

CYP2C19: voriconazole

1683 to 1685

95% CI = 95% confidence interval, ALP = alkaline phosphatase, ALAT = alanine aminotransferase, appr. = approximately, ASAT = aspartate aminotransferase, AUC = area under the concentration-time curve, Cl_{or} = clearance oral, C_{max} = the maximum plasma concentration, CTCAE = common terminology criteria for adverse events, GGT = gamma-glutamyl transpeptidase, IQR = interquartile range, IM = intermediate metaboliser (*1/*2, *1/*3, *17/*2, *17/*3) (reduced CYP2C19 enzyme activity), NM = normal metaboliser (*1/*1, *1/*17) (normal CYP2C19 enzyme activity), NS = not significant, OR = odds ratio, PM = poor metaboliser (*2/*2, *2/*3, *3/*3) (absent CYP2C19 enzyme activity), S = significant, SmPC = summary of product characteristics, $t_{1/2}$ = half-life, UM = ultra-rapid metaboliser (*17/*17) (increased CYP2C19 enzyme activity).

Disclaimer: The KNMP Pharmacogenetics Working Group formulates optimal drug recommendations on the basis of the available evidence. If these optimal recommendations cannot be followed due to practical limitations, e.g. because therapeutic drug monitoring or lower doses are not available, healthcare professionals should consider the best available alternative.

Brief summary and justification of choices:

Summary

The KNMP Pharmacogenetics Working Group concluded that this concerns a gene-drug interaction and that action is required for PM, IM and UM (yes-yes-interactions). For IM, there is insufficient evidence to recommend a dose reduction and only therapeutic drug monitoring is recommended. For PM and UM, there is sufficient evidence to recommend an adjustment of the initial dose. Refer below for the justification of these choices. *Justification of choices*

Voriconazole is predominantly metabolised by CYP2C19 and to a lesser extent by CYP2C9 and CYP3A4. Voriconazole inhibits the activity of these three enzymes, resulting in non-linear kinetics for voriconazole. The most important metabolite, voriconazole-N-oxide, is inactive. Children metabolise voriconazole more rapidly than adults and the non-linear kinetics start at higher doses in children than in adults.

Voriconazole has a narrow therapeutic range. The NVZA mentions the following therapeutic ranges: pulmonal aspergillosis 1-6 μ g/mL, badly penetrable areas such as cerebral infection, sinus infection 2-6 μ g/mL. The NVZA indicates that it is recommended to lower the upper limit to 4 μ g/mL in case of impaired liver function, In addition, the NVZA states that the role of therapeutic drug monitoring (TDM) of voriconazole only applies to Aspergillus species sensitive to voriconazole. There are no data on application of TDM in case of infections caused by yeast and other moulds, such as Scedosporium and Fusarium, or caused by less sensitive or resistant strains of Aspergillus fumigatus. Finally, the NVZA states that indications for target values for prophylaxis are lacking up to now. At the moment, for prophylaxis, the therapeutic limit of > 1 μ g/mL is used. The risk of voriconazole-induced hepatotoxicity and other side effects increases with concentrations higher than 4 μ g/mL.

Several studies found a relatively high percentage of subtherapeutic trough concentrations at normal doses for NM. In one study, the trough concentrations for 48 NMs at standard voriconazole dose were < 1,5 μ g/mL in 50% of cases and > 5.5 μ g/mL in 10.4% of cases (Miao 2019). In another study, the trough concentrations for 59 NM at a dose of 200-250 mg 2x daily were < 1 μ g/mL in 36% of cases and > 4 μ g/mL in 11% of cases (Chuwongwattana 2016). In a third study with standard initial dose, the first trough concentrations for 39 NM (37x *1/*1, 2x *1/*17) were < 1 μ g/mL in 33% of cases and > 5.5 μ g/mL in 13% of cases (Kim 2013). Despite therapeutic drug monitoring, the incidence of subtherapeutic and supratherapeutic trough concentrations throughout this study was 64% and 28% respectively. In a fourth study, 56% of the first three trough concentrations were < 1.7 μ g/mL and 44% < 1 μ g/mL for 6 NMs with a normal intravenous initial dose followed by dose adjustment based on therapeutic drug monitoring (Weigel 2015). A fifth study found a median trough concentration on days 7 and 14 that was smaller than 1 μ g/mL (0.88 μ g/mL and 0.74 μ g/mL) for 4 NMs with a standard intravenous dose (6 μ g/kg 2x daily on day 1, followed by 4 μ g/kg 2x daily) (Brüggemann 2010). In Asian studies, the large majority of NMs has genotype *1/*1. Also in studies reporting data for *1/*1 separately, a relatively high percentage of subtherapeutic trough concentrations at normal doses was found for this genotype. Two studies with genotype-guided therapy for *1/*17 and UM also found a relatively high percentage of subtherapeutic trough concentrations for *1/*1 to have a subtherapeutic tro

rapeutic voriconazole concentration (< 1 μ g/mL) on a standard dose of 200 mg twice daily, and Patel 2020 50% of 30 *1/*1. For both studies, percentage of *1/*1 on standard dose being subtherapeutic was higher than for *1/*17 or *1/*17+UM on a dose of 300 mg twice daily (16.2% for *1/*17 in Hicks 2020 and 15.6% for 29 *1/*17 plus 3 UM in Patel 2020). This suggests that also increasing the dose for *1/*1 (so increasing the dose for NM instead of *1/*17 (with for instance 25% of the normal dose)) might further improve the result of genotype-guided therapy. A third study found 29% of 34 *1/*1 to have a subtherapeutic concentration (< 1 μ g/mL) on standard dose, while only 2.9% had a supratherapeutic concentration (> 5.5 μ g/mL) (Blanco-Dorado 2020). A fourth study involving patients aged 15-40 years found a required dose for a trough concentration in the therapeutic range (1-2 μ g/mL) for 6 *1/*1 that was higher than the standard dose (6.8 versus 4 mg/kg 2x daily and 317 versus 200 (or 100 for patients < 40 kg) mg 2x daily) (Berge 2011).

Genotype-guided therapy with a higher initial dose for NM and for IM or genotype unknown (7 and 6 mg/kg 2x daily respectively instead of 5 mg/kg 2x daily), followed by therapeutic drug monitoring performed in a group of children and adolescents (median age 10.9 years; 11 NM, 7 IM, 2 unknown) resulted in a reduction by a factor 4.5 in the median time required to achieve therapeutic trough concentrations (1-5.5 μ g/mL) (Teusink 2016). However, both the dose in the non-genotype-guided group (5 mg/kg 2x daily) and the maximum dose in the genotype-guided group were lower than recommended in the Kinderformularium for children younger than 12 years (9 mg/kg 2x daily orally and 8 mg/kg 2x daily intravenously).

PM and IM: A study showed a higher risk of adverse events for 11 PM (OR = 112 (95% CI: 6-2083)), but only after correction for voriconazole trough concentration in binary analysis, and not in univariate analysis (Zhao 2021). The result only occurring after correction for voriconazole trough concentration makes this result mechanistically unlikely. Because CYP2C19 is a metabolic enzyme, CYP2C19 PM can only increase adverse events via its effect on the plasma concentration. So, correction for the voriconazole trough concentration should abolish any CYP2C19 PM effect instead of revealing it. Zhao 2021 did not find an effect on adverse event risk for 40 IM. A meta-analysis and 16 other studies did not find an effect of the CYP2C19 phenotype on side effects, including hepatotoxicity (Li 2016 (a total of 176 IM and 49 PM for all side effects, 136 IM and 37 PM for hepatotoxicity, 74 IM and 20 PM for neurotoxicity), Hicks 2020 (56 IM, 7 PM), Song 2020 (21 IM, 4 PM), Blanco-Dorado 2020 (20 IM, 1 PM), Yamada 2019 (33 IM, 10 PM), Sienkiewicz 2018 (15x IM), Wang 2016 (24 IM, 8 PM), Mori 2015 (age 2-15 years, 10 IM, 2 PM), Wang 2014 (62 IM, 17 PM), Liu 2014 (48 IM and 7 PM for hepatic side effects, 39 IM and 5 PM for psychiatric side effects), Zonios 2014 (19 IM, 4 PM), Kim 2013 (50 IM, 15 PM), Kim 2011 (17 IM, 2 PM), Berge 2011 (10 IM), Brüggemann 2010 (6 IM), Matsumoto 2009 (19 IM+PM), and Levin 2007 (23 IM+PM)).

A meta-analysis found an increase in treatment response for PM, but not for IM (Li 2016 (149 IM, 33 PM)). Four separate studies did not find an effect of IM and PM on effectiveness (Patel 2020 (23 IM, 4 PM), Wang 2014 (62 IM, 17 PM), Liu 2014 (35 IM, 7 PM), and Kim 2013 (50 IM, 15 PM)).

One study with 50 IM and 15 PM found a higher percentage of patients with a therapeutic first trough concentration (1-5.5 μ g/mL) and a lower percentage of patients with a subtherapeutic first trough concentration (< 1 μ g/mL), but no effect on the percentage of patients with a supratherapeutic concentration (> 5.5 μ g/mL), for IM and PM (Kim 2013). In a study involving 42 IM and 14 PM and a dose of 200-250 mg 2x daily, the distribution over the trough concentration groups (< 1 μ g/mL, 1-4 μ g/mL and > 4 μ g/mL) was different for IM+PM (fewer low and more high trough concentrations) than for NM (Chuwongwattana 2016). Similarly, Miao 2019 found a different distribution over the trough concentration ranges (< 1.5 μ g/mL, 1.5-5.5 μ g/mL and > 5.5 μ g/mL) for 44 IM and 14 PM compared to NM, with IM and PM showing more supratherapeutic and less subtherapeutic concentrations. A study with 23 IM and 4 PM found the percentage patients with subtherapeutic trough concentration (< 1 μ g/mL) to be lower for IM and PM than for NM (Patel 2020). A study with 20 IM and 1 PM found the mean voriconazole trough concentration to be supratherapeutic for PM and therapeutic for IM and NM (Blanco-Dorado 2020). A study found for 10 IM a lower dose required to achieve therapeutic trough concentrations, but a study with 6 IM and a study with 10 IM and 2 PM (children or young adults) did not (Berge 2011, Lamoureux 2016 and Teusink 2016).

The manufacturer does not recommend dose adjustment, because there is no clear relationship between plasma concentration and effectiveness. The risk of hepatotoxicity as a side effect of voriconazole increases with higher concentrations, but it is not possible to give a cut-off point for the plasma concentration, because the occurrence of hepatotoxicity is highly individual. Furthermore, the range of plasma concentrations in a group of NMs is already very broad. However, in Mikus 2006, the authors state that hepatotoxicity is a dose-limiting side effect and is concentration-dependent. Every increase in plasma concentration of 1 mg/L is thought to increase the incidence of liver dysfunction by 7-17%. In addition, Matsumoto 2009 postulates a relatively narrow therapeutic range (a trough concentration of 2-4 mg/L). They base the upper limit on a strongly increased incidence of hepatotoxicity at trough concentrations > 4 mg/L.

According to hospital pharmacists with a lot of experience with voriconazole, the non-linear pharmacokinetics of voriconazole make it difficult to calculate the effect of a dose reduction. Furthermore, the exposure in patients is often much lower than in volunteers who receive a comparable dose and voriconazole concentrations have a tendency to decrease over time.

In addition to the non-linear pharmacokinetics, the presence of several factors influencing voriconazole metabolism in a CYP2C19-dependent manner contributes to uncertainty in required dose reduction. Increasing

age is well known to correlate with decreasing CYP2C19 activity, leading to a higher dose requirement in children and possibly, a lower dose requirement in the elderly (see also Shang 2020). In addition, inflammation (as measured by C-reactive protein levels) inhibits voriconazole metabolism, resulting in an increased risk of overexposure. A meta-analysis showed this effect to be higher in NM+UM than IM+PM, suggesting that inhibition of CYP2C19 is involved (Bolcato L et al. Combined impact of inflammation and pharmacogenomic variants on voriconazole trough concentrations: a meta-analysis of individual data. J Clin Med 2021;10:2089. PMID: 34068031). Inhibition of CYP2C19 by older age or inflammation results in interindividual differences in the CYP2C19 activity in NM and so, in age- and inflammation-dependent variations in the difference in metabolic activity between NM and PM.

As a meta-analysis found an increased effectiveness for PM, the KNMP Pharmacogenetics Working Group decided not to recommend a dose reduction for PM and IM, which would result in comparable plasma concentrations as in NM at a normal dose. As one study found a higher incidence of trough concentrations > 4 µg/mL for PM and IM and as there were large differences in the dose-corrected trough concentrations within each of the phenotype groups, therapeutic drug monitoring is recommended for both IM and PM (yes/yes-interactions). An excessively high dose over a period of one week does not result in increased effectiveness, but can cause side effects. The meta-analysis found no increased risk of side effects, including hepatotoxicity, for IM and PM. However, hepatotoxicity is expressed in many forms and is therefore not easy to measure. It occurs rapidly and although there is no cut-off value, there is a strong relationship between exposure and effect. For these reasons, a lower initial dose is recommended for PM, as they have the highest risk of an excessively high plasma concentration. The recommendation will take into consideration that voriconazole is seldom if ever started in a primary care setting.

UM:

A study showed all 3 UM to have therapeutic voriconazole trough concentrations (1-5.5 µg/ml) on a dose of 300 mg twice daily (1.5 times the standard dose) (Patel 2020). Despite the therapeutic concentrations, 2 of the UM experienced a grade 3 adverse event. A study showed all 3 UM to have subtherapeutic voriconazole concentrations (< 2 µg/ml) on standard dose (a loading dose of 6 mg/kg every 12 hours during day 1 and a maintenance dose of 4 mg/kg every 12 hours) (Hamadeh 2017). Increasing the dose with 25% to 5 mg/kg in 2 of the UM resulted in voriconazole trough concentrations of 2.4 µg/mL and 1.85 µg/mL, respectively. No hepatotoxicity or other adverse effects were observed following dose increase. In this study, the first voriconazole trough concentration for UM on standard dose was decreased by 63% compared to NM. A study showed both UM to have a subtherapeutic concentration (< 1 µg/mL) on standard dose (Blanco-Dorado 2020). A study with 4 UM found an increase in the dose required to achieve a therapeutic trough concentration (1-5 μg/ml) by a factor of 2 (Lamoureux 2016). The determined required dose was 6.75 mg/kg twice daily (1.7-fold the standard dose). This study found a decrease in the daily dose-corrected and weight-corrected trough concentration by 85% compared to *1/*1. There was no significant difference in the uncorrected trough concentrations in this study in which the dose was adjusted based on therapeutic drug monitoring. Chawla 2015 reported 1 UM to achieve trough concentrations in the therapeutic range (2-6 μg/mL) with a standard weight-based dose. A study involving patients aged 13-76 years, of which 4 UMs, found no effect on the trough concentration at the standard dose followed by clinical adjustment (Zonios 2014). In this study, using doses ranging from approximately 2.3 to 9.3 mg/kg twice daily, the mean trough concentration for UM was therapeutic (3.6 µg/ml). In one study, all 4 paediatric UMs had mean subtherapeutic trough concentrations (< 1 μg/mL) (Hicks 2014). However, the initial dose in this study was lower for most of the patients than the dose in the Kinderformularium (7 instead of 8-9 mg/kg 2x daily), and the trough concentration did increase in these patients after a dose increase. This study found a decrease in the median dose-corrected trough concentration by 86% compared to *1/*1. Berge 2011 found for 7 *1/*17 plus 1 UM that the median time to the first trough concentration within the therapeutic range (1-2 µg/mL) was extended and that the percentage of subtherapeutic trough concentrations (< 0.5 μg/mL) during the first 42 days of treatment was increased, both by a factor of 2.4. For the UM, the dose required for therapeutic concentrations (1-2 µg/mL) was approximately 1.3-fold that for *1/*1. The determined required dose was approximately 8.8 mg/kg twice daily intravenously and 412 mg twice daily orally (approximately twice the standard dose). A study in patients aged 2-12 years found an insignificant 2.5-fold increase in median AUC_{0-12h} for 2 UM compared to *1/*1 (Driscoll 2011;55:

Not a single study found a significant effect of the UM phenotype or UM+*1/*17 on side effects (Blanco-Dorado 2020 (2 UM), Sienkiewicz 2018 (3 UM), Williams 2016 (11 UM, 45 *1/*17), and Berge 2011 (1 UM, 7 *1/*17)). Neither the meta-analysis nor the four studies on effectiveness included UM on normal dose in the analysis (Li 2016, Patel 2020, Wang 2014, Liu 2014, and Kim 2013). However, Patel 2020 found a dose increase with 50% in 3 UM and 29 *1/*17 and a standard dose in the other genotypes to both decrease the percentage of patients with subtherapeutic voriconazole concentration (both for all patients and for *1/*17+ UM, with 42% and 78% respectively) and increase the voriconazole success rate (including voriconazole tolerance) (with 45% in all patients) compared to a historical control on standard dose. As there are indications that there is an increased risk of subtherapeutic trough concentrations and consequently reduced effectiveness for UM, the KNMP Pharmacogenetics Working Group decided to recommend a higher initial dose followed by therapeutic drug monitoring (yes/yes-interaction).

An overview of the observed clinical and kinetic effects per phenotype is provided in the background information text of the gene-drug interactions in the KNMP Kennisbank. You may also have access to this background information text via your pharmacy or physician electronic decision support system. A substantiation of the dose recommendation for PM and UM is provided below.

Justification of dose recommendation

Voriconazole has non-linear kinetics at therapeutic doses. In addition, therapeutic drug monitoring is performed based on the trough concentration. Therefore, the dose adjustment for voriconazole was calculated in a different manner than we would normally perform this calculation for other medicines. Standard procedure is to use the AUC first. If this value is not available, we use the steady-state plasma concentration and if this value is also not available, we use the clearance. However, for voriconazole, we first used the dose required to achieve a trough concentration within the therapeutic range, if this value was not available then we used the steady-state (trough) concentration and if this value was not available, we used the AUC.

PM: For PM, three studies (one with 17, one with 11 and one with 1 PM) determined the mean kinetic parameter for adults compared to NM (Yuan 2020, Lamoureux 2016, and Wang 2014). The study with 1 PM involved the required dose, but the larger studies involved the trough concentration. The weighted mean in these 3 studies was a dose reduction to 53% of the standard dose (range 53-54%; median 53%).

Eight studies with a total of 90 PM determined median kinetic parameters for adults compared to NM (Shang 2020, Nameda 2019, Mafuru 2019, Chuwangwattana 2016, Chawla 2015, Nameda 2015, Kim 2013, and Kim

2020, Yamada 2019, Mafuru 2019, Chuwongwattana 2016, Chawla 2015, Yamada 2015, Kim 2013, and Kim 2011). All eight studies determined the trough concentration or AUC. The weighted mean in these 8 studies was a reduction of the dose to 63% of the standard dose (range 33-96%; median 65%).

For children, only 1 study with 2 PM determined the mean trough concentration compared to NM (Mori 2015). The calculated dose adjustment based on this study was a reduction of the dose to 23% of the standard dose. Four studies with a total of 13 PM determined median kinetic parameters of children for PM compared to NM (Tian 2021, Teusink 2016, Hicks 2014, and Driscoll 2011;55:5780-9). One study with 2 PM determined the required dose, the other three determined either the trough concentration or the AUC. The weighted mean of the dose increase calculated based on these parameters was a reduction to 38% of the standard dose (10-107%; median 32%).

The percentages that were found are based on very small numbers of PM and studies. Furthermore, a large variation in the values was found for children and for the median parameters of adults. Most of the values appear to indicate a reduction of the dose to 40-60% in order to achieve a plasma concentration comparable to NM at the standard dose. However, the value found based on the average trough concentration in children (23% of the standard dose) appear to correspond well to the 4 times higher exposure found in healthy volunteers according to the SmPC. For this reason, a dose reduction to 50% of the normal dose was selected to compensate or partially compensate for the higher exposure in PM.

UM: For UM, three studies with a total of 8 UM determined the mean kinetic parameter for adults versus NM (Lamoureux 2016, Berge 2011 (15-40 years), and Hamadeh 2017). Two studies with 4 and 1 UM determined the required dose, and the third study with 3 UM the trough concentration. The weighted mean in these 3 studies was an increase in the dose to 220% of the standard dose (range 127-272%; median 204%). For children, only median kinetic parameters were determined for UM versus NM (3 studies, total of 7 UM, one study determined the trough concentration, two studies determined the AUC) (Hicks 2014, Driscoll 2011; 55:5770-9, and Driscoll 2011;55:5780-9). The weighted mean of the dose increase determined based on these parameters was an increase in the dose to 374% of the standard dose (41-600%; median 135%). The determined percentage of 220% for adults is based on a very limited number of UMs. In addition, if only the more reliable required dose data are included, the values would be considerably lower (weighted mean 188% of the standard dose (range 127-204%; median 165%). In addition, Hicks 2020 showed a 1.5-fold higher dose in *1/*17 to result in a 4,5-fold higher trough concentration, and Patel 2020 showed all of 3 UM to have therapeutic trough concentrations on a 1.5-fold higher dose. For this reason, the smallest weighted mean of 188% was chosen and rounded down to 150%, which is easier to use in practice. The same dose increase is recommended for children. The dose increase calculated for children exhibited a much greater distribution and was also determined based on the assumption of linear kinetics. Therefore, this value is too unreliable to be able to conclude from it that the required dose increase for children differs from that of adults.

Recommendation concerning pre-emptive genotyping, including justification of choices:

The KNMP Pharmacogenetics Working Group considers genotyping before starting voriconazole to be potentially beneficial. Genotyping can be considered on an individual patient basis. If, however, the genotype is available, the KNMP Pharmacogenetics Working Group recommends adhering to the gene-drug guideline.

The clinical implication of the gene-drug interaction scores 1 out of the maximum of 10 points (with pre-emptive genotyping considered to be potentially beneficial for scores ranging from 0 to 2 points) (see also the clinical implication score tables at the end of this risk analysis):

No severe clinical effects were observed in users of voriconazole with a variant phenotype. The maximum severity code was C corresponding to CTCAE grade 2. This results in a score of 0 out of the maximum of 2 points for the first

criterion of the clinical implication score, the clinical effect associated with the gene-drug interaction (only points for CTCAE grade \geq 3).

The lack of a severe clinical effect also results in a score of 0 of the maximum of 3 points for the second and third criterion of the clinical implication score: the level of evidence supporting an associated clinical effect grade \geq 3 and the number needed to genotype (NNG) in the Dutch population to prevent one clinical effect code \geq D (grade \geq 3). The Summary of Product Characteristics (SmPC) of voriconazole indicates that voriconazole exposure is 4-fold higher in CYP2C19 PM and 2-fold higher in CYP2C19 IM than in CYP2C19 NM, but neither mentions PM or IM as a contraindication for voriconazole nor recommends pre-emptive genotyping. This results in 1 out of the maximum of 2 points for the fourth and last criterion of the clinical implication score, the pharmacogenetics information in the SmPC (1 point for at least one genotype/phenotype mentioned in the SmPC, but not mentioned as a contra-indication and no recommendation to genotype).

Note: Whereas according to the clinical implication score only genotyping of individual patients has to be considered and despite the lack of proof for a diminished effectiveness of voriconazole in patients with *1/*17 and UM genotypes, two cost effectiveness studies suggest that CYP2C19 genotype-guided treatment with *1/*17 and UM receiving 1.5-fold the standard dose or either an increased dose or alternative, to be both cheaper and more effective than non-genotype-guided treatment (Patel 2020 and Mason 2015).

The table below follows the KNMP definitions for NM, PM, IM and UM. The definitions of NM, PM, IM and UM used in the table below may therefore differ from the definitions used by the authors in the article.

Source	Code	Effect					Comments Authors' conclusions:		
ref. 1 Tian X et al. Impact of CYP2C19 phenotype and drug-drug interac- tions on voricona- zole concentration in pediatric patients. Antimicrob Agents Chemother	3	with voriconazole, of tically. Voriconazole mg/kg to approximate Steady state voriconadetermined. 82% of procentration within Comedication with effects.	65 immunocompromised paediatric patients were treated with voriconazole, of whom approximately 12% prophylactically. Voriconazole doses ranged from approximately 0.5 mg/kg to approximately 14.5 mg/kg. Steady state voriconazole trough concentrations were determined. 82% of patients had a voriconazole trough concentration within the therapeutic range (0.5-5 µg/mL). Comedication with effect on CYP2C19 and voriconazole metabolism was not excluded. Genotyping: - 1x *1/*17 - 21x *1/*1 - 26x IM - 8x PM						
2021;65:e0020721. PMID: 34152823.		- 1x *1/*17 - 21x *1/*1 - 26x IM							
		Results compared t	o *1/*1:				Median trough con- centration _{steady state} at		
			PM	IM	*1/*17	value for *1/*1	a dose of 1-29 mg/kg per day versus *1/*1, children:		
	PM: A IM: A	median dose- and weight-corrected voriconazole concentration	x 4.36 (S)	x 2.82 (S)	x 0.82 (NS)	0.11 µg/mL per mg/kg	IM: 282% PM: 436%		
		dose in patients							
		most important gene							
ref. 2 Zhao YC et al. Predictors of adverse events and determinants of the voriconazole trough	3	92 kidney transplant zole (73% for a suspans). Patients receive nously or 400 mg oraby 4 mg/kg intraveno for maintenance. The	Authors' conclusions: "In conclusion, predictors of adverse events are CYP2C19 phenotypes, hemoglobin, and voricona-						

concentration in kidney transplantation recipients. Clin Transl Sci 2021;14:702-11. PMID: 33202102.

ref. 2, continuation

based on clinical reactions and results of therapeutic drug monitoring.

A mean of 2.3 voriconazole trough concentrations per patient was obtained.

82.8% of patients experienced adverse events. 91% of adverse events occurred within 3 days. Hallucinations (64%), insomnia (56%), and visual impairment (44%) were common adverse events. 65% of patients with adverse events had only one adverse event.

79% of patients with an suspected infection showed an apparent clinical effect of voriconazole.

Comedication with rifampicin, amobarbital, phenobarbital, efavirenz, and ritonavir was excluded, but other comedication with effect on CYP2C19 and voriconazole metabolism was not. Comedication with tacrolimus, cyclosporine and ilaprazole was more frequent in patients with adverse events than in patients without adverse events. Effects of comedication were investigated and, if necessary, adjusted for in regression analysis.

Binary logistic regression analysis of adverse events adjusted for voriconazole trough concentration, tacrolimus use, cyclosporine use, moxifloxacin use, ilaprazole use, and haemoglobin range.

Multiple linear regression analysis of voriconazole trough concentration adjusted for sex, age, weight, postoperative time, tacrolimus use, ilaprazole use, haemoglobin, platelets, alanine transaminase, direct bilirubin, and creatinine.

Genotyping:

- 41x NM
- 40x IM
- 11x PM

Results:

PM: C

IM: A

zole trough concentration. Determinants of the voriconazole trough concentration were CYP2C19 phenotypes, platelet count, hemoglobin, concomitant use of ilaprazole."

Results compared to NM:								
	PM	IM	value for NM					
% of patients with adverse events	NS in univariate analysis, and OR = 112 (95% CI: 6-2083) (S) in binary logistic regression analysis adjusting for voriconazole trough concentration	NS in univa- riate analy- sis and in binary logis- tic regres- sion analy- sis adjusting for voricona- zole trough concentra- tion	82.9%					
voriconazole trough concen- tration	appr. x 1.8 (S) in univariate analysis, and S in multivariate analysis	appr. x 1.2 (S) in univariate analysis (not compared to NM in multivariate analysis)	appr. 2.2 µg/mL					
voriconazole daily dose	appr. x 0.90 S for PM versi NM	appr. 389 mg						

	T	I					T	
ref. 2, continuation		Note: This stu trough concer				nazole		
		Note: Genotype most important The only patients from the CYP2	ation.					
ref. 3 Shang S et al. Effect of CYP2C19 polymorphism on the plasma vorico- nazole concentra- tion and voricona- zole-to-voricona- zole-N-oxide concentration ratio in elderly patients. Mycoses 2020 May 16 (online ahead of print). PMID: 32416606.	3	129 patients we tion, of whom 58 aged 18-60 voriconazole. patients aged nously. The meday in the patients of Steady state we determined. Comedication me inducers a weak inducers. Genotyping: 18-60 years	Authors' conclusions: "Voriconazole C ₀ , C ₀ /dose and C ₀ /C _N ratio are not significantly affected by the CYP2C19*2/*3 polymorphisms in the elderly patients."					
		- 26x NM		9x NM				
		- 27x IM		0x IM				
		- 5x PM	- 1:	2x PM			Median trough con-	
		Desulter					centration _{steady state} at	
		Results:	parad to N	IN A ·			a median dose of 7.59 mg/kg per day versus NM, 18-60 years:	
		Results Com	age	PM	IM	value		
			group	F IVI	IIVI	for		
			(years)			NM	IM: 176%	
	PM: A	median	18-60	x 2.16 (S)	x 1.76	0.38	PM: 216%	
		dose- and		, ,	(trend: p =		NA - P tob	
		weight-			0.070)		Median trough con-	
		corrected			(NS)		centration _{steady state} at a median dose of	
		voricona-		Trend for PN			7.59 mg/kg per day	
		zole con- centration		versus NM (p = 0.051		versus NM, ≥ 60	
		(µg/mL per	≥ 60	(NS). x 1.16	x 1.14	0.64	years:	
		mg/kg)	_ 00	(NS)	(NS)	0.04	IM: 114%	
				NS for PM v			PM: 116%	
				versus NM.				
	IM: A	median	18-60	x 2.02 (S)	x 1.66 (S)	2.89		
		voricona-		S for PM ve	rsus IM			
		zole con-	≥ 60	versus NM. NS	NS	5.46		
		centration						
		(µg/mL)						
		median	18-60	versus NM. NS	trend for a	7.55		
		dose	10-00	110	decrease	7.55		
		(mg/kg per			(p = 0.067)			
		day)			(NS)			
		NS for PM versus IM						
				versus NM.	T			
			≥ 60	NS NS C PM	NS	8.00		
				NS for PM v	ersus IM			
		The media:	doco ond	versus NM.	atod variance	zolo		
				weight-correc				
	<u> </u>	II irough conce	muauon W	as higher in tl	ie age group	<u>~</u> ∪∪	1	

				-	1
ref. 3, continuation		years than in the rence was signific non-significant for numerically oppose			
		most important ger Only two patients v	was for *2, *3, and * ne variants in this Ch with *17 were found ne *1/*17 aged ≥ 60 analysis.	ninese population. (one *2/*17 aged	
ref. 4 Yuan ZQ et al. The impact of plasma protein binding characteristics and unbound concentration of voriconazole on its adverse drug reactions. Front Pharmacol 2020;11:505. PMID: 32390847.	3	ted with voriconazo prophylaxis. All part dose of 6 mg/kg ev by a maintenance Steady state vorico determined and va PM.	ole for suspected fur tients received a vor very 12 hours on the dose of 4 mg/kg eve onazole trough conc- lues were reported f effect on CYP2C19	riconazole loading first day, followed ery 12 hours. entrations were for 26 NM and 11	Authors' conclusions: "The minimum Cunbound in steady state of PMs were significantly higher than those of NMs in our result. The similar relationship appeared in minimum Ctotal."
	PM: A	- 20X NW - 11x PM Results: Voriconazole trou (0.71 µg/mL): PM x	Trough concentra- tion _{steady state} at a dose of 8 mg/kg per day versus NM: PM: 190%		
		most important ger	was for *2, *3, and * ne variants in this Ch t mention whether an t group.	hinese population.	
ref. 5 Hicks JK et al. Prospective CYP- 2C19-guided vorico- nazole prophylaxis in patients with neutropenic acute myeloid leukemia reduces the inciden- ce of subtherapeutic antifungal plasma concentrations. Clin Pharmacol Ther 2020;107:563-70. PMID: 31549389.	3	176 neutropenic actreated with genoty Voriconazole was a twice daily was use 200 mg twice daily patients received is penic acute myeloi genotype-guided pmendation. Main rehospital before ger (23%), and elevate Voriconazole troug patients. Genotype groups in differed significantl Relevant comedica proton pump inhibit	cute myeloid leukaer /pe-guided prophyla avoided in UM, voriced in *1/*17 and the was used for *1/*1, savuconazol instead d leukaemia patient:	actic voriconazole. conazole 300 mg standard dose of IM and PM. UM d. Another 26 neutro- s did not receive azole despite recom- discharge from on (54%), unknown on .5%). ere obtained in 70 dy treated patients x. ed. Correction for whole group, not for	Authors' conclusions: "Interventional voriconazole resulted in higher plasma trough concentrations (median 2.7 µg/mL) compared to the standard prophylactic dosage (median 0.6 µg/mL. Subtherapeutic concentrations were avoided in 83.8% of CYP2C19 rapid metabolizers receiving interventional dosage compared to 46.2% receiving standard dosage. CYP-2C19 genotyping to preemptively guide prophylactic voriconazole dosing is feasible and may be a potential strategy for reducing the risk
		- 3x UM - 46x *1/*17	- 1x UM - 12x *1/*17	- 41x *1/*17	of subtherapeutic trough concentrations

ref. 5, continuation	- 64x	*1/*1	- 11x *1/	*1 -	- 13x *1/*1		that potentiate break-
	- 56x		- 2x IM		- 11x IM		through fungal infec-
	- 7x F	PM		-	- 5x PM		tions."
	Results	·					
		ts for genoty	pe-auided	therapy cor	mpared to	not	
	genot	ype-guided th					
	tions)	<u>:</u>	<u> </u>		l value	for	
					value not g		
G	enoty-				type-	gui-	
	e-gui-					or his-	
de	ed ver-				trol g	al con- roup	
		an voriconazo		50 (S)		g/ mL	
I =	enotype trougl guided for *1	h concentratio	on				
th	nerapy: % of	<u>/ / </u>	b- x 0.3	30 (S)	53.89	%	
	I/*17: A thera	peutic voricor	ıa-	- (-)			
		concentration	(<				
A		ar pneumonia	a NS		2.2 c	ases	
	cases	per 1000	Non	e of the 4 U			
	neutro	openic days		savuconazo a break-	le days		
				a break- ugh fungal			
			infe	tion.			
		/*17 receiving upratherapeu				ily	
		L). One patie	е				
		nt had an incr	itients				
		ot have a toxi ntinuation.					
	4.000	- Innactioni					
		ts compared	to *1/*1 o	n voriconaz	ole 200 m	g twice	
	daily	(400 mg): *1/*17	*1/*17	IM	PM	value	
		400	600			for	
	media	mg an x 0.23	mg 3 x 1.04	x 0.81	x 0.73	*1/*1 2.6	
	vorice		X 1.02	(*1/*2),	X 0.73	μg/	
	zole			x 0.73		mL	
	trougl			(*2/*17			
	tration		icance wa	s not deterr	mined		
				/*1, only for			
	% of			<u>/*1+IM+ PN</u> x 0	x 0	30.8	
	tients	with Signif	icance wa	s not deterr	mined	%	
	subth			/*1, only for			
	ricona		ig compa	ed to *1/*17	400		
	conce	en- Note:		the authors			
	tration 1 µg/ı	,		subtherape the trough			
	' µg/'	,		es do show			
		thera	eutic cor	centration for	or PM.	a : -	
	/I: AA			r *1/*17 600 s *1/*1 vers		21.9 %	
	contir		versu		45 HVI	/0	
	vorice	ona-					
	zole o	due					

		1-1				
ref. 5, continuation		to neuro-				
		toxicity				
		% of pa-		NS for *1/*17 600 mg	10.9	
		tients dis-		versus *1/*1 versus IM	%	
		continuing		versus PM		
		voricona-				
		zole due				
		to eleva-				
		ted liver				
		transami-				
		nases				
		_				
		-		for *2, *3 and *17. These a		
		·	-	ariants in this population fr	om the	
				I in this patient group.		
ref. 6	3	_		oietic cell transplant recipio		Authors' conclusions:
Patel JN et al.				guided prophylactic vorico		"CYP2C19 genotype-
Evaluation of CYP-				00 mg twice daily was use		guided voriconazole
2C19 genotype-				e standard dose of 200 mg		dosing reduced
guided voriconazole		•		1, IM and PM. Follow-up w		subtherapeutic drug
prophylaxis after		•		nt days. On the first post-tra	•	concentrations and
allogeneic hemato-		day, intraven	ous micaf	ungin was started. Within a	about 1	effectively prevented
poietic cell trans-		week post-tra	ansplant, 1	this was switched to oral vo	oricona-	invasive fungal
plant.		zole. Dose tit	tration wa	s based on therapeutic dru	g monito-	infections."
Clin Pharmacol Ther		ring.				
2020;107:571-9. PMID: 31549386.		Voriconazole	success	rate could be analysed in 7	' 8	
FIVIID. 31349300.		patients. Vor	iconazole	prophylaxis success rate v	vas defi-	
		ned as the al	osence of	intolerance to voriconazole	e (≤ 14	
		total days of	interruptio	on due to drug-related toxic	ities), the	
		absence of a	proven/p	robable invasive fungal infe	ection,	
		and surviving	from sta	rt of voriconazole to the 10	0 th post-	
		transplant da	ıy.			
		No patients e	experience	ed a proven or probable inv	asive a	
		fungal infecti	on. 40.5%	experienced at least one	adverse	
		event possible	ly related	to voriconazole. 5.6% expe	erienced a	
		grade 3 adve	erse event	, and 13.5% discontinued v	oricona-	
		zole due to a	n adverse	e event. The most frequent	adverse	
		events includ	led elevat	ed alkaline phosphatase (2	28.1%),	
		elevated alar	nine/aspai	tate aminotransferase (27.	0%), and	
		neurological	symptoms	s (7.9%). 2.2% experienced	d QTc	
		interval prolo	ngation (>	 500 ms) which led to vori 	conazole	
		discontinuation	on.			
		Voriconazole	trough co	oncentrations were measur	ed at the	
		first steady-s	tate level	(at least 5 days after start of	of vorico-	
		nazole).				
		Comedication	n with om	eprazole was not excluded	. The	
		authors indic	ated that	prior pharmacokinetic stud	es	
		demonstrate	d no clinic	ally relevant interaction, ar	nd no	
				this population. Patients di		
				comedication.		
			-	owed a sample size of 60	evaluable	
		•		I for a power of at least 90°		
				n the historical control rate		
				btherapeutic patients, assu		
		true subthera			J	
			-			
		Genotyping:				
		- 3x UM				
		- 29x *1/*17				
		- 30x *1/*1				
						1

ref. 6, continuation		- 23x IM							
,		- 4x PM							
		Results:							
	Conoti		Results for genotype-guided therapy compared to histo-						
	Genoty- pe-gui-	rical controls:		value for					
	ded ver-			historical					
	sus not			controls					
	genotype	% of patients with	x 0.58 (S)	50%					
	-guided	subtherapeutic vori-							
	therapy:	conazole concentra- tion (< 1 µg/mL)	-						
	*1/*17+ UM: A	% of *1/*17+UM with	h x 0.22 (S)	appr.					
	OIVI. A	subtherapeutic vori-		70%					
	all pa-	conazole concentra	-						
	tients:	tion (< 1 µg/mL)	4.45 (0)	5.40/					
	AA#	voriconazole succes		54%					
		nazole tolerance)	0-						
			ing genotype-guided t	herapy had a					
		supratherapeutic vo	riconazole concentrat	ion (> 5.5					
			, both > 7 μ g/mL, neith						
			rse event). So, 6.9% o g twice daily develope						
		peutic concentration		a supramera-					
			and one IM experience	ced a grade 3					
		adverse event.	·						
			o *1/*1 on voriconazol	e 200 mg twice					
		daily (400 mg): *1/*17	*1/*17 IM	PM value	_				
		+UM	+UM	for					
		400	600	*1/*1					
		mg ^a	mg						
		% of pa- x 1.4 tients with	x 0.31 x 0.52	x 0 50%					
		subthera-	UM:						
		peutic vo-	x 0						
	IM: A	riconazole	S for the comparison						
	PM: A	concen-	concen- between *1/*17+UM 600						
		tration (<	mg, *1/*1, IM, and	PM.					
		1 µg/mL) voricona-	x 2.7 x 1.7	x 2.3 1.0					
		zole	S for the comparison						
		trough							
		concen-							
		tration voricona-	_						
		zole suc-	NS for the compari between *1/*17+UN						
		cess rate	mg, *1/*1, IM, and						
		(including							
		voriconaz							
		ole tolera- bility)							
		voricona-	x 1.1 x 1.3	x 2.5 13%	1				
		zole dis-	Significance betwe						
		continua-	groups not determi						
		tion due							
		to adver- se events							
		a: historical controls	1	l	1				
	<u> </u>								

ref. 6, continuation						
ren e, communication		Note: In this study, adverse events did trations.				
		Note: Genotyping w most important genous.				
ref. 7 Song Y et al. Association of CYP-2C19 and UGT1A4 polymorphisms with voriconazole-indu- ced liver injury. Per Med 2020;17:15-22. PMID: 31797717.	3	38 patients with profungal disease were dose of 6 mg/kg intraday 1, followed by 4 twice daily for maint 10 of these patients ced liver injury. Patiliver injury had a highigher pre-treatment patients not develop Drug-induced liver i least one indicator of bilirubin) being high the initiation of voriciliver injury and voricilized Romethod. Patients with conazole therapy with the standardized Romethod in the standardized Romethod	Authors' conclusions: "There was no significant correlation between voriconazole-induced liver injury and gene polymorphisms of CYP-2C19 and UGT1A4."			
		Results compared	to NIM.			
		Results compared	PM	IM	value	
			IVI	IIVI	for NM	
	PM: AA IM: AA	% of patients with voricona-zole-induced liver injury	NS	NS	15%	
		The mean voricons with voriconazole-itherapeutic range				
		Note: Genotyping w important gene varia	ants in this Ch	ninese populatio	on.	
ref. 8 Blanco-Dorado S et al. Impact of CYP2C19 genotype and drug interactions on voriconazole plasma concentrations: a Spain pharmacoge- netic-pharmacokine-	3	78 patients were tre 26 days (4-185 days tions (96% of patien on oral voriconazole cases. In patients of mean loading dose mean maintenance A voriconazole-relati with a possible or st	s), mostly for ants). The main e (n = 36) was n intravenous was 5.90 mg/dose 3.79 mg ted adverse e	suspected fung tenance dose in 200 mg twice of voriconazole (in kg twice daily and your wice daily.	al infec- n patients daily in all n = 42), the and the	Authors' conclusions: "These results suggest the potential clinical utility of using CYP2C19 genotypeguided voriconazole dosing to achieve concentrations in the therapeutic range in the early course of

tic prospective multicenter study. Pharmacotherapy 2020;40:17-25. PMID: 31782536. ref. 8, continuation		Steady state void determined. None of the patiother hepatotoxic CYP2C19 and void Genotyping: - 2x UM - 21x *1/*17 - 34x *1/*1 - 20x IM - 1x PM	therapy. Larger studies are needed to confirm the impact of pharmacogenetics on voriconazole pharmacokinetics."					
		Results: Results compa	red to *1	/ *1 :				
		Trocano compo	PM	IM	*1/*17	UM	value for *1/*1	
	PM: AA IM: AA UM: AA	% of patients with adverse events			arisons b 1/*17 and		21%	
	OW. 70 C	% of patients with subtherapeutic voriconazole concentration	compai	ance wa red to *1/ -UM com	x 1.30 s not dete *1, only for pared to	ermined or	29%	
		(< 1 μg/mL) % of patients with supratherapeutic voriconazole concentration (> 5.5 μg/mL) % of patients x 34 x 1.70 x 1.62 x 0 Significance between the groups was not determined. Note: Although the authors state that 0% of *1/*17+UM had supratherapeutic concentration figure does show a supratherapeutic concentration for *1/*17.					2.9%	
		voriconazole trough con- centration	PM, IM NS in n effects *2. The me concen peutic f	, *1/*1, * nultivaria analysis ean voric tration w for PM, th	parison be 1/*17 and te linear r for *17 and conazole t as suprat herapeution, and sub	OUM. mixed- nd for rough chera- c for IM,	appr. 2.1 µg/ mL	
		Note: Genotypir patients also for variants in this S						
ref. 9 Yamada T et al. Impact of flavin- containing mono- oxygenase 3 and CYP2C19 genoty- pes on plasma disposition and adverse effects of voriconazole admi- nistered orally in immunocompro-	3	65 immunocomp nazole 100-300 (suspected) fund 22). Treatment v 4.6% of patients elevation, 6.2% tidase aspartate visual changes. Steady state tro Comedication w or inhibitors was	mg (med gal infect was for a had tota ALAT eld aminotra None of ugh cond ith strong	dian 200 ion (n = t least 5 al bilirubinevation, (ansferas) the advecentration	mg) orally 43) or pro days. n elevatio 6.2% γ-gli e elevatio rse event ns were d 19 or CY	y twice dopphylaxis n, 6.2% a utamyl train, and 3 ts was seetermine P3A4 inc	aily for (n = ASAT anspep- .1% evere. d.	Authors' conclusions: "CYP2C19 phenotype did not affect the plasma concentration and metabolic ratio of voriconazole The FMO3 and CYP2C19 genotypes and their associated voriconazole pharmacokinetics did not have an effect on the inci-

Zerontinuation PM: AA IM: AA IM: AB PM:	mised patients.		dication with m	dence of adverse					
PMID: 31239195. ref. 9, continuation PM: AA PM: A	J Infect Chemother		not.	effects."					
ref. 9, continuation PM: AA IM: AA	- I		Conotyping:						
ref. 9, continuation PM: AA IM: AA I	1 10110. 01200100.		• • •						
PM: AA IM: AA IM: A PM: A IM: A PM: A IM: A IM: A PM: A IM:	ref. 9, continuation								
Results compared to NM: PM: AA M: AA M									
Results compared to NM: PM: AA M: AA M									
PM: AA IM: A I							Median dose- and		
PM: AA IM: A IM: AA IM: A IM: AA IM: A IM:			Results comp		LINA	volue			
PM: AA IM: A IM: AA IM: A IM				FIVI	IIVI	I I			
with adverse events median dose- and weight- corrected voriconazole concentration Note: In this study, adverse events did not correlate with voriconazole concentration. Note: Genotyping was for "2 and "3. These are the most important gene variants in this Japanese population. 110 patients with barematological disorders were treated with voriconazole trough concentration in patients with different forms of hematologic disorders. J Clin Pharmacol 2019;59:1340-50. PMID: 30997931. With adverse events median dose- and weight- corrected voriconazole concentrations. Note: Genotyping was for "2 and "3. These are the most important gene variants in this Japanese population. 110 patients with barematological disorders were treated with voriconazole trough concentration were treated with voriconazole trough concentrations were determined. A mean of 2.2 samples per patient was analysis sed. The mean trough concentrations were subtherapeutic (> 5 µg/mL). Steady state voriconazole trough concentrations were subtherapeutic (> 5 µg/mL). Comedication with strong inducers and inhibitors of CYP enzymes was excluded, but moderate or weak inducers and inhibitors were not. Genotyping: - 38x NM - 50x IM - 22x PM Results: Results		PM: AA	% of patients	NS for CYP	2C19 phenoty-	101 14141			
Levents median doses x 1.04 (NS) x 1.53 (NS) 0.51 \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \		IM: AA	11						
ref. 10 Note: In this study, adverse events did not correlate with voriconazole concentrations. Note: Genotyping was for *2 and *3. These are the most important gene variants in this Japanesse population. The influence of proinflammatory cytokines on voriconazole for possible, probable or proven invasive fungal infection. All patients were treated with voriconazole for possible, probable or proven invasive fungal infection. All patients were treated with voriconazole for possible, probable or proven invasive fungal infection. All patients were treated with voriconazole for possible, probable or proven invasive fungal infection. All patients were treated with voriconazole for possible, probable or proven invasive fungal infection. All patients were treated with voriconazole gamma-glutamyl transferase, IL-6, proton pump inhibitor condaministration, and cytochrome P450 (19.5%). Steady state voriconazole trough concentrations were determined. A mean of 2.2 samples per patient was analysed. The mean trough concentrations were subtherapeutic (< 0.5 µg/mL). Comedication with strong inducers and inhibitors of CYP enzymes was excluded, but moderate or weak inducers and inhibitors were not. Genotyping: - 38x NM - 50x IM - 22x PM Results: Results:					4.50 (110)	0.74			
corrected voriconazole concentration Note: In this study, adverse events did not correlate with voriconazole concentrations. Note: Genotyping was for "2 and "3. These are the most important gene variants in this Japanese population. 110 patients with haematological disorders were treated with voriconazole fro possible, probable or proven invasive fungal infection. All patients were treated with voriconazole 200 mg twice daily, either orally (80.5%) or intravenously (19.5%). Steady state voriconazole trough concentrations were determined. A mean of 2.2 samples per patient was analysed. The mean trough concentration was not statistically different between the oral and intravenous route. 10.4% of voriconazole trough concentrations were subtherapeutic (< 0.5 µg/mL). Comedication with strong inducers and inhibitors of CYP enzymes was excluded, but moderate or weak inducers and inhibitors were not. Results: Res				x 1.04 (NS)	x 1.53 (NS)				
Voriconazole mg/kg									
Concentration Note: In this study, adverse events did not correlate with voriconazole concentrations.									
voriconazole concentrations. Note: Genotyping was for *2 and *3. These are the most important gene variants in this Japanese population. ref. 10 Mafuru M et al. The influence of proinflammatory cytokines on voriconazole trough concentration in patients with different forms of hematologic disorders. J Clin Pharmacol 2019;59:1340-50. PMID: 30997931. PMID: 30997931. Voriconazole concentration was not statistically different between the oral and intravenous route. 10.4% of voriconazole trough concentrations were subtherapeutic (>5 µg/mL). Comedication with strong inducers and inhibitors of CYP enzymes was excluded, but moderate or weak inducers and inhibitors were not. Genotyping: - 38x NM - 50x IM - 22x PM Results: Results compared to NM: PM: A IM: A PM: A IM: A PM: A IM: A IM: A Results: Results compared to NM: PM: A IM: A Results: Results compared to NM: PM: A IM: A Results compared to NM: PM: A IM: A IM: A Results: Results compared to NM: PM: A IM:			concentration						
voriconazole concentrations. Note: Genotyping was for *2 and *3. These are the most important gene variants in this Japanese population. ref. 10 Mafuru M et al. The influence of proinflammatory cytokines on voriconazole trough concentration in patients with different forms of hematologic disorders. J Clin Pharmacol 2019;59:1340-50. PMID: 30997931. PMID: 30997931. Voriconazole concentration was not statistically different between the oral and intravenous route. 10.4% of voriconazole trough concentrations were subtherapeutic (>5 µg/mL). Comedication with strong inducers and inhibitors of CYP enzymes was excluded, but moderate or weak inducers and inhibitors were not. Genotyping: - 38x NM - 50x IM - 22x PM Results: Results compared to NM: PM: A IM: A PM: A IM: A PM: A IM: A IM: A Results: Results compared to NM: PM: A IM: A Results: Results compared to NM: PM: A IM: A Results compared to NM: PM: A IM: A IM: A Results: Results compared to NM: PM: A IM:									
Note: Genotyping was for *2 and *3. These are the most important gene variants in this Japanese population.					ents did not corre	late with			
important gene variants in this Japanese population. 7ef. 10 Mafuru M et al. The influence of proinflammatory cytokines on voriconazole trough concentration in patients with different forms of hematologic disorders. J Clin Pharmacol 2019;59:1340-50. PMID: 30997931. J Datients with different between the oral and intravenous route. 10.4% of voriconazole trough concentrations were subtherapeutic (< 0.5 µg/mL). Comedication with strong inducers and inhibitors of CYP enzymes was excluded, but moderate or weak inducers and inhibitors were not. Results: Res			vonconazoie co	oncentrations.					
important gene variants in this Japanese population. 7ef. 10 Mafuru M et al. The influence of proinflammatory cytokines on voriconazole trough concentration in patients with different forms of hematologic disorders. J Clin Pharmacol 2019;59:1340-50. PMID: 30997931. J Datients with different between the oral and intravenous route. 10.4% of voriconazole trough concentrations were subtherapeutic (< 0.5 µg/mL). Comedication with strong inducers and inhibitors of CYP enzymes was excluded, but moderate or weak inducers and inhibitors were not. Results: Res			Note: Genotypi	ng was for *2 ar	nd *3. These are	the most			
Matru M et al. The influence of provening transfer and proving the proinflammatory cytokines on voriconazole trough concentration in patients with different forms of hematologic disorders. J Clin Pharmacol 2019;59:1340-50. PMID: 30997931. With voriconazole for possible, probable or proven invasive fungal infection. All patients were treated with voriconazole trough concentrations were determined. A mean of 2.2 samples per patient was analysed. The mean trough concentration was not statistically additionally different between the oral and intravenous route. 10.4% of voriconazole trough concentrations were subtherapeutic (< 0.5 µg/mL). Comedication with strong inducers and inhibitors of CYP enzymes was excluded, but moderate or weak inducers and inhibitors were not. Genotyping: - 38x NM - 50x IM - 22x PM Results: Result			important gene	variants in this	Japanese popula	tion.			
The influence of proinflammatory cytokines on voriconazole trough concentration in patients with different between the oral and intravenous route. 10.19% of voriconazole trough concentrations were determined. A mean of 2.2 samples per patient was analysed. The mean trough concentration was not statistically different between the oral and intravenous route. 10.19% of voriconazole trough concentrations were determined. A mean of 2.2 samples per patient was analysed. The mean trough concentration was not statistically different between the oral and intravenous route. 10.49% of voriconazole trough concentrations were subtherapeutic (< 0.5 µg/mL). Comedication with strong inducers and inhibitors of CYP enzymes was excluded, but moderate or weak inducers and inhibitors were not. Genotyping: - 38x NM - 50x IM - 22x PM Results: Result		3							
proinflammatory cytokines on voriconazole trough concentration in patients with different forms of hematologic disorders. J Clin Pharmacol 2019;59:1340-50. PMID: 30997931. 200 mg twice daily, either orally (80.5%) or intravenously (19.5%). Steady state voriconazole trough concentrations were determined. A mean of 2.2 samples per patient was analysed. The mean trough concentration was not statistically different between the oral and intravenous route. 10.4% of voriconazole trough concentrations were subthanapartic (< 0.5 µg/mL) and 16% were supratherapeutic (> 5 µg/mL). Comedication with strong inducers and inhibitors of CYP enzymes was excluded, but moderate or weak inducers and inhibitors were not. Genotyping: - 38x NM - 50x IM - 22x PM Results: Resu									
cytokines on vori- conazole trough concentration in patients with diffe- rent forms of hema- tologic disorders. J Clin Pharmacol 2019;59:1340-50. PMID: 30997931. Comedication with strong inducers and inhibitors of CYP enzymes was excluded, but moderate or weak inducers and inhibitors were not. Comedication with strong inducers and inhibitors of CYP enzymes was excluded, but moderate or weak inducers and inhibitors were not. Comedication with strong inducers and inhibitors of CYP enzymes was excluded, but moderate or weak inducers and inhibitors were not. Comedication with strong inducers and inhibitors of CYP enzymes was excluded, but moderate or weak inducers and inhibitors were not. Results: Result									
concentration in patients with different forms of hematologic disorders. J Clin Pharmacol 2019;59:1340-50. PMID: 30997931. Comedication with strong inducers and inhibitors of CYP enzymes was excluded, but moderate or weak inducers and inhibitors were not. Genotyping: - 38x NM - 50x IM - 22x PM				any, our or orang	, (00.070) or milita	voriously			
patients with different forms of hematologic disorders. J Clin Pharmacol 2019;59:1340-50. PMID: 30997931. Sed. The mean trough concentration was not statistically different between the oral and intravenous route. 10.4% of voriconazole trough concentrations were subtherapeutic (< 0.5 µg/mL) and 16% were supratherapeutic (> 5 µg/mL). Comedication with strong inducers and inhibitors of CYP enzymes was excluded, but moderate or weak inducers and inhibitors were not. Genotyping: - 38x NM - 50x IM - 22x PM Results: Results									
rent forms of hematologic disorders. J Clin Pharmacol 2019;59:1340-50. PMID: 30997931. different between the oral and intravenous route. 10.4% of voriconazole trough concentrations were subtherapeutic (< 0.5 μg/mL) and 16% were supratherapeutic (> 5 μg/mL). Comedication with strong inducers and inhibitors of CYP enzymes was excluded, but moderate or weak inducers and inhibitors were not. Genotyping: - 38x NM - 50x IM - 22x PM Results: Results: Results compared to NM: PM: A IM: A PM: A PM: A IM: A PM: A									
tologic disorders. J Clin Pharmacol 2019;59:1340-50. PMID: 30997931. 10.4% of voriconazole trough concentrations were subtherapeutic (> 5 µg/mL). Comedication with strong inducers and inhibitors of CYP enzymes was excluded, but moderate or weak inducers and inhibitors were not. Genotyping: - 38x NM - 50x IM - 22x PM Results: Result				•		,			
J Clin Pharmacol 2019;59:1340-50. PMID: 30997931. Fapeutic (< 0.5 μg/mL) and 16% were supratherapeutic (> 5 μg/mL). Comedication with strong inducers and inhibitors of CYP enzymes was excluded, but moderate or weak inducers and inhibitors were not. Genotyping: - 38x NM - 50x IM - 22x PM Results: Resu									
2019;59:1340-50. PMID: 30997931. 5 μg/mL). Comedication with strong inducers and inhibitors of CYP enzymes was excluded, but moderate or weak inducers and inhibitors were not. Genotyping: - 38x NM - 50x IM - 22x PM Results: Results: Results: Results compared to NM: PM: A IM: A PM: A IM: A IM: A IM: A IM: A PM: A IM: A									
PM: A IM: A				, ,	·				
And inhibitors were not. Genotyping: - 38x NM - 50x IM - 22x PM Results: Results compared to NM: PM IM value for NM median x 3.07 (S) x 2.14 (S) 1.4 yg/mL worico-nazole showed PM and IM to be independent on tration trough concentration (S). Median trough concentrationsteady state at a dose of 400 mg per day versus NM: IM: 214% PM: 307%	PMID: 30997931.								
Genotyping: - 38x NM - 50x IM - 22x PM Results: Results compared to NM: PM IM value for NM IM: A IM: A worico- nazole concentration The product of the pr					oderate or weak i	nducers			
PM: A IM: A			and minibilors v	vere not.					
PM: A IM: A			Genotyping:						
PM: A IM: A PM: IM: A IM: Value for NM IM: Value for NM Median trough concentration/steady state at a dose of 400 mg per day versus NM: IM: 214% PM: 307% Median trough concentration/state at a dose of 400 mg per day versus NM: IM: 214% PM: 307%			- 38x NM						
PM: A IM: A Results: Results compared to NM: PM IM value for NM median x 3.07 (S) x 2.14 (S) 1.4 pg/mL worico-nazole showed PM and IM to be independent fration trough concentration (S). Results: Median trough concentrationsteady state at a dose of 400 mg per day versus NM: IM: 214% PM: 307%									
PM: A IM: A IM: A Results compared to NM: PM: A IM: A PM: A IM: A			- 22x PM						
PM: A IM: A IM: A Results compared to NM: PM: A IM: A PM: A IM: A			Results:	Median trough con-					
PM: A IM: A IM: A PM: A IM: A PM: A IM: A									
PM: A IM: A median vorico- nazole concentration trough concentration x 3.07 (S) x 2.14 (S) 1.4 pg/mL IM: 214% PM: 307%				a dose of 400 mg per					
IM: A Vorico- nazole concentration trough concentration (S).		ΡΜ· Δ							
nazole showed PM and IM to be indepen- concendent predictors of voriconazole tration trough concentration (S).									
concen- dent predictors of voriconazole tration trough concentration (S).				1 IVI. 337 /0					
tration trough concentration (S).									
[tration tro						
Together with age, plasma γ-gluta-									
myl transferase, interleukin-6 levels, and proton pump inhibitor									
coadministration, they explained									
29% of the variation in the vorico-									

ref. 10, continua-		nazole trough concentration.	
tion		Note: Genotyping was for *2 and *3. These are the most important gene variants in this Chinese population.	
ref. 11 Sienkiewicz B et al. Influence of CYP- 2C19 genotypes on the occurrence of adverse drug reac- tions of voriconazole among hematologi- cal patients after allo-HSCT. Pathol Oncol Res 2018;24:541-5. PMID: 28685218. ref. 11, continua- tion	UM: AA	30 allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplantation patients were prophylactically treated with voriconazole (doses not reported). Voriconazole prophylaxis failed in two cases (6.7% of patients), where an invasive pulmonary aspergillosis occurred. Adverse events on the day before start of voriconazole and the first 20 treatment days were examined. 77% of patients suffered from at least one side effect during therapy. The presented complications were temporary and had no impact on the dose regimen nor the conducted pharmacotherapy. Most frequent adverse drug reactions were gastrointestinal disturbances (50% of patients), nervous system disorders (37% of patients) and skin disorders (23% of patients). Comedication with effect on CYP2C19 and voriconazole metabolism was not excluded. Genotyping: - 3x UM - 12x NM (4x *1/*1, 8x *1/*17) - 15x IM (5x *1/*2, 10x *2/*17) Results: Effect on % of patients with adverse events: CYP2C19 NS genotype NS The % of patients with adverse events was numerically higher for IM. The authors postulate that the absence of a significant effect is due to the limited number of patients. Note: Genotyping was for *2 and *17. These are the most	Authors' conclusions: "Patients with at least one loss of function allele (*2) were more likely to experience adverse drug reactions than those, with different genotypes. Due to the limited number of patients the result could not be proven with a statistical significance. Previous determination of CYP2C19 genotype may be a useful tool for prevention of adverse drug reactions during voriconazole prophylaxis among patients after allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplantation."
ref. 12 Hamadeh IS et al. Impact of the CYP- 2C19 genotype on voriconazole expo- sure in adults with invasive fungal infections. Pharmacogenet Genomics 2017;27:190-6. PMID: 28306618.	3	important gene variants in this Polish population. 70 patients with proven or probable invasive fungal infection were treated with voriconazole. 63% of patients received voriconazole intravenously, 37% orally. All patients started on a loading dose of 6 mg/kg every 12 hours for the first 24 hours, followed by a maintenance dose of 4 mg/kg every 12 hours. If necessary, dose was adjusted based on voriconazole concentration thereafter. 30% of patients had a subtherapeutic first voriconazole concentration (< 2 μg/mL) and 20% a supratherapeutic first voriconazole concentration (> 6 μg/mL). Steady state voriconazole trough concentrations were determined. None of the patients used CYP2C19 inhibitors or inducers. Of the original group of 81 patients with proven or probable invasive fungal infection starting voriconazole, 11 (13.6%) discontinued voriconazole before sampling for plasma concentration measurement on day 5-7 day of treatment. Reasons for discontinuation and genotypes of patients were not reported. A power calculation showed that the inclusion of at least 70 patients, with 14 expected to have the *1/*17 or UM genotype based on reported phenotype frequencies, provides 80% power to detect a 30% difference in the prevalence of	Authors' conclusions: "Our findings indicate that adults with the CYP2C19 RM or UM phenotype are more likely to have subtherapeutic concentrations with weight-based voriconazole dosing. These results corroborate previous findings in children and support potential clinical utility of CYP-2C19 genotype-guided voriconazole dosing to avoid underexposure in RMs and UMs."

ref. 12, continua-		subtherapeution					
tion		between *1/*17	7+UM and	*1/*1+IM+P	M.		
		Genotyping: - 3x UM - 24x *1/*17 - 28x *1/*1 - 14x IM - 1x PM					
		Results:					
		Results comp	pared to *1/	*1 or *1/*1+	·IM+PM:		
			IM+PM	*1/*17	UM	value for *1/*1 or *1/*1+ IM+PM	
	IM+PM: AA UM: A	first vorico- nazole con- centration	x 0.97 (NS)	x 0.70 (trend: p = 0.05) (NS)	x 0.32 (S)	*1/*1: 4.27 µg/mL	Trough concentra- tionsteady state at a dose
			tration for NM (3.67	oriconazole UM is 0.37	x that for		of 8 mg/kg per day versus NM: UM: 37%
		% of pa-	difference	was signifi ot for *1/*17	cant for 7 (p =	*1/*1+	
		% of pa- tients with a subthera- peutic first voricona- zole con- centration (< 2 µg/mL)		x 2.8 (S) Multiple Id regression showed ** to be a pr subtherap voriconaz centration mL) (OR = 95% CI: 1 The only of dictor four weight ≤ 7	n analysis 1/*17+UM edictor of reutic first ole con- as (< 2 µg/ = 5.6; .6-19.2). other pre- nd was 70 kg.	IM+PM: 16.2%	
		% of pa- tients with a first vorico- nazole con- centration < 1 µg/mL			9 (S)	*1/*1+ IM+PM: 7%	
		% of pa- tients with a suprathera- peutic first voricona- zole con- centration (> 6 µg/mL)	x 0.37 (NS)		21 (S)	*1/*1: 35.7%	
		Effect of 25% Voriconazole alternative ar subtherapeut two UM and of to 5 mg/kg ev trough conce	was either atifungal ago ic first vorice to the total to the total was a second to the total was a second to the total to the total to the total was either to the total to the total to the total was either to the total to the	discontinue ent in 79% conazole tro the dose w rs. This res	ed or switch of *1/*17+Lough concer as increase ulted in the	ned to an JM with a contration. In ed by 25% rapeutic	

	1	II			Т
ref. 12, continua-			, and a concentration		
tion			hepatotoxicity or oth		
		were observed foil	lowing dose increase	e.	
		Nata Ossatonia	(*0. *0		
			as for *2, *3, and *1		
			e variants in this por		
			und in this patient gr		A (I) .
ref. 13	4	-	10 studies including		Authors' conclusions:
Li X et al.			azole, of which 262		"Patients with CYP-
Effect of cytochrome P450 2C19 poly-		1 -	allele. Patients with		2C19 PM phenotype were associated with
morphisms on the			meta-analysis. In the		increased treatment
clinical outcomes of			type or phenotype w		success rate and
voriconazole: a		-	ough concentrations		trough concentrations
systematic review			definitions of a succe		as compared with
and meta-analysis.			studies. Neurotoxici	-	those with NM phe-
Eur J Clin Pharma-			iditory or visual hallu		notype. There was no
col			zures. If studies only	•	significant associa-
2016;72:1185-93.			converted to the est	_	tion between CYP-
PubMed PMID:		_	f Hozo 2005.The inc		2C19 polymorphisms
27388292.			maximum of 10 poin om the Strengthenin		and either daily main-
			n (STREGA) recomn		tenance dose or
		reports on genetic a	•	Heridations for	adverse outcomes of
			ess was determined	l in 4 studies invol-	voriconazole. Howe-
			patients, of which 14		ver, large-scale, high-
			g 2014 and Kim 201		quality trials are still
		1	in this risk analysis.		needed to confirm these findings."
			there were 6 studies		mese mangs.
			76 IM and 49 PM. F		
		1 -	s with a total of 282		
			and for neurotoxicity		
			of 141 patients, inclu		
		PM.	•	J	
		For voriconazole tro	ough concentration,	there were 7	
		studies with a total	of 517 patients, of w	hich 216 IM and 65	
			es, 5 (Chuwongwatta		
		2014, Zonios 2014,	Kim 2013 and Brüg	geman 2010) have	
		also been included	separately in this ris	sk analysis.	
		For the maintenance	e dose, there were	3 studies with a	
		-	of which 59 IM. Of t		
			nd Berge 2011 have	also been included	
		separately in this ris	-		
			odel was used for a	•	
			-	col was not mentio-	
			d selection strategy	-	
			tion was standardise		
			alysis was assessed	•	
		-	parisons of the trou	•	
			Indications for publi		
		1 -	mparisons. Publicati		
			ner comparisons du	e to the low number	
		of studies.			
		Decultor			
		Results:	difforonces versus	NIN/I:	
		Relative lisks and	differences versus I PM	IM	
	3	Treatment	RR = 1.31; 95%	NS	
	PM: AA#	success	CI: 1.04-1.67 (S)	110	
	1 101. 747	All side effects	NS	NS	
	1	'			

ref. 13, continua-	1	Hanatataviaitu	NS	NS	
tion		Hepatotoxicity	NS	NS NS	
lion	IM: A	Neurotoxicity			
	IIVI. A	Difference in	+ 1.22 (S)	+ 0.61 (S)	
		voriconazole trough concen-			
		tration (mg/L)			
		Difference in		NS	
		maintenance		INO	
		dose (mg/kg per			
		dose (mg/kg per day)			
			geneity between the	studies for:	
			trough concentration		
			wongwattana 2016		
			in the disappearan		
			ige in the result (av		
		1.32 (S)).	•	3	
			ication bias were pr	esent for:	
		1 1	trough concentration		
		- all side effects ar			
		The publication bia	as was not examine	d for the other	
		11	to the low number o		
ref. 14	3	-		ntensive care unit for	Authors' conclusions:
Wang Y et al.		more than 3 days w			"In the present study,
Risk factors for vori-		dose was determine			PMs indeed had
conazole-associated		dose was 6.7-7 mg/			significantly higher
hepatotoxicity in		Relevant co-medica	• .	-	trough voriconazole
patients in the inten-				en patients with and	plasma concentra-
sive care unit.		without hepatotoxic		-	tions than either IMs
Pharmacotherapy		effect, but a trend w			or NMs, which is
2016;36:757-65.		Hepatotoxicity was	•		consistent with the
PubMed PMID:		the National Cance		•	CYP2C19 genotype
27284960.		ria for Adverse Eve			prediction. However,
		nazole. 12 patients			similar to the findings
		an of 8 days of trea		(of others, the current
		_	•	d in steady state (on	study found no signi-
		day 2 or later for pa		•	ficant relationship between voriconazole
		day 7 or later for pa		_	
		dose; median of 6 c			hepatotoxicity and CYP2C19 genotypes
			.,	,	in critically ill
		Genotyping:			patients."
		- 31x NM			pationio.
		- 24x IM			
		- 8x PM			
		Results:			
		PM versus IM vers	sus NM:		
			PM	IM	
		Hepatotoxicity	Increase in the ris	k with the number	
			of inactive gene v	ariants in univari-	
			ate analysis (HR :	= 2.53; 95% CI:	
			1.22-5.25) (S), bu	t not in multivari-	
	IM: AA		ate analysis (NS)		
	PM: A	Voriconazole	elevated (S)		
		trough concen-			
		tration			
			llysis, the voriconaz		
			the only independe		
			otoxicity. The author		
			ber of PMs in this st		
			the absence of a s	ignificant effect of	
		the genotype on h	epatotoxicity.		

rof 14 continue				
ref. 14, continua-		Note: Genetic	ing was performed for *2, *3 and *17. *17	
lion				
ref. 15	3		in this Chinese patient group. of 177 lung transplant patients were treated	Authors' conclusions:
Williams K et al.	3	with voriconaze		"Our findings suggest
Association of CYP-				that the ultrarapid
2C19 *17/*17 geno-			edication was not excluded.	metabolic CYP2C19
type with the risk of			riconazole exposure was measured per 30	*17 allele is associa-
voriconazole-asso-		days with a do	se of 200 mg 2x daily.	ted with squamous
ciated squamous		Canatumina		cell carcinoma (SCC)
cell carcinoma.		Genotyping: - 11x UM		risk and modifies the
JAMA Dermatol		- 45x *1/*17		association between
2016;152:719-20.		- 43x 1/ 1/ - 63x *1/*1		exposure to voricona-
PubMed PMID:		- 47x IM		zole and SCC. Fur-
26982740.		- 5x PM		ther studies with a
		- JX F IVI		larger sample size
		Results:		are required to inves-
			Avereus (*4/*4 + DM + IM):	tigate whether these
			versus (*1/*1 + PM + IM):	findings are statisti-
		Cutaneous squamous	Increase in the risk in univariate analysis (HR = 1.74; 95% CI: 1.06-2.84) and	cally significant for
		cell carcino-	bivariate analysis with correction for	cumulative dose
		ma	exposure to voriconazole (HR = 1.76;	exposure and in
			95% BI: 1.07-2.89) (S).	models adjusted for
			Trend for an increase in the risk in biva-	additional SCC risk
			riate analysis with correction for the	factors including sex, race, and age at
			cumulative exposure to voriconazole	transplantation."
			(HR = 1.61; p = 0.053) and with correc-	transplantation.
			tion for exposure to voriconazole, male	
			gender, Caucasian race and age over 50	
			years at transplant (HR = 1.52 ; p = 0.09)	
	(*1/*17+		(NS).	
	UM): AA		No significant effect with correction for	
	OWI). AA		the cumulative exposure to voriconazole,	
			male gender, Caucasian race and age	
		The cianifican	over 50 years at transplant (NS).	
			nt effect of exposure to voriconazole in alysis (HR = 1.91; 95% CI: 1.11-3.27)	
			after correction for the presence of the *17	
			and the other corrections had no effect on	
			t effect of the cumulative exposure to vori-	
			this effect was small (HR = 1.02; 95% CI:	
		1.01-1.04).	(
		,		
		Note: Genotyp	ing was performed for *2, *3 and *17.	
ref. 16	3		ere treated with voriconazole (400-500 mg/	Authors' conclusions:
Chuwongwattana S			avenous with loading doses of 6 mg/kg follo-	"An association
et al.			tenance dose of 4 mg/kg 2x daily, or oral	between CYP2C19
A prospective		with 200-250 n	ng 2x daily). Relevant co-medication was not	variant alleles and
observational study		excluded.		high voriconazole
of CYP2C19 poly-			2.45 trough concentrations per patient were	plasma level was
morphisms and vori-			steady state (minimum of 7 days after start	identified. Therefore,
conazole plasma		of therapy).		determining the
level in adult Thai patients with inva-				CYP2C19 genotype before initiation of
sive aspergillosis.		Genotyping:		voriconazole treat-
Drug Metab		- 59x NM		ment may be useful
Pharmacokinet		- 42x IM		in optimizing the
2016;31:117-22.		- 14x PM		dosing regimen in
PubMed PMID:				Thai patients with
26861072.		Results:		invasive fungal infec-
		PM versus IV		tions."
			PM IM Value	
			PIVI IIVI Value	

ref. 16, continua-					for NM	Median trough			
tion		Median voriconazole	x 1.29	x 1.27	1.470	concentration _{steady state}			
lion	PM: A	trough concentration	(S)	(NS)	µg/mL	at a dose of 400-500			
	IM: AA	l trought contochtration	There was	mg/day versus NM:					
			sus IM versus NM (NS, p =						
			0.085).	`	,	PM: 129%			
		% of supratherapeutic	x 2.06	x 1.87	11%				
		trough concentrations							
		(> 4 μg/mL)							
		% therapeutic trough	x 1.18	x 0.95	53%				
		concentrations							
		(1-4 µg/mL)	v O 44	v 0 00	200/				
		% of subtherapeutic trough concentrations	x 0.41	x 0.82	36%				
		(< 1 μg/mL)							
		(< 1 μg/πε)	The distri	bution over	the				
				ough conce					
				ffered betw					
					vhilst there				
				nd for PM ι					
				M (p = 0.07)					
		The variation in the trou							
		the genotype groups w							
		therefore also much lar	ger than the	e airrerenc	e between				
		the genotype groups.							
		Note: Genotyping was p	arformed fo	vr *2 *3 an	d *17 *17				
		was not found in this Tha			u 17. 17				
ref. 17	3	25 patients of median ag			rs) received	Authors' conclusions:			
Teusink A et al.						"Overall, the median			
Genotype-directed		non-genotype-guided prophylaxis with voriconazole. Next, 20 patients of median age 10.9 years (0.8-26.4 years) "Overall, the median time to reach the							
dosing leads to opti-		received genotype-guide			,	target concentration			
mized voriconazole		Non-genotype-guided pr			dose of 5	with genotype-guided			
levels in pediatric		mg/kg 2x daily. Voricona				dosing was 6.5 days			
patients receiving		determined after 8 doses	s, as this wa	as calculate	ed as the	compared with a			
hematopoietic stem cell transplantation.		time at which most patie		•		median time of 29			
Biol Blood Marrow		dose was adjusted until				days when all pa- tients were started			
Transplant		within the therapeutic ra				on the same dose			
2016;22:482-6.		concentration was lower	. •			regardless of CYP-			
PubMed PMID:		increased by 25% and the	-			2C19 genotype. Our			
26616742.		mined again after 8 dose higher than 5.5 µg/mL, the				data show that tradi-			
		this was followed by half				tional voriconazole			
		In the case of genotype-				dosing does not lead			
		started at 7 mg/kg 2x da				to timely achievement			
		daily for IM and patients	•			of target levels for fungal prophylaxis.			
		the planned initial dose				However, a genoty-			
		mg/kg 2x daily. Voricona				pe-guided dosing			
		determined after 8 doses	s. The dose	was adjus	ted until the	algorithm allows			
		trough concentration was		•	- '	patients to reach the			
		5.5 µg/mL). If the trough				voriconazole target			
		detection limit (0.1 µg/m	,		•	range significantly			
		and if the trough concen				sooner, providing			
		was increased by 25%.		-		better prophylaxis			
		determined again after 8		-		against fungal infections in the immedi-			
		was higher than 5.5 µg/r				ate post-transplant			
		and this was followed by		•		period."			
		For both genotype-guide		•					
		prophylaxis, the trough of			•				
		for 1 month after achievi every 2 weeks until end	-	•	•				
		Levery & weeks until end	oi irealitieti	וו. באוום וויט	ugii concen-				

ref. 17, continuatration determinations were performed if there were indication tions of voriconazole toxicity or a fungal infection. Relevant co-medication was not excluded. Three patients in the non-genotype-guided study never achieved the therapeutic range. Considering the data about the doses required to achieve the therapeutic range, these patients must have had the NM genotype. Genotyping: Non-genotype-guided group Genotype-guided group - 2x *1/*17 - 1x *1/*17 - 17x *1/*1 - 10x *1/*1 - 3x IM - 7x IM - 2x PM - 2x unknown - 1x unknown Genoty-Results: pe-gui-Genotype-guided versus non-genotype-guided prophyded verlaxis: sus not Value for genotype non-genoty--guided pe-quided therapy: prophylaxis all pa-Median time rex 0.22 (S) 29 days total tients: A quired to achieve *1/*17 x 0.42 (NS) 22 days *1/*17: therapeutic *1/*1 x 0.19 (NS) 34 days AA trough concen-IM x 0.07 (NS) 56 days *1/*1: AA trations (1-5.5 РМ 11 days IM: AA $\mu q/mL$) The median dose required NS 11.6 mg/kg to achieve therapeutic per day trough concentrations (1- $5.5 \mu g/mL$) % of patients with a suprax 0 (NS) 8% therapeutic trough concentration (> $5.5 \mu g/mL$) % of patients with an x 0 (NS) 4% infection with a voriconazole-sensitive fungus x 0.25 (NS) % of patients with elevated 20% liver enzymes % of patients that stopped x 0 (NS) 8% voriconazole due to toxicity % of patients with visual 4% x 0 (NS) and neurological changes The difference between the genotype groups in the median time required to achieve therapeutic trough concentrations for non-genotype-guided prophylaxis was non-significant (NS). This was probably caused by the low number of patients per group. The median dose required to achieve therapeutic trough The median dose concentrations (1-5.5 µg/mL) versus *1/*1 (5.7 mg/kg 2x required to achieve daily): therapeutic trough *1/*17: *1/*17 x 1.22 (NS) concentrations (1-5.5 AA IM x 1.05 (NS) μg/mL) versus *1/*1: IM: AA PMx 1.07 (NS) IM: 105%

21

The difference in median required dose between the genotype groups is small in comparison to the difference of a factor of 6.7 between the patient with the lowest and

PM: 107%

PM: AA

ref. 17, continuahighest doses in the non-genotype-guided group (5.4 tion and 36.3 mg/kg per day respectively). Note 1: According to the Kinderformularium, the dose used in the non-genotype-guided treatment is too low for children aged 2-15 years with a body weight lower than 50 kg. An intravenous initial dose of 9 mg/kg 2x daily is recommended for this group, followed by an intravenous dose of 8 mg/kg 2x daily and finally an oral dose of 9 mg/kg 2x daily. For older and heavier children, an intravenous initial dose of 6 mg/kg 2x daily and a maintenance dose of 4 mg/kg 2x daily are recommended, or an oral initial dose of 400 mg 2x daily and a maintenance dose of 200 mg 2x daily. Note 2: Genotyping was performed for *2-*8 and *17. ref. 18 35 patients were treated with oral voriconazole. Patients Authors' conclusions: Lamoureux F et al. "Indices of exposure received voriconazole 200 mg 2x daily, with or without prior for CYP2C19*2 car-Impact of CYP2C19 loading doses of 400 mg every 12 hours for 24 hours. The riers were in line with genetic polymortrough concentration was measured in steady state (after 2 phisms on voriconathe functional effect days for patients who received loading doses and after 6 zole dosing and of this polymorphism days for patients who did not receive loading doses). exposure in adult compared with CYP-Patients who received intravenous voriconazole were only patients with inva-2C19*1/*1 individuincluded if they had been switched to oral voriconazole at sive fungal infecals, however compaleast 2 days before determination of the trough concentrations. risons of doses requition. In 55% of the patients, the peak plasma concentration Int J Antimicrob red to achieve target (2 hours after the dose) was also determined and therefore concentrations were Agents also the absorption. In 4.5% of patients, the plasma 2016;47:124-31. not statistically diffeconcentration was also determined 2, 4, 6, 8 and 10 hours PubMed PMID: rent. The CYP2C19 after the dose and therefore also the AUC, because it was *17 allele predicted 26775563. difficult to achieve therapeutic concentrations in these both exposure and patients. The doctors decided about dose adjustment dose required to based on the determined trough concentration and the achieve effective and CYP2C19 genotype. The target value for the trough non-toxic concentraconcentration was 1-5 µg/mL. In general, the dose was tions. CYP2C19 increased or reduced by 50-100 mg or 0.5-1 mg/kg 2x daily genotyping appears for trough concentrations $< 1 \mu g/mL$ or $> 5 \mu g/mL$. useful to guide Termination of treatment was recommended for patients voriconazole initial dosing when coupled with a trough concentration > 5 µg/mL and voriconazolewith TDM and to associated side effects. explain subtherapeu-Part of the genotyping was performed prior to the treattic concentrations ment and part was performed in response to extreme frequently observed trough concentrations.

Results:

- 1x PM

inhibitors.

Genotyping: - 4x UM - 13x *1/*17 - 11x *1/*1

had genotype *2/*17.

- 6x IM (only *1/*2)

Results versus *1/*1 (\uparrow = increase, \downarrow = decrease):

Relevant co-medication was not excluded, but correction was performed in multivariate analysis for co-medication with CYP inducers and for co-medication with CYP inhibitors. None of the patients used strong CYP inducers or

Two patients were not included in the study, because they

in clinical practice."

ref. 18, continua-			UM	*1/*17	IM	PM	Value	
tion			Olvi	17 17	IIVI	FIVI	for *1/*1	
	*1/*17: A IM: A PM: AA	Trough concentration	↓ (NS, trend, p =	↓ (S)	↑ (S)	1	approx. 3.1 µg/mL	
			more like trough of suprathe trations, tic troug	I 1*1/*17 w sely to hav concentra erapeutic so fewer th concen	ve subthe tions (S) trough co suprathe	rapeutic and no oncen- erapeu-		
	UM: A	Daily dose and weight- corrected trough concen- tration	*1/*1. x 0.15 (S)	x 0.23 (S)	x 0.86 (NS)	x 1.39	0.76 µg.kg/ mL.mg	The dose required to achieve therapeutic trough concentrations (1-5 µg/mL) versus NM:
		The dose required to achieve the therapeutic range	x 2.63 (S)	x 1.53 (S)	x 1.32 (NS)	x 0.70	5.15 mg/kg per day	UM: 204% IM: 103% PM: 54%
		No side effer altered liver The authors kg 2x daily UM, this is 6.75 mg/kg						
		Note: Genoty the most imp group.	. •	•				
ref. 19 Weigel JD et al. Gain-of-function single nucleotide variants of the CYP 2C19 gene (CYP 2C19*17) can identify subtherapeutic voriconazole concentrations in critically ill patients: a case series. Intensive Care Med 2015;41:2013-4. PubMed PMID: 26239729.	3	6 patients in venous vorice by 4 mg/kg 2 performed, w 1.7-5.0 μg/m ned a median ment, the sed determined a 4 days (1-16 concentration determined in Relevant co-Genotyping: - 3x *1/*17 - 3x *1/*1 Results: *1/*17 version by 4 mg/kg 2 mg/k	onazole (x daily). The first the air the first the first the air the first	6 mg/kg 2 Therapeur m of achiest trough vs (1-8 da third trou of 3 days spectively nation. Tr state. on was no	2x daily o tic drug meving a the concentra ys) after gh conce (1-10 dai after the ough cont	n day 1, nonitoring herapeuti ation was the start entrations ys) and reprevious icentrations d.	followed g was c range of determi- of treat- were nedian of s trough ons were Value for *1/*1	Authors' conclusions: "The CYP2C19*1/*17 genotype is associated with low voriconazole plasma trough concentrations in ICU patients. Pre-emptive genotyping of CYP-2C19 might identify patients at risk of underexposure to voriconazole. Prospective studies are warranted to evaluate the added benefit of pre-emptive genotyping for pharmacokinetics and clinical outcomes in critically ill patients."
	*17: AA	% of the firs tions that w µg/mL)				x 2.33 (NS)	33%	

ref. 19, continua-		% of the first 3 trou	ah concentra-	x 3.00	22%			
tion		tions that was < 1.0						
		Median dose- corrected trough	1 st trough concentration	(NS) x 0.31 (NS)	0.29			
		concentration	2 nd trough	x 0.13	0.30	-		
		(µg.kg/mL.mg)	concentration	(NS)	0.50			
		(Fgg/=g/	3 rd trough	x 0.12	0.25			
			concentration	(NS)				
		For *1/*17, 78% of				1		
		Percentages highe the patients will not	than 1.7 µg/mL and 67% were lower than 1.0 µg/mL. Percentages higher than 67% mean that a proportion of the patients will not have achieved the desired trough concentration even after two dose increases (median of					
		Note: Genotyping wa						
	3	37 patients were trea				Authors' conclusions:		
Chawla PK et al. Correlation of CYP-		daily. Trough concer				"Plasma voriconazole		
2C19 genotype with		4 days of treatment. excluded.	Relevant co-medi	cation was	s not	levels are influenced by CYP2C19 vari-		
plasma voriconazole		1 UM, who was not i	ncluded in the stud	dy achiev	ed trough	ants, drug interac-		
levels: a preliminary	UM: 1AA	concentrations in the				tions and clinical con-		
retrospective study		standard weight-bas		(15	,	dition of the patient.		
in Indians. Int J Clin Pharm						Genotype assess- ment at initiation of		
2015;37:925-30.		Genotyping:				therapy followed by		
PubMed PMID:		- 10x *1/*17				drug monitoring		
26024717.		- 8x *1/*1 - 15x IM				would help optimizing		
		- 4x PM				therapeutic efficacy		
		IX I W				and minimizing toxi-		
		Results:				city."		
		Median trough con-						
	*1/*17:	daily versus *1/*1 (concentr	ations can	Median trough		
	1/ 17. AA	be read from the fige 1/*17 x 0.96				concentration _{steady state} versus *1/*1:		
	IM: AA	IM x 1.1				IM: 110%		
	PM: A	PM x 1.7				PM: 170%		
		Note: Genotyping wa						
ref. 21 Yamada T et al.	3	47 patients were trea				Authors' conclusions:		
Saturated metabo-		nazole (median 200 were determined after				"No significant diffe- rences in the trough		
lism of voriconazole		medication with rifan				plasma concentra-		
N-oxidation resulting		long-acting barbitura				tions of voriconazole		
in nonlinearity of		medication was not.	·			and N-oxide between		
pharmacokinetics of voriconazole at clini-		_				the CYP2C19 geno-		
cal doses.		Genotyping:				types were observed. Saturated metabo-		
Biol Pharm Bull		- 16x NM				lism of voriconazole		
2015;38:1496-503.		- 25x IM - 6x PM				N-oxidation rather		
PubMed PMID:		OA I IVI	than CYP2C19 geno-					
26424015.		Results:	types contributed to					
		Median dose-corre	the nonlinear phar- macokinetics."					
		concentration of vo	maconinctics.					
	18.4. 4.4	mL.mg):	l No.		A	Median trough		
	IM: AA	IM x 1.7	NS for the IM versus		/I versus	concentration _{steady state}		
	PM: AA	PM x 1.5	livi versus	INIVI		versus NM:		
		Note: Genotyping wa	as performed for *2	2 and *3. ⁻	These are	IM: 170% PM: 150%		
		the most important g				1 IVI. 150 /0		
		group.			•			

ref. 22
Mori M et al.
Pharmacokinetics
and safety of voriconazole intravenous-to-oral switch
regimens in immunocompromised
Japanese pediatric
patients.
Antimicrob Agents
Chemother
2015;59:1004-13.
PubMed PMID:
25451051.

3

21 patients aged 2-15 years were treated prophylactically with voriconazole. For children up to 12 years and those aged 12-15 years weighing less than 50 kg, the dose used was an intravenous loading dose of 9 mg/kg 2x daily on day 1, followed by an intravenous dose of 8 mg/kg 2x daily on days 2-7 and finally an oral dose of 9 mg/kg (to a maximum of 350 mg) 2x daily on days 8-14. For children aged 12-15 years and weighing 50 kg or more, the dose used was an intravenous loading dose of 6 mg/kg 2x daily on day 1, followed by an intravenous dose of 4 mg/kg 2x daily on days 2-7 and finally an oral dose of 200 mg/kg 2x daily on days 8-14. If necessary, the intravenous treatment could be extended to a maximum of 20 days, before switching to oral treatment. If necessary, the total voriconazole treatment could be extended to 30 days. Both patients who weighed 50 kg or more and who therefore received the lower dose were NM. Follow-up was 30 days after the last dose. Trough concentrations were determined on the 7th day of the intravenous and oral treatment (steady state). Plasma concentrations to determine the AUC were determined on day 7 of the intravenous and oral treatment. There were insufficient concentration data available for 1 NM aged 11 years. There were insufficient concentration data available for the oral dose given to 1 NM weighing 50 kg or more and 1 NM lighter than 50 kg. Co-medication with CYP-450 inhibitors and inducers and with other medicines that should not be used according to the SmPC for voriconazole were excluded, but corticosteroids and - for 1 patient omeprazole on days 1 and 2 - were not.

Authors' conclusions: "The exposures in the 2 cytochrome P450 2C19 poor metabolizers were among the highest. Voriconazole was well tolerated. Although the average exposure values in the heterozygous normal metabolizers (HNM group) were higher than those in the NM group, there was a substantial overlap in the voriconazole exposures between these 2 groups."

Genotyping:

- 9x NM
- 10x IM
- 2x PM

Results:

IM: AA PM: AA

Results v	Results versus NM:						
		PM	IM	Value for NM			
intra- venous	AUC _{0-12h}	x 3.6 (NS)	x 1.6 (NS)	36.0 µg.hour/ mL			
	trough con- centration	x 4.3 (NS)	x 1.4 (NS)	1.83 µg/mL			
oral	AUC _{0-12h}	x 3.2 (NS)	x 1.6 (NS)	31.2 µg.hour/ mL			
	trough con- centration	x 4.4 (NS)	x 1.6 (NS)	1.17 µg/mL			
side effects		NS (no diff between th		-			
	ofter both intro		مممما امدم	46.0			

For PM, after both intravenous and oral doses, the average trough concentration was higher than 5 μ g/mL (7.82 and 5.13 μ g/mL respectively).

No very severe side effects occurred and there were no deaths. In the total group, side effects occurred in 85.7% of the patients and these were voriconazole-related in 57.1%. One of the PMs had no side effects and the other had no voriconazole-related side effects. Both patients who stopped treatment due to the voriconazole-related side effect of "liver function abnormalities" were NM.

Trough concentration_{steady state} versus

NM:

IM: 150% PM: 430%

	T					1
ref. 22, continua- tion	The results of lower limit of					
	Note 1: Genoty	ping was p	erformed fo	or *2-*5 and	d *17.	
	Note 2: The do	sing sched	ules used o	orrespond	to the	
	dosing schedul					
A	144 patients we conazole for a was determined tions were determined the first dose. To concentration is Co-medication other relevant of A successful redisappearance infection (fever pearance of sigmagnetic reson med eradication lack of responsive after 14 day Hepatotoxicity alkaline phosph than 5 times the more than 3 times the more than 3 times at 1/2 t	re treated median of a median	with intrave 35 days (16 the SmPC nedian of 8 no significa al and intravantimycotic ion was not as defined as grelevant son markers on markers on markers on markers on the second (MF) as a result das as a result das aspart lanine amir of norma oer limit of reference be trough concept than 1.5 µg were in agreed in the second in the patients, he nations in 1 the absence enotype on the second period of the patients, he nations in 1 the absence enotype on the second period in the second period period in the second period in the second period in the second period period period in the second period	enous and/63-81 days). Trough co days (3-51 nt difference venous adress was exclusive as a reducting ymptoms of the infect attention and the infect attention and the infect attention with infect at	roral vori- The dose oncentra- days) after the in trough ministration. Uded, but on or or fungal or or disaptan (CT) or or or assu- results). A a respontion. ransferase, se higher lirubin that totoxicity Value for *1/*1 1.98 µg/mL vas higher ug/mL). h a thera- 12.3% the se was a lower rough efficacy ty in inficant city and	Authors' conclusions: "Values of voriconazole Cmin of poor metabolisers (PMs) were significantly higher than normal metabolisers and intermediate metabolisers. Model-based simulations showed that PM patients could be safely and effectively treated with 200 mg twice daily orally or intravenously, and non-PM patients with 300 mg twice daily orally or 200 mg twice daily intravenously. This study highlighted that voriconazole Cmin is strongly influenced by CYP2C19 polymorphism, and geneadjusted dosing is important to achieve therapeutic levels that maximise therapeutic response and minimise hepatotoxicity." Trough concentrationsteady state versus NM: IM: 120% PM: 190%

ref. 23, continua- tion		Note: The genotyped gene variants we mentioned, but considering the definition		
		groups, *2, *3 and *17 must have bee	5 ,.	
	3	mentioned, but considering the definition groups, *2, *3 and *17 must have been to patients were treated with vorico duration of 6 weeks in combination with placebo for the first 2-4 weeks. Vorice tered as an intravenous loading dose on day 1, followed by 4 mg/kg 2x dail patient was then switched to oral voridaily or 150 mg 2x daily for patients to oral voriconazole was also possible. Dose adjustment of voriconazole basse, side effects and/or voriconazole opermitted. Relevant co-medication with permitted. Relevant co-medication with a successful response was defined a ment in combination with a radiograp more than 50%. 5 patients were not included in the effectiveness are which 55% on voriconazole monothe patients in the analyses for hepatic si which 50% on voriconazole monothe patients in the analyses for psychiatri 142, of which 54% on voriconazole monothe materials.	ition of the genotype en genotyped. Inazole for a planned with anidulafungin or onazole was administe of 6 mg/kg 2x daily ly on days 2-7. The iconazole (300 mg 2x < 40 kg). Conversion e at a later stage. Sed on clinical responsionentrations was as not excluded. Darameters were on. It is a clinical improveshic improvement of fectiveness analyses, and 3 days. The numbalyses was 126, of trapy. The number of ide effects was 170, of trapy. The number of ic side effects was	Authors' conclusions: "Besides the drug exposures, no other covariates (i.e., CYP2C19 genotype status, age, weight, body mass index, sex, race, or neutro- penia status) were identified as signifi- cant predictors of the efficacy and safety endpoints in invasive aspergillosis pa- tients."
		Genotyping: efficacy: hepatic effect: - 84x NM - 115x NM - 35x IM - 48x IM - 7x PM - 7x PM Results:		
		PM versus IM versus NM:	NS	
	IM: AA	death during treatment response after 6 weeks	NS	
	PM: AA	hepatic side effects	NS	
		psychiatric side effects	NS	
		In this study, no association was for exposure to voriconazole and clinical	and between the	
		Note: The genotyped gene variants we mentioned, but considering the definition groups, at least *2 seem to have been *17, this is the most important gene we can patient group.	tion of the genotype n genotyped. After	
ref. 25 Zonios D et al. Voriconazole metabolism, toxicity, and the effect of cytochrome P450 2C19 genotype. J Infect Dis 2014;209:1941-8. PubMed PMID: 24403552.	3	92 patients aged 13-76 years were trzole for 1-80 weeks. 54% of the patie voriconazole at the start of the study. approx. 2.3 to approx. 9.3 mg/kg 2x ctrations were determined weekly from If toxicity occurred on days 2-4, extrations were determined. Doctors could zole trough concentrations, but this h Relevant co-medication was not exclusive the patient in who a relevant effect of cotoin) on the voriconazole trough conceved, the samples from the period dur	Authors' conclusions: "CYP2C19 and CYP-2C9 genotypes had a minor influence over levels, though the 4 patients homozygous for the 2C19*2 genotype had higher average levels for voriconazole (4.3 vs 2.5 µg/mL)."	

ref. 25, continuation

medication had an effect were not included.

The gene variant *17 could only be detected in 78 patients. The listed values for the trough concentrations are averages of all determined values for the genotype group (1-17 per patient) and not averages of the values per patient.

Genotyping:

coding region:

*17: - 63x *1/*1 - 45x *1/*1 - 19x IM - 29x *1/*17 - 4x PM - 4x UM

- 1x *1/*9

- 1x *1/*11

- 1x *1/*15

- 1x *1/*30

- 2x *1/276C

Results:

Genotyping of coding region:

IM: AA PM: A *1/*9: AA *1/*11: AA *1/*15: AA *1/*30: AA *1/276C: Α

Parameters versus *1/*1:							
	trough concentra-	hepatotoxicity					
	tion of voriconazole						
Value for	2.468 μg/mL	6.3% in the entire					
*1/*1		group					
IM	x 1.23 (NS)						
PM	x 1.75 (S)	no correlation with					
*1/*9	x 0.75	the genotype (NS)					
*1/*11	x 1.97						
*1/*15	x 0.06						
*1/*30	x 0.42						
*1/276C	x 1.64 (S)						
*1/*9 *1/*11 *1/*15 *1/*30	x 0.75 x 1.97 x 0.06 x 0.42						

The dose of the patient with genotype *1/*15 was low (2.62 mg/kg 2x daily oral).

The difference between *1/*1, *1/*2 and *2/*2 was somewhat bigger for the average value per patient than for the average value for all trough concentration determinations per genotype group.

The recommended dose of 200 mg 2x daily did not always result in detectable voriconazole trough concentrations in adults. 9x *1/*1 and 1x *1/*15 had at least one non-detectable trough concentration of voriconazole on 200 mg 2x daily (2.6-4.7 mg/kg 2x daily).

In this study, no association was found between the trough concentrations of voriconazole and metabolites and photosensitivity or hepatotoxicity. The occurrence of hallucinations was associated with higher voriconazole trough concentrations.

This study also found no increase in the voriconazole trough concentrations over time. This auto-induction was expected, because voriconazole inhibits its own metabolism.

Genotyping *17:

*1/*17: AΑ UM: AA

Trough concentration of voriconazole versus *1/*1 (2.89 μg/mL): *1/*17 x 0.79 (NS)

UM x 1.26 (NS)

There was no effect of *17, not even when the presence or absence of *2 was taken into consideration.

rof 25 continue		Note 1. C	anatı mina	woo norfo	rmad for c	ll gono vo	rionto	
ref. 25, continua-		Note 1: Go		•		-		
	3	(the codin Note 2: As activity of known at a (www.cyp) these gen instead ha 33 paedia initial recodaily for cl patients) a years. The dren aged children years determine (median 3	g and the s the activi *11, *15, * all accordi alleles.ki.s e variants ive been li tric patien mmended idren age and 7 mg/k e doses us 12 years ounger tha rmined in riconazole er start wit venous to d trough o	promoter ity of *9 is 30 (217C) ng to the ase/cyp2c19 have not isted separts were tread 12 years and older and 12 years steady state without lotter oncentration.	not well keys allele nome shift with ance dose rand older from 3.6-rand from the manning dose or onazole). To cons per particular from per	re sequen nown and 76G>C is enclature heterozy ed to IM, b voriconaz was 200 r er (42% of en younge 16.1 mg/k 2.6-41.2 r concentra um of 5 da se and mir after conv The numbinatient was	the not website gotes for out ole. The mg 2x f the er than 12 g for chilng/kg for ations ays after nimum of version er of	Authors' conclusions: "Younger age and the presence of CYP- 2C19 gain-of-function alleles were associated with subtherapeutic voriconazole concentrations. Starting doses based on age and CYP2C19 status could increase the number of patients achieving therapeutic voriconazole
		ned. Relevant of distributed model four co-medical conazole) study possibuted a line A linear mined relationship trough conted trough mined value median 3 per patien	I across the nd no signation (other, proton public), and the contration concentration per patien	exposure."				
		Genotypin - 4x UM - 8x *1/*17 - 11x *1/*1 - 9x IM - 1x PM Results: Paramet	7	s*1/*1:				
			UM	*1/*17	IM	PM	value	Median dose-
							for *1/*1	corrected trough concentrationsteady state
	UM: A *1/*17: AA IM: A PM: A	Median x 0.14 x 0.71 x 2.0 x 8.9 0.07 (0.003-correc- x x 0.86) x 0.84) - x 1.47) ted 0.034) (NS) (S) (S) mL.mg (minimum and maxi-						versus NM: UM: 17% IM: 233% PM: 1033%

ref. 26, continua-		mum)			T			
tion		% of	x 3.7	x 1.4	X	0	27%	
		pa-	A II I M =		(la a ma m a4.	- 4	_	
		tients with a		had a sub				
	with a concentration. The trough concentra- subthe tion did increase in these patients							
		rapeu-		ose increa	•	licilis		
		tic ave-		e dose in		rformu-		
		rage		r patients				
		trough		12-15 yea				
		concen		k daily with				
		tration		2x daily) is				
		(< 1	initially re	ecommen	ded mainte	enance		
		μg/mL)		is affects	more than	58% of		
			the patie	nts.				
		% of	x 0	x 1.4	Χ.	1.1	9.1%	
		pa-						
		tients						
		with a						
		supra-						
		thera-						
		peutic						
		ave-						
		rage trough						
		concen						
		tration						
		(> 6						
		µg/mL)						
		Extra-	< 12 yea	irs	l .		· I	1
		polated	x 1.8	x 1.5	x 0.9	-	10	1
		2x dai-					mg/kg	
		ly dose						
		for a	≥ 12 yea		1			
		thera-	x 2.0	x 1.0	x 0.71	x 0.29	7	
		peutic					mg/kg	
		concen						
		tration in most						
		pa-						
		tients						
		10110	I	I	I	1	I	4
		Note: Ger	notypina w	as perforn	ned for 16	gene vari	ants. of	
		which only					,	
ref. 27	3	104 patier					second-	Authors' conclusions:
Kim SH et al.		line antim						"While none of the
Clinical impact of		The dose	•		• \		• /	initial voriconazole
cytochrome P450		wing guide		•				trough levels in PMs
2C19 genotype on		day 1, follo						was outside the tar-
the treatment of		The treatn						get range, subthera-
invasive aspergillo-		the infection	on disappe	eared, unl	ess breakt	through of	invasive	peutic initial trough
sis under routine		fungal infe						levels were frequent
therapeutic drug		patient die		-				in NMs. Although
monitoring of vori- conazole in a Kore-		at least 4	• ,		• '			there was no signi- ficant relationship
an population.		zole. The			-		•	between CYP2C19
Infect Chemother		patient wa		-				genotype and either
2013;45:406-14.		peutic ran	-	. •			•	the clinical outcomes
PubMed PMID:		drug moni	-	•		•		of invasive aspergillo-
24475354.		change in						sis or toxicity of vori-
		case of su	•		-		•	conazole, further
		se. For tro	-					large-scale multicen-
		range, the	dose was	sincrease	d or reduc	ed by 25-	100%.	

ref. 27, continuation		Relevant co-medicatio Efficacy and toxicity we start of voriconazole. A as a complete or partia logical and mycological ned as no successful t zole due to a breakthro voriconazole-related si A breakthrough of an in an infection that occurs less than 6 days after t Side effects were regis day after stopping trea side effects were defin severity. Genotyping: - 39x NM	ere determine a successful trail response ball data. Treatment, dead bugh of invasive effects. Invasive fungations are than 6 the end of voritiered up to artment with voi	d 12 weeks at eatment was used on clinical the failure was the or stop of wave fungal infection is diays after the iconazole treated including the ficonazole. See	defined al, radio- as defi- coricona- ction or defined as e start or atment. he third evere	ter studies using cli- nical data from homo- geneous populations are required."	
		- 50x IM - 15x PM					
		Results:					
		Parameters versus N	M:			Median trough	
			PM	IM	value for NM	concentration _{steady state} versus NM:	
		Median first trough concentration	x 1.8 (NS, trend, p = 0.062)	x 1.5 (S)	1.8 μg/mL	IM: 150% PM: 180%	
	*1/*17: AA		group, the fit tion was 2.3 the median t	*1/*17 in the Nest trough con and 3.0 µg/m rough concer to 1.5x higher Maroup.	centra- L and tration		
	IM: AA# PM: AA#		% of patients with a therapeutic first trough concentration (1-5.5 µg/mL)	x 1.9 S for PM ver sus NM	x 1.4 sus IM ver-	54%	
		% of patients with a subtherapeutic first trough concentration (< 1 µg/mL)	x 0 S for PM ver sus NM	x 0.36 sus IM ver-	33%		
		% of patients with a supratherapeutic first trough concentration (> 5.5 µg/mL)	x 0 NS for PM v versus NM	x 1.1 ersus IM	13%		
		Incidence of thera- peutic trough concentrations (1-5.5 µg/mL)	x 2.6 S for PM ver sus NM		23%		
		Incidence of sub- therapeutic trough concentrations (< 1 µg/mL)	x 0.51 NS, trend fo IM versus N	x 0.71 r PM versus M, p = 0.079	64%		
		Incidence of supratherapeutic trough concentrations (> 5.5 µg/mL)	x 0.71 NS for PM v versus NM	x 1.4 ersus IM	28%		

ref. 27, continua-		Failure of treatment	NS	NS	38%		
tion			NS	NS	28%		
tion		Death (all causes)					
		Death due to fungal infection	NS	NS	15%		
		All side effects	NS	NS	36%		
		Severe side effects	NS	NS	26%		
			x 1.5		82		
		Median treatment		x 1.8			
		duration	S for PM vei	SUS IIVI	days		
			versus NM				
		Note 1: The initial dose ponded to the initial dorium Medicamentorum Note 2: Genotyping wa	ose for adults I as performed f	isted in the Information of the information of the instance of	formato- *17.		
ref. 28	3	124 patients were trea				Authors' conclusions:	
Racil Z et al.		daily (median 200 mg	• /			"With the exception of	
Monitoring trough		to 1.1-13.65 mg/kg 2x				omeprazole admini-	
voriconazole plasma		most cases, trough co				stration, there was no	
concentrations in		because the doctor wa				relevant relationship	
haematological		tration after the start of				between measured voriconazole concen-	
patients: real life multicentre expe-		adjustment and somet				trations and drug	
rience.		treatment or a side effe			•	dose, route of admini-	
Mycoses		dose adjustments. Tro				stration, age, gender,	
2012;55:483-92.		1-409 days (median 20				CYP2C19*2 geno-	
PubMed PMID:		The number of determ				type, gastrointestinal	
22429709.		patient was 1-27 (aver).	tract abnormality,	
22423103.		Relevant co-medicatio	n was not exc	luded.		administration via	
						naso-gastric tube,	
		Genotyping:				serum creatinine, and	
		- 103x NM				liver enzymes."	
		- 39x IM	ilver enzymes.				
		Results:					
		Median voriconazole					
		(1.12 μg/mL):					
	IM: AA	IM x 1.3					
		Note 1: This study four					
		conazole trough conce					
		between the voriconaz					
		ble voriconazole toxici					
		patients with possible					
		, possiolo	31.13.16.2010				
		Note 2: Genotyping wa	as performed f	or *2 and *3.	In addi-		
		tion to *17, these are the					
		this Czech population					
		patients genotyped for					
ref. 29	4	36 patients aged 2 to		treated proph	vlactical-	Authors' conclusions:	
Driscoll TA et al.		ly with voriconazole 7				"Overall, voriconazole	
Comparison of phar-		days. AUC values were				exposure in children	
macokinetics and		state).		(0.00	~,	could not be predic-	
safety of voricona-		Relevant co-medicatio	n was exclude	ed, with the ex	ception	ted based on CYP-	
zole intravenous-to-		of corticosteroids.	2C19 genotype sta-				
oral switch in immu-		2. 22 200.0. 0.00	tus in this study."				
nocompromised		Genotyping:					
children and healthy		- 2x UM					
adults.		- 11x *1/*1					
Antimicrob Agents		- 22x (IM + *1/*17)					
Chemother		- 22x (IIVI + 1/ 1/) - 1x PM					
2011;55:5770-9.		- IX FIVI					

	1	1					T
PubMed PMID:		D 1					
21968355.		Results: Median AUC	1				
ref. 29, continua-		µg.hour/mL):	-12hours VE	ersus i/	1 (14.6 (5.0	2-04.7)	Median AUC _{0-12hours}
tion		μg.ποαι/πτε/.	UI	M	PN	1	versus *1/*1:
	UM: AA	Median AUC		2.5 (x 2.4		t determined	UM: 250%
		12hours	х (0.93) (NS	S)]
						nazole could	
						on the CYP-	
		A trough cond			type (NS).	L correspon-	1
		ded to an AU	C _{0-12hours}	higher th	an 30 µg.hc	our/mL. ·	
						ents aged 2-12	
		years (9 mg/k daily) is highe					
		daily) is riight	ı ınan ın	le dose u	seu III IIIIs s	ituuy.	<u> </u>
		Note: Genotyp	ng was p	performe	d for *2-*5 a	ınd *17.	
ref. 30	4	18 patients age					Authors' conclusions:
Driscoll TA et al.		cally with voric				•	"CYP2C19 status
Comparison of pharmacokinetics and		intravenous on nous for 6 days	•			•	was not predictive of voriconazole expo-
safety of voricona-		daily oral for or					sure in immunocom-
zole intravenous-to-		weight < 40 kg					promised adoles-
oral switch in immu-		determined on					cents in this study."
nocompromised adolescents and		tration and on		oral treat	ment. Stead	dy state was	
healthy adults.		achieved on da	-	. waa aya	Judad with	the evention	
Antimicrob Agents		Relevant co-m of corticosteroi		i was exc	Judea, Willi	the exception	
Chemother		or cortioosteror	uo.				
2011;55:5780-9. PubMed PMID:		Genotyping:					
21911570.		- 1x UM					
		- 6x *1/*1	- \				
		- 9x (IM + *1/*1 - 2x PM	7)				
		- ZX F IVI					
		Results:					
					ue – highes	t value) versus]
		(*1/*1 + UM)					Median AUC _{0-12hours} ,
			UM	PI	VI	value for (*1/*1 + UM)	day 1 versus (*1/*1+ UM):
		Intravenous,	x 1.2	X	1.7 (x 4.2 -	9.26 (2.52 -	PM: 170%
		day 1			0.59) (NS)	19.8)	UM: 120%
	UM: AA PM: AA	Intravenous, steady state	x 0.51		2.1 (x 5.0 - 1.2) (NS)	16.3 (6.27 - 30.9)	Median AUC _{0-12hours}
		Oral, steady	x 1.0		2.7 (x 26 -	14.6 (1.17 -	steady state Versus
		state			1.3) (NS)	37.9)	(*1/*1+ UM):
		All time				ole could not	PM: 240% UM: 75%
		points			sed on the (CYP2C19	OIVI. 7376
		A trough cond		pe (NS). n higher t	han 1 ug/m	L correspon-	-
		ded to an AU					
		The intravend	us and o	oral doses	s in the Kind	derformularium]
		for patients ag					
		9 mg/kg 2x da oral: 9 mg/kg					
		daily) is highe					
		dose initially r	ecomme	ended in t	he Kinderfo	rmularium for	
						for patients ≥	
		15 years (200 to 300 mg 2x					
		be increased					
	L	II DO MIDICASCA	.5 ,00 111	.g <u>-</u> ^ uall	J/ 10 10 WOI LI	1.411 1.10 4030	J

ref 30 continue		used in this study				
ref. 30, continua-		used in this study.				
		Note: Genotyping w	vas performed	for *2-*5 and	l *17.	
ref. 31 Kim SH et al. Voriconazole-related severe adverse events: clinical application of therapeutic drug monitoring in Korean patients. Int J Infect Dis 2011;15:e753-8. PubMed PMID: 21831685.	3	25 patients were trea median of 8 days 1, followed by 4 mg were determined a zole. Relevant co-medica Severe side effects 3-5 severity. A vorio occurred in 32% of 20% of patients, ca 4%). Univariate logistical effect of the CYP20 Genotyping: - 6x NM - 17x IM - 2x PM	Authors' conclusions: "We found no relationship between CYP2C19 genotypes and voriconazole plasma concentrations or the development of severe adverse events."			
		Results:				
		Parameters versus	s NM:			Median trough
			PM	IM	value for NM	concentration _{steady} state versus NM: IM: 180%
		Median first	x 1.3	x 1.8	2.12	PM: 130%
	IM: AA PM: AA	trough concentration NS for PM versus IM versus NM µg.hour/ mL				
		% of patients with voricona- zole-related severe side effects	No significate for: - IM+PM verent (NS) - PM versus NM (NS)	sus NM	12.5%	
		The authors found the only independent ted severe side efforts	ent risk factor			
		group.				
ref. 32 Berge M et al. Effect of cytochrome P450 2C19 genoty- pe on voriconazole exposure in cystic fibrosis lung trans- plant patients. Eur J Clin Pharma- col 2011;67:253-60. PubMed PMID: 21038076.	3	the most important gene variants in this Korean patient group. 24 patients aged 15-40 years were treated with voriconazole for at least 6 weeks. The initial dose according to the guidelines was (6 mg/kg 2x daily on day 1, followed by 4 mg/kg 2x daily intravenous or 200 mg 2x daily oral for patients > 40 kg). The voriconazole dose was then adjusted to achieve trough concentrations within the therapeutic range and to monitor interactions with immunosuppressants. A therapeutic range of 1-2 μg/mL was maintained. Trough concentrations outside the therapeutic range were defined as either > 3 μg/mL or < 0.5 μg/mL. Relevant co-medication was not excluded. The voriconazole maintenance dose was defined as the dose that resulted in a stable therapeutic concentration (at least three consecutive determinations within the therapeutic range of 1-2 μg/mL). Genotyping: - 1x UM				Authors' conclusions: "In this frail population of cystic fibrosis lung transplant recipients, voriconazole exposure is strongly influenced by CYP-2C19 genotype, and determining the genotype before voriconazole initiation may help determine the initial dosing regimen that will promptly achieve therapeutic plasma levels without producing out-of-range levels."

ref. 32, continua-		- 7x *1/*17					
tion		- 7X 1/ 1/ - 6X *1/*1					
1011		- 0x 1/ 1 - 10x IM					
		I OV IIVI					
		Results:					
		Parameters versus	s *1/*1·				1
		i didificiolo versus	UM	*1/*17	IM	value	1
				., .,		for	Maintenance dose
						*1/*1	versus *1/*1:
		Maintenance	approx	approx	x 0.70	6.8	UM: 130%
		dose (mg/kg)	x 1.3	x 1.0	(S)	mg/kg	IM: 70%
			NS for (*1/*17 +		2x	
			UM)			daily	
	IM: A			/*17 + UM) versus		
		Maintanan	*1/*1 ve	1	0.70	047	
		Maintenance	approx	approx	x 0.70	317	
		dose (mg)	x 1.3	x 0.90 /*17 + UM) versus	mg 2x daily	
			*1/*1 ve		, versus	dany	
		Multivariate logistic			led that C	YP2C19	1
		gene variants are					
		the maintenance of					
		Note: The average		ance dose	found for	*1/*1 is	
		1.6-1.7 and 1.7-3.2					
		the Informatorium					
		rium for patients a					
		(75% of the patien					
		oral or 4 mg/kg intravenous) and < 40 kg (25% of the					
		patients in this study) (100 mg 2x per day oral or 4 mg/kg intravenous) respectively.					
		The authors have indicated that this is possibly due to					
		the fact that these are patients with cystic fibrosis. Cystic					
		fibrosis reduces th					
		Time to mainte-	Х	1.9	x 2.9	36	
		nance dose		d for the d		days	
				(*1/*17 +	, .		
		BA P C		$\frac{d \text{ IM, p} = 0}{2}$		4 .	
	(*1/*17+	Median time to		2.4	x 2.9	4 days	
	UM): A	the first trough concentration in		difference			
	J.VIJ. 7	the therapeutic	and IM	1/*17 + UN	vi <i>j</i> , I/ I		
		range (1-2	and no				
		µg/mL)					
		% of subthera-		2.4	x 0.83	15.6%	1
		peutic trough		/*17 + UM) versus		
		concentrations (<	*1/*1 and	d IM			
		0.5 µg/mL) per					
		patient in the first					
		42 days % of suprathera-	V ().56	x 2.6	12.1%	1
		peutic trough		versus *1/		12.170	
		concentrations (>	(*1/*17 +		i dild		
		3 μg/mL) per	` '' ''	<i>,</i>			
		patient in the first					
		42 days					
		% of patients		ne differen		83.3%	
		with side effects		1/*17 + UN	И), *1/*1		
			and IM				J
		Note: Constrains	on norta-	nod for *2	and *47 -	Those are	
		Note: Genotyping w the most important	•				
		-	yene vana	ແກເວ ກາ ເກເຣ	Caucasia	ıı patietit	
		group.					1

ref. 33 Brüggemann RJ et al. Pharmacokinetics and safety of 14 days intravenous voriconazole in allogeneic haematopoietic stem cell transplant recipients. J Antimicrob Chemother 2010;65:107-13. PubMed PMID: 19933691.	3	10 patients weig intravenous voriday 1, followed Relevant co-me no evidence for medicines giver cyclosporin 2 m One patient with the study, becaudue to a possible Genotyping: - 4x NM - 6x IM Results:	Authors' conclusions: "No difference in clearance of vorico- nazole was found between CYP2C19 normal metabolizers (n=4) and carriers of one non-functional allele (n=6)."							
		Parameters ve	ISUS INIVI.	IM	value for					
	IM: AA	Median cleara	nce on day 7	x 0.55 (NS)	NM 15.52	Trough concentra-				
		Median cleara	nce on day	x 0.69 (NS)	L/hour 14.15	tion _{steady} state versus NM:				
		14		` ,	L/hour	IM: 140%				
		Trough con-	average	x 1.4 (NS)	1.18 µg/mL	Madia dia manakan				
		centration on day 7	median	x 1.8 (NS)	0.88 μg/mL	Median trough con- centrationsteady state				
		AUC _{0-12hours} on day 7	average	x 1.3 (NS)	27.0 μg.hour/mL	versus NM: IM: 180%				
		or day r	median x 1.7 (NS) 22.7							
				` ,	μg.hour/mL					
		Trough con-	average	x 0.69 (NS)	2.22 µg/mL					
		centration on day 14	median	x 2.0 (NS)	0.74 μg/mL					
		AUC _{0-12hours} on day 14	average	x 0.74 (NS)	40.6 μg.hour/mL					
			median	x 1.2 (NS)	24.3 µg.hour/mL					
		For NM, 50% a	and 75% of the	trough concer						
		day 7 and day for IM this was mined).	14 respectivel	y were lower th	nan 1 µg/mL;					
		As the differen								
		and between d large interindiv kinetic parame	ridual and intra							
		% of patients v		NS	75%					
		Other side effe	ects	NS						
		Note: Genotypir these are the m patient group.	ost important o	gene variants ir	n this Dutch	h				
ref. 34 Matsumoto K et al. Correlation between voriconazole trough plasma concentra-	3	29 patients, 10x daily for 1 day, f 470 days, no re	Authors' conclusion: "Non-linear pharma- cokinetic analysis suggested that vori- conazole therapy							
tion and hepatotoxi-	IM+PM:			elationship bet	ween hepato-	should be initiated				
city in patients with	AA		CYP2C19 phe			with a dose of 7.2-				
different CYP2C19		- Decrease in	the maintenar	nce dose by 14	% (NS, from	8.9 mg/kg/day for CYP2C19 wild-type				
genotypes.		7.8 to 6.7 m	g/kg per day).			OTFZOTS wild-type				

Int J Antimicrob Agents 2009;34:91-4. ref. 34, continua- tion		 Decrease in the maintenance dose in the patients with hepatotoxicity by 17% (NS, from 8.3 to 6.9 mg/kg per day). Non-linear pharmacokinetic model: decrease in the dose that corresponds to a trough concentration of 2-4 mg/L by 27-39% (NS, from 7.2-8.9 to 4.4-6.5 mg/kg per day). Goodwin et al., 2008 found an increased incidence of hepatotoxicity at trough concentrations > 4 mg/L (increase from 5.9% to 75%). 	and 4.4–6.5 mg/kg/ day for the non-wild- type in Japanese patients.'
ref. 35 Lei HP et al. Lack of effect of Ginkgo biloba on voriconazole phar- macokinetics in Chinese volunteers identified as CYP- 2C19 poor and extensive metaboli- zers. Ann Pharmacother 2009;43:726-31.	3 PM: A	Note: Significances unknown. 14 healthy volunteers, 7x NM, 7x PM (*2/*2), received a single dose of 200 mg voriconazole, no co-medication, coffee or alcohol, no smokers; PM versus NM: Increase in median AUC by 305% (S; from 5.17 to 20.96 mg.h/L). Decrease in median Clor by 75% (S; from 644.85 to 159.01 mL/min). Increase in median t _{1/2} by 244% (S; from 3.27 to 11.26 h).	Authors' conclusion: "CYP2C19 genotype is a major determi- nant influencing vori- conazole metabo- lism." (median AUC versus NM, single dose: PM: 405%)
ref. 36 Wang G et al. The CYP2C19 ultra- rapid metabolizer genotype influences the pharmacokine- tics of voriconazole in healthy male volunteers. Eur J Clin Pharma- col 2009;65:281-5.	3 PM: A *17: A	20 healthy volunteers, 12x NM (8x *1/*1, 4x *1/*17), 8x PM (*2/*2), received a single dose of 200 mg voriconazole, no co-medication and no coffee or alcohol for 7 days before the study, no smokers; PM versus *1/*1: - Increase in AUC by 245% (S; from 6.92 to 23.9 mg.h/L). - Decrease in Clor by 72% (S; from 521.53 to 146.7 mL/min). - Increase in t _{1/2} by 69% (S; from 8.28 to 13.98 h). *1/*17 versus *1/*1: - Decrease in AUC by 48% (S; from 6.92 to 3.63 mg.h/L). - Increase in Clor by 79% (S; from 521.53 to 932.02 mL/min). - Decrease in t _{1/2} by 13% (NS; from 8.28 to 7.19 mg.h/L)	Authors' conclusion: "Our data indicate that the presence of the CYP2C19*17 allele results in ultra- rapid metabolism of voriconazole after a single oral dose." (AUC versus *1/*1, single dose: PM: 345%)
ref. 37 Karlsson MO et al. Population pharma- cokinetic analysis of voriconazole plasma concentration data from pediatric studies. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2009;53:935-44.	4	Significant effect of the *17 allele on the pharmacokinetics. Population pharmacokinetic analysis of data obtained from 3 studies. 82 children, 58x NM, 24x IM+PM (21x IM, 3x PM), single dose of voriconazole 3 or 4 mg/kg intravenous (n=11) or 2x daily intravenous voriconazole 6 mg/kg on day 1, followed by 3 mg/kg on days 2-4 and 4 mg/kg on days 4-8 (n=28) or 2x daily intravenous voriconazole 6 mg/kg on day 1, 4 mg/kg on days 2-4, 6 mg/kg on days 5-8, followed by 2x daily oral voriconazole 4 mg/kg on days 9-12 or 2x daily intravenous voriconazole 6 mg/kg on days 1-4, 8 mg/kg on days 5-8, followed by 2x daily oral voriconazole 6 mg/kg on days 9-12 (n=43), co-medication not excluded. No raw data, only results from the pharmacokinetic model: The CYP2C19 phenotype is a statistically significant	Authors' conclusion: "Loading doses or individual dosage adjustments according to baseline covariates (a.o. CYP-2C19 fenotype) are not considered necessary in administering voriconazole to children."

ref. 37, continua-	IM+PM:	co-variable for the prediction of the plasma concentra-	
tion	A	tion (S).	
		- The model predicts a decrease in the clearance by	
		35.5% for IM+PM.	
		- The model predicts that a dose adjustment to 7 mg/kg	
		2x daily or 200 mg 2x daily based on the CYP2C19	
		phenotype will not result in an improved concurrence	
		with the exposure found for a dose of 4 mg/kg 2x daily	
		in adults.	
		333.13	
		Co-medication with CYP450 inducers or CYP2C19 inhibi-	
		tors was not a statistically significant co-variable.	
ref. 38	3	Analysis of the combined data from Mikus et al., 2006 and	Authors' conclusion:
Weiss J et al.		Rengelshausen et al., 2005 after additional determination	"The number of vari-
CYP2C19 genotype		of *17 alleles.	ant CYP2C19 alleles
is a major factor		35 healthy volunteers, 10x NM+IM (8x *1/*17, 2x *2/*17),	explains a substantial
contributing to the		9x NM (*1/*1), 11x IM (*1/*2), 5x PM (5x *2/*2), received a	part of the wide varia-
highly variable phar-		single dose of 400 mg voriconazole, no co-medication;	bility of voriconazole
macokinetics of vori- conazole.			pharmacokinetics."
J Clin Pharmacol		Multiple regression analysis:	
2009;49:196-204.		- The number of functional genes has a significant effect	
2000, 10:100 20 11		on AUC, Cl _{or} and t _{1/2} and predicts up to 50% of the	
		parameter variability (39% of the variability in AUC) (S).	
		DM voroup *4 /*4:	
	PM: A	PM versus *1/*1:	
	PIVI. A	 Increase in AUC by 178% (S; from 16.44 to 45.73 mg.h/L). 	(AUC versus NM
		- Decrease in Cl _{or} by 65% (S; from 465.5 to 162.9	(*1/*1 + *1/*17),
		mL/min).	single dose:
		Increase in t _{1/2} by 98% (S; from 7.23 to 14.28 h).	PM: 305%
		111010000 111 (11/2 by 0070 (0; 110111 7.20 to 1 1.20 11).	IM (*1/*2 + *2/*17):
		*1/*2 versus *1/*1:	158%)
	IM: A	Increase in AUC by 56% (S for the trend NM+IM, NM,	
		IM and PM; from 16.44 to 25.66 mg.h/L).	
		- Decrease in Clor by 31% (S for the trend; from 465.5 to	
		319.2 mL/min).	
		- Increase in t _{1/2} by 14% (S for the trend; from 7.23 to	
		8.25 h).	
		(*1/*17 + *2/*17) versus *1/*1:	
		- Decrease in AUC by 19% (S for the trend NM+IM, NM,	
		IM and PM; from 16.44 to 13.27 mg.h/L).	
		Increase in Cl _{or} by 13% (S for the trend; from 465.5 to	
		526.9 mL/min).	
		 Decrease in t_{1/2} by 3.7% (S for the trend; from 7.23 to 6.96 h). 	
	*17: A	- The abovementioned data point to a significant effect	
	17.7	of the *17 allele on the pharmacokinetics.	
ref. 39	3	86 immune-compromised patients, 63x NM, 23x IM+PM,	Authors' conclusion:
Levin MD et al.]	2x daily oral voriconazole 6 mg/kg on 1 day, 4 mg/kg on	"No significant rela-
Hepatotoxicity of		days 2-7, 200 mg thereafter (n=74) or intravenous vorico-	tionship between
oral and intravenous		nazole during days 1-7 followed by oral administration	CYP2C9, CYP2C19
voriconazole in rela-		(n=12); relevant co-medication not excluded.	or CYP3A5 polymor-
tion to cytochrome			phisms and serum
P450 polymor-		IM+PM versus NM:	liver enzyme levels
phisms. J Antimicrob	IM+PM:	- No significant increase in the maximum concentration	was observed in patients treated with
Chemother	AA	of bilirubin, ALP, GGT, ASAT or ALAT (NS).	voriconazole."
2007;60:1104-7.		- No significant increase in the elevation of the concen-	VOITOUTIAZUIG.
		tration of bilirubin, ALP, GGT, ASAT or ALAT (NS).	

			,
ref. 39, continua- tion		 No significant increase in the maximum degree of toxicity according to the common toxicity criteria (CTC; distinguishes between 5 degrees of toxicity) for bilirubin, ALP, GGT, ASAT or ALAT (NS). No significant increase in the percentage of patients with an increase of ≥ 2 degrees of toxicity according to the CTC for bilirubin, ALP, GGT, ASAT or ALAT (NS). No significant increase in the percentage of patients with a maximum toxicity grade ≥ 2 according to the CTC for bilirubin, ALP, GGT, ASAT or ALAT (NS). 	
ref. 40	3	20 healthy volunteers, 4x *2/*2+*2/*3+*3/*3, 8x *1/*2+*1/*3,	
Mikus G et al. Potent cytochrome P450 2C19 geno- type-related inter- action between vori-	PM: A	8x *1/*1, received a single dose of 400 mg voriconazole, no co-medication; - PM (*2/*2+*2/*3+*3/*3): increase in the AUC voriconazole versus NM from 16.52 to 47.96 h·µg/mL (S by	(AUC versus NM, single dose:
conazole and the cytochrome P450 3A4 inhibitor ritona- vir. Clin Pharmacol Ther	, w. , v	190%), decrease in Cl _{or} ^a from 6.34 to 2.21 mL/min/kg (S by 65%), increase in t½ from 8.11 to 15.21 hours (S by 88%). - IM (*1/*2+*1/*3): increase in the AUC voriconazole versus NM from 16.52 to 22.65 h·µg/mL (NS by 37%),	PM: 290% IM: 137%)
2006;80:126-35.	IM: A	decrease in Cl _{or} ^a from 6.34 to 4.69 mL/min/kg (S by 26%), decrease in t½ from 8.11 to 8.07 hours (NS by 0.4%). No severe side effects.	
ref. 41	3	16 healthy volunteers, 2x *2/*2, 6x *1/*2, 9x *1/*1, received	
Rengelshausen J et		a single dose of 400 mg voriconazole, no co-medication;	
al. Opposite effects of short-term and long-term St John's wort intake on voriconazole pharmacokinetics. Clin Pharmacol Ther 2005;78:25-33.	PM: AA	 *2/*2: increase in the AUC of voriconazole versus *1/*1 from 14.3 to 37.1 h·µg/mL (by 159%). *1/*2: increase in the AUC of voriconazole versus *1/*1 from 14.3 to 31.2 h·µg/mL (by 118%). *1/*2+*2/*2: increase in AUC of voriconazole from 14.3 to 32.7 h·µg/mL (S by 129%), decrease in Clor versus *1/*1 from 493 to 287 mL/min (S by 42%). 	(AUC versus NM, single dose: PM: 259% IM: 218%
		No severe side effects.	
		Note: significances of separate phenotypes unknown.	
ref. 42 Ikeda Y et al. Pharmacokinetics of voriconazole and cytochrome P450	2	12 healthy volunteers, 2x PM, 4x IM, 6x NM, 6 individuals receiving 400 mg/day voriconazole for 10 days, 6 individuals receiving 600 mg/day voriconazole for 10 days, no co-medication;	(AUC versus NM:
2C19 genetic status. Clin Pharmacol Ther 2004;75:587-8.	PM: AA	- PM: at 400 mg/day, the AUC is 5.8x higher than for NM, at 600 mg/day it is 3.8x higher. C _{max} is approximately 3x higher. 1 PM with dose 600 mg/day had elevated liver function test results.	PM: 580%)
ref. 43	IM: AA	 IM: at 400 mg/day, the C_{max} was unchanged for 1 IM versus NM and the C_{max} was increased for 1 IM (no percentage available). Note: genotype unknown, significances unknown. Pharmacokinetics 	
SmPC VFEND (voriconazole) 20-	0	In vivo studies indicated that CYP2C19 is significantly involved in the metabolism of voriconazole. This enzyme	
04-21 a.o. ^b		exhibits genetic polymorphism. For example, 15-20% of Asian populations may be expected to be poor metabolisers. For Caucasians and Blacks the prevalence of poor metabolisers is 3-5%. Studies conducted in Caucasian and	

ref. 43, continua-		Japanese healthy subjects have shown that poor metaboli-	
tion		and the state of t	AUC versus NM:
		re (AUC _T) than their homozygous normal metaboliser	PM: 400%
		counterparts. Cubjects who are neterozygous normal	IM: 200%
	IM: A	metabolisers have on average 2-fold higher voriconazole	
		exposure than their homozygous normal metaboliser	
		counterparts.	

^a corrected for body weight

^b SmPC VFEND (voriconazole) 13-10-21, USA, contains the same information.

Risk group	IM with CYP2C19 inhibitors, IM and PM with CYP3A inhibitors or substrates, UM with
	CYP2C19 and/or CYP3A inducers

Comments:

Genotype-guided dosing studies were only included if at least two of the phenotype groups studied consisted of at least 5 patients. For the period after 2009, studies including healthy volunteers were not included. Studies suggest an effect of the disease on the plasma concentration of voriconazole (Encalada Ventura MA et al. Longitudinal analysis of the effect of inflammation on voriconazole trough concentrations. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2016;60:2727-31. PubMed PMID: 26883707 and Chawla PK et al. Correlation of CYP2C19 genotype with plasma voriconazole levels: a preliminary retrospective study in Indians. Int J Clin Pharm 2015;37:925-30. PubMed PMID: 26024717). Furthermore, the dose of voriconazole in patients is often set based on therapeutic drug monitoring, whilst this is not the case in healthy volunteers. For these reasons, studies involving healthy volunteers provide only limited information about the importance of the gene-drug interaction in the treatment of patients. Case descriptions were not included for the period after 2009, as they do not contribute sufficiently to the burden of proof.

For the period after 2009, articles with pharmacokinetic or pharmacokinetic-pharmacodynamic models were only included if they also contained new data, in other words if they were not based solely on previously published data. In addition, kinetic studies – in contrast to meta-analyses – were only included if data was presented per genotype group and the percentage of the kinetic parameters versus NM or *1/*1 could be calculated. If IM was the only variant genotype group in the kinetic study, the study was only included if the number of IM was greater than 3. Other studies did not provide enough additional information.

Studies in liver transplant patients were not included, because the genotype of the liver of these patients may differ from that of the rest of the body.

Miao 2019 (Miao Q et al. Correlation of CYP2C19 genotype with plasma voriconazole exposure in South-western Chinese Han patients with invasive fungal infections. Medicine (Baltimore) 2019;98:e14137. PMID: 30653146) was not included in the risk analysis, because the dose-corrected trough concentration was expressed in μ g/ml per kg/day instead of μ g/ml per mg/kg, and in addition, was higher than the not dose-corrected trough concentration, while the dose was higher than 1 mg/kg. For this reason, it is not clear how the dose-corrected trough concentration was calculated and whether data are reliable.

Ebrahimpour 2017 (Ebrahimpour S et al. Impact of CYP2C19 polymorphisms on serum concentration of voriconazole in Iranian hematological patients. J Res Pharm Pract 2017;6:151-7. PMID: 29026840) was not included in the risk analysis, because the mean voriconazole serum concentration values reported for *1/*1 and *1/*17 in the text differ from the ones depicted in the figure. As a result, reliable concentration data were lacking.

- The effect of co-medication on the exposure to voriconazole can differ for the different CYP2C19 genotypes. Co-medication that results in the induction of CYP2C19 and inhibition of CYP3A (ritonavir + atazanavir) reduces the exposure to voriconazole in NM and increases the exposure in PM (Zhu L et al. CYP2C19 genotype-dependent pharmacokinetic drug interaction between voriconazole and ritonavir-boosted atazanavir in healthy subjects. J Clin Pharmacol 2016 Jul 19 [Epub ahead of print]. PubMed PMID: 27432796). The CYP3A4 substrate tacrolimus enhances the exposure to voriconazole in PM, but not significantly in NM (Mochizuki E et al. A case of treatment with voriconazole for chronic progressive pulmonary aspergillosis in a patient receiving tacrolimus for dermatomyositis-associated interstitial lung disease. Respir Med Case Rep 2015;16:163-5. PubMed PMID: 26744690).
- <u>Algorithm</u>:
- Teusink A et al. Genotype-directed dosing leads to optimized voriconazole levels in pediatric patients receiving hematopoietic stem cell transplantation. Biol Blood Marrow Transplant 2016;22:482-6. PubMed PMID: 26616742. In the case of genotype-guided prophylaxis, the dose started at 7 mg/kg 2x daily for paediatric patients with genotype NM or UM, at 6 mg/kg 2x daily for IM and patients with unknown genotype and 5 mg/kg 2x daily for PM. Voriconazole trough concentrations were always determined after 8 doses (both after start of treatment and after dose changes). The dose was adjusted until the trough concentration was within the therapeutic range (1-5.5 µg/mL). If the trough concentration was lower than the detection limit (0.1 µg/mL), the dose was increased by 50%

and if the trough concentration was 0.1-1 μ g/mL, the dose was increased by 25%. If the trough concentration was higher than 5.5 μ g/mL, then two doses were skipped and this was followed by 50-75% of the previous dose. After achieving the therapeutic range, the trough concentration was checked every week for 1 month and then every 2 weeks until end of treatment. Extra trough concentration determinations were performed if there were indications of voriconazole toxicity or a fungal infection.

This dose algorithm reduced the time to reach the therapeutic range compared to an algorithm in which all patients were started on 5 mg/kg 2x daily and the voriconazole trough concentration was also determined after 8 doses in each case.

Note: The children in this study were mostly younger than 12 years of age. In this case, the Kinderformularium recommends an intravenous initial dose of 9 mg/kg 2x daily, followed by an intravenous dose of 8 mg/kg 2x daily and finally an oral dose of 9 mg/kg 2x daily. De hoofdvraag waarvoor we een risicoanalyse maken, is de vraag of bij een patiënt waarvan bekend is dat deze het genotype heeft dat problemen geeft (in dit geval dus HLA-B*5701) de behandeling moet worden aangepast. Of er moet worden gegenotypeerd is een tweede vraag. Op basis van de Clinical Implications Score geldt voor flucloxacilline: The KNMP Pharmacogenetics Working Group considers genotyping before starting flucloxacillin to be beneficial for drug

- safety. It is advised to consider genotyping these patients before (or directly after) drug therapy has been initiated to
- guide drug selection. Dit betekent dat als dit voorstel wordt gevolgd, er geen sterke aanbeveling is om te genotyperen. Het kan worden overwogen. De reden is dat het risico op DILI ook in patiënten met HLA-B*5701 klein blijft.
- De DILÍ-casus In Nicoletti 2019 gebruikten flucloxacilline overigens gedurende gemiddeld 10 dagen, dus werd flucloxacilline gemiddeld op dag 11 van de kuur gestaakt. Voor personen met een eerste kuur is dit dus minder dan 3 weken.

Other guidelines:

- Moriyama B et al. Clinical Pharmacogenetics Implementation Consortium (CPIC) guideline for CYP2C19 and voriconazole therapy. Clin Pharmacol Ther 2017;102:45-51. PubMed PMID: 27981572. The authors based their guideline on 36 articles. As the selection of their articles took place in May 2016, the study by Wang 2016, which found higher voriconazole trough concentrations for PM, and the meta-analysis by Li 2016, which found an increased efficacy for PM, do not form part of this guideline. However, these articles were included in our risk analysis. Most of the articles included by CPIC were also included in our risk analysis (60% of the 10 articles involving healthy volunteers and 50% of the 26 articles involving patients). 10 of the 13 articles involving patients, which were not included in our risk analysis, involved case reports. Although voriconazole is used for prophylaxis of invasive aspergillosis in high-risk patients with neutropenia or haematopoietic stem cell transplantation, the focus of the recommendations in the CPIC guideline rests on the

PM:

treatment of invasive fungal infections using voriconazole.

The authors indicate that there is substantial evidence for a link between the CYP2C19 genotype and the pharmacokinetics of voriconazole and that the evidence is of high quality in most cases. However, in the table that they refer to, a high degree of evidence for reduced voriconazole metabolism is found only for healthy PM. For patients and for other genotypes, the degree of evidence is moderate or even weak. The authors indicate that the evidence for an association between PM and side effects is limited to a single case (Moriyama B et al. Pharmacokinetics of intravenous voriconazole in obese patients: implications of CYP2C19 homozygous poor metabolizer genotype. Pharmacotherapy 2013;33:e19-22). However, according to the authors, a strong association was found between PM and increased voriconazole concentrations. As increased voriconazole concentrations result in side effects, the use of an alternative for voriconazole is recommended for PM. The authors indicate that there are also cases in which voriconazole was stopped in PM due to increased and potentially toxic concentrations. In addition to the previously mentioned case of Moriyama 2013, the table mentions a second case (Moriyama B et al. Prolonged half-life of voriconazole in a CYP2C19 homozygous poor metabolizer receiving vincristine chemotherapy: avoiding a serious adverse drug interaction. Mycoses 2011;54: e877-9). The table also mentions that four studies (Levin 2007, Matsumoto 2009, Bergé 2011 and Kim 2013) found no association between the CYP2C19 genotype and side effects. Although clinical studies did not consistently show an association between the CYP2C19 genotype and side effects, CPIC recommends the use of a different antimycotic for PM. The reason is that individual PMs can have elevated plasma concentrations, which can result in toxicity. If voriconazole is strongly indicated for the treatment of an invasive fungal infection in a PM, then administration of a lower dose with thorough therapeutic drug monitoring is an option. CPIC classifies the advice for PM as moderate.

UM and *1/*17:

The authors indicate that the therapeutic recommendation for adult UMs is based on extrapolation of data for *1/*17, because these genotypes were not analysed separately in most studies. They also indicate that knowledge about UM and *1/*17 genotypes may help to prevent subtherapeutic plasma concentrations, which can result in failure of therapy. For UM and *1/*17, CPIC recommends using a different antimycotic, particularly because different cases demonstrate failure of voriconazole treatment in UM. The table lists three UMs, in which voriconazole was stopped due to non-detectable plasma concentrations or the absence of a response (Malingré

MM et al. A case report of voriconazole therapy failure in a homozygous ultrarapid CYP2C19*17/*17 patient comedicated with carbamazepine. Br J Clin Pharmacol 2012;74:205-6; Abidi MZ et al. CYP2C19*17 genetic polymorphism--an uncommon cause of voriconazole treatment failure. Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis 2015;83:46-8 and
Bennis Y et al. High metabolic N-oxidation of voriconazole in a patient with refractory aspergillosis and CYP2C19*17/*17 genotype. Br J Clin Pharmacol 2015;80:782-4). The UM in Malingré 2012 used the CYP450
enzyme inducer carbamazepine as co-medication. The authors indicate that attempts to achieve therapeutic
plasma concentrations in UM are often unsuccessful. Severe delays in achieving therapeutic concentrations in
such patients with an active, invasive fungal infection can result in the progression of the disease. CPIC classifies the advice for UM and *1/*17 as moderate.

The authors indicate that there are various alternatives to voriconazole in the treatment of invasive fungal infections, including isavuconazole, formulations of amphotericin B with lipids and posaconazole. Isavuconazole is registered for the treatment of invasive aspergillosis and mucormycosis in adults. It is not registered for prophylaxis or for use in children. According to CPIC, there are currently only limited data about the use in children and isavuconazole is not listed in the Kinderformularium. Liposomal amphotericin B can be used instead of voriconazole for the treatment of invasive aspergillosis in adults and children. Amphotericin B is only registered for the prophylaxis of intestinal fungal infections, not for prophylaxis of invasive fungal infections. Posaconazole is registered for the treatment of invasive fungal infections in the case of intolerance for or inadequate effect of the standard treatment and for prophylaxis of invasive fungal infections in patients with a high risk of these. It can be used for both children and adults.

IM:

The authors indicate that it is not possible to give a medication recommendation for IM, due to the limited number of studies and the inconsistency of the results found. CPIC classifies the recommendation for IM as moderate.

The genotype-guided recommendations are:

UM, adults and children: Select an alternative that is not metabolised by CYP2C19, such as isavuconazole,

liposomal amphotericin B or posaconazole.

Note: The recommendation for adults is based on extrapolated data for the genotype

1/*17.

*1/*17 adults: Select an alternative that is not metabolised by CYP2C19, such as isavuconazole,

liposomal amphotericin B or posaconazole.

children: Start with the standard dose and adjust the dose based on therapeutic drug monito-

ring.

Note 1: Further dose adjustment or selection of an alternative could be possible due to other clinical factors, such as drug interactions, liver function, kidney function, race,

site of infection, therapeutic drug monitoring and co-morbidities.

Note 2: It is difficult to achieve therapeutic voriconazole concentrations in a timely manner in children with genotype UM or *1/*17. As critical time can be lost whilst trying to achieve therapeutic concentrations, an alternative is recommended, so that

the child receives effective antimycotic treatment as soon as possible.

Note 3: Thorough therapeutic drug monitoring is very important for patients with the *1/*17 genotype. As a result of the large variation in trough concentrations, there is insufficient proof to distinguish between paediatric patients with genotype *1/*17 and

genotype *1/*1.

IM, adults and children: Start with the standard dose.

Note: Further dose adjustment or selection of an alternative could be possible due to other clinical factors, such as drug interactions, liver function, kidney function, race.

site of infection, therapeutic drug monitoring and co-morbidities.

PM, adults and children: Select an alternative that is not metabolised by CYP2C19, such as isavuconazole,

liposomal amphotericin B or posaconazole.

If voriconazole is considered the most suitable medicine, based on clinical recommendations, then voriconazole must be administered at a dose that is preferably lower than the standard dose and with thorough therapeutic drug monitoring. Note: The recommendation for children is based on extrapolated data from adults.

CPIC uses a different definition of NM (normal metaboliser) than the KNMP. *1/*17 is not categorised under NM, but is considered a separate phenotype (rapid metaboliser). CPIC indicates that statistical differences in average pharmacokinetic parameters between *1/*17 and *1/*1 have been observed, but that the range of the pharmacokinetic values found often overlaps (Li-Wan-Po A et al. Pharmacogenetics of CYP2C19: functional and clinical implications of a new variant CYP2C19*17. Br J Clin Pharmacol 2010;69:222-30 and Hicks JK et al. Clinical Pharmacogenetics Implementation Consortium (CPIC) guideline for CYP2D6 and CYP2C19 genotypes and dosing of selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors. Clin Pharmacol Ther 2015;98:127-34). CPIC also indicates that it is not clear whether this definition of rapid metaboliser is suitable for all CYP2C19 substrates and therefore that the distinction could be specific to certain medicines. As the paediatric recommendation is the same for *1/*17 and NM, the different definition by the CPIC for NM for children is irrelevant. CPIC indicates that – for

children – there is insufficient proof to make a distinction between *1/*1 and *1/*17 due to the large variation in trough concentrations. However, for adults, CPIC does give a different recommendation for *1/*17 and *1/*1. CPIC indicates that – for adults – there is insufficient proof to make a distinction between *1/*17 and UM. The guideline does not provide a recommendation about whether patients should be genotyped or not. The authors indicate that a periodic update of the guideline is provided on the internet sites of the PharmGKB and CPIC. The abovementioned guideline was the most recent version as of 2 November 2021.

- Wang J et al. Model-oriented dose optimization of voriconazole in critically ill children. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2021;65:e0049321. PMID: 34152812.
 - Based on a population pharmacokinetic model, the maintenance dose for PM was calculated to be 60-70% that of NM for critically ill children aged 0.44-13.58 years,
 - The population pharmacokinetic model was based on voriconazole plasma concentrations of 99 children with a median age of 5.25 years (range 0.44-13.58 years; mean 6.14 years), among whom 34 *1/*1, 45 IM, 14 PM and 1 *1/*17.
- Zubiaur P et al. Evaluation of voriconazole CYP2C19 phenotype-guided dose adjustments by physiologically based pharmacokinetic modeling. Clin Pharmacokinet 2021;60:261-70. PMID: 32939689.
 Based on a physiologically based pharmacokinetic model in healthy volunteers, the authors suggest that the standard dose may only be appropriate for NM, although they would benefit from a 50-100% loading dose increase. IM and PM required a daily dose reduction to 50% and 25% of the normal dose, respectively. *1/*17 and UM required a 2- and 4-fold higher dose, respectively.
 - The physiologically based pharmacokinetic model was based on voriconazole plasma concentrations of 106 healthy volunteers receiving a single dose, including 4 UM, 33 *1/*17, 38 *1/*1, 29 IM and 2 PM, and steady state concentrations in 20 healthy volunteers receiving voriconazole for a period of 1 week. All data were from previously published studies. Physiologically based pharmacokinetic modelling was used to optimize voriconazole single-dose models for each CYP2C19 phenotype, which were extrapolated to steady state and evaluated for concordance with the therapeutic range of voriconazole.
- Liu Y et al. Model-based voriconazole dose optimization in Chinese adult patients with hematologic malignancies. Clin Ther 2019;41:1151-63. PMID: 31079860.
 - Based on a population pharmacokinetic model, the recommended dose for IM and PM \geq 60 years of age with diagnosed or suspected invasive fungal infection was calculated to be 50% and 25% of the mormal dose, respectively. Patients \geq 60 years had a 2-fold higher exposure than patients aged 18-59 years.
- The population pharmacokinetic model was based on voriconazole plasma concentrations of 41 patients with hematologic malignancies and diagnosed or suspected invasive fungal infection, including 18 *1/*1, 16 IM, and 7 PM, and including 13 patients aged \geq 60 years and 28 patients aged 18-59 years. Both efficacy and tolerability were considered in selecting the recommended doses.
- Kim Y et al. A personalized CYP2C19 phenotype-guided dosing regimen of voriconazole using a population pharmacokinetic analysis. J Clin Med 2019;8:227. PMID: 30744151.
- Based on a population pharmacokinetic model, the proposed initial dose for NM was twice the normal dose, for IM was the normal dose, and for PM was 50% of the normal dose,
- The population pharmacokinetic model was based on voriconazole plasma concentrations of 93 healthy volunteers and 100 patients from 5 previously published studies, of which 2 concerned single dosing and 1 concerned two single doses. The healthy volunteers included 32 NM, 27 IM and 34 PM. The patients included 43 NM, 43 IM and 14 PM. Only 1 of the NM was *1/*17.
- Lin XB et al. Population pharmacokinetics of voriconazole and CYP2C19 polymorphisms for optimizing dosing regimens in renal transplant recipients. Br J Clin Pharmacol 2018;84:1587-97. PMID: 29607533. Based on a population pharmacokinetic model in kidney transplant patients, the calculated required dose in the early postoperative period was 1.5 times the normal dose intravenously for NM, the normal dose intravenously or 1.75 times the normal dose orally for IM, and 75% of the normal dose intravenously or 1.25 times the normal dose orally for PM.
- The population pharmacokinetic model was based on voriconazole plasma concentrations of 105 patients, among whom 44 *1/*1, 49 IM, and 12 PM.

Cost-effectiveness:

- Patel JN et al. Evaluation of CYP2C19 genotype-guided voriconazole prophylaxis after allogeneic hematopoietic cell transplant. Clin Pharmacol Ther 2020;107:571-9. PMID: 31549386.
- In adult allogeneic hematopoietic cell transplant recipients, a CYP2C19 genotype-guided treatment was both cheaper and more effective than non-genotype-guided treatment (US\$ 4,700 per patient lower costs and an invasive fungal infection rate of 0% instead of 6%). For the CYP2C19 genotype-guided treatment, *1/*1, IM and PM received the normal voriconazole dose and *1/*17 and UM received 1.5-fold the normal dose. Data for the CYP2C19 genotype-guided treatment were based on 89 patients: 3 UM, 29 *1/*17, 30 *1/*1, 23 IM, and 4 PM. The CYP2C19 genotype-guided treated patients were compared to simulated controls.
- The calculation was from the perspective of the health system. Direct medical costs were calculated for the first 100 days following hematopoietic cell transplantation. Direct medical costs consisted of drug and administration costs (different values for patients with voriconazole failure and voriconazole success), testing costs (including both genotyping and therapeutic drug monitoring costs), and invasive fungal infection costs, The cost of in-

house genotyping were approximately US\$120 per patient. The cost of treating one invasive fungal infection is predicted to be roughly US\$50,000 (O'Sullivan et al. Cost-effectiveness of posaconazole versus fluconazole or itraconazole in the prevention of invasive fungal infections among neutropenic patients in the United States. Value Health 2009;12:666-73). Data from the voriconazole arm of a randomized trial comparing voriconazole with itraconazole (Marks et al. Voriconazole versus itraconazole for antifungal prophylaxis following allogeneic haematopoietic stem-cell transplantation. Br J Haematol 2011;155:318-27) were used to create the cost estimates in the simulated control group. Costs described for the study cohort were applied to data from Marks 2011 on voriconazole dose (200 mg twice daily), success, failure, and alternative antifungals. In all analyses, if patients were switched to an alternative antifungal, the model assumed patients remained on the alternative drug for the duration of observation. Average per-patient cost for the study cohort were US\$6,830 (US\$5,760 for *1/*1+IM+PM and US\$8,720 for *1/*17+UM). The per-patient cost for the simulated control arm was US\$11,520, including a 6% rate of invasive fungal infections based on historical data (O'Sullivan 2019 and Girmenia et al. Incidence and outcome of invasive fungal diseases after allogeneic stem cell transplantation: a prospective study of the Gruppo Italiano Trapianto Midollo Osseo (GITMO). Biol Blood Marrow Transplant 2014;20:872-80) and more plasma level determinations due to higher rates of subtherapeutic concentrations with standard dosing.

While performing genotyping in-house costs approximately US\$120 per patient, the cost of treating one invasive fungal infection is predicted to be roughly US\$50,000 (O'Sullivan 2019). Therefore, even if 400 patients underwent genotyping to prevent one invasive fungal infection, the intervention would still be roughly cost neutral. Furthermore, using genotype-guided dosing allows for fewer plasma level determinations and lower costs associated with analysing concentrations compared with conventional dosing, given that more patients achieve target concentrations faster (though cost associated with plasma level determinations is nominal). Increased voriconazole success rates in our cohort compared with historical data also translated to less use of alternative (more expensive) antifungals.

The authors mention the following limitations of the cost-effectiveness study:

- Rates of invasive fungal infections are low in the post-allogeneic hematopoietic cell transplantation setting when patients receive antifungal prophylaxis, where historically 2-8% of patients experienced an invasive fungal infection (Marks 2011 and Girmenia 2014). Therefore, it is difficult to discern the true impact of genotype-guided dosing on clinical outcomes in this setting without performing a large randomized trial.
- The cost analysis was estimated based on simulated controls from prior published data, and the true cost is also unknown without conducting a randomized trial.
- Lastly, patients were only followed up to day + 100 post hematopoietic cell transplantation. There is a possibility that patients could have developed an invasive fungal infections after day + 100; however, data suggest that nearly 90% of invasive fungal infections are diagnosed within the first 100 days (Girmenia 2014).
- Mason NT et al. Budget impact analysis of CYP2C19-guided voriconazole prophylaxis in AML. J Antimicrob Chemother 2015;70:3124-6. PubMed PMID: 26233624.
 - In patients with acute myeloid leukaemia, a CYP2C19 genotype-guided treatment was both cheaper and more effective than non-genotype-guided treatment (US\$ 415 per patient lower costs and 2.3 fewer patients annually with an invasive fungal infection per 100 treated patients). For the CYP2C19 genotype-guided treatment, *1/*1, IM and PM received the normal treatment with voriconazole and *1/*17 and UM received either a higher dose of voriconazole or an alternative. The most important cause of the cost-effectiveness was the fact that expensive antimycotic treatments and longer hospital stays were avoided (extra costs of US\$ 30,952 per patient). The calculation was based on a third party who paid for the treatment. The calculation used a model in which the medical costs were calculated for 1 year. The calculation was based on a price of US\$ 44,752 for treatment of a non-infected patient during one cycle, a price of US\$ 75,704 for treatment of a patient with a fungal infection during one cycle, a price of US\$ 291.80 for a genetic test and a price of US\$ 18.68 for determination of the plasma concentration of voriconazole. The treatment costs were average values. The price for a dose increase in or an alternative to voriconazole was therefore not included in the calculation. The incidence of fungal infection without prophylaxis (17.5%) and with voriconazole prophylaxis (6.6%) was obtained from the literature. The percentage of patients with a low voriconazole trough concentration as a result of a UM or *1/*17 genotype (56%) was obtained from an article including 10 paediatric patients with a voriconazole trough concentration ≤ 0.2 ug/mL, no CYP inducers and a known genotype for 9 of the patients (Hassan A et al. Modulators of very low voriconazole concentrations in routine therapeutic drug monitoring. Ther Drug Monit 2011;33:86-93. PubMed PMID: 21192313).

Even with variation of the input data (\pm 20%), the genotype-guided treatment remained both cheaper and more effective in all cases than the non-genotype-guided treatment. The incidence of fungal infection had the greatest effect. Genotype-guided prophylaxis would no longer be cost-saving at an incidence < 2%.

Date of literature search: 7 September 2021.

Phenotype	Code	Gene-drug interaction	Action	Date
PM	4 C	Yes	Yes	15 November 2021

KNMP Pharmacogenetics	IM	4 A	Yes	Yes
Working Group decision	UM	4 A	Yes	Yes

Mechanism:

Voriconazole is predominantly metabolised by CYP2C19 and to a lesser extent by CYP2C9 and CYP3A4. Voriconazole inhibits the activity of these three enzymes, resulting in non-linear kinetics for voriconazole. The most important metabolite, voriconazole-N-oxide, is inactive. Children metabolise voriconazole more rapidly than adults and the non-linear kinetics start at higher doses in children than in adults.

SmPC 12 March 2009: the pharmacokinetics of voriconazole are non-linear due to saturation of its metabolism. A disproportionate increase in exposure is observed at a higher dose. On average, it is estimated that an oral dose increase from 200 mg twice daily to 300 mg twice daily is equivalent to a 2.5-fold increase in exposure (AUC). Voriconazole has a narrow therapeutic range. A therapeutic range (based on trough concentrations) of 1-4 or 1-5.5 μ g/mL is usually maintained. The risk of voriconazole-induced hepatotoxicity and other side effects increases with concentrations higher than 4 μ g/mL. The NVZA mentions the following therapeutic ranges: pulmonal aspergillosis 1-6 μ g/mL, badly penetrable areas such as cerebral infection, sinus infection 2-6 μ g/mL. The NVZA indicates that it is recommended to lower the upper limit to 4 μ g/mL in case of impaired liver function, In addition, the NVZA states that the role of therapeutic drug monitoring (TDM) of voriconazole only applies to Aspergillus species sensitive to voriconazole. There are no data on application of TDM in case of infections caused by yeast and other moulds, such as Scedosporium and Fusarium, or caused by less sensitive or resistant strains of Aspergillus fumigatus. Finally, the NVZA states that indications for target values for prophylaxis are lacking up to now. At the moment, for prophylaxis, the therapeutic limit of > 1 μ g/mL is used.

Clinical Implication Score:

Table 1: Definitions of the available Clinical Implication Scores

Potentially	PGx testing for this gene-drug pair is potentially beneficial. Genotyping can be	0-2 +
beneficial	considered on an individual patient basis. If, however, the genotype is available, the DPWG recommends adhering to the gene-drug guideline	
Beneficial	PGx testing for this gene-drug pair is beneficial. It is advised to consider genotyping the patient before (or directly after) drug therapy has been initiated to guide drug and dose selection	3-5 +
Essential	PGx testing for this gene-drug pair is essential for drug safety or efficacy. Genotyping must be performed before drug therapy has been initiated to guide drug and dose selection	6-10 +

Table 2: Criteria on which the attribution of Clinical Implication Score is based

Clinical Implication Score Criteria	Possible Score	Given Score
Clinical effect associated with gene-drug interaction (drug- or diminished efficacy-induced)		
CTCAE Grade 3 or 4 (clinical effect score D or E)	+	
CTCAE Grade 5 (clinical effect score F)	++	
Level of evidence supporting the associated clinical effect grade ≥ 3		
 One study with level of evidence score ≥ 3 	+	
 Two studies with level of evidence score ≥ 3 	++	
 Three or more studies with level of evidence score ≥ 3 	+++	
Number needed to genotype (NNG) in the Dutch population to prevent one clinical effect grade		
≥3		
• 100 < NNG ≤ 1000	+	
• 10 < NNG ≤ 100	++	
• NNG ≤ 10	+++	
PGx information in the Summary of Product Characteristics (SmPC)		
At least one genotype/phenotype mentioned	+	+
OR		
Recommendation to genotype	++	
OR .		
• At least one genotype/phenotype mentioned as a contra-indication in the corresponding section	++	
Total Score:		
Corresponding Clinical Implication Score:		