp 3435.               |
|                        |         | effective                 | dose 1.5) v     | ersus (gene o   | dose 2)                |                        |
|                        |         |                           | A binary log    | Jistic regress  | ion model              |                        |
|                        |         |                           | showed that     | independer      | b geno-                |                        |
|                        |         |                           | tive factor     | hut anxiety h   | ad a                   |                        |
|                        |         |                           | larger effec    | t               | uuu                    |                        |
|                        |         |                           | laiger eneo     |                 |                        |                        |
|                        |         | NOTE: Genotyping was      | s performed f   | or *10. This i  | s the most             |                        |
|                        |         | important gene variant    | in this Chine   | se patientgro   | up.                    |                        |
| ref. 6                 | 3       | 69 healthy volunteers r   | eceived a sin   | gle oral dose   | e of trama-            | Authors' conclusion:   |
| Matouskova O et al.    |         | dol 0.7 mg/kg. The pup    | il response w   | as measured     | d 2 hours              | 'The pharmacodyna-     |
| Pupillometry in        |         | after intake as a measu   | ire of the pha  | rmacologica     | effect of              | mic effects of trama-  |
| healthy volunteers as  |         | tramadol. Noradrenalin    | re-uptake in    | hibitors such   | as tramadol            | dol were easily        |
| a biomarker of         |         | dilate the pupils, opioid | s such as O-    | desmetnyltra    | madol                  | detected using both    |
| I Clin Pharm Ther      |         | construct the pupils. Co  | -medication v   |                 |                        | nunil narameters       |
| 2011:36:513-7.         |         | Genotyping:               |                 |                 |                        | The pharmacodyna-      |
| PubMed PMID:           |         | - 34x EM (*1/*1)          |                 |                 |                        | mic profiles were      |
| 21729116.              |         | - 29x IM (*1/*4)          |                 |                 |                        | markedly influenced    |
|                        |         | - 6x PM (*4/*4)           |                 |                 |                        | by the CYP2D6          |
|                        |         |                           |                 |                 |                        | phenotype.'            |
|                        |         | EM versus IM versus F     | PM:             |                 |                        |                        |
|                        | IN 4. A | - decrease in the pupil   | constricting e  | effect of trama | adol with the          |                        |
|                        |         | number of "4 alleles (    | S for the tren  | d and betwe     | en the                 |                        |
|                        | PIVI: A | The decrease is obse      | ryad bath for   | the pupil ciz   | o in tho               |                        |
|                        |         | dark and for the minir    | num and fina    | l nunil size fo | llowing a              |                        |
|                        |         | flash of light.           |                 |                 | nowing a               |                        |
|                        |         | - tramadol reduced the    | pupil size in   | 92% of the E    | M, 52% of              |                        |
|                        |         | the IM and 33% of the     | е РМ (S).       |                 |                        |                        |
|                        |         | Tramadol increased t      | he size of the  | e pupil in som  | e of the               |                        |
|                        |         | patients.                 |                 |                 |                        |                        |
|                        |         |                           | o porformed f   | or *2 to *6 ~~  | d aona                 |                        |
|                        |         | duplication               | s periorneu i   | 01 310 0 81     | lu gene                |                        |
| ref. 7                 | 3       | 179 patients received in  | ntravenous o    | ndansetron 4    | ma (n=60)              | Authors' conclusion:   |
| Rauers NI et al.       | -       | metoclopramide 10 mg      | (n=59) or pla   | acebo (n=60)    | after abdo-            | 'Whereas plasma        |
| Antagonistic effects   |         | minal surgery, followed   | by intraveno    | us tramadol     | 3 mg/kg                | concentrations of      |
| of ondansetron and     |         | (maximum of 250 mg)       | and metamiz     | ole 1 g. From   | 1.5 hours              | (+)-O-demethyltra-     |
| tramadol? A rando-     |         | after administration, ex  | tra doses of t  | ramadol 50 r    | ng were                | madol were signi-      |
| mized placebo and      |         | given for high pain scol  | res. Blood sa   | mples were o    | collected at           | ticantly correlated to |
| active drug controlled |         | U.5, 1.5 and 3 hours af   | ter administra  | ation. Releval  | nt co-medi-            | CYP2D6 genotype,       |
| I Pain                 |         | on the day of the surge   | u. ⊏xisting m   | vention of a    | s sioppea<br>nti-hyper | detected for orden     |
| 2010.11.1274-81        |         | tensives and other med    | dication for ca | rdiac conditi   | ons                    | setron '               |
| PubMed PMID:           |         |                           |                 |                 |                        |                        |
| 20488759.              |         | Genotyping:               |                 |                 |                        |                        |
|                        |         | - 96x EM                  |                 |                 |                        |                        |
|                        |         | - 56x IM                  |                 |                 |                        |                        |

| ref. 7, continuation  |              | - 18x PM<br>- 9x UM  |   |
|---|--------------|--|---|
|   | UM: A        | <ul> <li>UM versus EM:</li> <li>increase in the AUC of (+)-O-desmethyl-tramadol by 490% (from 47.3 to 279.1 ng.hour/mL) (S)</li> <li>the two UMs who received ondansetron did not develop any nausea and/or vomiting</li> </ul>  | AUC (+)- <i>O</i> -DT   |
|   | IM: AA       | IM versus EM:<br>- decrease in the AUC of (+)-O-desmethyl-tramadol by 20%<br>(from 47.3 to 38.0 ng.hour/mL) (NS)   | UM: 590%<br>IM: 80%<br>PM: 47%  |
|   | PM: AA       | <ul> <li>PM versus EM:</li> <li>decrease in the AUC of (+)-O-desmethyl-tramadol by 53% (from 47.3 to 22.2 ng.hour/mL) (NS)</li> <li>the tramadol was stopped for 1 patient due to inadequate activity. This patient was a PM.</li> </ul>   |   |
|   |              | NOTE: Genotyping was performed for *3 to *8, *10, *41 and gene duplication. The absence of PM and gene duplication corresponds to the low prevalence in Korean patients.   |   |
| ref. 8<br>Kim E et al.<br>Adverse events in<br>analgesic treatment<br>with tramadol asso-<br>ciated with CYP2D6<br>extensive-metabo-<br>liser and OPRM1<br>high-expression<br>variants.<br>Ann Rheum Dis<br>2010;69:1889-90.<br>PubMed PMID:<br>20444752. | 3<br>IM: AA# | <ul> <li>53 osteoarthritis patients who developed nausea and/or vomiting during treatment with tramadol/paracetamol were compared to 101 patients who did not experience any side effects. Patients were treated with tablets of tramadol/paracetamol 37.5/325 mg for 14 days, or until side effects necessitated termination of the treatment. The dose was either 3 tablets per day or 1 tablet per day for 3 days, 2 tablets per day for 4 days and 3 tablets per day for 7 days. Tramadol/paracetamol was added to a stable dose of NSAIDs. Relevant co-medication was not excluded. The odds ratio was corrected for age, gender and genotype of the μ-opioid receptor.</li> <li>Genotyping:     <ul> <li>109x EM (gene doses 2 and 1.5)</li> <li>45x IM (gene doses 1 and 0.5)</li> </ul> </li> <li>IM versus EM:     <ul> <li>the risk of nausea and vomiting decreased by a factor of 3.4 (OR<sub>corr</sub> = 0.29; 95% CI: 0.12 - 0.69; from 41% to 18% of the patients) (S)</li> </ul> </li> <li>NOTE: Genotyping was performed for 36 polymorphisms and gene duplication. The absence of PM and gene duplication.</li> </ul> | Authors' conclusion:<br>'Although our<br>findings need to be<br>confirmed in larger<br>populations to be<br>used as pharmaco-<br>genetic prediction of<br>tramadol toxicity,<br>high-activity geno-<br>types of CYP2D6<br>and a high-expres-<br>sion genotype of<br>OPRM1 appear to<br>confer high risk of<br>nausea/vomiting in<br>tramadol treatment.'                      |
| <b>ref. 9</b><br>Stamer UM et al.<br>Respiratory depress-<br>sion with tramadol in<br>a patient with renal<br>impairment and<br>CYP2D6 gene<br>duplication.<br>Anesth Analg<br>2008;107:926-9.  | 2<br>UM: E   | A 66-year-old man suffered respiratory depression and loss<br>of consciousness 10.5 hours after tramadol therapy for post-<br>operative pain (loading doses of tramadol 200 mg and 2x 50<br>mg i.v., followed by patient-controlled analgesia with trama-<br>dol 20 mg and metamizole 200 mg per dose i.v.). The man<br>recovered following administration of naloxone. The maxi-<br>mum measured plasma concentrations of (+)-tramadol, (-)-<br>tramadol, (+)- <i>O</i> -DT and (-)- <i>O</i> -DT were approximately 1000,<br>1000, 160 and 225 ng/mL respectively. The patient was UM<br>(duplication of a wild type gene). In addition, he suffered<br>from reduced renal function.   | Authors' conclusion:<br>'Overall, this case<br>confirms that CYP-<br>2D6 duplication re-<br>sulting in highly in-<br>creased transforma-<br>tion to the active<br>metabolite (+)ODT<br>and concomitant re-<br>nal impairment slo-<br>wing (+)ODT clea-<br>rance predispose<br>patients to life-threa-<br>tening opioid intoxi-<br>cation by tramadol's<br>active metabolite.' |

| <b>ref. 10</b><br>Halling J et al.<br>CYP2D6 polymor-<br>phism in relation to<br>tramadol metabolism:<br>a study of faroese<br>patients.<br>Ther Drug Monit<br>2008;30:271-5. | 3<br>PM: A | <ul> <li>86 patients, 40x *1/*1, 34x *1/*4, 1x *1/*3, 1x *1/*6, 1x *1/*9, 1x *4/*9 and 8x *4/*4, who used tramadol 50-450 mg/day (median 100 mg/day), relevant co-medication not excluded;</li> <li>PM versus EM + IM + UM: <ul> <li>increase in the percentage of patients with a plasma concentration of (+)-<i>O</i>-DT lower than 5 nM.</li> <li>no difference in median dose of tramadol.</li> <li>no difference in the percentage of patients who also used other analgesia.</li> </ul> </li> </ul> |  |
|---|------------|---|--|
| ref. 11   | 3          | 41 seriously ill neonates or young children, distribution over  | Authors' conclusion:   |
| Allegaert K et al.<br>Postmenstrual age<br>and CYP2D6 poly-<br>morphisms deter-<br>mine transdel O  | 1 10 4 - 0 | the gene doses 0; 0.5; 1; 1.5; 2 and 3 not reported, loading dose of tramadol 2 mg/kg i.v., followed by continuous infusion of 5-8 mg/kg per 24 hours, co-medication unknown.   | 'Postmenstrual age<br>and CYP2D6 poly-<br>morphisms deter-<br>mined O-demethy-<br>lation activity in |
| demethylation in  | IM: A      | ma (S). Ratio is approximately 5-fold lower for gene dose   | (pre)term neonates   |
| critically ill neonates   |            | 0.5 than for gene dose 2.   | and young infants.'  |
| and infants.<br>Pediatr Res<br>2008:63:674-9  |            | <ul> <li>plasma concentrations <i>O</i>-DT lower than the detection<br/>limit (0.05 μg/mL): only found for PM (NS). The urine<br/>samples for PM also revealed a correlation between the</li> </ul>   |  |
| 2000,00.07 1 0.   | PM: A      | gene dose and the ratio <i>O</i> -DT/tramadol (S).  |  |
|   |            | - the CYP2D6 activity and thus the effect of the gene dose  |  |
| ref. 12   | 3          | 22 volunteers. 11x UM + EM (gene duplication of *1, *2, *35   | Authors' conclusion:   |
| Kirchheiner J et al.  |            | or *41 combined with *1, *2, *9, *10, *35 or *41), 3 PM (two  | 'Pharmacokinetic   |
| Effects of the CYP-   |            | alleles from the group $*3$ , $*4$ , $*5$ and $*6$ ) and $11x EM + IM$  | differences between  |
| on the pharmacoki-  |            | single dose of 100 mg tramadol. no co-medication. side  | smaller than expec-  |
| netics and pharma-  |            | effects were monitored over a period of 24 hours;   | ted; nevertheless,   |
| codynamics of trama-  |            |   | UMs were more  |
| J Clin Psychophar-  |            | - AUC $(+)$ -O-DT increased by 14% (NS, from 501 to 572   | than EMs.'   |
| macol   |            | mg.h/L).  |  |
| 2008;28:78-83.  |            | - AUC (-)- <i>O</i> -DT increased by 20% (NS, from 425 to 512   |  |
|   |            | - AUC (+)-tramadol decreased by 16% (NS, from 790 to 667 mg.h/L).   |  |
|   |            | - AUC (-)-tramadol decreased by 15% (NS, from 663 to  |  |
|   | (UM +      | Increase in maximum plasma concentration (+)-O-DT by  | AUC (+)- <i>O</i> -DT  |
|   | EM): A     | 27% (S, from 51 to 65 mg/L).  | versus (EM + IM):  |
|   |            | - Increase in pain threshold and pain tolerance by 80%  | PM: 17%  |
|   |            | <ul> <li>Stronger increase in the pupil diameter (NS, from 1.4 to 2.2 mm).</li> </ul>   | (UNI + ENI). 114%  |
|   |            | - Increase in the percentage of individuals with nausea   | AUC tramadol   |
|   |            | - Increase in the percentage of individuals vomiting (NS,   | PM: $327\%$  |
|   |            | from 0% to 9%).   | (UM + EM): 85%   |
|   |            | - increase in the percentage of individuals with palpita-<br>tions (NS, from 0% to 9%).   |  |
|   |            | PM versus (EM + IM):  |  |
|   | PM: A      | - AUC (+)-O-D I decreased by 83% (S, from 501 to 87   |  |
|   |            | - AUC (-)- <i>O</i> -DT decreased by 88% (S, from 425 to 51   |  |
|   |            | mg.h/L).  |  |
|   |            | - AUC (+)-tramadol increased by 202% (S, from 790 to 2386 mg.h/L).  |  |
|   |            | - AUC (-)-tramadol increased by 257% (S, from 663 to  |  |
|   |            | 2370 mg.n/L).   |  |

| ref. 12, continuation   |                          | <ul> <li>No significant effect of tramadol on pain threshold and pain tolerance in a "cold pressor test".</li> <li>Smaller increase in the pupil diameter (NS, from 1.4 to 1.0 mm).</li> <li>2 of the 4 individuals who experienced no sedation were PM (67% of the PM versus 9% of the (EM + UM + IM)).</li> <li>Decrease in the percentage of individuals with headache (NS, from 45% to 0%).</li> <li>Decrease in the percentage of individuals with nausea</li> </ul>  |  |
|---|--------------------------|--|--|
| <b>ref. 13</b><br>Stamer UM et al.<br>Concentrations of<br>tramadol and O-<br>desmethyltramadol<br>enantiomers in<br>different CYP2D6<br>genotypes.<br>Clin Pharmacol Ther<br>2007;82:41-7. | 3                        | (NS, from 9% to 0%).<br>174 patients, 18x PM + IM (2x *3/*5, 1x *3/*4, 14x *4/*4, 1x<br>*4/*4/*1), 85x IM + EM (out of a group of 93: 50x (*1/*3,<br>*1/*4, *1/*5, *1/*6 or *1/*4xN), 2x *10/*41, 1x *45/*45 or<br>*45/*46, 6x (*3/*41, *4/*41, *6/*10 or *6/*41), 1x *1/*1 with<br>unknown SNP, 31x (*1/*10 or *1/*41), 2x *1/*41xN), 62x EM<br>(*1/*1), 8x UM (*1/*1xN), tramadol 3 mg/kg plus metamizole<br>1 g i.v., followed by 0-2 doses of tramadol 50 mg i.v. and<br>patient-controlled analgesia with tramadol 20 mg and meta-<br>mizole 200 mg per dose i.v. for post-operative analgesia,<br>relevant co-medication not excluded. Pharmacokinetics were<br>determined before administration of the 50 mg tramadol<br>doses. Patients were monitored for 48 hours. | Authors' conclusion:<br>'CYP2D6 genotype<br>determined concen-<br>trations of O-desme-<br>thyltramadol enan-<br>tiomers and influen-<br>ced efficacy of<br>tramadol treatment.<br>Non-response rates<br>to pain medication<br>increased fourfold in<br>PM and thus this<br>genotype was asso-<br>ciatod with poor offi |
|   | (PM +<br>IM): B          | <ul> <li>Decrease in median AUC (+)-O-DT by 100% (S, from 66.5 to 0 ng.h/mL). Plasma concentration of (+)-O-DT was below the detection limit of 2 ng/mL for 83% of the PMs.</li> <li>Decrease in median AUC (-)-O-DT (S).</li> <li>Comparison to EM without relevant co-medication: decrease in median AUC (+)-O-DT by 100% (S, from 88.7 to 0 ng.h/mL).</li> <li>Increase in the percentage of patients that required rescue medication with piritramide by a factor of approximately 3.3 (S, from approximately 17% to 56%).</li> <li>Increase in the percentage of patients with non-response after 48 hours by a factor of approximately 17% to 81%).</li> <li>Increase in the cumulative dose of analgesics after 24 and 48 hours (S).</li> </ul>                       | Median AUC (+)- <i>O</i> -<br>DT versus EM<br>(without co-<br>medication):<br>(PM + IM): 0%<br>(IM + EM): 50%<br>UM: 225%  |
|   | (IM +<br>EM): A<br>UM: A | <ul> <li>(IM + EM) versus EM:</li> <li>Decrease in the median AUC (+)-<i>O</i>-DT by 42% (NS, from 66.5 to 38.6 ng.h/mL).</li> <li>Decrease in the median AUC (-)-<i>O</i>-DT (NS).</li> <li>Sub-group without co-medication: Decrease in median AUC (+)-<i>O</i>-DT by 50% (S, from 88.7 to 44.1 ng.h/mL).</li> <li>UM versus EM:</li> <li>Increase in the median AUC (+)-<i>O</i>-DT by 125% (NS, from 66.5 to 149.7 ng.h/mL).</li> <li>Increase in the median AUC (-)-<i>O</i>-DT (NS).</li> <li>Sub-group without co-medication (6 UM and 47 EM): increase in the median AUC (+)-<i>O</i>-DT by 125% (S, from 88.7 to 200.0 ng.h/mL).</li> </ul>   |  |
| ref. 14<br>García-Quetglas E et<br>al.<br>Pharmacokinetics of<br>tramadol enantio-<br>mers and their<br>respective phase I<br>metabolites in rela-<br>tion to CYP2D6                        | 3<br>PM: A               | <ul> <li>24 volunteers, 5x PM and 11x EM<sup>#</sup> (phenotyped with tramadol), single dose of 100 mg tramadol, no co-medication, study duration 48 hours. Very low detection limit (0.5 ng/mL), meaning that (+)-<i>O</i>-DT can be measured in the plasma of all PMs.</li> <li>PM versus EM<sup>#</sup>:</li> <li>Decrease in median AUC (+)-<i>O</i>-DT by 77% (S, from 0.325 to 0.075 ng.h/mL).</li> </ul>  | Authors' conclusion:<br>'The polymorphic<br>CYP2D6 appears to<br>be a major enzyme<br>involved in the<br>metabolism of<br>tramadol enantio-<br>mers.'  |

| phenotype.<br>Pharmacol Res<br>2007;55:122-30.<br><b>ref. 14, continuation</b>   |                      | <ul> <li>Increase in the median AUC (-)-O-DT by 12% (NS, from 0.363 to 0.406 ng.h/mL).</li> <li>AUC (+)-tramadol increased by 123% (S, from 0.898 to 2.002 mg.h/L).</li> <li>AUC (-)-tramadol increased by 99% (S, from 0.717 to 1.427 mg.h/L).</li> <li>Increase in the difference between C<sub>max</sub> of (+)-O-DT and the average plasma concentration at which patients in a trial with patient-controlled analgesia administered themselves a new dose (33 ± 15 ng/mL) (decrease in C<sub>max</sub> from 28 to 5 ng/mL (S)).</li> <li>Decrease in the percentage of patients with side effects by 100% (S, from 47% to 0%).</li> <li>Decrease in the percentage of patients with an increase in the plasma concentration of epinephrine by 100% (S, from 47% to 0%).</li> <li>MOTE: genotype unknown. It is not possible to distinguish between EM, IM and UM based on phenotyping. EM<sup>#</sup> is therefore equal to EM + IM + UM.</li> </ul> | AUC (+)- <i>O</i> -DT<br>versus EM <sup>#</sup> :<br>PM: 23%<br>AUC tramadol<br>versus EM <sup>#</sup> :<br>PM: 212%   |
|--|----------------------|---|--|
| ref. 15<br>Slanar O et al.<br>Miotic action of<br>tramadol is deter-<br>mined by CYP2D6<br>genotype.<br>Physiol Res<br>2007;56:129-36.   | 3<br>PM: A<br>IM: AA | <ul> <li>21 volunteers, 7x PM (5x *4/*4, 1x *3/*3, 1x *3/*4), 7x IM (5x *1/*4, 2x *1/*3), 7x EM (*1/*1), single dose of 100 mg tramadol retard, no co-medication;</li> <li>PM versus EM: <ul> <li>Decrease in AUC<sub>0-24h</sub> <i>O</i>-DT by 68% (S, from 2,382 to 768 nmol.h/L).</li> <li>Increase in AUC<sub>0-24h</sub> tramadol by 132% (S, from 4,986 to 11,544 nmol.h/L).</li> <li>Decrease in the "area under the pupillary response-time curve" AUD<sub>0-12h</sub> by 78% (S, from 12.14 to 2.64 mm.h).</li> </ul> </li> <li>IM versus EM: <ul> <li>Increase in the AUC<sub>0-24h</sub> <i>O</i>-DT by 7% (NS, from 2,382 to 2,553 nmol.h/L).</li> </ul> </li> <li>Increase in the AUC<sub>0-24h</sub> tramadol by 49% (NS, from 4,986 to 7,408 nmol.h/L).</li> </ul>  | Authors' conclusion:<br>'The observed<br>opioid action of the<br>drug in subjects of<br>different CYP2D6<br>genotypes is a plau-<br>sible explanation for<br>the use of this drug<br>in clinical practice. A<br>dual mechanism of<br>action, not only in<br>EMs, but also in<br>PMs, would provide<br>a theoretical basis<br>for the better effica-<br>cy of tramadol in<br>PMs than should be<br>expected from only<br>the non-opioid<br>effects of tramadol.'<br>AUC <i>O</i> -DT versus<br>EM:<br>PM: 32%<br>IM: 107%<br>AUC tramadol<br>versus EM:<br>PM: 232%<br>IM: 149% |
| <b>ref. 16</b><br>Wang G et al.<br>Effect of the<br>CYP2D6*10 C188T<br>polymorphism on<br>postoperative trama-<br>dol analgesia in a<br>Chinese population.<br>Eur J Clin Pharmacol<br>2006;62:927-31. | 4<br>IM: B           | <ul> <li>63 patients, 20x *10/*10, 26x *1/*10, 17x *1/*1, tramadol 100 mg i.v., followed by patient-controlled analgesia with tramadol 15 mg and metoclopramide 0.45 mg per dose i.v. for post-operative analgesia, relevant co-medication excluded. Rescue medication was tramadol 50 mg i.v. Patients were monitored for 48 hours.</li> <li>*10/*10 versus *1/*1:</li> <li>Increase in the total consumption of tramadol after 4, 24 and 48 hours by 10.3%, 15.9% and 13.2% respectively (S).</li> <li>No difference in pain scores.</li> </ul>   | Authors' conclusion:<br>'This study indicates<br>that the CYP2D6*10<br>allele has significant<br>impact on analgesia<br>with tramadol in a<br>Chinese population.'   |

| ref. 16, continuation  |       | - Increase in the percentage of patients who were not   |                       |
|------------------------|-------|---|-----------------------|
|                        |       | satisfied with the pain medication (NS, from 12% to   |                       |
|                        |       | 45%).   |                       |
|                        |       | - No difference in the incidence of side effects.   |                       |
|                        |       |   |                       |
|                        |       | *1/*10 versus *1/*1:  |                       |
|                        |       | - Increase in the total consumption of tramadol over 48                                       |                       |
|                        |       | No difference in pain scores and the percentage of  |                       |
|                        |       | patients who were not satisfied with the pain medication.                                     |                       |
|                        |       | - No difference in the incidence of side effects.   |                       |
| ref. 17                | 4     | 16 volunteers, 8x PM (7x *4/*4, 1x *4/*6), 8x EM (*1/*1),                                     | Authors' conclusion:  |
| Pedersen RS et al.     |       | received a single oral dose of 150 mg tramadol at 2-week                                      | 'The impact of CYP-   |
| Enantioselective       |       | intervals, tramadol 50 mg orally every 8 hours for 48 hours                                   | 2D6 phenotype on      |
| pharmacokinetics of    |       | and tramadol 100 mg i.v., no co-medication;   | tramadol pharmaco-    |
| extensive and noor     |       | PM versus FM 50 mg orally every 8 hours:  | after single oral     |
| metabolizers           | PM· A | - Decrease in median ALIC <sub>0 sb</sub> $(+)$ -O-DT by 87% (S from                          | multiple oral and     |
| Eur J Clin Pharmacol   |       | 0.6 to $0.08$ µmol.h/L). Plasma concentration of (+)-O-DT                                     | intravenous admini-   |
| 2006;62:513-21.        |       | was below the detection limit of 1 nmol/L for 14% of the                                      | stration displaying   |
|                        |       | PMs.  | significant pharma-   |
|                        |       | - Decrease in median AUC <sub>0-8h</sub> (-)-O-DT by 29% (S, from                             | cokinetic differences |
|                        |       | $0.7$ to $0.5 \mu\text{mol.h/L}$ ).   | between EMs and       |
|                        |       | - increase in median AUC <sub>0-8h</sub> (+)-tramadol by 64% (S, from 2.2 to 3.6 µmol $h/l$ ) | PIMS.                 |
|                        |       | Increase in median AUC <sub>0 sb</sub> (-)-tramadol by $67\%$ (S from                         | AUC (+)- <i>O</i> -DT |
|                        |       | 1.5 to 2.5 µmol.h/L).   | versus EM:            |
|                        |       | - No difference in biological availability of (+)-tramadol or                                 | PM: 13%               |
|                        |       | (-)-tramadol.   |                       |
|                        |       |   | AUC tramadol          |
|                        |       | Tramadol single oral dose of 150 mg or 100 mg i.v.:   | versus EM:            |
|                        |       | kinetics  | FINI. 103%            |
|                        |       | Kinetics.   |                       |
|                        |       | NOTE: Alleles *3, *4, *6 and *9 were genotyped.   |                       |
| ref. 18                | 3     | 20 volunteers, 10x PM and 10x EM# (phenotyped with spar-                                      | Authors' conclusion:  |
| Enggaard TP et al.     |       | teine), single dose of 100 mg tramadol i.v., co-medication                                    | 'They also indicate   |
| The analgesic effect   |       | unknown except for alcohol or analgesics, pain measure-                                       | that the monoami-     |
| of tramadol after      |       | ment with "cold pressor test" and "electrical stimulation of                                  | nergic mechanisms     |
| in healthy volunteers  |       |   | or the parent com-    |
| in relation to         |       | kinetic endpoints   | the action as there   |
| CYP2D6.                |       | - PM: plasma concentration of tramadol differs non-signi-                                     | is some effect in     |
| Anesth Analg           |       | icantly versus EM. Plasma concentration of O-DT is  | poor metabolizers.'   |
| 2006;102:146-50.       |       | reduced versus EM (S). (+)-O-DT below detection limit   |                       |
|                        |       | for all PMs, (-)- <i>O</i> -DT below detection limit for 6 PMs.                               |                       |
|                        |       | - EM: Decrease in pain intensity with cold pressor test and                                   |                       |
|                        |       | $AOC_{0-90}$ (+)-O-DT are correlated.   |                       |
|                        |       | clinical endpoints  |                       |
|                        | PM: B | - PM: tramadol has no effect on pain measured with a cold                                     |                       |
|                        |       | pressor test in contrast to EM, it does result in an increa-                                  |                       |
|                        |       | se in the pain threshold with electrical stimulation of the                                   |                       |
|                        |       | calt nerve in contrast to EM.   |                       |
|                        |       | colo pressor test is opiola-sensitive; nerve stimulation is                                   |                       |
|                        |       | ากงกังสาทิทยายู่เบารอกรแขอ.   |                       |
|                        |       | NOTE: genotype unknown. It is not possible to distinguish                                     |                       |
|                        |       | between EM, IM and UM based on phenotyping. EM# is  |                       |
|                        |       | therefore equal to EM + IM + UM.  |                       |
| ref. 19                | 3     | 26 volunteers, 6x PM and 20x EM# (half phenotyped with  | Authors' conclusion:  |
| Fliegert F et al.      |       | sparteine, the other half genotyped, but no distinction                                       | The EMs and PMs       |
| I ne effects of trama- |       | between EM, IM and UM), single dose of 100 mg tramadol,                                       | of CYP2D6 treated     |

| dol on static and<br>dynamic pupillome-<br>try in healthy<br>subjects the<br>relationship between<br>pharmacodynamics,<br>pharmacokinetics<br>and CYP2D6 meta-<br>boliser status.<br>Eur J Clin Pharmacol<br>2005;61:257-66.<br><b>ref. 19, continuation</b> | PM: AA | <ul> <li>no relevant co-medication;</li> <li>PM versus EM#: <ul> <li>Decrease in AUC (+)-O-DT (NS). AUC was 364 ng.h/mL for EMs, whilst the plasma concentrations were below the detection limit of 2.6 ng/mL for PMs.</li> <li>Decrease in median AUC (-)-O-DT by 37% (NS, from 382 to 240 ng.h/mL).</li> <li>Increase in AUC (+)-tramadol by 68% (NS, from 1,442 to 2,426 ng.h/mL).</li> <li>Increase in AUC (-)-tramadol by 55% (NS, from 1,125 to 1,742 ng.h/mL).</li> <li>Reduced decrease in the pupil diameter compared to placebo (S, from 0.8 mm to 0 mm).</li> <li>Decrease in the average incidence of 5 side effects (NS, from 29% to 20%).</li> </ul> </li> </ul>   | with tramadol beha-<br>ved differently in<br>static and dynamic<br>pupillometry. In<br>EMs, the pupillome-<br>tric response was<br>mainly driven by the<br>(+)-M1, which com-<br>prises the $\mu$ action<br>component of tra-<br>madol; whereas, in<br>PMs, the non- $\mu$<br>component appears<br>to play an important<br>role.' |
|--|--------|--|---|
|  |        | UM based on phenotyping. EM <sup>#</sup> is therefore equal to EM + $IM + UM$ .  | PM: 162%  |
| ref. 20<br>Borlak J et al.<br>A rapid and simple<br>CYP2D6 genotyping<br>assay: case study   | 3      | <ul> <li>24 volunteers, 6x PM (*3/*3, *3/*4, *4/*4), 7x IM (*1/*3 or *1/*4) and 11x EM (*1/*1), 2x 100 mg tramadol, on at least 5 consecutive days, no co-medication;</li> <li>PM: increase in AUC tramadol from 2,143 to 3,941</li> </ul>   | AUC DT versus EM:<br>PM: 36%<br>IM: 104%  |
| with the analgetic<br>tramadol.<br>Metabolism<br>2003;52:1439-43.  | PM: A  | ng/mL·hr versus EM (S by 84%), decrease in AUC DT<br>from 843 to 300 ng/mL·hr (S by 64%).<br>- IM: increase in AUC tramadol from 2,143 to 2,461<br>ng/mL·hr versus EM (NS by 15%), increase in AUC DT<br>from 843 to 875 ng/mL·hr (NS by 4%).  | AUC tramadol<br>versus EM:<br>PM: 184%<br>IM: 115%  |
| <b>ref. 21</b><br>Stamer UM et al.<br>Impact of CYP2D6<br>genotype on<br>postoperative<br>tramadol analgesia.<br>Pain 2003;105:231-8.  | 3      | 300 post-operative patients, 35x PM (1x *3/*5, 20x *4/*4, 1x *5/*5, 7x *6/*6, 2x *4/*5, 4x *6/*4), 98x IM (5x *1/*3, 81x *1/*1, 8x *1/*5, 2x *1/*6, 2x *1/*4 duplication), 158x EM (*1/*1), 9x duplication, with data for 271 patients, following titration of an individual loading dose of patient-controlled analgesia with tramadol 20 mg/mL, metamizole and meto-clopramide as co-medication;   |   |
|  | PM: B  | <ul> <li>PM: number of non-responders is elevated compared to<br/>EM + IM (46.7% versus 21.6%, S). More rescue medica-<br/>tion required in recovery (43.3 versus 21.6%, S).<br/>Tramadol loading dose was elevated by 33.3% compa-<br/>red to EM + IM (S). Tramadol dose during PCA was<br/>elevated by 26% (NS).</li> <li>Response = no rescue medication required + interview<br/>revealed that patient was satisfied with medication.</li> </ul>   |   |
| <b>ref. 22</b><br>Gan SH et al.<br>Correlation of trama-   | 3      | 30 orthopaedic patients, $2x \times 1/(1, 11x) \times 1/(10) = 1/(9, 5x) \times 1/(4) = 1/(5, 6x) \times 10/(10) = 10/(17) = $ |   |
| dol pharmacokinetics<br>and CYP2D6*10<br>genotype in Malay-<br>sian subjects.<br>J Pharm Biomed<br>Anal 2002;30:189-<br>195.   | IM: A  | <ul> <li>*4/*10 and *5/*10: increase in the AUC versus *1/*1 from 3,501 to 8,726 ng/mL·h (NS by 150%), decrease in Cl from 25.3 to 10.4 mL/min (S by 59%), increase in t1/2 from 6.6 to 21.6 hours.</li> <li>*10/*10 and *10/*17: increase in the AUC versus *1/*1 from 3,501 to 6,710 ng/mL·h (NS by 92%), decrease in Cl from 25.3 to 14.3 mL/min (S by 44%), increase in t1/2 from 6.6 to 8.5 hours.</li> <li>*11/*4 and *1/*5: increase in the AUC versus *1/*1 from 3,501 to 5,472 ng/mL·h (NS by 56%), decrease in Cl from 25.3 to 16.6 mL/min (S by 34%), increase in t1/2 from 6.6 to 7.5 hours.</li> </ul>  | AUC tramadol<br>versus EM<br>(*1/*1+*1/*10+<br>*1/*9):<br>IM: 148%  |

| ref. 22, continuation  |                   | 3,501 to 4,909 ng/mL·h (NS by 42%), decrease in Cl from 25.3 to 20.4 mL/min (S by 19%), increase in $t\frac{1}{2}$ from 6.6 to 7.4 hours.   |  |
|--|-------------------|---|--|
|  |                   | N.B.: degree of pain varied per type of surgery.  |  |
| ref. 23<br>Abdel-Rahman SM et<br>al.<br>Concordance be-<br>tween tramadol and<br>dextromethorphan<br>parent/metabolite<br>ratios: the influence<br>of CYP2D6 and non-<br>CYP2D6 pathways<br>on biotransformation.<br>J Clin Pharmacol<br>2002;42:24-9. | 3<br>PM+IM:<br>AA | <ul> <li>26 volunteers (7-16 years), 12x genotyped (screened for *2 to *12, *15, *17, *18 and *29) and phenotyped (dextrome-thorphan), all (12x) EM, single body weight-adjusted dose of tramadol, no CYP inhibitors or inductors as co-medication;</li> <li>less DT is formed with 1 functional allele than with 2 functional alleles, the C<sub>max</sub> for tramadol does not differ between both groups. More <i>N</i>-DT is formed.</li> <li>NOTE: genotyping was performed, but the results are not shown</li> </ul>   |  |
| <b>ref. 24</b><br>Gleason PP et al.<br>Debilitating reaction<br>following the initial<br>dose of tramadol.<br>Ann Pharmacother<br>1997:31:1150-2.  | 1<br>UM: B        | patient, 32 years old with shoulder pain, UM (MR-debriso-<br>quine 0.23), single dose of 100 mg tramadol, no co-medica-<br>tion; 3 hours after intake of tramadol: ataxia, pupil dilation,<br>stiffness in arms and legs, shaking and dysphoria, symp-<br>toms persisted for 4 hours.   |  |
| ref. 25<br>Paar WD et al.  | 3                 | 104 volunteers, 80x phenotyped (sparteine), 9x PM and 71x EM <sup>#</sup> , single dose of 50 mg tramadol, no co-medication;  |  |
| CYP2D6 mediates<br>O-demethylation of<br>the opioid analgesic  | PM: A             | - PM: increase in MR tramadol/ <i>O</i> -DT versus EM (S)   |  |
| tramadol.<br>Eur J Clin Pharmacol<br>1997;53:235-9.  |                   | between EM, IM and UM based on phenotyping. EM <sup>#</sup> is therefore equal to EM + IM + UM.   |  |
| ref. 26<br>Poulsen L et al.<br>The hypoalgesic<br>effect of tramadol in<br>relation to CYP2D6.<br>Clin Pharmacol Ther<br>1996;60:636-44.   | 3<br>РМ: В        | <ul> <li>27 volunteers, 12x PM and 15x EM# (phenotyped with sparteine), single dose of 2 mg/kg tramadol, no alcohol or analgesics, other co-medication unknown, pain measurement with "pressure pain test", stimulation of calf nerve and "cold pressor test" 2-10 hours after administration.</li> <li><i>kinetic endpoints</i></li> <li>PM: increase in AUC<sub>0-10hr</sub> (+)-tramadol versus EM from 1,143 to 1,401 ng/mL·hr (S by 23%), increase in AUC<sub>0-10hr</sub> (-)-tramadol from 953 to 1,192 ng/mL·hr (S by 25%). AUC (+)-<i>O</i>-DT was around or below the detection limit, decrease in AUC<sub>0-10hr</sub> (-)-<i>O</i>-DT from 274 to 142 ng/mL·hr (S by 48%)</li> <li><i>clinical endpoints</i></li> <li>PM: Significantly fewer side effects versus EM. Differed non-significantly from placebo. Comparable trend to EM for pain tests, but size of effect is smaller.</li> <li>EM: a significant difference was found between tramadol and placebo for each of the three tests at both endpoints. Side effects occurred more often than with the placebo (S).</li> <li>For both phenotypes, there was no correlation between the magnitude of the response and the concentration of tramadol or <i>O</i>-DT.</li> <li>NOTE: genotype unknown. It is not possible to distinguish</li> </ul> | Authors' conclusion:<br>'Tramadol appeared<br>to be a better anal-<br>gesic in extensive<br>metabolizers than in<br>poor metabolizers.<br>[] in extensive me-<br>tabolizers, the anal-<br>gesic effect of tra-<br>madol is due to both<br>activation of mono-<br>aminergic antinoci-<br>ceptive pathways<br>induced by the two<br>enantiomers of tra-<br>madol and the $\mu$ -<br>opioid receptor inter-<br>action of (+)- <i>O</i> -des-<br>methyl-tramadol,<br>whereas in poor<br>metabolizers, the<br>analgesic effect<br>appears to be pre-<br>dominantly due to<br>the effect on mono-<br>aminergic path-<br>ways.' |
|  |                   | between EM, IM and UM based on phenotyping. EM# is  | AUC DT versus  |

| ref. 26, continuation |       | therefore equal to EM + IM + UM.                              | EM#:         |
|-----------------------|-------|---|--------------|
|                       |       |   | PM: 52%      |
|                       |       |   |              |
|                       |       |   | AUC tramadol |
|                       |       |   | Versus EM#:  |
| ref 07                | 0     | Payad warning.  | PM: 124%     |
| SmBC Illtrom (tro     | 0     | Boxed warning:  |              |
| madol) 29-08-17       |       | life-threatening respiratory depression in children           |              |
| $113\Delta$           |       | Life-threatening respiratory depression and death have        |              |
| 007.                  |       | occurred in children who received tramadol. Some of the       |              |
|                       |       | reported cases followed tonsillectomy and/or adenoidecto-     |              |
|                       |       | my; in at least one case, the child had evidence of being an  |              |
|                       | UM: E | ultra-rapid metabolizer of tramadol due to a CYP2D6 poly-     |              |
|                       |       | morphism. ULTRAM is contraindicated in children younger       |              |
|                       |       | than 12 years of age and in children younger than 18 years    |              |
|                       |       | of age following tonsillectomy and/or adenoidectomy. Avoid    |              |
|                       |       | the use of ULTRAM in adolescents 12 to 18 years of age        |              |
|                       |       | who have other risk factors that may increase their sensiti-  |              |
|                       |       | vity to the respiratory depressant effects of tramadol.       |              |
|                       |       | Pharmacokinetics/special populations:                         |              |
|                       |       | the CVP2D6 isconzyme of extension has reduced activity of     |              |
|                       |       | duals are "noor metabolizers" of debrisoquine devtromethor-   |              |
|                       |       | phan, tricyclic antidepressants, among other drugs, Based     |              |
|                       |       | on a population pharmacokinetic analysis of phase 1 studies   |              |
|                       |       | with immediate release tablets in healthy subjects, concen-   |              |
|                       |       | trations of tramadol were approximately 20% higher in "poor   |              |
|                       | PM: A | metabolizers" versus "extensive metabolizers", while O-       |              |
|                       |       | desmethyltramadol concentrations were 40% lower.              |              |
|                       |       | Warning:  |              |
|                       |       | Ultra-rapid metabolism of tramadol and other risk factors for |              |
|                       |       | life-threatening respiratory depression in children           |              |
|                       |       | cocurred in children who received tramadol. Tramadol and      |              |
|                       |       | codeine are subject to variability in metabolism based upon   |              |
|                       |       | CYP2D6 genotype, which can lead to increased exposure to      |              |
|                       |       | an active metabolite. Based upon post-marketing reports       |              |
|                       |       | with tramadol or with codeine, children younger than 12       |              |
|                       |       | years of age may be more susceptible to the respiratory       |              |
|                       |       | depressant effects of tramadol. Furthermore, children with    |              |
|                       |       | obstructive sleep apnea who are treated with opioids for      |              |
|                       |       | post-tonsillectomy and/or adenoidectomy pain may be parti-    |              |
|                       |       | cularly sensitive to their respiratory depressant effect.     |              |
|                       |       | and death:  |              |
|                       |       | • ULTRAM is contraindicated for all children vounger than 12  |              |
|                       |       | years of age  |              |
|                       |       | • ULTRAM is contraindicated for post-operative manage-        |              |
|                       |       | ment in pediatric patients younger than 18 years of age       |              |
|                       |       | following tonsillectomy and/or adenoidectomy.                 |              |
|                       |       | • Avoid the use of ULTRAM in adolescents 12 to 18 years of    |              |
|                       |       | age who have other risk factors that may increase their       |              |
|                       |       | sensitivity to the respiratory depressant effects of tramadol |              |
|                       |       | conditions associated with hypoventilation such as post-      |              |
|                       |       | operative status, obstructive sleep apnea, obesity, severe    |              |
|                       |       | pulmonary disease, neuromuscular disease, and conco-          |              |
|                       |       | mitant use of other medications that cause respiratory        |              |
|                       |       | depression.   |              |
|                       |       | • As with adults, when prescribing opioids for adolescents,   |              |
|                       |       | healthcare providers should choose the lowest effective       |              |
|                       |       | dose for the shortest period of time and inform patients and  |              |
|                       |       | caregivers about these risks and the signs of opioid over-    |              |

| ref. 27, continuation | dose.   |  |  |  |
|-----------------------|---|--|--|--|
|                       | Nursing Mothers   |  |  |  |
|                       | I ramadol is subject to the same polymorphic metabolism as    |  |  |  |
|                       | codeine, with ultra-rapid metabolizers of CYP2D6 substrates   |  |  |  |
|                       | being potentially exposed to life-threatening levels of the   |  |  |  |
|                       | active metabolite O-desmethyltramadol (M1). At least one      |  |  |  |
|                       | death was reported in a nursing infant who was exposed to     |  |  |  |
|                       | high levels of morphine in breast milk because the mother     |  |  |  |
|                       | was an ultra-rapid metabolizer of codeine. A baby nursing     |  |  |  |
|                       | from an ultra-rapid metabolizer mother taking ULTRAM          |  |  |  |
|                       | could potentially be exposed to high levels of M1, and expe-  |  |  |  |
|                       | rience life-threatening respiratory depression. For this rea- |  |  |  |
|                       | son, breastfeeding is not recommended during treatment        |  |  |  |
|                       | with ULTRAM.  |  |  |  |
|                       | CYP2D6 Genetic Variability: ultra-rapid metabolizer           |  |  |  |
|                       | Some individuals may be ultra-rapid metabolizers because      |  |  |  |
|                       | of a specific CYP2D6 genotype (e.g., gene duplications        |  |  |  |
|                       | denoted as *1/*1xN or *1/*2xN). The prevalence of this CYP-   |  |  |  |
|                       | 2D6 phenotype varies widely and has been estimated at 1 to    |  |  |  |
|                       | 10% for Whites (European North American) 3 to 4% for          |  |  |  |
|                       | Blacks (African Americans), 1 to 2% for East Asians (Chine-   |  |  |  |
|                       | se Japanese Korean) and may be greater than 10% in            |  |  |  |
|                       | certain racial/ethnic groups (i.e. Oceanian Northern African  |  |  |  |
|                       | Middle Eastern Ashkenazi lews Puerto Rican) These indi-       |  |  |  |
|                       | viduale convert tramadol into ite active metabolite. O-desme- |  |  |  |
|                       | thyltramadol (M1) more rapidly and completely than other      |  |  |  |
|                       | nonlo. This rapid conversion results in higher than expect    |  |  |  |
|                       | ted corum M1 lovele. Even at lobeled decage regimenta indi    |  |  |  |
|                       | viduale who are ultre regid metabolizers may have life three. |  |  |  |
|                       | toping or fotol respiratory depression or experience signs of |  |  |  |
|                       | tening of latal respiratory depression of experience signs of |  |  |  |
|                       | overdose (such as extreme sleepiness, confusion, or shal-     |  |  |  |
|                       | now preaching). I neretore, individuals who are ultra-rapid   |  |  |  |
|                       | Inetabolizers should not use ULTRAM.                          |  |  |  |
|                       | Auverse events:   |  |  |  |
|                       | I ne tollowing serious adverse reaction is described, or      |  |  |  |
|                       | described in greater detail, in other sections:               |  |  |  |
|                       | Ultra-rapid metabolism of tramadol and other risk factors     |  |  |  |
|                       | tor lite-threatening respiratory depression in children       |  |  |  |

AA<sup>#</sup>: There is a significant effect, but this effect is positive rather than negative.

| Risk group | IMs with CYP2D6 inhibitor |
|------------|---------------------------|

# Comments:

- Studies in which only concentrations in urine were measured were not included in this risk analysis. From 2009 onwards, kinetic studies were only included if the plasma concentration of O-desmethyltramadol was

determined for UM and EM. Other kinetic studies do not contribute sufficiently to the evidence.

For the same reason, a clinical study in which three groups of EM (\*1/\*1, \*1/\*2 and \*2/\*2) were compared to each other was not included.

From 2012 onwards, kinetic studies without data on UM versus EM and studies on clinical effects in healthy volunteers were not included, because they did not contribute sufficiently to the evidence. Only for UM is a dose adjustment recommended. In addition, contrary to in healthy volunteers, tramadol is usually titrated and usually not the only analgesic drug in patients. A later version of Stamer 2007 was not included in the risk analysis, because it did not contribute sufficiently to the evidence.

- <u>Algorithm</u>:

Zhao Q et al. A logistic equation to determine the validity of tramadol from related gene polymorphisms and psychological factors. Pharmacogenomics 2014;15:487-95. PubMed PMID: 24624916.

The authors developed the following algorithm to predict tramadol response in Chinese patients:

Logit (1) = 2.304 - 4.841x(mild anxiety) - 23.709x(moderate anxiety) + 2.823x(p-gp 3435CT) + 5.737x(p-gp 3435 TT) - 1.586x(CYP2D6 \*1/\*10) - 4.542x(CYP2D6 \*10/\*10).

The cut-off value was 0.5 (p > 0.5 is higher probability of being effective, p < 0.5 is higher probability of being noneffective). Tramadol effectiveness was defined as a decrease of the pain score on the visual analogue scale with > 30 mm within 6 hours after 100 mg intramuscular tramadol once. Tramadol was given when the pain score on a 100 mm visual analogue scale was > 40 mm after upper limb fracture internal fixation surgery.

The algorithm was developed based on 200 patients and validated in an independent sample of 50 patients. Relevant co-medication was not excluded. Only 3 patients had adverse drug reactions (1x somnolescence and 2x nausea/vomiting).

The positive predictive value in the large cohort was 90% and in the validation cohort 86%. The algorithm may be better in predicting non-response than in predicting response.

# Existing guidelines:

- Crews KR et al. Clinical Pharmacogenetics Implementation Consortium (CPIC) guidelines for codeine therapy in the context of cytochrome P450 2D6 (CYP2D6) genotype. Clin Pharmacol Ther 2012;91:321-6. PubMed PMID: 22205192

and

Crews KR et al. Clinical Pharmacogenetics Implementation Consortium guidelines for cytochrome P450 2D6 genotype and codeine therapy: 2014 update. Clin Pharmacol Ther 2014;95:376-82. PubMed PMID: 24458010. CPIC does not have a guideline for tramadol, but the guideline for codeine contains also information on tramadol. CPIC uses the same definition for PM as we do, However, CPIC uses other definitions for EM (gene dose 1-2). IM (gene dose 0.5) and UM (gene dose  $\geq$  2.5). In the recommendations below, the KNMP definitions for EM. PM. IM and UM are used. CPIC indicates that classifying patients with an activity score of 1.0 as EMs in this guideline is based on data specific for formation of morphine from codeine in these patients (Lötsch J et al. Can extremely low or high morphine formation from codeine be predicted prior to therapy initiation? Pain 2009;144:119-24). CPIC indicates that CYP2D6 poor metabolisers have been shown to have much lower median plasma areas under the concentration-time curve for (+)-O-desmethyltramadol, the metabolite responsible for opioid receptormediated analgesia, after a dose of tramadol as compared with extensive metabolizers (Stamer 2007). In addition, several prospective clinical trials have shown that, as compared with CYP2D6 extensive metabolisers, poor metabolisers more often fail to exhibit analgesia in response to tramadol (Poulsen 1996, Stamer 2003 and Stamer 2007). Pharmacokinetic studies in ultra-rapid metabolisers showed higher peak plasma concentrations of (+)-O-desmethyltramadol after a dose of tramadol, in addition to greater analgesia, stronger miosis, and higher incidence of nausea as compared with extensive metabolisers (Kirchheiner 2008).

Based on the data above, CPIC concludes that it is likely that tramadol may have reduced clinical efficacy in CYP2D6 poor metabolisers. Use of an analgesic other than the CYP2D6 substrates tramadol, hydrocodone, or oxycodone in poor metabolizers may be preferable. In addition, if the CYP2D6 substrate tramadol is selected as alternative therapy in patients with gene dose 0.5, therapy should be monitored closely due to the possibility of poor response.

| Phenotype/<br>genotype group | Considerations for alternative opioids (i.e. alternatives for codeine)  |
|------------------------------|---|
| UM + gene dose               | Tramadol and, to a lesser extent, hydrocodone and oxycodone are not good  |
| 2.5                          | alternatives because their metabolism is affected by CYP2D6 activity. <sup>a</sup>  |
| Gene dose 0.5                | Monitor tramadol use for response.  |
| РМ                           | Tramadol and, to a lesser extent, hydrocodone and oxycodone are not good alternatives because their metabolism is affected by CYP2D6 activity; these agents should be avoided. <sup>a</sup> |

<sup>a</sup> There is substantial evidence for decreased efficacy of tramadol in poor metabolisers and a single case report of toxicity in an ultra-rapid metaboliser with renal impairment following tramadol use post-surgery. Use of other analgesics in CYP2D6 poor and ultra-rapid metabolisers may therefore be preferable.

The recommendations above are still the same after the last update on 20-4-2017 on the PharmGKB-site.

Date of literature search: 19 October 2017.

|                     | Phenotype | Code | Gene-drug interaction | Action | Date             |
|---------------------|-----------|------|-----------------------|--------|------------------|
| Dutch Pharmacogene- | PM        | 4 B  | yes                   | yes    | 20 November 2017 |
| tics Working Group  | IM        | 4 B  | yes                   | yes    |                  |
| Decision            | UM        | 3 E  | yes                   | yes    |                  |

# Mechanism:

Tramadol is metabolised by CYP2D6, CYP3A4 and by glucuronidation. Conversion by CYP2D6 results in formation of the active metabolite *O*-desmethyltramadol, which has a 300x higher affinity for the  $\mu$ -opioid receptor than the mother substance. The (+)-enantiomer of *O*-desmethyltramadol is the active enantiomer. Tramadol itself has an analgesic effect through inhibition of the re-uptake of norepinephrine and serotonin.