

CYP2C9: acenocoumarol

1863 to 1869

*2 = CYP2C9 gene variant with decreased activity, *3 = CYP2C9 gene variant with strongly decreased activity, CI = confidence interval, CI_{or} = oral clearance, EM = extensive metaboliser (*1/*1) (normal CYP2C9 enzyme activity), HR = hazard ratio, IM = intermediate metaboliser, other genotype (decreased CYP2C9 enzyme activity due to a gene variant with decreased activity other than *2 or *3), INR = international normalised ratio, MR = metabolic ratio, NS = non-significant, OR = odds ratio, PM = poor metaboliser, other genotype (strongly decreased CYP2C9 enzyme activity involving one or two gene variants with decreased activity other than *2 or *3), RR = relative risk, S = significant, VKORC1 = vitamin K epoxide reductase complex subunit 1

Disclaimer: The Pharmacogenetics Working Group of the KNMP formulates the optimal recommendations for each phenotype group based on the available evidence. If this optimal recommendation cannot be followed due to practical restrictions, e.g. therapeutic drug monitoring or a lower dose is not available, then the health care professional should consider the next best option.

Brief summary and justification of choices:

Acenocoumarol consists of a racemic mixture. The anticoagulant effect of the S-enantiomer is more potent than that of the R-enantiomer. However, the S-enantiomer is eliminated more rapidly, which makes the R-enantiomer predominantly responsible for the anticoagulant effect. The S-enantiomer is almost fully metabolised by CYP2C9 by hydroxylation. The R-enantiomer is metabolised by CYP1A2, CYP3A4, CYP2C9 and CYP2C19.

CYP2C9 gene variants leading to decreased metabolic capacity of the enzyme, cause increased S-acenocoumarol plasma concentrations and to a lesser extent increased R-acenocoumarol plasma concentrations. As confirmed in literature, these gene variants reduce the required acenocoumarol dose. However, as indicated below, there is insufficient evidence to recommend an adjustment of the initial dose, the frequency of INR monitoring or the choice of medicine. The risk of bleeding is not significantly increased in patients with an allele variant, possibly because INR is regularly monitored in all patients. The Dutch Pharmacogenetic Working Group therefore decides that no action is required (yes/no-interactions).

Initial dose

Verhoef 2013 did not find any significant differences in adverse events, thromboembolism and undercoagulation/ overcoagulation between treatment guided by a genotype-based algorithm and a non-genotype-based algorithm. Zhang 2017 also did not find any significant differences in the subgroup of patients with one CYP2C9 or VKORC1 variant and in the subgroup with two or more CYP2C9 or VKORC1 variants. This means that there is no proof that treatment for patients with a CYP2C9 or VKORC1 variant improves when genotype is considered when initiating therapy. Likewise, Cerezo-Manchado 2016 did not find any significant differences in bleeding events, thromboembolism and undercoagulation/overcoagulation between treatment guided by a genotype-based algorithm and physician management, despite an improvement in the percentage of patients reaching stable dose in the first 90 days of treatment.

Verhoef 2012 only found an elevated risk of undercoagulation/overcoagulation in patients with a *2 or *3 allele in the first 4 weeks of treatment. There were no further differences after the first 4 weeks of treatment. This suggests that genotype variants are mainly at risk on initiation of therapy. However, given the results of Verhoef 2013 and Zhang 2017, there is insufficient evidence to recommend adjusting the initial dose.

Choice of medicine

The article by Visser investigating the situation in the Netherlands found a relatively small difference for bleeding (HR for major bleeding: 1.83). Articles that related to other countries ranged from no increased risk of major bleeding to an increase by OR = 2.41.

The higher risk of bleeding for patients with CYP2C9 polymorphisms is not unacceptable and does not justify withholding anticoagulant therapy or switching to direct-acting oral anticoagulant therapy. Whereas all direct-acting oral anticoagulants (rivaroxaban, apixaban, dabigatran and edoxaban) are authorised for the treatment of venous thromboembolism, the prevention of recurrent venous thromboembolism and the prevention of venous thromboembolism in patients with atrial fibrillation, only rivaroxaban, apixaban and dabigatran are authorised for the prevention of thromboembolism in patients undergoing hip or knee replacement surgery. In addition, none of the direct-acting oral anticoagulants is authorised for use in patients with heart valve abnormalities. <u>Frequency of INR monitoring</u> Recommending a change in the frequency of INR monitoring by the National INR Monitoring Service (trombosedienst) is not meaningful: INR is always measured more frequently when the INR is not stable. Patients starting anticoagulant therapy at the hospital are often guided by residents or internists. There is also insufficient evidence that more frequent monitoring of patients with an allele variant is meaningful in this situation. One article found a longer time to achieving stable INR within target for some patients with an allele variant. Another article found no effect. Jiménez-Varo 2014 found an increased risk of INR > 6, but not of major bleeding for patients with a CYP2C9 *3 variant. However, INR values were determined twice a week until the first therapeutic INR in this study. Shorter intervals are considered not useful, because of the time required to reach a stable INR after a dose adjustment. Cerezo-Manchado 2014 found a shorter time to INR > 4 for patients with a CYP2C9 variant. However, the INR 72 hours after start of therapy was a good predictor of INR > 4 independently of genotype. This suggests that the INR-based dose adaption was suboptimal.

The results generated by Visser, 2005 and Beinema, 2007 suggest a (stronger) increase in INR by NSAIDs in patients with an allele variant than in wild-type patients. However, these results are not confirmed by research groups outside the Netherlands. INR is not monitored more frequently in patients using NSAIDs at this time, because this does not usually lead to increased INR. There is insufficient evidence to advise more frequent INR monitoring in patients with an allele variant using NSAIDs.

Overview of kinetic and clinical effects

You can find a detailed overview of the observed kinetic and clinical effects in the background information text of the gene-drug interactions on the KNMP Kennisbank. You might also have access to this background information text via your pharmacy or physician electronic decision support system.

Source	Code	Effect							Comments
ref. 1	3	For 117 patie							Author's conclu- sion:
Varnai R et al.		major and clir	major and clinically relevant non-major bleeding events in the						
CYP2C9 and		preceding 12							"Most impact on
VKORC1 in thera-		were haemate	oma (n =	= 35), ble	eeding v	vounds (n= 23),	bleeding	dose reduction is
peutic dosing and		nose (n =21),	bleedin	g gums	(n = 11)	, blood ii	n stool (n = 11),	accountable for
safety of acenocou-		and haematu	ria (n =9). The II	NR targe	et was 2.	0-3.0. T	he mean	CYP2C9*2/*3
marol treatment:		acenocoumar	ol treatr	nent per	iod was	5.9 yea	rs (rang	e 0.2-27	(59%) and for
implication for		years).							VKORC1*2/*2
clinical practice in		Relevant co-r	nedicati	on was i	not exclu	uded.			(45.5%), and on
Hungary.									dose increase for
Environ Toxicol		Genotyping:							newly evaluated
Pharmacol		- 75x *1/*1							VKORC1*3/*4
2017;56:282-289.		- 28x *1/*2							(22.5%) diploty-
PubMed PMID:		- 7x *1/*3							pes. Being a car-
29055218.		- 3x *2/*2							rier of combination
		- 2x *2/*3							of VKORC1*2 and
		- 2x *3/*3							
									CYP2C9*2,*3 poly-
		Results:							morphisms, rather than of one of
		Results com	pared to	o *1/*1:					
								value	these SNPs, is
			*3/*3	*2/*3	*2/*2	*1/*3	*1/*2	for	associated with
								*1/*1	higher risk of over-
		bleeding			n with the	e CYP20	29		anticoagulation (up
		events		vpe (NS)			-		to 34.3%) in long-
		overanti-			P2C9 *2				term acenocouma-
		coagula-			ORC1 v				rol treatment. Cor-
		tion			requirem				relation between
	(+4/+0)			1	ticoagul	· · ·	,		the studied diplo-
	(*1/*2+	aceno-	X	X	X	X	X	2.41	types and bleeding
	*1/*3+ *2/*2+	coumarol	0.73	0.41	0.90	0.97	0.97	mg/	events could not
	2/2+ *2/*3+	dose	(NS)	(NS)	(NS)	(NS)	(NS)	day	be revealed."
	2/ 3+ *3/*3):				ear regr				
	A 3/ 3).	a significant effect of CYP2C9 geno-							
		type on acenocoumarol dose (S).							
		Carriers of a combination of CYP2C9*2,*3 and the VKOR-							
			C1 variant that reduces dose requirement, had 34% over-						
		anticoagulat			0 0000		000 10	othor	
		VKORC1 ge							
		explained 30	J.4% OF a	acenoco	oumarol	uosing v	anapility	/.	
	I	L							

ref. 1, continuation			
		Note: Genotyping was for *2 and *3. These are the most	
		important gene variants in this Hungarian population.	
ref. 2 Kalpana SR et al. Influence of VKOR- C1 and CYP2C9 polymorphisms on daily acenocoumarol dose requirement in South Indian pa- tients with mechani- cal heart valves. Clin Appl Thromb Hemost 2017;23: 876-882. PubMed PMID: 27335128.	3 (*1/*2+ *1/*3+ *2/*2+ *2/*3): A	Important gene variants in this Hungarian population.205 patients on acenocoumarol therapy had a stable therapeutic INR between 2 and 3.5 for at least 3 months.Antiepileptics, including phenytoin and carbamazepine and antituberculous treatment were excluded. Other relevant co- medication was not excluded (16% used digoxin, 5.8% furose- mide and 1.5% amiodarone).Genotyping: - 161x *1/*1 - 13x *1/*2 - 29x *1/*3 - 1x *2/*2 - 1x *2/*3Results:Acenocoumarol dose compared to *1/*1 (2.71 mg/day): *1/*2 x 0.79 (NS)*1/*3 x 0.83 (NS) *2/*2 x 0.85 (NS)*2/*2 x 0.85 (NS)*2/*3 x 1.11 (NS)The acenocoumarol dose was lower for carriers of a CYP- 2C9 variant (*1/*2 + *1/*3 + *2/*2 + *2/*3) compared to non-carriers (*1/*1) (S).Co-medication with furosemide and digoxin decreased the required acenocoumarol dose. These drugs are known to potentiate the effect of acenocoumarol by releasing it from plasma protein and increasing the concentration of the free active form in the plasma.Note: Genotyping was for *2 and *3. These are the most	Author's conclu- sion: "Presence of a mutant allele of VKORC1 (-1639A & 1173T) and CYP2C9 genes increased the odds of requiring a lower mean dosage of acenocoumarol."
ref. 3 Zhang Y et al. Age-stratified out- come of a genotype- guided dosing algo- rithm for acenocou- marol and phenpro- coumon. J Thromb Haemost 2017;15:454-464. PubMed PMID: 27992949.	3	Note: Genotyping was for 2 and 3. These are the most important gene variants in this Indian population. Data from the 325 patients in Verhoef 2013 who had at least 10 weeks follow-up were reanalysed. Of these patients, 160 received genotype-guided treatment (113 patients < 75 years of age and 47 patients \geq 75 years of age) and 165 received control treatment (103 patients < 75 years of age and 62 patients \geq 75 years of age). After exclusion of patients due to protocol violations, 111 patients remained in the genotype- guided group (80 patients < 75 years of age and 31 patients \geq 75 years of age and 126 in the control group (77 patients < 75 years of age and 49 patients \geq 75 years of age). Of the patients < 75 years of age, 58% was Dutch and the remaining 42% was Greek. Of the patients \geq 75 years of age, 31% was Dutch and the remaining 69% was Greek. All INRs were measured during the first 12 weeks of treat- ment. The majority of patients used relevant co-medication. Amioda- rone usage was included in the dose algorithm. Differences in percentages of time in or outside the therapeu- tic range were adjusted for height, weight, sex, enzyme inhibi- tors, and enzyme inducers. Genotyping: -187x *1/*1 -64x *1/*2 -53x *1/*3 -12x *2/*2 -8x *2/*3 $-1x genotype unknown (clinical algorithm, \geq 75 years)$	Author's conclu- sion: "For acenocouma- rol users, there were no significant differences be- tween the genoty- pe-guided and control groups for most outcomes, except for a lower percentage of time below the range among older pa- tients."

rof 3 continuation	<u>т т</u>	Posulto			I	
ref. 3, continuation		Results: Genotype-ba	ased algorithm versu	s clinical algorit	hm:	
					value for the clini- cal algo- rithm	
		% of time in the the- rapeutic	< 75 years, no CYP2C9 and VKORC1 variants	NS	58.9%	
		range	< 75 years, one CYP2C9 or VKORC1 variant	NS	65.2%	
			< 75 years, two or more CYP2C9 and/or VKORC1 variants	NS	59.6%	
			≥ 75 years, no CYP2C9 and VKORC1 variants	NS	53.4%	
			≥ 75 years, one CYP2C9 or VKORC1 variant	NS	60.9%	
			≥ 75 years, two or more CYP2C9 and/or VKORC1 variants	NS	66.7%	
			< 75 years	NS	61.3%	
			≥ 75 years	NS	61.7%	
			A per-protocol anal similar results.			
			< 75 years, Dutch	NS	58.5%	
			≥ 75 years, Dutch	NS	58.9%	
			< 75 years, Greek	NS	65.3%	
			≥ 75 years, Greek	NS	63.0%	
		% of time with a suprathe-	< 75 years, no CYP2C9 and VKORC1 variants	NS	10.7%	
		rapeutic INR (> 3.0)	< 75 years, one CYP2C9 or VKORC1 variant	NS	16.2%	
	geno- type- guided		< 75 years, two or more CYP2C9 and/or VKORC1 variants	NS	23.8%	
	versus not ge- notype-		≥ 75 years, no CYP2C9 and VKORC1 variants	NS	7.4%	
	guided therapy : AA		≥ 75 years, one CYP2C9 or VKORC1 variant	NS	21.2%	
			≥ 75 years, two or more CYP2C9 and/or VKORC1 variants	NS	16.2%	
			< 75 years	NS	18.8%	
			≥ 75 years A per-protocol anal similar results.	NS ysis showed	15.9%	
			< 75 years, Dutch	NS	22.0%	
			≥ 75 years, Dutch	NS	20.8%	
		L		1		

ref. 3, continuation			< 75 years, Greek	trend for a	14.1%	
rei. 5, continuation			< 75 years, Greek	decrease, p	14.170	
				= 0.09 (NS)		
			≥ 75 years, Greek	- 7.7% (S)	13.8%	
		% of time	< 75 years, no	NS	30.4%	
		with a	CYP2C9 and			
		subthera- peutic INR	VKORC1 variants < 75 years, one	NS	18.6%	
		(< 2.0)	CYP2C9 or		10.070	
			VKORC1 variant			
			< 75 years, two or more CYP2C9	NS	16.6%	
			and/or VKORC1			
			variants			
			≥ 75 years, no	NS	35.1%	
			CYP2C9 and			
			VKORC1 variants ≥ 75 years, one	trend for an	18.0%	
			CYP2C9 or	increase, p =	10.070	
			VKORC1 variant	0.06 (NS)		
			≥ 75 years, two or	trend for an	17.1%	
			more CYP2C9 and/or VKORC1	increase, p = 0.08 (NS)		
			variants	0.00 ()		
			< 75 years	NS	19.9%	
			≥ 75 years	+ 9.9% (S)	22.4%	
			A per-protocol analy similar results.	ysis showed		
			< 75 years, Dutch	NS	19.4%	
			≥ 75 years, Dutch	NS	20.4%	
			< 75 years, Greek	NS	20.6%	
			≥ 75 years, Greek	+ 11.5% (S)	23.3%	
		Note: The out	hara indianta that the	look of a aignifi	aant diffa	
			thors indicate that the n the genotype-guide	-		
			ol, could be due to th		-	
			ing period. Because o			
			ol compared to phen	•		
ref. 4	3		egy differed between starting acenocouma			Author's conclu-
Cerezo-Manchado	Ū		first dose was admini			sion:
JJ et al.			ysician's criteria (bas			"Genotype-guided
Genotype-guided therapy improves			nedication). From 72 sed on INR in the ph			dosing was associ- ated with a higher
initial acenocouma-			reas genetic data (C)			percentage of
rol dosing. Results		,	o considered in the g		•	patients with stea-
from a prospective randomised study.). For genotype-guide hado 2013, was adju			dy dose than rou-
Thromb Haemost			equent INR values for			tine practice when starting oral anti-
2016;115:117-25.		the new acen	ocoumarol dose was	calculated from	the predic-	coagulation with
PubMed PMID:			ulated with the forme			acenocoumarol."
26538428.			ation; NewDose = Pro 3], with C1, C2 and C			
			and 5 th dose. The IN			
			atrial fibrillation and the			
			neparin as additional ent without CYP2C9			
			domised to the genoty			
		drawn from th	he study and not inclu	ded in the data	analysis	
			mary. Due to a system			
		not modify the	e previous dose of 23	s mg/weeк, desp	nte the	

ref. 4, continuation	ded in the physic when the study h Adverse events in thromboembolic treatment. Relevant co-med A power calculat real dose for the	IR 1.2 on this dos cian management nad finished. included major an complications and dication was not e ion, based on dos algorithm and wit gement, showed a	group were gen d minor bleeding d hospitalisations xcluded. se estimates with hin 40% of real of	otyped g events, s related to hin 20% of dose for	
	Results:				
	Genotype-base	ed algorithm versu	s physician man		
				value for physi- cian ma- nage- ment	
geno-	% of patients	after 90 days	x 1.56 (S)	25%	
type- guided versus		after 6 months	trend for an increase, p = 0.056 (NS)	72%	
not ge-		in the first 90	increase (S)		
notype guided therapy : AA#	a stable anti-	days in the first 6 months	increase (S)		
	median time to stable dose	after 90 days	trend for a decrease, p = 0.097 (NS)	90 days	
		after 6 months	NS	111 days	
	median time to INR	first therapeutic	NS	11 days	
		herapeutic INR	x 1.11 (S)	45%	
	% of patients with an INR >	after 90 days after 6 months	NS NS	26% 29%	
	4				
	median num- ber of INR's	after 90 days after 6 months	NS NS	8 13	
	determined % of adverse	after 90 days	NS	12%	
	events	after 6 months	NS	16%	
	% of major	after 90 days	NS	1%	
	bleeding	after 6 months	NS	1%	
	% of minor bleeding	after 90 days after 6 months	NS NS	9% 11%	
	% of throm-	after 90 days	NS	1%	
	boembolic events	after 6 months	NS	3%	
	% of hospita-	after 90 days	NS	1%	
	lisations rela- ted to treat-	after 6 months	NS	1%	
	ment				

ref. 4, continuation						
		Note: Genoty	ping was for	*2 and *3. These	e are the most	
		-		this Spanish po		
ref. 5 Krishna Kumar D et al. An acenocoumarol dosing algorithm exploiting clinical and genetic factors in South Indian (Dravidian) popula- tion. Eur J Clin Pharma- col 2015;71:173-81. PubMed PMID: 25519826.	4 *1/*2: A *1/*3: A *2/*3: AA	peutic INR be Co-medicatio excluded. Genotyping: - 176x *1/*1 - 12x *1/*2 - 28x *1/*3 - 1x *2/*3 Results: Acenocoum *1/*2 *1/*3 *2/*3 CYP2C9 *3	arol dose cor x 0.49 (x 0.39 (x 0.37 explained 16	3.5 for at least 3 interacting with a mpared to *1/*1 S)	(4.1 mg/day):	Author's conclu- sion: "The CYP2C9 *1*2, CYP2C9 *1*3, and CYP2C9 *2*3 variant geno- types significantly reduced the dose by 56.7% (2.0 mg), 67.6% (1.6 mg), and 70.3% (1.5 mg) than wild-type carriers 4.1 mg."
		South-India	n population.			
				*2 and *3. These this Indian popu		
ref. 6 Jiménez-Varo E et al. Pharmacogenetics role in the safety of acenocoumarol therapy. Thromb Haemost 2014;112:522-36. PubMed PMID: 24919870.	3	128 patients The first dose all patients a weight and c lar-weight he on, the dose were determinand once a w The frequence therapeutic II therapeutic II th	were treated e (usually 14- ccording to th o-medication) parin until the was titrated b ined twice a w veek while wit cy of INR mea NR was lost. ange was 339 hs period. ng (a reductio 2 units of blc or organ) did n ding in 16 pa ransient ischa nd the last two on with CYP20 nonth, only da a minimum vere calculate	with acenocour 15 mg/week) wa all physician's cri b. Each patient re- a first therapeutic based on the INF week until the first thin the therapeut asurements was The percentage % during the first work or symptom not occur. There tients. One patie aemic attack (The o in the fifth mor C9 inhibitors was ata were include	arol for 7 months. Is administered to teria (based on age, eceived low-molecu- c INR. From day 3-4 R values. INR values at therapeutic INR, atic range (2.0-3.0). increased when of time within the month and 61% in alobin level \geq 20 g/l, atic bleeding in a were 16 episodes and presented three A), the first in the th of therapy. s not excluded. d of the 123 pa- erminations in this	Author's conclu- sion: "VKORC1, CYP- 2C9*3, APOE and ABCB1 genotypes should be consi- dered in preven- tion of overanti- coagulation and bleeding events in the initiation of acenocoumarol therapy."

				1		,
ref. 6, continuation	(*1/*3+		0-7 months	NS	OR = 5.5 (95%	
	*2/*3+				CI: 1.8-17)	
	*3/*3):		1-7 months	NS	OR = 4.2 (95%	
	D		ha mahara da t	analysis that is	CI: 1.2-14)	
	(* 4 (* 6			analysis, the perc		
	(*1/*2+			R > 6 during the v		
	*2/*2+			in the 1-7 months		
	*2/*3):			I the time to INR > nts with the *3-alle		
	AA			out the *3-allele (\$		
		INR > 4	0-1 months	NS	NS	
			0-7 months	NS	NS	
			1-7 months	NS	NS	
				analysis, the time		
				or patients with the		
				ents without the *:		
		% of pa-	0-1 months	NS	NS	
		tients	0-7 months	NS	NS	
		with sta-	1-7 months	NS	NS	
		ble dose				
		% of time	0-1 months	NS	NS	
		with the-	0-7 months	NS	NS	
		rapeutic	1-7 months	NS	NS	
		INR	There was no	o difference in the	percentage of	
				rapeutic INR for p		
				2C9 variants com		
				t a CYP2C9 varia	-	
				th nor during the f	irst 7 months of	
			treatment (N		NO	
		% of time	0-1 months	NS	NS	
		with su- prathera-	0-7 months	NS NS	NS NS	
		peutic	1-7 months	analysis, the perc		
		INR (>		erapeutic INR was	0	
		3.0)		one or more CYF		
		/		patients without a		
				e first month of tre		
				e whole period of		
			The same wa	as true for patients	s with the *2-al-	
				d to patients with	out the *2-allele.	
		% of time	0-1 months	NS	NS	
		with sub-	0-7 months	NS	NS	
		thera-	1-7 months	NS	NS	
		peutic		analysis, the perc		
		INR (< 2.0)		apeutic INR was d		
		2.0)		one or more CYF		
				patients without a e first month of tre		
			0	e whole period of		
				as true for patients		
				d to patients with		
		<u></u>				
		Note: Genot	typing was for	*2 and *3. These	are the most	
				this Spanish pop		
ref. 7	3			with acenocouma		Author's conclu-
Cerezo-Manchado		The loading	doses were a	dministered indep	endently of geno-	sion:
JJ et al.				's criteria and acc		"In addition to
Effect of VKORC1,				iagnosis. Subseq		VKORC1 and
CYP2C9 and					atients reached an	CYP2C9, CYP4F2
CYP4F2 genetic				eatment period. 1	9% of patients had	gene has a slight
variants in early		an INR _{72h} >			ot one of the	but significant role
outcomes during				g values for at lea		in reaching INR >
acenocoumarol	<u> </u>	determinant	s or those who	o dia not reach a s	table phase within	

treatment.		6 months v	were exc	luded	from the multivar	iate analyses.	2.5 during the first
Pharmacogenomics 2014;15:987-96. PubMed PMID: 24956252. ref. 7, continuation		Genotypin - 569x *1/* - 241x *1/* - 99x *1/*3 - 19x *2/*2 - 13x *2/*3	2				weeks of aceno- coumarol therapy."
		Results:					
		Hazard ra	atios com	npared		*4 /*2 - *2 /*2	
	(*1/*3+	time to	multiva	riate	*1/*2+*2/*2 -	*1/*3+*2/*3 HR = 1.19	
	*2/*3): A	INR > 4	analysis			(95% CI: 1.12- 1.26)	
	(*1/*2+ *2/*2):		univaria analysis		HR = 1.37 (95% CI: 1.04- 1.80)	HR = 2.71 (95% CI: 2.05- 3.75)	
	A .				reached INR > 4		
			treatme		riod. as a good predict	tor of INR > 4 .	
		Care to	indeper	ndentl	y of genotype.		
		time to stable dose	multiva analysis		-	NS	
		% of patients with	multiva analysis		-	HR = 1.12 (95% CI: 1.03- 1.24)	
		INR _{72h} > 2.5					
		Acenoco week):	umarol st	table o	dose compared to	o *1/*1 (13 mg/	
		*1/*2		1.0			
		*1/*3 *2/*2		0.77			
		*2/*3		0.77	pared to (*1/*1+*1	/*2+*2/*2)	
			JT Z/ J)	COM		/ 2+ 2/ 2)	
					r *2 and *3. Thes n this Spanish po		
ref. 8	3	Patients w	ithout pri	or exp	osure to coumar	in therapy were trea-	Authors' conclu-
Verhoef TI et al. A randomized trial of						ring the first 5 to 7 f an algorithm that	sion: 'Genotype-guided
genotype-guided dosing of acenocou-		incorporate	ed CYP2	C9 an	d VKORC1 geno	types (n=190) or on	dosing of aceno-
marol and phenpro-			-		• •	nical information only evant co-medication	coumarol or phen- procoumon did not
coumon. N Engl J Med		was not ex	cluded.	Amiod	larone usage was	s included in the	improve the per-
2013;369:2304-12.						nboembolism (17%) parin until reaching	centage of time in the therapeutic
PubMed PMID: 24251360.		therapeution	c INR.			-	range during the 12 weeks after the
		Genotypin					initiation of thera-
		- 218x *1/* - 72x *1/*2					ру.'
		- 61x *1/*3					
		- 15x *2/*2 - 9x *2/*3 - 2x *3/*3					
	geno-				m versus clinical range throughout	algorithm: t the treatment did	

ref. 8, continuation	type	not inoro	ase (NS)			T]
rei. o, continuation	type- guided		in therapeutic rar	nae in the first 4 v	veeks did not	
	versus	increase				
	not ge-		as no difference ir	the incidence of	adverse events	
	notype-	and thror				
	guided		as no difference ir		of patients with	
	therapy		4, the percentag			
	: AA	time to a	chieving INR in th	ne therapeutic rar	nge and the time	
		to reachi	ng a stable dose			
			acenocoumarol a			
			e percentage of ti		e genotype-based	
			han for the clinica			
					erences in weeks	
			eeks 9-12. Howe			
Baranova EV et al.			the higher perce			Authors' conclu-
Dosing algorithms for vitamin K anta-			4 weeks to be du			sion:
gonists across			r VKORC1 variar			'Four weeks after
VKORC1 and CYP-		Genotype	e-based algorithm			therapy initiation, genotype-guided
2C9 genotypes.			genotype	first 4 weeks	first 12 weeks	dosing increased
J Thromb Haemost			group			the mean percen-
2017;15:465-472.		% of	no CYP2C9	+ 14.68% (S,	trend for an	tage of time in the
PubMed PMID:		time in	and VKORC1	but only a trend after	increase, $p = 0.087$ (NS)	therapeutic INR
28063245.		the the- rapeu-	variants	Bonferroni	0.087 (NS)	range in the
		tic		correction		VKORC1 GG-
		range		(significance		CYP2C9*1*1 sub-
		J		for p < 0.001)		group as compa-
				(NS, p =		red with the non-
				0.002))		genetic dosing
			one or more	NS	NS	(difference of
			CYP2C9			14.68%). For the
			variants and no VKORC1			VKORC1 AA– CYP2C9*1*1 sub-
			variant			group, there was a
			no CYP2C9	NS	NS	higher risk of
			variants and			under-anticoagula-
			one VKORC1			tion with the geno-
			variant			type-guided algo-
			one or more	NS	NS	rithm (difference of
			CYP2C9			19.9%). Twelve
			variants and one VKORC1			weeks after thera-
			variant			py initiation, no
			no CYP2C9	NS	NS	statistically signifi-
			variants and			cant differences in anticoagulation
			two VKORC1			control between
			variants			trial arms were
			one or more	NS	NS	noted across the
			CYP2C9			VKORC1–CYP-
			variants and			2C9 genetic sub-
			two VKORC1 variants			groups.
		% of		NS	NS	EU-PACT genetic-
		% of time	no CYP2C9 and VKORC1		INO	guided dose initia-
		with a	variants			tion algorithms for
		supra-	one or more	NS	NS	acenocoumarol
		thera-	CYP2C9			and phenprocou-
		peutic	variants and			mon could have
		INR (>	no VKORC1			predicted the dose overcautiously in
		3.0)	variant			

rof Q continuation				NO	NO	
ref. 8, continuation			no CYP2C9 variants and one VKORC1 variant	NS	NS	the VKORC1 AA– CYP2C9*1*1 sub- group. Adjustment of the genotype-
			one or more CYP2C9 variants and one VKORC1 variant	trend for a decrease, p = 0.098 (NS)	NS	guided algorithm could lead to a higher benefit of genotyping.'
			no CYP2C9 variants and two VKORC1 variants	trend for a decrease, p = 0.087 (NS)	trend for a decrease, p = 0.057 (NS)	
			one or more CYP2C9 variants and two VKORC1 variants	- 20.50% (S, but NS after Bonferroni correction)	NS	
		% of time with a sub- thera-	no CYP2C9 and VKORC1 variants	- 20.29% (S, before and after Bonfer- roni correc- tion)	trend for a decrease, p = 0.083 (NS)	
		peutic INR (< 2.0)	one or more CYP2C9 variants and no VKORC1 variant	NS	NS	
			no CYP2C9 variants and one VKORC1 variant	NS	trend for an increase, p = 0.081 (NS)	
			one or more CYP2C9 variants and one VKORC1 variant	NS	NS	
			no CYP2C9 variants and two VKORC1 variants	+ 19.89% (S, before and after Bonfer- roni correc- tion)	+ 12.99% (S, but NS after Bonferroni correction)	
			one or more CYP2C9 variants and two VKORC1 variants	trend for an increase, p = 0.075 (NS)	NS	
			vere similar after s is separately and			
ref. 9 Gschwind L et al. Impact of CYP2C9 polymorphisms on	3	115 patien wed for 35 a CYP2C9	ts who started ac days. The INR ta inhibitor as co-m	enocoumarol the arget was 2.0-3.0	rapy were follo-	Authors' conclu- sion: 'These findings support the fact
the vulnerability to pharmacokinetic drug-drug interac- tions during aceno- coumarol treatment.		Genotypin - 74x *1/*1 - 26x *1/*2 - 2x *2/*2 - 9x *1/*3	-			that CYP2C9 genotyping could be useful to iden- tify patients requi- ring closer monito-
Pharmacogenomics 2013:14;745-53. PMID: 23651023.		- 3x *2/*3 - 1x *3/*3 Results:				ring, especially when a drug-drug interaction is sus- pected.'

ref. 9, continuation	(*2+*3):	- Presence of *2 and/or *3 increased the risk of an INR \ge 4	
	(2+ 3). B	(HR=1.7; 95% CI: 1.19-2.44) (S)	
		- CYP2C9 inhibitors increased the risk of an INR \geq 4 to the	
		same extent in *1/*1 patients as in (*2 and/or *3) patients	
		(HR=2.7; 95% CI: 1.19-6.12 and HR=2.9; 95% CI: 1.29-6.54	
		respectively; difference in HRs was NS)	
	*3: A	- *3: the dose decreased by 35% versus *1/*1 (S)	
	*2: AA	- *2 had no significant effect on the maintenance dose	
ref. 10	3	The data from 1420 acenocoumarol users in three different	Authors' conclu-
Verhoef TI et al.		studies were analysed. 12% of the patients were from the	sion:
Long-term anticoa-		Schalekamp 2006 study, which is also included separately in	'Patients with poly-
gulant effects of the		this risk analysis. This was the only study that included data	morphisms in
CYP2C9 and		on the first 6 months of treatment. Data until 18 months of	CYP2C9 and
VKORC1 genotypes		treatment were derived from the other two studies. The INR	VKORC1 had a
in acenocoumarol		target for all patients was 2.0-3.5. Relevant co-medication was	higher risk of over-
users.		not excluded. There were no significant differences in the	anticoagulation (up
J Thromb Haemost		percentage of patients using amiodarone in the different geno-	to 74%) and a
2012;10:606-14.		type groups.	lower risk of under-
PMID: 22252093.			anticoagulation
		Genotyping:	(down to 45%) in
		- 938x *1/*1	the first month of
		- 312x (*1/*2 + *2/*2)	treatment with
		- 170x (*1/*3 + *2/*3 + *3/*3)	acenocoumarol,
			but this effect dimi-
		(*1/*2 + *2/*2) versus *1/*1:	nished after 1-6
		- No difference in the risk of INR < 2 throughout the treatment	months.
		period (NS)	Knowledge of the
	(*1/*2+	- The risk of INR > 3.5 in the first month increased by 22%	patient's genotype
	*2/*2):	(from 41% to 50% of the patients) (S).	therefore might
	A	There were no differences after the first month (NS).	assist physicians
		- The risk of INR > 6 in the first month increased non-signi-	to adjust doses in
		ficantly by 75% (from 4% to 7% of the patients) (NS).	the first month(s)
		There were no differences after the first month (NS).	of therapy.'
		(*1/*3 + *2/*3 + *3/*3) versus *1/*1:	
		- The risk of INR < 2 in the first month decreased by 17%	
		(from 65% to 54% of the patients) (S).	
		There were no differences after the first month (NS).	
		- The risk of INR > 3.5 in the first month increased by 24%	
		(from 41% to 51%) (S).	
	(*1/*3+	There were no differences after the first month (NS).	
	*3/*3+	- The risk of INR > 6 in the first month increased by 125%	
	*2/*3):	(from 4% to 9%) (S).	
	D	There were no differences after the first month (NS).	
ref. 11	3	133 patients received a maintenance dose of acenocoumarol.	Authors' conclu-
Esmerian MO et al.		The INR target was 2.0-3.0 (n=100) or 2.5-3.5 (n=33). INR	sion:
Influence of CYP-		1.7-4.0 was considered an INR within the therapeutic range.	'The reduction in
2C9 and VKORC1		Relevant co-medication, such as anti-platelet therapy or CYP-	weekly dose is
polymorphisms on		2C9 inhibitors, was not excluded.	driven by mainly
warfarin and aceno-			VKORC1, followed
coumarol in a sam-		Genotyping:	by CYP2C9*3 vari-
ple of Lebanese		- 84x *1/*1	ants.'
people.		- 24x *1/*2	
J Clin Pharmacol		- 15x *1/*3	
2011;51:1418-28.		- 4x *2/*2	
PMID: 21148049.		- 4x *2/*3	
		- 2x *3/*3	
		Results:	
		- No association of *2 and *3 with the incidence of major or	
		minor bleeding events since the start of therapy (NS).	
	1		

rof 11 continue		Mony potients who were been talled if the state is the line is	
ref. 11, continua- tion	*1/*2: AA *3/*3: AA (*2/*3+ *2/*2+ *1/*3): A	 Many patients who were hospitalised for major bleeding had INRs within the target range. No differences in the frequency of CYP2C9 alleles between patients within or outside the therapeutic range (NS) No differences in the time to achieving stable therapeutic INR between *1/*1 patients and patients with one or two allele variants (n=40) (NS) *2 and *3 had no effect on the maintenance dose (NS) No differences in maintenance dose between *3/*3 and (*1/*1 + *1/2) (NS) The maintenance dose decreased by 34% (from 19 to 13 mg/week) for (*2/*3 + *2/*2 + *1/*3) versus (*1/*1 + *1/2) (S) NOTE: The authors stated that the sample size should have been 200 to demonstrate a 20% difference in acenocoumarol 	
		dose for CYP2C9*3. The sample size required was not calcu- lated for bleeding and time to therapeutic INR.	
ref. 12 Cadamuro J et al. Genetic determi- nants of acenocou- marol and phenpro- coumon maintenan- ce dose require- ments. Eur J Clin Pharma- col 2010;66:253-60. PMID: 20020283.	4 *1/*2: AA *2/*2: AA *1/*3 + *2/*3 + *3/*3: A	 80 patients, 44x *1/*1, 21x *1/*2, 7x *1/*3, 3x *2/*2, 2x *2/*3, 3x *3/*3, acenocoumarol users, significance maintained after correction for relevant co-medication; Maintenance dose (corrected for age, sex and last INR) versus *1/*1: *1/*2: 16% decrease from 19.74 to 16.64 mg/week (NS) *1/*3: 36% decrease from 19.74 to 12.56 mg/week (S for *1/*3, *2/*3 and *3/*3 pooled) *2/*2: 14% increase from 19.74 to 22.48 mg/week (NS) *2/*3: 6% decrease from 19.74 to 18.64 mg/week (S for *1/*3, *2/*3 and *3/*3 pooled) *2/*3: 6% decrease from 19.74 to 6.2 mg/week (S for *1/*3, *2/*3 and *3/*3 pooled) CYP2C9*3 is an independent variable for the maintenance dose (multivariable regression analysis). Age, sex, last INR and VKORC1 and CYP2C9 genotypes together account for 58% of the variation in the maintenance dose. 	Authors' conclu- sion: 'These results re- veal that interindi- vidual variability in weekly acenocou- marol maintenance dose requirement is mainly depen- dent on the VKOR- C1 1173C>T and the CYP2C9*3 alleles. VKORC1 and CYP2C9 genotyping might provide helpful information to prevent serious bleeding events in subjects receiving acenocoumarol.'
ref. 13 Wijnen PA et al. Variant VKORC1 and CYP2C9 alleles in patients with dif- fuse alveolar hemor- rhage caused by oral anti-coagulants. Mol Diagn Ther 2010;14:23-30. PMID: 20121287.	3 *1/*2 + *1/*3 + *2/*2 + *2/*3 + *3/*3: F	 Case-control study including 63 cases (diffuse alveolar bleeding), on acenocoumarol (n=61) or phenprocoumon (n=2), loading dose 6-4-2-2 or 6-4-4-4 mg, co-medication affecting INR was taken by 60% of the cases; The causes of death in 59% of the cases were mainly complications related to heart failure in combination with diffuse alveolar bleeding. Case versus control group: 1.3-fold increase in the percentage of patients with an allele variant (increase from 38.1% to 49.2%) (S) 1.14-fold increase in the allele frequency of *2 (increase from 13.9% to 15.9%) (NS) 1.98-fold increase in the allele frequency of *3 (increase from 6.4% to 12.7%) (NS) 	Authors' conclu- sion: 'Genotyping of four SNPs for VKORC1 and CYP2C9 poly- morphisms is use- ful in predicting a high probability of the occurrence of diffuse alveolar hemorrhage in patients receiving oral anticoagu- lants.'
ref. 14 Teichert M et al. Genotypes associa- ted with reduced activity of VKORC1 and CYP2C9 and their modification of acenocoumarol anti-	3	1525 patients, 1003x *1/*1, 321x *1/*2, 141x *1/*3, 30x *2/*2, 28x *2/*3, 2x *3/*3, loading dose 8-4-4 mg, relevant co-medi- cation not excluded, but correction of the weekly dose after 6 weeks for co-medication affecting CYP2C9; The INR on day 4 was 2.7 among *1/*1 patients and the weekly dose after 6 weeks was 16.9 mg/week. *1/*2 versus *1/*1:	Authors' conclu- sion: 'Each CYP2C9 variant allele pre- sent reduced the required dosage by 1.8 mg/week. Our conclusion

	1		
coagulation during		- The INR on day 4 increased by 0.20 (S)	was that an initial
the initial treatment	*1/*2: A	- The risk of INR \geq 6 on day 4 did not increase significantly	standard dosing
period.		 The weekly dose after 6 weeks decreased by 2.27 mg/ 	regimen with
Clin Pharmacol Ther		week (S)	acenocoumarol
2009;85:379-86.			increases the risk
		*1/*3 versus *1/*1:	of severe overanti-
ref. 14, continua-		- The INR on day 4 increased by 0.16 (NS)	coagulation in
tion		- The risk of INR \ge 6 on day 4 did not increase significantly	patients with vari-
		- The weekly dose after 6 weeks decreased by 3.71 mg/	ant alleles of the
	*1/*3: A	week (S)	VKORC1 and
	17 0.74		CYP2C9 genes.'
		(*1/*2 + *1/*3) versus *1/*1:	o n 200 genes.
		- The risk of INR \ge 6 over six weeks did not increase signi-	
		ficantly	
		- The risk of bleeding over 6 weeks did not increase signi-	
		ficantly	
		*2/*2 versus *1/*1:	
		 The INR on day 4 increased by 0.49 (S) 	
		 The risk of INR ≥ 6 on day 4 did not increase significantly 	
		 The weekly dose after 6 weeks decreased by 5.12 	
	*2/*2: A	mg/week (S)	
		- · · ·	
		*2/*3 versus *1/*1:	
		- The INR on day 4 increased by 0.53 (S)	
		- The risk of INR \geq 6 on day 4 did not increase significantly	
	*0/*0. 1	- The weekly dose after 6 weeks decreased by 6.46	
	*2/*3: A	mg/week (S)	
		*3/*3 versus *1/*1:	
		 The INR on day 4 increased by 0.52 (NS) 	
		 The risk of INR ≥ 6 on day 4 did not increase significantly 	
		 The weekly dose after 6 weeks decreased by 9.44 mg/ 	
	*3/*3: A	week (S)	
	*2/*2 +	(*2/*2 + *2/*3 + *3/*3) versus *1/*1:	
	*2/*3 +	- Increased risk of INR \geq 6 over six weeks (OR = 2.73; 95%)	
	*3/*3: B	CI = 1.28-5.86)	
		- The risk of bleeding over 6 weeks did not increase signifi-	
		cantly	
		·····	
		There was a significant multiplicative interaction between the	
		effects of CYP2C9 and VKORC1 on the weekly dose.	
		A greater proportion of the difference in dose requirement was	
		explained by the VKORC1 genotype than by the CYP2C9	
rof 15	2	genotype (28% versus 5%).	Authors' constru
ref. 15	3	Case-control study including 89 cases (major gastrointestinal	Authors' conclu-
Montes R et al.		bleeding; 45x *1/*1, 25x *1/*2, 8x *1/*3, 4x *2/*2, 3x *2/*3, 4x	sion:
The influence of		*3/*3) and 177 controls (no bleeding), acenocoumarol usage,	'The risk of gastro-
polymorphisms of		co-medication affecting INR was present;	intestinal bleeding
VKORC1 and		Three cases died as a result of bleeding.	during acenocou-
CYP2C9 on major			marol therapy in
gastrointestinal		 Increased risk of major gastrointestinal bleeding for *1/*2 	carriers of any of
bleeding risk in anti-	*1/*2: F	(OR = 2.41; 95% CI = 1.24-4.69). The risk did not increase	the studied poly-
coagulated patients.		significantly for the other genotypes.	morphisms is
Br J Haematol	*1/*2 +	- Risk of bleeding versus (no *2) with dose \leq 15 mg/ week:	severely increased
2008;143:727-33.	*2/*2 +	- (no $*2$) and > 15 mg: OR not significantly increased	with exposure to
		- *2 and > 15 mg: OR = 3.56 (95% CI 1.14-11.11)	weekly doses of
	*2/*2. ⊑		
·	*2/*3: F		-
		- Risk of bleeding versus (no *3) with dose \leq 15 mg/ week:	acenocoumarol
	*2/*3: F *1/*3 + *2/*3 +		acenocoumarol higher than 15 mg

ref. 15, continua-	*3/*3:	polymorphisms on the risk of bleeding.	darone or aspirin.
tion	AA	 Risk of bleeding versus (no VKORC1) homozygous variant, no *2 and no *3) without amiodarone: (no VKORC1 homozygous variant, no *2 and no *3) with amiodarone: OR not significantly increased (VKORC1 homozygous variant, *2 or *3) without amiodarone: OR = 1.89 (95% Cl 1.08-6.26) (VKORC1 homozygous variant, *2 or *3) with amiodarone: OR = 9.97 (95% Cl 1.75-56.89) Acetylsalicylic acid potentiates the effect of the polymorphisms on the risk of bleeding. Risk of bleeding versus (no VKORC1 homozygous variant, no *2 and no *3) without acetylsalicylic acid: (no VKORC1 homozygous variant, no *2 and no *3) with acetylsalicylic acid: OR not significantly increased (VKORC1 homozygous variant, *2 or *3) without acetylsalicylic acid: OR not significantly increased (VKORC1 homozygous variant, *2 or *3) without acetylsalicylic acid: OR = 1.89 (95% Cl 1.08-3.31) (VKORC1 homozygous variant, *2 or *3) with acetylsalicylic acid: OR = 8.97 (95% Cl 1.66-48.34) 	Genotyping of these alterations may be advisable in those patients taking amiodarone or aspirin.'
ref. 16 Markatos CN et al. VKORC1 and CYP- 2C9 allelic variants influence acenocou- marol dose require- ments in Greek patients. Pharmacogenomics 2008;9:1631-8.	3 *1/*2: AA *1/*3: AA *2/*2: AA *2/*3: AA *1/*3 + *2/*3: A	 98 patients, 57x *1/*1, 25x *1/*2, 12x *1/*3, 1x *2/*2, 3x *2/*3, acenocoumarol for ≥ 2 months and stable INR for ≥ 4 weeks (2.0-3.0), co-medication affecting INR not excluded, but there was no significant association between statins and triazole derivatives (CYP2C9 inhibitors) and acenocoumarol dose; Maintenance dose versus *1/*1: *1/*2: 14% decrease from 2.91 to 2.51 mg/day (NS) *1/*3: 41% decrease from 2.91 to 1.73 mg/day (NS) *2/*2: ~12% increase from 2.91 to ~3.26 mg/day (NS) *2/*3: 56% decrease from 2.91 to 1.28 mg/day (NS) (*1/*2 + *2/*2 + *2/*3): 14% decrease from 2.91 to 2.51 mg/day (NS) (*1/*3 + *2/*3): 44% decrease from 2.91 to 1.64 mg/day (S). Patients with wild-type VKORC1 only: 33% decrease from 3.67 to 2.45 mg/day (S). There was a significant association between CYP2C9 and maintenance dose. A greater proportion of the difference in dose requirement was explained by the VKORC1 genotype than by the CYP2C9 genotype (40% versus 12%). NOTE: The authors' assumption that statins and triazole derivatives are CYP2C9 inhibitors is not entirely correct. 	Authors' conclu- sion: 'VKORC1-1639 G>A, CYP2C9*2 and CYP2C9*3 polymorphisms were found to predispose to acenocoumarol sensitivity in Greek patients.'
ref. 17 Spreafico M et al. Effects of CYP2C9 and VKORC1 on INR variations and dose requirements during initial phase of anticoagulant therapy. Pharmacogenomics 2008;9:1237-50.	3 *3/*3: AA *1/*2 + *2/*2: AA	 220 patients, 132x *1/*1, 48x *1/*2, 25x *1/*3, 6x *2/*2, 5x *2/*3, 4x *3/*3, loading dose 4-4-2 mg, co-medication affecting INR not excluded, but co-medication did not have a significant effect on INR on day 4 and was not associated with the dose requirement; The dose in week 7 was determined for patients with an INR target of 2.0-3.0 (n=187). *3/*3 versus *1/*1: The INR on day 4 increased by 2.7 from 2.9 to 5.6 (NS) The risk of INR ≥ 6 on day 4 increased by 558% (NS) (*1/*2 + *2/*2) versus *1/*1: The INR on day 4 increased by 0.4 from 2.9 to 3.3 (NS) The risk of INR ≥ 6 on day 4 increased by 239% (NS) The dose in week 7 decreased by 17% from 19.0 to 15.8 mg/week (NS) 	Authors' conclu- sion: 'Both the detection of the VKORC1*2, *3 and *4 haploty- pes, as well as the CYP2C9*3 variant allele, might be useful to select not only the most sensitive patients, exposed to a higher risk of over- anticoagulation, but also the most resistant ones, exposed to the risk

ref. 17, continua-			of thrombosis
tion		(*1/*3 + *2/*3 + *3/*3) versus *1/*1:	recurrence.'
	*1/*3 + *2/*3 + *3/*3: A	 The INR on day 4 increased by 0.8 from 2.9 to 3.7 (NS) The risk of INR ≥ 6 on day 4 increased by 181% (NS) The dose in week 7 decreased by 26% from 19.0 to 14.1 mg/week (S). 	recurrence.
		CYP2C9 and VKORC1 independently influence the INR on day 4 and together with age explain 26% of the variation in this INR. A greater proportion of the difference in dose requirement was explained by the VKORC1 genotype than by the CYP-2C9 genotype (12% versus 5%).	
ref. 18	3	100 patients with non-valvular atrial fibrillation, 63x *1/*1, 13x	Authors' conclu-
González-Conejero R et al. The genetic interac- tion between VKOR- C1 c1173t and calu- menin a29809g modulates the anti- coagulant response	*1/*3 + *2/*3 + *3/*3:	 *1/*2, 13x *1/*3, 6x *2/*2, 6x (*2/*3 or *3/*3), loading dose 3-3-3 mg, INR target 2.0-3.0, co-medication affecting INR excluded; (*1/*3 + *2/*3 + *3/*3) versus (*1/*1 + *1/*2 + *2/*2): The INR on day 3 increased by 0.09 from 1.88 to 1.97 (NS) The maintenance dose decreased by 9.1% from 17.5 to 	sion: 'Using this approxi- mation, we did not find a correlation between the res- ponse to aceno- coumarol (INR and required dose) and
of acenocoumarol. J Thromb Haemost 2007;5:1701-6.	AA	15.9 mg/week (NS)	the CYP2C*9 genotype.'
ref. 19 Beinema MJ et al. The influence of NSAIDs on couma- rin sensitivity in patients with CYP- 2C9 polymorphism after total hip repla- cement surgery. Mol Diagn Ther 2007;11:123-8.	3 *1/*2 + *1/*3: D *1/*2 + *1/*3 + *2/*2 +	 100 patients who underwent total hip replacement, 65x *1/*1, 22x *1/*2, 8x *1/*3, 4x *2/*2, 1x *2/*3, low molecular weight heparins (5700 IU/day) for the first 5-13 days (until INR > 2.0, but for at least 5 days), acenocoumarol initiated on day 1, age-dependent loading dose ranging from 2-2 to 4-4 mg, INR target 1.8-3.5, co-medication with NSAIDs (n=52) and other co-medication affecting INR not excluded; (*1/*2 + *1/*3) versus *1/*1: 3.8-fold increase in the percentage of patients with INR > 4.9 on one or more days during the first week (from 6% to 23%) (S) (*1/*2 + *1/*3): percentage of patients with INR > 4.9 higher in the NSAID group than in the non-NSAID group (39% versus 0%) (S) *1/*1: no difference between both groups (2.9% versus 9.7%) (NS) No difference in the mean daily INR for all patients and for non-NSAID users (NS) Increased mean daily INR for NSAID users (S) (*1/*2 + *1/*3 + *2/*2 + *2/*3) versus *1/*1: Non-significant increase in the percentage of patients with 	Authors' conclu- sion: 'In the group of patients with a CYP2C9 variant (*2 or *3 alleles), only concomitant use of a NSAID resulted in INRs > 4.9.'
	*2/*3: AA	 INR > 4.9 on one or more days during the first week (NS) (*1/*2 + *1/*3 + *2/*2 + *2/*3): percentage of patients with INR > 4.9 higher in the NSAID group than in the non-NSAID group (32% versus 0%) (S) *1/*1: no difference between both groups (2.9% versus 9.7%) (NS) 	
ref. 20 Mark L et al. Cytochrome P450 2C9 polymorphism and acenocoumarol therapy. Kardiol Pol	3	 421 patients, 276x *1/*1, 78x *1/*2, 55x *1/*3, 3x *2/*2, 9x *2/*3, acenocoumarol for ≥ 6 months, co-medication affecting INR not excluded, but no association between co-medication and bleeding events; *1/*2 versus *1/*1: The maintenance dose decreased by 22% from 2.90 to 	Authors' conclu- sion: 'In patients with CYP2C9*2 and *3 alleles the frequen- cy of minor blee- ding complications
2006;64:397-402.			

rof 20 continue	*1/*0. ^	2.27 mg/day(S)	and the accurren
ref. 20, continua- tion	*1/*2: A	 2.27 mg/day (S) No difference in the percentage of patients with INR > 6 (both 29%) (NS) 	and the occurren- ce of high INR values were signi- ficantly higher, but
	*1/*3: A	 *1/*3 versus *1/*1: The maintenance dose decreased by 31% from 2.90 to 2.01 mg/day (S) 1.5-fold increase in the percentage of patients with INR > 6 (from 29% to 44%) (NS) 	there was no diffe- rence in the rate of major bleedings.'
	*2/*2: AA	 *2/*2 versus *1/*1: The maintenance dose decreased by 12% from 2.90 to 2.55 mg/day (NS) The percentage of patients with INR > 6 decreased from 29% to 0% (NS) 	
	*2/*3: A	 *2/*3 versus *1/*1: The maintenance dose decreased by 55% from 2.90 to 1.31 mg/day (S) 2.3-fold increase in the percentage of patients with INR > 6 (from 29% to 67%) (NS) 	
	*1/*2 + *2/*2 + *2/*3: D	 (*1/*2 + *2/*2 + *2/*3) versus *1/*1: 1.9-fold increase in the percentage of patients with minor bleeding (from 14% to 27%) (S) 	
	*1/*2 + *1/*3 + *2/*2 + *2/*3: D	 (*1/*2 + *1/*3 + *2/*2 + *2/*3) versus *1/*1: 1.3-fold increase in the percentage of patients with INR > 6 (from 29% to 37%) (S) Increased risk of minor bleeding: OR = 1.99 (95% CI 1.20-1.33) Non-significant increase in the risk of major bleeding (NS) 	
ref. 21	4	231 patients, 147x *1/*1, 34x *1/*2, 42x *1/*3, 4x *2/*2, 2x	
Schalekamp T et al. VKORC1 and CYP- 2C9 genotypes and acenocoumarol anti- coagulation status: interaction between both genotypes affects overanticoa- gulation. Clin Pharmacol Ther 2006;80:13-22.	*1/*3 + *2/*3 + *3/*3: B *1/*2 + *2/*2: A	 *2/*3, 2x *3/*3, loading dose 6-4-2 mg, no relevant co-medication; The risk of INR ≥ 6 was increased in carriers of both CYP-2C9 and VKORC1 polymorphisms versus no or one polymorphism (corr.HR = 3.85, S). The risk was non-significantly increased in carriers of one polymorphism (VKOR-C1 or CYP2C9). The time to stable INR was increased in carriers ≥ 1x *3 allele versus *1/*1 (corr. HR = 0.59, S). There was no difference between *2 and *1/*1 (corr. HR = 1.16, NS) The mean daily dose was 0.55 mg lower in carriers ≥ 1x *3 allele than in *1/*1 patients (S). It was 0.29 mg lower for *2 (S). 	
		NOTE: VKORC1 genotype is not associated with the time to reaching stable INR, but it was with a lower daily dose. A greater proportion of the difference in dose requirement was explained by the VKORC1 genotype than by the CYP2C9 genotype (21.4% versus 4.9%).	
ref. 22	3	973 patients, 668x *1/*1, 205x *1/*2, 20x *2/*2, 63x *1/*3, 17x	
Visser LE et al. Allelic variants of cytochrome P450		*2/*3 of whom 148 on phenprocoumon and 825 on acenocou- marol;	
2C9 modify the interaction between		 *1/*2: the maintenance dose decreased from 16.1 to 14.0 mg/wk versus *1/*1, RR INR ≥ 6 = 1.08 	
nonsteroidal anti- inflammatory drugs		 *1/*3: the maintenance dose decreased from 16.1 to 12.5 mg/wk versus *1/*1, RR INR ≥ 6 = 1.46 	
initiation y drugs	I		1

and courserin		*2/*2: the maintenance does deered and from 10.4 to 10.0	
and coumarin anticoagulants.		 *2/*2: the maintenance dose decreased from 16.1 to 12.0 mg/wk versus *1/*1, RR INR ≥ 6 = 0.98 	
Clin Pharmacol Ther	*1/*2:	- *2/*3: the maintenance dose decreased from 16.1 to 10.8	
2005;77:479-85.	AA	mg/wk versus *1/*1, RR INR $\ge 6 = 1.46$	
,	*1/*3:	The RR of an INR ≥ 6.0 was not significantly increased versus	
ref. 22, continua-	AA	*1/*1 for any of the genotypes. The RR was lower for phenpro-	
tion	*2/*2:	coumon than for acenocoumarol (0.60 versus 1.00). The INR	
	AA	was ≥ 6.0 in 415 patients.	
	*2/*3:		
	AA	NSAIDs increased the risk of INR \geq 6 more strongly in patients	
	*4 /*0	with an allele variant than in patients with the *1/*1 genotype	
	*1/*2 + *1/*3 +	(OR 3.78 (95% CI 2.02-7.09) and 1.69 (95% CI 1.05-2.69)	
	*2/*2 +	respectively). This effect was greater for patients with a *3 allele than for patients with a *2 allele (OR 10.8 (95% CI 2.57-	
	2/2+ *2/*3: D	34.6) and 2.98 (95% CI 1.09-7.02) respectively).	
ref. 23	4	996 patients including 841 on acenocoumarol and 155 on	Authors' conclu-
Visser LE et al.	-	phenprocoumon, 685x *1/*1, 311x variant genotype (210x	sion:
The risk of bleeding		*1/*2, 63x *1/*3, 23x *2/*2, 15x *2/*3), mean follow-up 481	'In our study, CYP-
complications in		days, co-medication not known;	2C9 genotype was
patients with cyto-			not associated with
chrome P450 CYP-		Both coumarins pooled:	a higher rate of
2C9*2 or CYP2C9*3		- Variant genotype: the risk of major and minor bleeding	bleeding events
alleles on acenocou-		was not increased in the first 90 days, but there was a	during the first 90
marol or phenpro-		significantly increased risk of major bleeding after 460	days of therapy.
coumon.		days.	The higher risk in
Thromb Haemost 2004;92:61-6.		 *1/*2 or *2/*2: HR for major + minor, minor, major bleeding 1.11 (NS), 1.02 (NS) and 1.60 (NS) respectively. 	patients with variant alleles on
2004,92.01-0.		- *1/*3 or *2/*3: HR for major + minor, minor, major bleeding	acenocoumarol
		0.69 (NS), 0.49 (S) and 1.69 (NS) respectively.	was only found for
			major and fatal
	*1/*2 +	For acenocoumarol:	bleeding events
	*1/*3 +	- Variant genotype: HR major + minor bleeding was 1.05	but not for minor
	*2/*2 +	(NS), HR minor bleeding was 0.89 (NS), HR major blee-	events.'
	*2/*3: F	ding was 1.83 (S).	
ref. 24	3	263 healthy subjects, 170x *1/*1, 45x *1/*2, 32x *1/*3, 4x	
Morin S et al.		*2/*2, 1x *3/*3, 9x *2/*3, 2x *1/*5, single 4-mg dose of aceno- coumarol, measurement after 24 hours, no co-medication;	
Pharmacogenetics of acenocoumarol		coumarol, measurement alter 24 nours, no co-medication,	
pharmacodynamics.		Kinetic endpoint	
Clin Pharmacol Ther		- *2 and/or *3: S- and R-acenocoumarol below the detection	
2004;75:403-14.	*1/*3: A	limit in 229 and 36 subjects respectively, no significant	
,		difference in C _{min} versus *1/*1.	
	*1/*2:		
	AA	Clinical endpoints	
	*2/*2:	- *1/*3: the INR increased from 1.24 to 1.42 versus *1/*1	
	AA *0/*0-	(S), the factor VII ratio decreased from 60 to 39 (S). *3	
	*2/*3:	allele explained 12% of the variation in pharmacodynamic	
	AA *3/*3:	response to acenocoumarol Other genetypes: no significant difference in INR or factor	
	AA	 Other genotypes: no significant difference in INR or factor VII ratio versus *1/*1. 	
ref. 25	4	231 patients, 147x *1/*1, 38x *2 (*1/*2, *2/*2), 46x *3 (*1/*3,	Authors' conclu-
Schalekamp T et al.	'	$*2/*3, *3/*3)$, acenocoumarol loading regimen 6-4-2 mg, ≥ 3	sion:
Acenocoumarol		months, no CYP2C9 inhibitors or inducers as co-medication;	'Our study demon-
stabilization is de-			strates that the
layed in CYP2C9*3		- *1/*2 or *2/*2: no difference in chance of achieving stability	CYP2C9*3 allele,
carriers.		within 6 months versus $^{1/*1}$. The risk of INR > 6.0 was	but not the CYP-
Clin Pharmacol Ther		non-significantly increased, corrected HR was 1.38 for the	2C9*2 allele, is
2004;75:394-402.	*2/*2: A	total duration of therapy, 1.61 for the first 30 days. The	associated with the
		INR on day 4 of therapy was 0.1 units lower versus *1/*1	following: a de-
		 (NS). There was no difference in mean dose. *1/*3 or *2/*3 or *3/*3: lower chance of achieving stability 	creased chance to achieve stability,
		1^{-1} is on $2/3$ or $3/3$. Hower character of actileving stability	aunieve staullity,

rof OF continue		within Compatible versus *4/*4 (assume to ULD 0.00)	an in an an and the
ref. 25, continua- tion	*1/*3 + *2/*3 + *3/*3: D	within 6 months versus *1/*1 (corrected HR 0.62), achieving stability took 15 days longer (S). The risk of INR > 6.0 was increased (S, corrected HR 3.80), especially during the first 30 days (corrected HR 5.59). The INR on day 4 of therapy increased from 2.7 to 3.2 versus *1/*1 (S). The dose decreased by 3.5 mg/week (S). There was an increased chance of INR within range versus *1/*1 or *1/*2 or *2/*2 (S, OR 3.1).	an increased risk for severe over- anticoagulation (INR >6.0), a higher initial fourth- day INR after a standard aceno- coumarol starting dose, and a lower acenocoumarol dose need.'
ref. 26 Visser LE et al. The risk of overantico-agulation in patients with cytochrome P450	3	 1124 patients, 771x *1/*1, 239x *1/*2, 73x *1/*3, 23x *2/*2, 18x *2/*3, 970 acenocoumarol users, mean follow-up 1.8 years, CYP2C9 inhibitors as co-medication; <u>With and without co-medication:</u> Higher INR after initial dose for all genotypes variant, signifi- 	
CYP2C9*2 or CYP2C9*3 alleles on acenocoumarol or phenprocoumon. Pharmacogenetics 2004;1427-33.	*2/*2: D	cant for *1/*2 and *2/*2. No difference in INR versus *1/*1 after the second dose. Significantly higher INR in the first 6 weeks versus *1/*1 for *1/*2, *2/*2 and *2/*3, 98x INR \ge 6.0, including 11% who expe- rienced a bleeding event. Trend towards an increased risk of INR \ge 6, significant for *2/*2 (RR 3.5).	
	*1/*2: A *1/*3: A *2/*3: A	Without co-medication (754x): Significantly decreased dose versus *1/*1: - *1/*2: from 17.9 to 15.5 mg/wk - *1/*3: from 17.9 to 13.9 mg/wk - *2/*2: from 17.9 to 13.1 mg/wk - *2/*3: from 17.9 to 11.8 mg/wk	
ref. 27 Thijssen HH et al. Acenocoumarol pharmacokinetics in relation to cyto-	3	<u>1st study</u> : 26 healthy subjects, 9x *1/*1, 7x *1/*2, 6x *1/*3, 3x *2/*3, 1x *2/*2, single 8-mg acenocoumarol dose, measurements 4, 7, and 24 hours after administration, no co-medication;	
chrome P450 2C9 genotype. Clin Pharmacol Ther 2003;7461-8.	*1/*2: AA *1/*3: A	 *1/*2: no differences in concentration between both enantiomers versus *1/*1 after 4, 7 and 24 hours. *1/*3: the S-acenocoumarol concentration after 7 hours increased from 5.4 to 14.6 ng/mL versus *1/*1 (S). Other time points and R-enantiomer: no significant differences versus *1/*1. 	
	*2/*3: A	 *2/*3: the S-acenocoumarol concentration after 7 hours increased from 5.4 to 16.6 ng/mL versus *1/*1 (S). Other time points and R-enantiomer: no significant differences versus *1/*1. 	
	*2/*2: AA	 *2/*2: no differences in concentration between both enan- tiomers versus *1/*1 after 4, 7 and 24 hours. The S-acenocoumarol concentration after 24 hours was below the detection limit for all genotypes. 	
		 2nd study: 6 healthy subjects, 3x *1/*1, 3x *1/*3, single 8-mg acenocoumarol dose, no co-medication; *1/*3: The Cl_{or} of S-acenocoumarol decreased from 19.8 to 10.9 L/hr versus *1/*1 (S), the t½ increased from 1.0 to 2.0 hours (S). The AUC increased non-significantly from 205.9 to 388.9 h·µg/L. The AUC, Cl_{or} and t½ of R-acenocoumarol were non-significantly different versus *1/*1. 	
ref. 28 Verstuyft C et al. Genetic and environmental risk	3	Case-control study including 75 cases (INR > 4.0) and 75 controls (INR \leq 4.0), on acenocoumarol (41 cases and 41 controls) or warfarin or fluindione, co-medication affecting INR was present;	Authors' conclu- sion: 'In the present study, the CYP2C9

anticoagulant *1/*2 + verdose. *1/*3 Eur J Clin *1/*2 + Pharmacol *2/*2 + Pharmacol *2/*3 + 2003;58:739-45. *3/*3: A *2 *3/*3: A *3/*3: A *3/*3: A *2 *3/*3: A *3 325 patients, target INR 2.5, constant acenocumarol dose 2 *1/*2 *1/*2 *1/*2, 48: *1/*3, 7x 2/*2, 11x *1/*2 *1/*2 *1/*2, 48: *1/*3, 7x 2/*2, 11x *1/*2 *1/*2 *1/*2 *1/*2 *1/*2 *1/*2 *1/*2 *1/*2 *1/*2 *1/*2 *1/*2 *1/*3 *1/*3 *1/*3 *1/*2 *1/*3 *1/*3 *1/*3 *1/*3 *1/*2 *1/*2 *1/*3 *1/*3 *1/*3 *1/*3 *1/*3 *1/*3 *1/*3 *1/*3 *1/*3 *1/*3 *1/*3 *1/*3 *1/*3 *1/*3 *1/*3 *1/*3		1		
overdose Eur J Clin Pharmacol 1/1/3 + 2/2/3 + 2/3/3; K For acenocoumatol: the mean daily dose did not differ significant ty between '1/1'1 and ('2 and/or '3) for the cases and the controls. KNMP comment: A reason for not finding differences may be the limit of INR > 4.0. ref. 29 Tassies D et al. Pharmacogenetics of acenocoumatol: cyclochrome P450 2P5 2/273; C. Aredication not known; 325 patients, target INR 2.5, constant acenocoumatol dose ≥ 3 controls, 159; '1/1, 90; '1/2, 48; '1/3, 7x, '2/2, 11x '2/3, C. medication not known; KNMP comment: A reason for not finding differences a controls, 159; '1/1, 90; '1/2, 48; '1/3, 7x, '2/2, 11x '2/3, C. medication not known; ref. 29 Tassies D et al. 'Pharmacogenetics of acenocoumatol: cyclochrom P450 (2/22) polymor- phisms influence dose requirements and stability of anti- coagulation. 3 325 patients, target INR 2.5, constant acenocoumatol dose ≥ 3 controls, 159; '1/1, 90; '1/2, 48; '1/3, 7x, '2/2, '11x '2/3, C. medive was a significant differences in time within INR range, or in distribution of genotypes between dose groups. INR > 4, 0. CYP2C9 polymor- phisms influence dastroad trong '1/3; an '1/2; an '1/3; an '1/2; an '1/3; an '1/2; an '1/3; an '1/3; an '1	factors for oral	+ 4 / -		genetic polymor-
Eur J Clin P:/2 + Pharmacol warfarin together. For acenocoumaroi: the mean daily dose did not differ significantly between *1/*1 and (*2 and/or *3) for the cases and the controls. for anticoagulant overdose.* ref. 28, continua- tion 3 325 patients, target INR 2.5, constant acenocoumaroi the mean daily dose did not differences and the controls. KINNP comment: INR > 4.0. ref. 29 3 325 patients, target INR 2.5, constant acenocoumaroi dose > 3 controls, 169x *1/*1, 90x *1/2, 48x *1/*3, 7x *2/*2, 11x *2/*3, co-medication not known; of acenocoumaroi. 1//*2 + the within INR range, or in distribution of genotypes between dose groups. ref. 29 1/*/3 et *2/*2: the maintenance dose decreased from 17.1 to 14.6 mg/wk versus '1/1' (5). The time within INR range decreased from 75.1 to 64.7% wersus '1/1' (15). The itro patients using ≤ 2 mg/day, 27.0% had a '3 allele, while this was 8.4% in the group who used > 2 mg/day (5, OR 4.77). Of the 45 patients using ≤ 1 mg/day, the OR was 3.12, which was a significant difference versus '1/1. 4.3.9% had an INR > 4.5 and 17.1% an INR > 7.0 during the first 10 days, which was a significant difference versus '1/1. 4.3.9% had an INR > 4.5 and 17.1% an INR > 7.0 during the first 10 days, which was a significant difference versus '1/1. 4.3.9% had an INR > 4.5 and 17.1% an INR > 7.0 during events; - No significant differences in dose and CYP2C9 genotype distribution between cases and controls. NOTE: alongside CYP2C9'3, age (> 70 years) was also a determinant to a lower acenocoumarol dose (corr. OR 2.70, 98% C1 1.11-17). 2.70, 38% C1 1.11-17). 2.70, 38% C1 1.11-17). 2.70, 38% C1 1.11-17). 2.70, 38% C1 1.11-17). 2.71% higher risk of lower acenocoumarol dose (corr. OR 6.	0			
Pharmacol 2003;58:739-45. '2/'3 + '3''3'. A - For acencourance: the mean daily dose did not differ significant/b between *1/'1 and ('2 and/or '3) for the cases and the controls. oral anticoagulant oral discourses and the controls. ref. 26, continua- tion 3 325 patients, target INR 2.5, constant acenocournarol dose ≥ 3 controls, 158, *1/'1, 90x *1/'2, 48x *1/'3, 7x *2/'2, 11x *2/'3, co-medication not known; KNMP comment: A reason for not INR > 4.0. ref. 29 3 325 patients, target INR 2.5, constant acenocournarol dose ≥ 3 controls, 158, *1/'1, 90x *1/'2, 48x *1/'3, 7x *2/'2, 11x *2/'3, co-medication not known; 10.1 ref. 29 3 325 patients, target INR 2.5, constant acenocournarol dose ≥ 3 controls, 158, *1/'1, 90x *1/'2, 48x *1/'3, 7x *2/'2, 11x *2/'3, co-medication not known; 11.2 ref. 20 2/'3.4 - '1/'2 or '2/'3: the maintenance dose decreased from 17.1 to 14.6 mg/wk versus *1/'1 (S). To time within INR range decreased from 75.1 to 64.7% versus *1/'1 (S). Ot the 170 patients using ≤ 1 mg/day, the OR was 3.12, which was a significant difference versus '1/'1. 43.9% had an INR > 4.5 and 17.1% an INR > 7.0 during the first 10 days, which was a significant difference versus '1/'1. 43.9% had an INR > 4.5 and 17.1% an INR > 7.0 during the first 10 days, which was a significant difference versus '1/'1. 43.9% had an INR > 4.5 and 17.1% an INR > 7.0 during the first 10 days, which was a significant difference versus non-'3 genotypes (11.6 and 0.01% respectively). The inci- decreased from 71.1% an INR > 7.0 during the first 10 days, which NR > 7.0 during 2.70, sigret1NR 2.0.3.2, constant acenocour- marol, target INR 2.0'3.2, co				5
2003;58:739-45. "3/"3: A significantly between *1/*1 and (*2 and/or *3) for the cases and the controls. overdose." ref. 29 3 325 patients, target INR 2.5, constant acenocoumarol dose ≥ 3 controls, 169x *1/*1, 90x *1/*2, 48x *1/*3, 7x *2/*2, 11x KNMP comment: A reason for not Inding differences may be the limit of INR > 4.0. ref. 29 3 325 patients, target INR 2.5, constant acenocoumarol dose ≥ 3 controls, 169x *1/*1, 90x *1/*2, 48x *1/*3, 7x *2/*2, 11x *1/*2 or *2/*2. the maintenance dose decreased from 17.1 to 11.2 mg/w versus *1/*1 (5). The time within INR range, or in distribution of genotypes between dose groups. v1/*2 or *2/*3. A *11/*3 or *2/*3. It maintenance dose decreased from 75.1 to 64.7% versus *1/*1 (5). Or the 170 patients using ≤ 1 mg/dx, the OR was 3.12, which was a significant difference versus *1/*1. 4.3% had an INR > 4.5 and 17.1% and INR > 7.0 during the first 10 days, which was a significant increase versus non-7.3 genotypes (11.6 and 0.01% respectively). The incidence of bleeding events was not increased. 84 patients known to have had bleeding events on acenocoumarol. target INR 2.5 linked to 84 controls. NOTE: alongside CYP2C9'3, age (> 70 years) was also a determinant for a lower acenocoumarol maintenance dose ≥ 3 200; 3'' and 2C9'' 2'': A ref. 30 3 Patient 1, 18 years: INR = 9 without bleeding events after 3 4 days of 4 mg/day acenocoumarol. Bosing interrupted for 2 days then resumed at 0.5 mg/day gave INR 2.3. No co-medication net known; 20''' 2'': A 2 Patient 1, 18 years: INR = 9 without bleeding events after 3 4 days of 4 mg/day ace			8	
ref. 28, continua- tion and the controls. KNMP comment: A reason for not finding differences may be the limit of INR > 4.0. ref. 29 Tassies D et al. Pharmacogenetics of acenocoumarch: cyclochome P450 3 325 patients, target INR 2.5, constant acenocoumarol dose > 3 controls, 169x 1/1/2, 90x 1/1/2, 4x 1/1/3, 7x 1/2/2, 11x 1/2/3, co-medication not known; INR > 4.0. CYP2C9 polymor- phisms influence dose requirements and stability of anti- coagulation. 1/1/2 or 1/2/2 is the maintenance dose decreased from 17.1 to 14 & mg/kk versus 1/11' (5). No differences in time within INR range, or in distribution of genotypes between dose groups. 1/1/3 or 1/2/3. K 1/1/3 or 1/2/3 is the maintenance dose decreased from 17.1 to 11.2 mg/kk versus 1/11' (5). The time within INR range decreased from 75.1 to 47.7% versus 1/11' (5). No differences in within INR range, or in distribution of genotypes between dose groups. 2002;87:1185-91. 1/1/3 or 1/2/3 is the maintenance dose decreased from 17.1 to 12.2 mg/kk versus 1/11' (5). No differences in son 3:12, which was a significant difference versus 1/11. 43/3% had an INR > 4.5 and 17.1% an INR > 7.0 during the first 10 days, which was a significant increase versus non-3: genotypes (11.6 and 0.01% respectively). The inci- dence of bleeding events was not increased. R4 patients known to have had bleeding events on acenocou- marol, target INR 2.5 linked to 84 controls without bleeding events; No significant differences in dose and CYP2C9 genotype distribution between cases and controls. Ref. 30 thermida J et al. Differential effects of 2C93 and 2C92 2C92 and 2C92 2C92 as 2.2 mg/sec (1.10.2 4.18, 2 3 arget INR 2.9 without bleeding e			· ·· ····· ······ ····· ···· ···· ····· ····	
tion A reason for not inding differences in yet the limit of yet optimized in the differences in time within INR range. Or in distribution of genotypes between dose groups. 325 patients, target INR 2.5, constant acenocoumarol dose ≥ 3 controls, 169x 11/4, 90x	2003;58:739-45.	*3/*3: A		overdose.'
ref. 29 3 325 patients, target INR 2.5, constant acenocoumanol does in INR > 4.0. Tassies D et al. Pharmacogenetics of accenceumarch 3 325 patients, target INR 2.5, constant acenocoumanol does / 2.7, a co-medication not known;	ref. 28, continua-			KNMP comment:
ref. 29 3 325 patients, target INR 2.5, constant acenocoumarol dose ≥ 3 controls, 169x 11/4, 90x 11/2, 49x 11/3, 7x 12/2, 11x Pharmacogenetics 11/2 + 11/2 or 12/2; the maintenance dose decreased from 17.1 10/4 offerences in time within INR range, or in distribution of genotypes between dose groups. CYP2C2 polymor-phisms influence dose requirements 11/3 + 0.1/3 or 12/3; the maintenance dose decreased from 17.1 to 14.6 mg/kv versus 11/1 (S). Ot differences in time within INR range, or in distribution of genotypes between dose groups. 11/3 or 12/3; the maintenance dose decreased from 17.1 to 14.6 mg/kv versus 11/1 (S). The time within INR range decreased from 75.1 to 11.2 mg/kv versus 11/1 (S). The time within INR range decreased from 75.1 to 11.2 mg/kv versus 11/1 (S). The time versus 11/1 (S). OR 4.77). Of the 45 patients using 5 1 mg/day, the OR was 3.12, which was a significant difference versus 11/1 (S). Adv an INR > 7.2 Outing the first 10 days, which was a significant increase versus non-3 genotypes (II and 0.01%; respectively). The incidence of bleeding events was not increased. 84 patients known to have had bleeding events on acenccoumarol, target INR 2.5 Linked to 44 controls without bleeding events; vorsing influent tor a lower acenccoumarol maintenance dose 2 mg/day (S) CR 2/9 an ensitivity acencoumarol. 108 patients, 93x 11/1, 28x 11/2, ax 72/2, 14x 11/3, 1x score decleared events and CYP2C9 genotype distribution between acencoumarol dose (corr. OR 2.02, 95% CI 1.150-24.18). -3/3, 13; 14/3, 14/3, 14/3, 14/3, 14/3, 14/3, 14/3, 14/3, 14/3, 14/	tion			A reason for not
INR > 4.0. Tassies D et al. Pharmacogenetics of acenocoumarol: 1172 + CYP2C9 polymor- ptissing influence dose requirements 1173 + and stability of anti- coaguation. Haematologica 2002;87:1185-91. 2012;87:1185-91. 2014;73:44 202;87:1185-91. 2015;73:1185-91. 2016;73:1185-91. 2017;73:A 2017;74:A 2017;75:A				finding differences
ref. 29 3 32 patients, target INR 2.5, constant acenocoumarol dose ≥ Tassies D et al. 3 controls, 169x 1/1/1, 90x 1/2, 48x 1/13, 7x 2/12, 11x Pharmacogenetics 11/2 + - *1/2 or 2/2': the maintenance dose decreased from 17.1 tytochrome P450 2/2': A. to 14.6 mg/wk versus 1/1' (S). No differences in time within INR range, or in distribution of genotypes between dose groups. dose requirements 11/3 + - *1/3 or 2/3: the maintenance dose decreased from 17.1 to 14.6 mg/wk versus 1/1' (S). No differences in time within INR range decreased from 75.1 to 64.7% versus 1/1' (S). Of the 170 Haematologica - *1/3 or *2/3: the group who used > 2 mg/day, the OR was 3.12, which was a significant difference versus 1/1'.1 2002;87:1185-91. - *1/3 or *2/3: the group who used > 2 mg/day, the OR was 3.12, which was a significant difference versus 1/1'.1 4.3.9% had an INR > 4.5 and 17.1% an INR > 7.0 during the first 10 days, which was a significant difference versus 1/1'.1 4.3.9% had an INR > 4.5 and 17.1% an INR > 7.0 during the first 10 days, which was a significant difference versus 1/1'.1 4.70, Of the 45 patients using ≤ 1 mg/day, the OR was 3.12, which was a significant difference versus 1/1'.1 4.71, Differential effects of - *1/2 set 1/1.6 and 0.01% erspectively). The indicter decreased from 17.1 4.71 - *1/2 set 1/1.2 (2 x 1/1/2, 2 x 2/2/2, 4 x 1/1/3, 1 x 3/3, 1 arget INR 2.5 linket to 84 contr				may be the limit of
Tassies D et al. 3 controls, 169, *1/*1, 90, *1/*2, 48, *1/*3, 7x *2/*2, 11x Pharmacogenetics of acenocoumarol: 11/*2 + *1/*2, or *2/*2; the maintenance dose decreased from 17.1 CYP2C9 polymor- phisms influence dose requirements and stability of anti- coagulation. 11/*3 + *1/*3 or *2/*3; the maintenance dose decreased from 17.1 Hearmatologica 2002;87:1185-91. 11/*3 + *1/*3 or *2/*3; the maintenance dose decreased from 17.1 to 11.2 mg/wk versus '1/*1 (S). The time within INR range decreased from 75.1 to 64.7% versus '1/*1 (S). Of the 170 patients using 3 2 mg/day, 27.0% had a '3 allele, while this was 8.4% in the group who used > 2 mg/day (S, OR 4.77), Of the 45 patients using 3 2 mg/day, 27.0% had a '3 allele, while this was 8.4% in the group who used > 2 mg/day (S, OR 4.77), Of the 45 patients using 3 2 mg/day, 27.0% had a '3 allel, while the first 10 days, which was a significant increase versus non-'3 genotypes (11.6 and 0.01% respectively). The inci- dence of bleeding events was not increased. ref. 30 3 108 patients using 3 2 mg/day, 27.0% *1/*1, 3, 1x '3/*3, target INR 2.0*3.2, constant acenocoumarol maintenance dose. ref. 30 108 patients, 93x *1/*1, 126x *1/*2, 32* 27/*2, 14x *1/*3, 1x '3/*3, target INR 2.0*3.2, constant acenocoumarol dose (corr. OR corr. OR 207: and 209*2 variants of cyto- chrome P-450 CYP- 27*2.A 108 patients of lower acenocoumarol dose (corr. OR corr. OR 200; 99:4237*9. ref. 31 2 Patient 1, 18 years: INR= 9 without bleeding events after 3 days of 4 mg/day acenocoumarol. Dosing interrupted for 3 days of 4 mg/day acenocoumarol. Dosing interrupted for 3 days then res				INR > 4.0.
Pharmacogenetics ************************************	ref. 29	3		
of acenocoumarol: "1/?2 + '1/?2 or '2/?2: the maintenance dose decreased from 17.1 cytochrome P450 CYP2C9 polymor- phisms influence dose requirements and stability of anti- coagulation. Haematologica 2002;87:1185-91. '1/?3 + '2/'3: A and stability of anti- coagulation. Haematologica 2002;87:1185-91. '1/?3 + '2/'3: A and stability of anti- coagulation. Haematologica 2002;87:1185-91. '1/?3 + '2/'3: A and stability of anti- coagulation. Haematologica 2002;87:1185-91. '1/?3 + '1/?3 + '	Tassies D et al.		3 controls, 169x *1/*1, 90x *1/*2, 48x *1/*3, 7x *2/*2, 11x	
cytochrome P450 *2/*2: A to 14.6 mg/wk versus *1/*1 (S). No differences in time within INR range, or in distribution of genotypes between dose requirements and stability of anti- coagulation. *1/*3 or *2/*3: the maintenance dose decreased from 17.1 Haematologica *1/*3 or *2/*3: the maintenance dose decreased from 75.1 to 64.7% versus *1/*1 (S). Do time 170 patients using \$2 mg/day, 27.0% had a *3 allele, while *1/*3 or *2/*3: the maintenance dose decreased from 75.1 to 64.7% versus *1/*1 (S). Of the 170 patients using \$2 mg/day, 27.0% had a *3 allele, while 2002;87:1185-91. *1/*3 or *2/*3: the maintenance dose decreased from 75.1 to 64.7% versus *1/*1 (S). Of the 170 patients using \$2 mg/day, EO. OR 4.77). Of the 45 patients using \$2 1 mg/day, the OR was 3.3 12, which was a significant difference versus *1/*1. (A3.9% had an INR > 4.5 and 17.1% an INR > 7.0 during the first 10 days, which was a significant difference versus *1/*1. (A3.9% had an INR > 4.5 and 17.1% an INR > 7.0 during the first 10 days, which was a significant difference versus *1/*1. (A3.9% had an INR > 4.5 and 17.1% an INR > 7.0 during the first 10 days, which was a significant difference versus *1/*1. (A3.9% had an INR > 4.5 and 17.1% an INR > 7.0 during the first 10 days, which was a significant difference versus *1/*1. (A3.9% had an INR > 4.5 and 17.1% an INR > 7.0 during the first 10 days, which was a significant difference versus *1/*1. (A3.9% had an INR > 4.5 and 17.1% an INR > 7.0 during the first 10 days. Whet Paramacoge decreased down acenocoumarol. ref. 30 *1/*2 + *1/*2 + *1/*2 + *1/*2 + *1/*2 + *1/*2 + *1/*2 + *2/*2.14x *1/*3.1x * *3/*3.1 x *	Pharmacogenetics		*2/*3, co-medication not known;	
CYP2C9 polymor- phisms influence dose requirements and stability of anti- coagulation. Haematologica *1/*3 + '2/*3: A * '1/*3 or '2/*3: the maintenance dose decreased from 17.1 to 11.2 mg/wk versus '1/'1 (S). The time within INR range coagulation. Haematologica 2002;87:1185-91. *1/*3 + '2/*3: A * '1/*3 or '2/*3: the maintenance dose decreased from 17.1 to 11.2 mg/wk versus '1/'1 (S). The time within INR range decreased from 75.1 to 64.7% versus '1/'1 (S). Of the 170 patients using 5.2 mg/day, 27.0% had a '3 allele, while this was 8.4% in the group who used > 2 mg/day (S, OR 4.77). Of the 45 patients using ≤ 1 mg/day, the OR was 3.12, which was a significant difference versus '1/'1. 43.9% had an INR > 4.5 and 17.1% an INR > 7.0 during the first 10 days, which was a significant increase versus non-'3 genotypes (11.6 and 0.01% respectively). The inci- dence of bleeding events was not increased. 84 patients known to have had bleeding events on acenocou- marol, target INR 2.5 linked to 84 controls without bleeding events; - No significant differences in dose and CYP2C9 genotype distribution between cases and controls. NOTE: alongside CYP2C9'3, age (> 70 years) was also a determinant for a lower acenocoumarol maintenance dose. ref. 30 3 108 patients, 93x '1/'1, 26x '1/'2, 3x '2/'2, 14x '1/'3, 1x '3/'3, target INR 2.0-3.2, constant acenocoumarol dose ≥ 3 months, co-medication not known; CG'3 and 2C9'2 variants of cyto- '1/'2 + A * '2: higher risk of lower acenocoumarol dose (corr. OR e.02, 95% CI 1.1.1-1.17). CG'3 and CS0'2 variants of cyto- tor overanticoagula- tion among cyto- chrome P450 CYP. * '3''3.0 T1/'2 + Biood 2002;99;4237-9. Patient 1, 18 years:	of acenocoumarol:	*1/*2 +	- *1/*2 or *2/*2: the maintenance dose decreased from 17.1	
phisms influence dose requirements and stability of anti- coagulation. *1//3 + 2/'3.4 - *1//3 or '2/'3.2 the maintenance dose decreased from 17.1 to 11.2 mg/wk versus *1/'1 (S). The time within INR range decreased from 75.1 to 64.7% versus *1/'1 (S). Of the 170 patients using ≤ 2 mg/day, 270 % had a *3 allele, while this was 8.4% in the group who used > 2 mg/day (S, OR 4.77). Of the 45 patients using ≤ 1 mg/day, the OR was 3.12, which was a significant difference versus *1/'1. 43.9% had an INR > 4.5 and 17.1% an INR > 7.0 during the first 10 days, which was a significant increase versus non-*3 genotypes (11.6 and 0.01% respectively). The inci- dence of bleeding events was not increased. 84 patients known to have had bleeding events on accenocou- marol, target INR 2.5 linked to 84 controls without bleeding events; - No significant difference versus and controls. ref. 30 3 108 patients, 93x *1/'1, 26x *1/'2, 3x *2/'2, 14x *1/'3, 1x *3/'3, target INR 2.0-3, 2, constant acenocoumarol dose ≥ 3 months, co-medication not known; *1/'2 + chrome P-450 CVP- chrome P-450 CVP- 22/2: A *1/'2 + *3/'3: A *107 Patient 1, 18 years: INR = 9 without bleeding events after 3 days of 4 mg/day acenocoumarol. Dosing interrupted for 2 adays the resumed at 0.5 mg/day gave INR 2-3. No co-medi- cation. Genotype was *3/'3. Patient 2, 82 years: INR > 9 without bleeding events after 4 days of 4 mg/day acenocoumarol. Dosing interrupted for 3 days the resumed at 0.5 mg/day gave INR 2-3. The patient used the CYP2C9 inhibitor amiodarone (200 mg/day) + other co-medication. Genotype was *3/'3. Patient 14 al. INR > 8 after a loading regimen of 4, 2 and 1 mg acenocoumarol. Stable INR of 2-3 after 5 weeks with does sion:	cytochrome P450	*2/*2: A	to 14.6 mg/wk versus *1/*1 (S). No differences in time	
idose requirements and stability of anti- coagulation. Haematologica - *1/*3 of *2/*3: A - *1/*3 of *2/*3: A 2002;87:1185-91. *2/*3: A - *1/*3 of *2/*3: A - *1/*3 of *2/*3: A 2002;87:1185-91. - *1/*3 of *2/*3: A - *1/*3 of *2/*3: A - *1/*3 of *2/*3: A 2002;87:1185-91. - *1/*3 of *2/*3: A - *1/*3 of *2/*3: A - *1/*3 of *2/*3: A 2002;87:1185-91. - *1/*3 of *2/*3: A - *1/*3 of *2/*3: A - *1/*3 of *2/*3: A 2002;87:1185-91. - *1/*3 of *2/*3: A - *1/*1. 43.9*/*4.45.9* - *1/*1.43.9* 2002;87:1185-91. - *1/*1.45.9* - *1/*1.45.9* - *1/*1.43.9* - *1/*1.43.9* 2002;87:1185-91. - *1/*1.45.9* - *1/*1.45.9* - *1/*1.43.9* - *1/*1.43.9* 2002;87:1185-91. - *1/*1.45.9* - *1/*1.45.9* - *1/*1.43.9* - *1/*1.43.9* 2002;87:1185-91. - *1/*1.45.9* - *1/*1.45.9* - *1/*1.45.9* - *1/*1.43.9* 2002;87:1185-91. - *1/*1.45.9* - *1/*1.45.9* - *1/*1.45.9* - *1/*1.45.9* 2002;97:201. - *1/*1.7* - *2/*1.8* - *1/*1.25.7* - *1/*1.25.7* - *2/*1.9* 2002:99:4237-9. *1/*2.4* -	CYP2C9 polymor-		within INR range, or in distribution of genotypes between	
idose requirements and stability of anti- coagulation. Haematologica - *1/*3 of *2/*3: A - *1/*3 of *2/*3: A 2002;87:1185-91. *2/*3: A - *1/*3 of *2/*3: A - *1/*3 of *2/*3: A 2002;87:1185-91. - *1/*3 of *2/*3: A - *1/*3 of *2/*3: A - *1/*3 of *2/*3: A 2002;87:1185-91. - *1/*3 of *2/*3: A - *1/*3 of *2/*3: A - *1/*3 of *2/*3: A 2002;87:1185-91. - *1/*3 of *2/*3: A - *1/*3 of *2/*3: A - *1/*3 of *2/*3: A 2002;87:1185-91. - *1/*3 of *2/*3: A - *1/*1. 43.9*/*4.45.9* - *1/*1.43.9* 2002;87:1185-91. - *1/*1.45.9* - *1/*1.45.9* - *1/*1.43.9* - *1/*1.43.9* 2002;87:1185-91. - *1/*1.45.9* - *1/*1.45.9* - *1/*1.43.9* - *1/*1.43.9* 2002;87:1185-91. - *1/*1.45.9* - *1/*1.45.9* - *1/*1.43.9* - *1/*1.43.9* 2002;87:1185-91. - *1/*1.45.9* - *1/*1.45.9* - *1/*1.45.9* - *1/*1.43.9* 2002;87:1185-91. - *1/*1.45.9* - *1/*1.45.9* - *1/*1.45.9* - *1/*1.45.9* 2002;97:201. - *1/*1.7* - *2/*1.8* - *1/*1.25.7* - *1/*1.25.7* - *2/*1.9* 2002:99:4237-9. *1/*2.4* -	phisms influence			
coagulation. decreased from 75.1 to 64.7% versus *1/*1 (S). Of the 170 patients using ≤ 2 mg/day (Z, Ok had a *3 allele, while this was 8.4% in the group who used > 2 mg/day (S, OR 4.77). Of the 45 patients using ≤ 1 mg/day, the OR was 3.12, which was a significant difference versus *1/*1. 43.9% had an INR > 4.5 and 17.1% an INR > 7.0 during the first 10 days, which was a significant increase versus *1/*1. 43.9% had an INR > 4.5 and 17.1% an INR > 7.0 during the first 10 days, which was a significant increase versus *1/*1. 43.9% had an INR > 4.5 and 17.1% an INR > 7.0 during the first 10 days, which was a significant increase versus *1/*1. 43.9% had an INR > 4.5 and 17.1% an INR > 7.0 during the first 10 days, which was a significant increase versus *1/*1. 43.9% had an INR > 4.5 and 17.1% an INR > 7.0 during the first 10 days, which was a significant increase versus *1/*1. 43.9% had an INR > 4.5 and 17.1% an INR > 7.0 during the first 10 days, which was a significant increase versus *1/*1. 43.9% had an INR > 4.5 and 17.1% an INR > 7.0 during the first 10 days, which was a significant increase versus *1/*1. 43.9% had an INR > 4.5 and 17.1% an INR > 7.0 during the first 10 days, which was a significant increase versus *1/*1. 43.9% had an INR > 4.5 and 17.1% an INR > 7.0 during the first 10 days. Which was a significant increase versus *1/*1. 43.9% had an INR > 4.5 and 17.1% an INR > 7.0 during the first 10 days was not increased. ref. 30 3 108 patients, 93 × 1/*1, 26 × 1/*2, 3 × 2/*2, 14 × 1/*3, 1x 20'3' and 20'2' 3 108 patients, 93 × 1/*1, 26 × 1/*2, 3 × 2/*2, 14 × 1/*3, 1x 20'3' and 20'2' *1/*2 + *2/*2; A 20'1' 2' + 2'' 2': A *1/*3 + *3/*3. A 20'2 an sensitivity to a cancocoumarol. as of 4 mg/day acenocoumarol dose (corr. OR 6.02, 95% Cl 1.50-24.18). 2002:99:4237-9. *1/*3	dose requirements	*1/*3 +	- *1/*3 or *2/*3: the maintenance dose decreased from 17.1	
coagulation. decreased from 75.1 to 64.7% versus *1/*1 (5). Of the ¹ 70 patients using ≤ 2 mg/day, 27.0% had a *3 allele, while this was 8.4% in the group who used > 2 mg/day, the OR was 3.12, which was a significant difference versus *1/*1. 43.9% had an INR > 4.5 and 17.1% an INR > 7.0 during the first 10 days, which was a significant increase versus *1/*1. 43.9% had an INR > 4.5 and 17.1% an INR > 7.0 during the first 10 days, which was a significant increase versus *1/*1. 43.9% had an INR > 4.5 and 17.1% an INR > 7.0 during the first 10 days, which was a significant increase versus *1/*1. 43.9% had an INR > 4.5 and 17.1% an INR > 7.0 during the first 10 days, which was a significant increase versus *1/*1. 43.9% had an INR > 4.5 and 17.1% an INR > 7.0 during the first 10 days, which was a significant increase versus *1/*1. 43.9% had an INR > 4.5 and 17.1% an INR > 7.0 during the first 10 days, which was a significant increase versus *1/*1. 43.9% had an INR > 4.5 and 7.1% an INR > 7.0 during the first 10 days, which was a significant increase versus *1/*1. 413.9% had an INR > 4.5 and 7.1% an INR > 7.0 during the first 10 days. Which was a significant increase versus *1/*1. 43.9% had an INR > 8 after a load on the low macenocoumarol dose. ref. 30 *1/*2 *1/*2 *1/*2.5 kit/*1.26 kit/*2.3 kt2/*2.14 kt1/3.1 kt 20°3 and 20°2² *1/*2 + *2/*2.14 kt1/*1.26 kt1/*2.3 kt2/*2.14 kt1/*3.1 kt *3/*3. target INR 2.0-3.2, constant acenocoumarol dose (corr. OR 2.70, 95% Cl 1.150-24.18). 2002.99:4237-9. *1/*2 + *2/*2.14 kt1/*3.1 kt1/*3 kt3 ligher risk of l	•	*2/*3: A		
2002;87:1185-91. this was 8.4% in the group who used > 2 mg/day (S, OR 4.77). Of the 45 patients using ≤ 1 mg/day, the OR was 3.12, which was a significant difference versus '1/'1. 43.9% had an INR > 4.5 and 17.1% an INR > 7.0 during the first 10 days, which was a significant increase versus non-'3 gentypes (11.6 and 0.01% respectively). The incidence of bleeding events was not increased. 84 patients known to have had bleeding events on acenocoumarol, target INR 2.5 linked to 84 controls without bleeding events; No significant differences in dose and CYP2C9 genotype distribution between cases and controls. NOTE: alongside CYP2C9*3, age (> 70 years) was also a determinant for a lower acenocoumarol maintenance dose. ref. 30 Hermida J et al. Differential effects of 2C9*2 and 2C9*2 22*2 rainato for yto- *1/*2 + *1/*2 + *1/*2 + *2/*2 A carecocoumarol. *3/*3:A *1/*2 + *3/*3:A *2* *3/*3:A *2* *1/*2 + *3/*3:A *2* *3/*3:A *2* *3/*3:A *2* *3/*3:A *2* *3/*3:A *2	coagulation.		decreased from 75.1 to 64.7% versus *1/*1 (S). Of the 170	
4.77). Of the 45 patients using ≤ 1 mg/day, the OR was 3.12, which was a significant difference versus non-*3 genotypes (11.6 and 0.01% respectively). The inci- dence of bleeding events was not increase. 84 patients known to have had bleeding events on acenocou- marol, target INR 2.5 linked to 84 controls without bleeding events; - No significant differences in dose and CYP2C9 genotype distribution between cases and controls. NOTE: alongside CYP2C9*3, age (> 70 years) was also a determinant for a lower acenocoumarol maintenance dose. ref. 30 108 patients, 93x 11/*1, 26x *1/*2, 3x *2/*2, 14x *1/*3, 1x *3/*3, target INR 2.0.2, constant acenocoumarol dose (> 70 years) was also a determinant for a lower acenocoumarol dose (corr. OR chrome P-450 CYP- *1/*2 + variants of cyto- chrome P-450 CYP- sensonstivity to acenocoumarol. Blood 2002;99:4237-9. * 1/*1, 18 years: INR= 9 without bleeding events after 3 days of 4 mg/day acenocoumarol. Dosing interrupted for 2 days then resumed at 0.5 mg/day gave INR 2-3. No co-medi- cation. Genotype was *3/*3. Patient 1, 18 years: INR = 9 without bleeding events after 4 days of 4 mg/day acenocoumarol. Dosing interrupted for 3 days then resumed at 0.5 mg/day gave INR 2-3. No co-medi- cation. Genotype was *3/*3. Patient 2, 82 years: INR > 9 without bleeding events after 4 days of 4 mg/day acenocoumarol. Dosing interrupted for 3 days then resumed at 0.5 mg/day gave INR 2-3. The patient used the CYP2C9 inhibitor amiodarone (200 mg/day) + other co-medication. Genotype was *3/*3. Patient 1, 8 ye as: INR > 9 without bleeding events after 4 days of 4 mg/day acenocoumarol. Dosing interrupted for 3 days then resumed at 0.5 mg/day gave INR 2-3. The patient used the C	Haematologica		patients using \leq 2 mg/day, 27.0% had a *3 allele, while	
3.12, which was a significant difference versus *1/*1. 43.9% had an INR > 4.5 and 17.1% an INR > 7.0 during the first 10 days, which was a significant increase versus non-*3 genotypes (11.6 and 0.01% respectively). The incidence of bleeding events was not increased. 84 patients known to have had bleeding events on acenocoumarol, target INR 2.5 linked to 84 controls without bleeding events; - No significant differences in dose and CYP2C9 genotype distribution between cases and controls. NOTE: alongside CYP2C9*3, age (> 70 years) was also a determinant for a lower acenocoumarol maintenance dose. ref. 30 Hermida J et al. Differential effects of 2C9*2 variants of cyto-chrome P-450 CYP- 22*12*2 *1/*2 + *3': higher risk of lower acenocoumarol dose (corr. OR 2.70, 95% CI 1.11-1.17). 2 months, co-medication not known; *2/*2: A *3': higher risk of lower acenocoumarol dose (corr. OR 2.70, 95% CI 1.50-24.18). Blood 2002;99:4237-9. eff. 31 2 *3''3: A Patient 1, 18 years: INR= 9 without bleeding events after 3 days of 4 mg/day acenocoumarol. Dosing interrupted for 2 days then resumed at 0.5 mg/day gave INR 2-3. No co-medication. Genotype was "3''3. Patient 2, 82 years: INR > 9 without bleeding events after 4 days of 4 mg/day acenocoumarol. Dosing interrupted for 3 days of 4 mg/day acenocoumarol. Dosing interrupted for 3 days o	2002;87:1185-91.		this was 8.4% in the group who used > 2 mg/day (S, OR	
43.9% had an INR > 43.6 and 17.1% an INR > 7.0 during the first 10 days, which was a significant increase versus non-*3 genotypes (11.6 and 0.01% respectively). The incidence of bleeding events was not increase d. 84 patients known to have had bleeding events on acenocoumarol, target INR 2.5 linked to 84 controls without bleeding events; - No significant differences in dose and CYP2C9 genotype distribution between cases and controls. NOTE: alongside CYP2C9*3, age (> 70 years) was also a determinant for a lower acenocoumarol maintenance dose. ref. 30 ref. 41 Differential effects of 2C9*2 variants of cyto-chrome P-450 CYP-2*2*A 2C9 a sensitivity to acenocoumarol. acenocoumarol. Blood 2002;99:4237-9. ref. 31 verstuyft C et al. 21/3*3.4 22 Patient 1, 18 years: INR= 9 without bleeding events after 3 days of 4 mg/day acenocoumarol. Dosing interrupted for 2 days then resumed at 0.5 mg/day gave INR 2-3. No co-medication. Genotype was *3/*3. Patient 1, 18 years: INR > 9 without bleeding events after 4 days of 4 mg/day acenocoumarol. Dosing interrupted for 2 days then resumed at 0.5 mg/day gave INR 2-3. No co-medication. Genotype was *3/*3. Patient 2, 82 years: INR > 9 without bleeding events after 4 days of 4 mg/day acenocoumarol. Dosing interrupted for 2 days then resumed at 0.5 mg/day gave INR 2-3. The patient used the CYP2C9 inhibitor amiodarone (200 mg/day) + other co-medication. Genotype was *3/*3.			4.77). Of the 45 patients using \leq 1 mg/day, the OR was	
43.9% had an INR > 43.6 and 17.1% an INR > 7.0 during the first 10 days, which was a significant increase versus non-*3 genotypes (11.6 and 0.01% respectively). The incidence of bleeding events was not increase d. 84 patients known to have had bleeding events on acenocoumarol, target INR 2.5 linked to 84 controls without bleeding events; - No significant differences in dose and CYP2C9 genotype distribution between cases and controls. NOTE: alongside CYP2C9*3, age (> 70 years) was also a determinant for a lower acenocoumarol maintenance dose. ref. 30 ref. 41 Differential effects of 2C9*2 variants of cyto-chrome P-450 CYP-2*2*A 2C9 a sensitivity to acenocoumarol. acenocoumarol. Blood 2002;99:4237-9. ref. 31 verstuyft C et al. 21/3*3.4 22 Patient 1, 18 years: INR= 9 without bleeding events after 3 days of 4 mg/day acenocoumarol. Dosing interrupted for 2 days then resumed at 0.5 mg/day gave INR 2-3. No co-medication. Genotype was *3/*3. Patient 1, 18 years: INR > 9 without bleeding events after 4 days of 4 mg/day acenocoumarol. Dosing interrupted for 2 days then resumed at 0.5 mg/day gave INR 2-3. No co-medication. Genotype was *3/*3. Patient 2, 82 years: INR > 9 without bleeding events after 4 days of 4 mg/day acenocoumarol. Dosing interrupted for 2 days then resumed at 0.5 mg/day gave INR 2-3. The patient used the CYP2C9 inhibitor amiodarone (200 mg/day) + other co-medication. Genotype was *3/*3.				
the first 10 days, which was a significant increase versus non-*3 genotypes (11.6 and 0.01% respectively). The inci- dence of bleeding events was not increased. 84 patients known to have had bleeding events on acenocou- marol, target INR 2.5 linked to 84 controls without bleeding events; No significant differences in dose and CYP2C9 genotype distribution between cases and controls. NOTE: alongside CYP2C9*3, age (> 70 years) was also a determinant for a lower acenocoumarol maintenance dose. ref. 30 108 patients, 93x *1/*1, 26x *1/*2, 3x *3/*3, target INR 2.0-3.2, constant acenocoumarol dose ≥ 3 months, co-medication not known; 2C9*3 and 2C9*2 variants of cyto- chrome P-450 CYP- 2C9 on sensitivity to acenocoumarol. Biodo *1/*2 + *3/*3: A - *2: higher risk of lower acenocoumarol dose (corr. OR 6.02, 95% Cl 1.10-24.18). 80002 2002;99:4237-9. 2 Patient 1, 18 years: INR= 9 without bleeding events after 3 days of 4 mg/day acenocoumarol. Dosing interrupted for 2 days then resumed at 0.5 mg/dag gave INR 2-3. No co-medi- cation. Genotype was *3/*3. Verstuyft C et al. Early acenocoum- rol overanticoagula- tion among cyto- chrome P450 2C9 *3/*3:D 2 Patient 1, 18 years: INR = 9 without bleeding events after 3 days of 4 mg/day acenocoumarol. Dosing interrupted for 2 days then resumed at 0.5 mg/dag gave INR 2-3. No co-medi- cation. Genotype was *3/*3. Patient 2, 82 years: INR > 9 without bleeding events after 4 days of 4 mg/day acenocoumarol. Dosing interrupted for 3 days then resumed at 0.5 mg/dag gave INR 2-3. The patient used the CYP2C9 inhibitor amiodarone (200 mg/day) + other co-medication. Genotype w				
non-*3 genotypes (11.6 and 0.01% respectively). The incidence of bleeding events was not increased. 84 patients known to have had bleeding events on acenocoumarol, target INR 2.5 linked to 84 controls without bleeding events; - No significant differences in dose and CYP2C9 genotype distribution between cases and controls. NOTE: alongside CYP2C9*3, age (> 70 years) was also a determinant for a lower acenocoumarol maintenance dose. ref. 30 Hermida J et al. Differential effects of 2C9*3 and 2C9*2 variants of cyto-chrome P-450 CYP- *1/*2 + *2: higher risk of lower acenocoumarol dose (corr. OR chrome P-450 CYP- *1/*2 + *2: higher risk of lower acenocoumarol dose (corr. OR chrome P-450 CYP- *1/*3 + *3/*3: A ref. 31 22 Patient 1, 18 years: INR = 9 without bleeding events after 3 days of 4 mg/day acenocoumarol. Dosing interrupted for 2 days of 4 mg/day acenocoumarol. Dosing interrupted for 2 days of 4 mg/day acenocoumarol. Dosing interrupted for 3 days of 4 mg/day acenocoumarol. Dosing interrupted for 3 days of 4 mg/day acenocoumarol. Dosing interrupted for 3 days of 4 mg/day acenocoumarol. Dosing interrupted for 3 days of 4 mg/day acenocoumarol. Dosing interrupted for 3 days of 4 mg/day acenocoumarol. Dosing interrupted for 3 days of 4 mg/day acenocoumarol. Dosing interrupted for 3 days of 4 mg/day acenocoumarol. Dosing interrupted for 3 days of 4 mg/day acenocoumarol. Dosing interrupted for 3 days of 4 mg/day acenocoumarol. Dosing interrupted for 3 days of 4 mg/day acenocoumarol. Dosing interrupted for 3 days of 4 mg/day acenocoumarol. Do			•	
dence of bleeding events was not increased. 84 patients known to have had bleeding events on acenocoumarol, target INR 2.5 linked to 84 controls without bleeding events; - No significant differences in dose and CYP2C9 genotype distribution between cases and controls. NOTE: alongside CYP2C9*3, age (> 70 years) was also a determinant for a lower acenocoumarol maintenance dose. ref. 30 ref. 41 Differential effects of 2C9*2 variants of cyto-chrome P-450 CYP-2*2 A cancel to the total acenocoumarol. State acenocoumarol. Blood 2002;99:4237-9. ref. 31 2 Verstuyft C et al. Early acenocoumarol cogulation among cyto-chrome P450 C29* roflower acenocoumarol. Dosing interrupted for 2 days of 4 mg/day acenocoumarol. Dosing interrupted for 2 days of 4 mg/day acenocoumarol. Dosing interrupted for 2 days of 4 mg/day acenocoumarol. Dosing interrupted for 3 days of 4 mg/day acenocoumarol. Dosing interrupted for 3 days of 4 mg/day acenocoumarol. Staff and age were was "3/*3. Patient 1, 18 years: INR = 9 without bleeding events after 4 days of 4 mg/day acenocoumarol. Dosing interrupted for 3 days of 4 mg/day acenocoumarol. Dosing interrupted for 3 days of 4 mg/day acenocoumarol. 0.20 years "3/*3. Patie				
marol, target INR 2.5 linked to 84 controls without bleeding events; - No significant differences in dose and CYP2C9 genotype distribution between cases and controls. NOTE: alongside CYP2C9*3, age (> 70 years) was also a determinant for a lower acenocoumarol maintenance dose. ref. 30 3 108 patients, 93x *1/*1, 26x *1/*2, 3x *2/*2, 14x *1/*3, 1x *3/*3, target INR 2.0-3.2, constant acenocoumarol dose ≥ 3 months, co-medication not known; 2C9*3 and 2C9*2 variants of cyto-chrome P-450 CYP-blood *1/*2 + *2.7 ka; 7.95% Cl 1.11-1.17). 2C9 on sensitivity to acenocoumarol. *1/*3 + *3/*3.A *1/*2 + *3/*3.A - *2: higher risk of lower acenocoumarol dose (corr. OR 2.70, 95% Cl 1.150-24.18). Blood *3/*3.A 2002;99:4237-9. - ref. 31 2 Verstuyft C et al. 2ays of 4 mg/day acenocoumarol. Dosing interrupted for 2 days then resumed at 0.5 mg/day gave INR 2-3. No co-medication. Genotype was *3/*3. Patient 2, 82 years: INR > 9 without bleeding events after 3 days of 4 mg/day acenocoumarol. Dosing interrupted for 3 days then resumed at 0.5 mg/day gave INR 2-3. The patient used the CYP2C9 inhibitor amiodarone (200 mg/day) + other co-medication. Genotype was *3/*3. Patient 12, 82 years: INR > 9 without bleeding events after 4 days of 4 mg/day agenocoumarol. Dosing interrupted for 3 days then resumed at 0.5 mg/day gave INR 2-3. The patient used the CYP2C9 inhibitor amiodarone (200 mg/day) + other co-medication. Genotype was *3/*3. Patient 1, 83 eras: INR > 8 after a loading regim				
marol, target INR 2.5 linked to 84 controls without bleeding events; - No significant differences in dose and CYP2C9 genotype distribution between cases and controls. NOTE: alongside CYP2C9*3, age (> 70 years) was also a determinant for a lower acenocoumarol maintenance dose. ref. 30 3 108 patients, 93x *1/*1, 26x *1/*2, 3x *2/*2, 14x *1/*3, 1x *3/*3, target INR 2.0-3.2, constant acenocoumarol dose ≥ 3 months, co-medication not known; 2C9*3 and 2C9*2 variants of cyto-chrome P-450 CYP-blood *1/*2 + *2.7 ka; 7.95% Cl 1.11-1.17). 2C9 on sensitivity to acenocoumarol. *1/*3 + *3/*3.A *1/*2 + *3/*3.A - *2: higher risk of lower acenocoumarol dose (corr. OR 2.70, 95% Cl 1.150-24.18). Blood *3/*3.A 2002;99:4237-9. - ref. 31 2 Verstuyft C et al. 2ays of 4 mg/day acenocoumarol. Dosing interrupted for 2 days then resumed at 0.5 mg/day gave INR 2-3. No co-medication. Genotype was *3/*3. Patient 2, 82 years: INR > 9 without bleeding events after 3 days of 4 mg/day acenocoumarol. Dosing interrupted for 3 days then resumed at 0.5 mg/day gave INR 2-3. The patient used the CYP2C9 inhibitor amiodarone (200 mg/day) + other co-medication. Genotype was *3/*3. Patient 12, 82 years: INR > 9 without bleeding events after 4 days of 4 mg/day agenocoumarol. Dosing interrupted for 3 days then resumed at 0.5 mg/day gave INR 2-3. The patient used the CYP2C9 inhibitor amiodarone (200 mg/day) + other co-medication. Genotype was *3/*3. Patient 1, 83 eras: INR > 8 after a loading regim			84 patients known to have had bleeding events on acenocou-	
events; - No significant differences in dose and CYP2C9 genotype distribution between cases and controls. NOTE: alongside CYP2C9*3, age (> 70 years) was also a determinant for a lower acenocoumarol maintenance dose. ref. 30 3 108 patients, 93x *1/*1, 26x *1/*2, 3x *2/*2, 14x *1/*3, 1x *3/*3, target INR 2.0-3.2, constant acenocoumarol dose ≥ 3 months, co-medication not known; 2C9*3 and 2C9*2 *1/*2 + *2/*2 + 2/*2; A 2.70, 95% CI 1.11*1.17). - *2: higher risk of lower acenocoumarol dose (corr. OR 2.70, 95% CI 1.50-24.18). 2C9 or sensitivity to acenocoumarol. *1/*3 + *3/*3; A *3/*3; A - *2: higher risk of lower acenocoumarol dose (corr. OR 2.002, 99:4237-9). ref. 31 2 Patient 1, 18 years: INR= 9 without bleeding events after 3 days of 4 mg/day acenocoumarol. Dosing interrupted for 2 days then resumed at 0.5 mg/day gave INR 2-3. No co-medication. Genotype was *3/*3. Patient 2, 82 years: INR > 9 without bleeding events after 4 days of 4 mg/day acenocoumarol. Dosing interrupted for 3 days then resumed at 0.5 mg/day gave INR 2-3. The patient used the CYP2C9 inhibitor amiodarone (200 mg/day) + other co-medication. Genotype was *3/*3. Patient 2, 82 years: INR > 9 without bleeding events after 4 days of 4 mg/day acenocoumarol. Dosing interrupted for 3 days then resumed at 0.5 mg/day gave INR 2-3. The patient used the CYP2C9 inhibitor amiodarone (200 mg/day) + other co-medication. Genotype was *3/*3. Patient 2, 82 years: INR > 8 after a loading regimen of 4, 2 and 1 mg acenocoumarol. Stable INR of 2-3 after 5 weeks with dose Authors' conclusion:				
e No significant differences in dose and CYP2C9 genotype distribution between cases and controls. NOTE: alongside CYP2C9*3, age (> 70 years) was also a determinant for a lower acenocoumarol maintenance dose. ref. 30 3 Hermida J et al. 108 patients, 93x *1/*1, 26x *1/*2, 3x *2/*2, 14x *1/*3, 1x *3/*3, target INR 2.0-3.2, constant acenocoumarol dose ≥ 3 months, co-medication not known; 2C9*3 and 2C9*2 variants of cyto-chrome P-450 CYP-22'*A *1/*2 + *2/*2: A *1/*3 + *3/*3: A *3/*3; higher risk of lower acenocoumarol dose (corr. OR 2.70, 95% CI 1.11-1.17). 2C9 on sensitivity to acenocoumarol. *1/*3 + *3/*3: A *3/*3; A Patient 1, 18 years: INR= 9 without bleeding events after 3 days of 4 mg/day acenocoumarol. Dosing interrupted for 2 days then resumed at 0.5 mg/day gave INR 2-3. No co-medication. Genotype was *3/*3. Patient 2, 82 years: INR > 9 without bleeding events after 4 days of 4 mg/day acenocoumarol. Dosing interrupted for 3 days of 4 mg/day acenocoumarol. Dosing interrupted for 3 days of 4 mg/day acenocoumarol. Dosing interrupted for 3 days of 4 mg/day acenocoumarol. Dosing interrupted for 3 days of 4 mg/day acenocoumarol. Dosing interrupted for 3 days of 4 mg/day acenocoumarol. Dosing interrupted for 3 days of 4 mg/day acenocoumarol. Dosing interrupted for 3 days of 4 mg/day acenocoumarol. Dosing interrupted for 3 days of 4 mg/day acenocoumarol. Dosing interrupted for 3 days of 4 mg/day acenocoumarol. Dosing interrupted for 3 days of 4 mg/day acenocoumarol. Dosing interrupted for 3 days of 4 mg/day acenocoumarol. Dosing interrupted for 3 days of 4 mg/day acenocoumarol. Patient 2, 82 years: INR > 9 without bleeding events after 4 Patien				
distribution between cases and controls. NOTE: alongside CYP2C9*3, age (> 70 years) was also a determinant for a lower acenocoumarol maintenance dose. ref. 30 3 108 patients, 93x *1/*1, 26x *1/*2, 3x *2/*2, 14x *1/*3, 1x Hermida J et al. 3 108 patients, 93x *1/*1, 26x *1/*2, 3x *2/*2, 14x *1/*3, 1x Differential effects of 2C9*3 and 2C9*2 *1/*2 + *3/*3, target INR 2.0-3.2, constant acenocoumarol dose ≥ 3 months, co-medication not known; 2C9 on sensitivity to acenocoumarol. *1/*2 + *2/*2: A *2.70, 95% CI 1.11-1.17). 2C9 on sensitivity to acenocoumarol. *1/*3 + *3/*3: A - *3: higher risk of lower acenocoumarol dose (corr. OR 6.02, 95% CI 1.50-24.18). Blood 2002;99:4237-9. Patient 1, 18 years: INR= 9 without bleeding events after 3 days of 4 mg/day acenocoumarol. Dosing interrupted for 2 ref. 31 2 Patient 1, 18 years: INR= 9 without bleeding events after 4 days then resumed at 0.5 mg/day gave INR 2-3. No co-medication. Genotype was *3/*3. rol overanticoagulation among cyto-chrome P450 2C9 *3/*3:D days of 4 mg/day acenocoumarol. Dosing interrupted for 3 days then resumed at 0.5 mg/day gave INR 2-3. The patient 2, 82 years: INR > 9 without bleeding events after 4 chrome P450 2C9 *3/*3:D days of 4 mg/day acenocoumarol. Dosing interrupted for 3 days then resumed at 0.5 mg/day gave INR 2-3. The patient used the CYP2C9 inhibitor amiodarone (200 mg/day) + other c				
ref. 303108 patients, 93x *1/*1, 26x *1/*2, 3x *2/*2, 14x *1/*3, 1x *3/*3, target INR 2.0-3.2, constant acenocoumarol dose ≥ 3 months, co-medication not known;2C9*3 and 2C9*2 variants of cyto- chrome P-450 CYP- 229 on sensitivity to acenocoumarol.*1/*2 + *2/*2: A *1/*3 + *3/*3: A- *2: higher risk of lower acenocoumarol dose (corr. OR 2.70, 95% CI 1.11-1.17).2C9 on sensitivity to acenocoumarol.*1/*3 + *3/*3: A- *3: higher risk of lower acenocoumarol dose (corr. OR 6.02, 95% CI 1.50-24.18).2002;99:4237-9.*1/*3 + *3/*3: A*1/*3 + 202;99:4237-9 *3: higher risk of lower acenocoumarol. Dosing interrupted for 2 days of 4 mg/day acenocoumarol. Dosing interrupted for 2 days then resumed at 0.5 mg/day gave INR 2-3. No co-medi- cation. Genotype was *3/*3.7ef. 312 Patient 2, 82 years: INR > 9 without bleeding events after 3 days of 4 mg/day acenocoumarol. Dosing interrupted for 2 days then resumed at 0.5 mg/day gave INR 2-3. No co-medi- cation. Genotype was *3/*3.7ol overanticoagula- tion among cyto- chrome P450 2C9 poor metabolizers.*3/*3:DPatient 2, 82 years: INR > 9 without bleeding events after 4 days of 4 mg/day acenocoumarol. Dosing interrupted for 3 days of 4 mg/day acenocoumarol. Dosing interrupted for 3 days of 4 mg/day acenocoumarol. Dosing interrupted for 3 days of 4 mg/day acenocoumarol. 200 mg/day) + other co-medication. Genotype was *3/*3.7ef. 322 Patient had an INR > 8 after a loading regimen of 4, 2 and 1 mg acenocoumarol. Stable INR of 2-3 after 5 weeks with doseAuthors' conclu- sion:				
ref. 303108 patients, 93x *1/*1, 26x *1/*2, 3x *2/*2, 14x *1/*3, 1x *3/*3, target INR 2.0-3.2, constant acenocoumarol dose ≥ 3 months, co-medication not known;2C9*3 and 2C9*2 variants of cyto- chrome P-450 CYP- 229 on sensitivity to acenocoumarol.*1/*2 + *2/*2: A *1/*3 + *3/*3: A- *2: higher risk of lower acenocoumarol dose (corr. OR 2.70, 95% CI 1.11-1.17).2C9 on sensitivity to acenocoumarol.*1/*3 + *3/*3: A- *3: higher risk of lower acenocoumarol dose (corr. OR 6.02, 95% CI 1.50-24.18).2002;99:4237-9.*1/*3 + *3/*3: A*1/*3 + 202;99:4237-9 *3: higher risk of lower acenocoumarol. Dosing interrupted for 2 days of 4 mg/day acenocoumarol. Dosing interrupted for 2 days then resumed at 0.5 mg/day gave INR 2-3. No co-medi- cation. Genotype was *3/*3.7ef. 312 Patient 2, 82 years: INR > 9 without bleeding events after 3 days of 4 mg/day acenocoumarol. Dosing interrupted for 2 days then resumed at 0.5 mg/day gave INR 2-3. No co-medi- cation. Genotype was *3/*3.7ol overanticoagula- tion among cyto- chrome P450 2C9 poor metabolizers.*3/*3:DPatient 2, 82 years: INR > 9 without bleeding events after 4 days of 4 mg/day acenocoumarol. Dosing interrupted for 3 days of 4 mg/day acenocoumarol. Dosing interrupted for 3 days of 4 mg/day acenocoumarol. Dosing interrupted for 3 days of 4 mg/day acenocoumarol. 200 mg/day) + other co-medication. Genotype was *3/*3.7ef. 322 Patient had an INR > 8 after a loading regimen of 4, 2 and 1 mg acenocoumarol. Stable INR of 2-3 after 5 weeks with doseAuthors' conclu- sion:				
ref. 303108 patients, 93x *1/*1, 26x *1/*2, 3x *2/*2, 14x *1/*3, 1xHermida J et al. Differential effects of 2C9*3 and 2C9*2 variants of cyto- chrome P-450 CYP- Blood*1/*2 + *2/*2: A *1/*3 + *3/*3: A*3/*3. target INR 2.0-3.2, constant acenocoumarol dose ≥ 3 months, co-medication not known;2C9 on sensitivity to acenocoumarol. Blood*1/*3 + *3/*3: A* 2: higher risk of lower acenocoumarol dose (corr. OR 6.02, 95% CI 1.10-1.17).2C9 on sensitivity to acenocoumarol. Blood*1/*3 + *3/*3: A* 2: higher risk of lower acenocoumarol dose (corr. OR 6.02, 95% CI 1.50-24.18).ref. 31 Verstuyft C et al. Early acenocouma- rol overanticoagula- tion among cyto- chrome P450 2C9 poor metabolizers. Pharmacogenetics2Patient 1, 18 years: INR= 9 without bleeding events after 3 days of 4 mg/day acenocoumarol. Dosing interrupted for 2 days then resumed at 0.5 mg/day gave INR 2-3. No co-medi- cation. Genotype was *3/*3.ref. 32 Poor metabolizers. Pharmacogenetics*3/*3:D*3/*3:DPatient 2, 82 years: INR > 9 without bleeding events after 4 days of 4 mg/day acenocoumarol. Dosing interrupted for 3 days then re			NOTE: alongside CYP2C9*3, age (> 70 years) was also a	
Hermida J et al.*3/*3, target INR 2.0-3.2, constant acenocoumarol dose ≥ 3 months, co-medication not known;Differential effects of 2C9*3 and 2C9*2 variants of cyto- chrome P-450 CYP- 2C9 on sensitivity to acenocoumarol.*1/*2 + *2/*2: A *1/*3 + *3/*3: A*1/*2 + *2/*2: A *1/*3 + *3/*3: A*1/*2 + *2/*2: A *1/*3 + *3/*3: A*1/*2 + *2/*2: A *3/*3: A*1/*2 + *2/*2: A *2/*2: A *3/*3: A*1/*2 + *2/*2: A *2/*2: A *3/*3: A*1/*2 + *2/*2: A *3/*3: A*1/*2 + *2/*2: A *3/*3: A*1/*2 + *2/*2: A *3/*3: A*1/*3 + *3/*3: A*1/*3 + *3/*3: Aref. 31 Verstuyft C et al.2 *3/*3: DPatient 1, 18 years: INR= 9 without bleeding events after 3 days of 4 mg/day acenocoumarol. Dosing interrupted for 2 days then resumed at 0.5 mg/day gave INR 2-3. No co-medi- cation. Genotype was *3/*3.APatient 2, 82 years: INR > 9 without bleeding events after 4 days of 4 mg/day acenocoumarol. Dosing interrupted for 3 days of 4 mg/day acenocoumarol. 200 mg/day) + other zoonmetabolizers. Pharmacogenetics 2001;11:735-7.Authors' conclu- mg acenocoumarol. Stable INR of 2-3 after 5 weeks with doseref. 32 Thijssen HH et al.2 Patient had an INR > 8 after a loading regimen of 4, 2 and 1 mg acenocoumarol. Stable INR of 2-3 after 5 weeks with doseAuthors' conclu- sion:			determinant for a lower acenocoumarol maintenance dose.	
Differential effects of 2C9*3 and 2C9*2 variants of cyto- chrome P-450 CYP- 2C9 on sensitivity to acenocoumarol. Blood*1/*2 + *2/*2: A *1/*3 + *3/*3: Amonths, co-medication not known; 2.70, 95% CI 1.11-1.17). - *2: higher risk of lower acenocoumarol dose (corr. OR 6.02, 95% CI 1.50-24.18).ref. 31 Verstuyft C et al. Early acenocouma- rol overanticoagula- tion among cyto- chrome P450 2C29 poor metabolizers.2Patient 1, 18 years: INR= 9 without bleeding events after 3 days of 4 mg/day acenocoumarol. Dosing interrupted for 2 days then resumed at 0.5 mg/day gave INR 2-3. No co-medi- cation. Genotype was *3/*3.Patient 2, 82 years: INR > 9 without bleeding events after 4 days of 4 mg/day acenocoumarol. Dosing interrupted for 3 days of 4 mg/day gave INR 2-3. The patient used the CYP2C9 inhibitor amiodarone (200 mg/day) + other co-medication. Genotype was *3/*3.ref. 32 Patient 4 al.2Patient had an INR > 8 after a loading regimen of 4, 2 and 1 mg acenocoumarol. Stable INR of 2-3 after 5 weeks with dose	ref. 30	3	108 patients, 93x *1/*1, 26x *1/*2, 3x *2/*2, 14x *1/*3, 1x	
2C9*3 and 2C9*2 variants of cyto- chrome P-450 CYP- 2C9 on sensitivity to acenocoumarol.*1/*2 + *2/*2: A *1/*3 + *3/*3: A- *2: higher risk of lower acenocoumarol dose (corr. OR 2.70, 95% CI 1.11-1.17). - *3: higher risk of lower acenocoumarol dose (corr. OR 6.02, 95% CI 1.50-24.18).Blood 2002:99:4237-9.*1/*3 + *3/*3: A- *3: higher risk of lower acenocoumarol dose (corr. OR 6.02, 95% CI 1.50-24.18).ref. 31 Verstuyft C et al. Early acenocouma- rol overanticoagula- tion among cyto- chrome P450 2C9 poor metabolizers. Pharmacogenetics 2001;11:735-7.2Patient 1, 18 years: INR= 9 without bleeding events after 3 days of 4 mg/day acenocoumarol. Dosing interrupted for 3 days then resumed at 0.5 mg/day gave INR 2-3. The patient used the CYP2C9 inhibitor amiodarone (200 mg/day) + other co-medication. Genotype was *3/*3.ref. 32 Tef. 32 Thijssen HH et al.2Patient had an INR > 8 after a loading regimen of 4, 2 and 1 mg acenocoumarol. Stable INR of 2-3 after 5 weeks with doseAuthors' conclu- sion:	Hermida J et al.		*3/*3, target INR 2.0-3.2, constant acenocoumarol dose \geq 3	
variants of cyto- chrome P-450 CYP- 2C9 on sensitivity to acenocoumarol.*1/*2 + *2/*2: A *1/*3 + *3/*3: A- *2: higher risk of lower acenocoumarol dose (corr. OR 2.70, 95% CI 1.11-1.17).2C9 on sensitivity to acenocoumarol.*1/*3 + *3/*3: A- *3: higher risk of lower acenocoumarol dose (corr. OR 6.02, 95% CI 1.50-24.18).Blood 2002;99:4237-9.*1/*3 + *3/*3: A- *3: higher risk of lower acenocoumarol dose (corr. OR 6.02, 95% CI 1.50-24.18).ref. 31 Verstuyft C et al.2 *3/*3:DPatient 1, 18 years: INR= 9 without bleeding events after 3 days of 4 mg/day acenocoumarol. Dosing interrupted for 2 days then resumed at 0.5 mg/day gave INR 2-3. No co-medi- cation. Genotype was *3/*3.rion among cyto- chrome P450 2C9 poor metabolizers.*3/*3:DPatient 2, 82 years: INR > 9 without bleeding events after 4 days of 4 mg/day acenocoumarol. Dosing interrupted for 3 days then resumed at 0.5 mg/day gave INR 2-3. The patient used the CYP2C9 inhibitor amiodarone (200 mg/day) + other co-medication. Genotype was *3/*3.ref. 32 Tef. 32 Thijssen HH et al.2 Patient had an INR > 8 after a loading regimen of 4, 2 and 1 mg acenocoumarol. Stable INR of 2-3 after 5 weeks with doseAuthors' conclu- sion:	Differential effects of			
chrome P-450 CYP- 2C9 on sensitivity to acenocoumarol.*2/*2: A *1/*3 + *3/*3: A2.70, 95% CI 1.11-1.17). * 3: higher risk of lower acenocoumarol dose (corr. OR 6.02, 95% CI 1.50-24.18).Blood 2002;99:4237-9.*1/*3 + *3/*3: A*0.22, 95% CI 1.50-24.18).ref. 31 Verstuyft C et al. Early acenocouma- rol overanticoagula- tion among cyto- chrome P450 2C9 poor metabolizers.2 Patient 1, 18 years: INR= 9 without bleeding events after 3 days of 4 mg/day acenocoumarol. Dosing interrupted for 2 tays of 4 mg/day acenocoumarol. Dosing interrupted for 3 days then resumed at 0.5 mg/day gave INR 2-3. The patient used the CYP2C9 inhibitor amiodarone (200 mg/day) + other co-medication. Genotype was *3/*3.ref. 32 Thijssen HH et al.22Patient had an INR > 8 after a loading regimen of 4, 2 and 1 mg acenocoumarol. Stable INR of 2-3 after 5 weeks with dose	2C9*3 and 2C9*2			
2C9 on sensitivity to acenocoumarol.*1/*3 + *3/*3: A* 3: higher risk of lower acenocoumarol dose (corr. OR 6.02, 95% CI 1.50-24.18).Blood 2002;99:4237-9.* 1/*3 + *3/*3: A* 3: higher risk of lower acenocoumarol dose (corr. OR 6.02, 95% CI 1.50-24.18).ref. 31 Verstuyft C et al. Early acenocouma- rol overanticoagula- tion among cyto- chrome P450 2C9 poor metabolizers. Pharmacogenetics 2001;11:735-7.2Patient 1, 18 years: INR= 9 without bleeding events after 3 days of 4 mg/day acenocoumarol. Dosing interrupted for 2 days then resumed at 0.5 mg/day gave INR 2-3. No co-medi- cation. Genotype was *3/*3. Patient 2, 82 years: INR > 9 without bleeding events after 4 days of 4 mg/day acenocoumarol. Dosing interrupted for 3 days then resumed at 0.5 mg/day gave INR 2-3. The patient used the CYP2C9 inhibitor amiodarone (200 mg/day) + other co-medication. Genotype was *3/*3.ref. 32 Thijssen HH et al.2Patient had an INR > 8 after a loading regimen of 4, 2 and 1 mg acenocoumarol. Stable INR of 2-3 after 5 weeks with doseAuthors' conclu- sion:	variants of cyto-		- *2: higher risk of lower acenocoumarol dose (corr. OR	
acenocoumarol.*1/*3 + *3/*3: A6.02, 95% CI 1.50-24.18).Blood 2002;99:4237-9.Patient 1, 18 years: INR= 9 without bleeding events after 3 days of 4 mg/day acenocoumarol. Dosing interrupted for 2 days then resumed at 0.5 mg/day gave INR 2-3. No co-medi- cation. Genotype was *3/*3.rol overanticoagula- tion among cyto- chrome P450 2C9 poor metabolizers.*3/*3:DPatient 2, 82 years: INR > 9 without bleeding events after 4 days of 4 mg/day acenocoumarol. Dosing interrupted for 3 days of 4 mg/day acenocoumarol. Dosing interrupted for 3 days of 4 mg/day acenocoumarol. Dosing interrupted for 3 days then resumed at 0.5 mg/day gave INR 2-3. The patient used the CYP2C9 inhibitor amiodarone (200 mg/day) + other co-medication. Genotype was *3/*3.ref. 32 Thijssen HH et al.2Patient had an INR > 8 after a loading regimen of 4, 2 and 1 mg acenocoumarol. Stable INR of 2-3 after 5 weeks with doseAuthors' conclu- sion:		*2/*2: A	,	
actenicodumation*3/*3: A*0.02, 95% CI 1.50-24.16).Blood2002;99:4237-9.*3/*3: Aref. 312Patient 1, 18 years: INR= 9 without bleeding events after 3 days of 4 mg/day acenocoumarol. Dosing interrupted for 2Kerstuyft C et al.*3/*3: DEarly acenocouma- rol overanticoagula- tion among cyto- chrome P450 2C9 poor metabolizers.*3/*3: Ddays of 4 mg/day acenocoumarol. Dosing interrupted for 3 days then resumed at 0.5 mg/day gave INR 2-3. The patient used the CYP2C9 inhibitor amiodarone (200 mg/day) + other co-medication. Genotype was *3/*3.ref. 32 Thijssen HH et al.2Patient had an INR > 8 after a loading regimen of 4, 2 and 1 mg acenocoumarol. Stable INR of 2-3 after 5 weeks with dose	2C9 on sensitivity to	*1/*0 ·		
Diode 2002;99:4237-9.Patient 1, 18 years: INR= 9 without bleeding events after 3 days of 4 mg/day acenocoumarol. Dosing interrupted for 2ref. 312Patient 1, 18 years: INR= 9 without bleeding events after 3 days of 4 mg/day acenocoumarol. Dosing interrupted for 2Early acenocouma- rol overanticoagula- tion among cyto- chrome P450 2C9 poor metabolizers.*3/*3:Ddays then resumed at 0.5 mg/day gave INR 2-3. No co-medi- cation. Genotype was *3/*3.Patient 2, 82 years: INR > 9 without bleeding events after 4 days of 4 mg/day acenocoumarol. Dosing interrupted for 3 days then resumed at 0.5 mg/day gave INR 2-3. The patient used the CYP2C9 inhibitor amiodarone (200 mg/day) + other co-medication. Genotype was *3/*3.Authors' conclu- sion:ref. 32 Thijssen HH et al.2Patient had an INR > 8 after a loading regimen of 4, 2 and 1 mg acenocoumarol. Stable INR of 2-3 after 5 weeks with doseAuthors' conclu- sion:			6.02, 95% CI 1.50-24.18).	
ref. 312Patient 1, 18 years: INR= 9 without bleeding events after 3 days of 4 mg/day acenocoumarol. Dosing interrupted for 2Early acenocouma- rol overanticoagula- tion among cyto- chrome P450 2C9*3/*3:Ddays then resumed at 0.5 mg/day gave INR 2-3. No co-medi- cation. Genotype was *3/*3. Patient 2, 82 years: INR > 9 without bleeding events after 4 days of 4 mg/day acenocoumarol. Dosing interrupted for 3 days of 4 mg/day acenocoumarol. Dosing interrupted for 3 days of 4 mg/day acenocoumarol. Dosing interrupted for 3 days then resumed at 0.5 mg/day gave INR 2-3. The patient used the CYP2C9 inhibitor amiodarone (200 mg/day) + other co-medication. Genotype was *3/*3.ref. 32 Thijssen HH et al.2Patient had an INR > 8 after a loading regimen of 4, 2 and 1 mg acenocoumarol. Stable INR of 2-3 after 5 weeks with doseAuthors' conclu- sion:	Blood	°3/°3: A		
Verstuyft C et al. Early acenocouma- rol overanticoagula- tion among cyto- chrome P450 2C9 poor metabolizers.*3/*3:Ddays of 4 mg/day acenocoumarol. Dosing interrupted for 2 days then resumed at 0.5 mg/day gave INR 2-3. No co-medi- cation. Genotype was *3/*3. Patient 2, 82 years: INR > 9 without bleeding events after 4 days of 4 mg/day acenocoumarol. Dosing interrupted for 3 days then resumed at 0.5 mg/day gave INR 2-3. The patient used the CYP2C9 inhibitor amiodarone (200 mg/day) + other co-medication. Genotype was *3/*3.ref. 32 Thijssen HH et al.2Patient had an INR > 8 after a loading regimen of 4, 2 and 1 mg acenocoumarol. Stable INR of 2-3 after 5 weeks with doseAuthors' conclu- sion:	2002;99:4237-9.			
Early acenocouma- rol overanticoagula- tion among cyto- chrome P450 2C9 poor metabolizers.*3/*3:Ddays then resumed at 0.5 mg/day gave INR 2-3. No co-medi- cation. Genotype was *3/*3. Patient 2, 82 years: INR > 9 without bleeding events after 4 days of 4 mg/day acenocoumarol. Dosing interrupted for 3 days then resumed at 0.5 mg/day gave INR 2-3. The patient used the CYP2C9 inhibitor amiodarone (200 mg/day) + other co-medication. Genotype was *3/*3.ref. 32 Thijssen HH et al.2Patient had an INR > 8 after a loading regimen of 4, 2 and 1 mg acenocoumarol. Stable INR of 2-3 after 5 weeks with doseAuthors' conclu- sion:	ref. 31	2		
rol overanticoagula- tion among cyto- chrome P450 2C9 poor metabolizers.cation. Genotype was *3/*3. Patient 2, 82 years: INR > 9 without bleeding events after 4 days of 4 mg/day acenocoumarol. Dosing interrupted for 3 days then resumed at 0.5 mg/day gave INR 2-3. The patient used the CYP2C9 inhibitor amiodarone (200 mg/day) + other co-medication. Genotype was *3/*3.ref. 32 Thijssen HH et al.2Patient had an INR > 8 after a loading regimen of 4, 2 and 1 mg acenocoumarol. Stable INR of 2-3 after 5 weeks with doseAuthors' conclu- sion:	-			
tion among cyto- chrome P450 2C9 poor metabolizers.*3/*3:DPatient 2, 82 years: INR > 9 without bleeding events after 4 days of 4 mg/day acenocoumarol. Dosing interrupted for 3 days then resumed at 0.5 mg/day gave INR 2-3. The patient used the CYP2C9 inhibitor amiodarone (200 mg/day) + other co-medication. Genotype was *3/*3.ref. 32 Thijssen HH et al.2Patient had an INR > 8 after a loading regimen of 4, 2 and 1 mg acenocoumarol. Stable INR of 2-3 after 5 weeks with doseAuthors' conclu- sion:	Early acenocouma-	*3/*3:D	days then resumed at 0.5 mg/day gave INR 2-3. No co-medi-	
chrome P450 2C9 poor metabolizers.*3/*3:Ddays of 4 mg/day acenocoumarol. Dosing interrupted for 3 days then resumed at 0.5 mg/day gave INR 2-3. The patient used the CYP2C9 inhibitor amiodarone (200 mg/day) + other co-medication. Genotype was *3/*3.Authors' conclu- sion:ref. 32 Thijssen HH et al.2Patient had an INR > 8 after a loading regimen of 4, 2 and 1 mg acenocoumarol. Stable INR of 2-3 after 5 weeks with doseAuthors' conclu- sion:	-			
poor metabolizers. Pharmacogenetics 2001;11:735-7.days then resumed at 0.5 mg/day gave INR 2-3. The patient used the CYP2C9 inhibitor amiodarone (200 mg/day) + other co-medication. Genotype was *3/*3.ref. 32 Thijssen HH et al.2Patient had an INR > 8 after a loading regimen of 4, 2 and 1 mg acenocoumarol. Stable INR of 2-3 after 5 weeks with doseAuthors' conclu- sion:	tion among cyto-			
Pharmacogenetics used the CYP2C9 inhibitor amiodarone (200 mg/day) + other 2001;11:735-7. co-medication. Genotype was *3/*3. ref. 32 2 Thijssen HH et al. Patient had an INR > 8 after a loading regimen of 4, 2 and 1 mg acenocoumarol. Stable INR of 2-3 after 5 weeks with dose	chrome P450 2C9	*3/*3:D		
2001;11:735-7.co-medication. Genotype was *3/*3.ref. 322Patient had an INR > 8 after a loading regimen of 4, 2 and 1Authors' conclu-Thijssen HH et al.mg acenocoumarol. Stable INR of 2-3 after 5 weeks with dosesion:	poor metabolizers.		days then resumed at 0.5 mg/day gave INR 2-3. The patient	
ref. 322Patient had an INR > 8 after a loading regimen of 4, 2 and 1Authors' conclu-Thijssen HH et al.mg acenocoumarol. Stable INR of 2-3 after 5 weeks with dosesion:	Pharmacogenetics			
Thijssen HH et al. mg acenocoumarol. Stable INR of 2-3 after 5 weeks with dose sion:	2001;11:735-7.			
	ref. 32	2	Patient had an INR > 8 after a loading regimen of 4, 2 and 1	Authors' conclu-
Altered pharmacoki- *3/*11: regimen 1-1-0-1-1-0 mg/day. 'This case sug-	Thijssen HH et al.		mg acenocoumarol. Stable INR of 2-3 after 5 weeks with dose	sion:
	Altered pharmacoki-	*3/*11:	regimen 1-1-0-1-1-0 mg/day.	'This case sug-

netics of R- and S- acenocoumarol in a subject heterozy- gous for CYP2C9*3. Clin Pharmacol Ther 2001;70:292-8. and Rettie AE et al. A case study of ace- nocoumarol sensiti- vity and genotype- phenotype discor- dancy explained by combinations of polymorphisms in VKORC1 and CYP- 2C9. Br J Clin Pharmacol 2006;62:617-20.	D	Rettie et al.: the patient was *3/*11 and VKORC1 homozygous variant. Case-control study with this patient as the case, *3/*11, and 1 control, *1/*1. Single dose of 8 mg acenocoumarol, co-medication not known; - *3/*11: the S-acenocoumarol AUC increased from 140 to 2280 h·µg/L, the t½ from 1.8 to 8.1 h, and the Clor decrea- sed from 28.5 to 1.8 L/h versus *1/*1. The R-acenocouma- rol AUC increased from 2060 to 4090 h·µg/L, the t½ from 6.6 to 10.2 h, and the Clor decreased from 1.9 to 1 L/h.	gests that <i>CYP</i> - 2 <i>C</i> 9*11 should be included in routine test panels for genotyping of oral anticoagulant pa- tients.'
ref. 33 Thijssen HH et al. The possession of the CYP2C9*3 allele is associated with low dose require- ment of acenocou- marol. Pharmacogenetics 2000;10:757-60.	4 *1/*3 + *2/*3: A *1/*2: AA	 35 patients, ≥ 3 months stable anticoagulant therapy on acenocoumarol, no relevant co-medication; 13x dose ≤ 1 mg/day: 3x *1/*1, 2x *1/*2, 7x *1/*3, 1x *2/*3; the chance of *3 is significantly increased versus the 2-5 mg/day dose group (OR 24.3) and versus the ≥ 7 mg/day dose group (OR 17.0). The chance of *2 was NS different from the other two dose groups. The R-acenocoumarol C_{ss} decreased from 27.4 to 16.2 ng/mL versus the 2-5 mg/day dose group (NS). 13x dose ≥ 7 mg/day: 9x *1/*1, 4x *1/*2; 9x dose ≥ 7 mg/day: 8x *1/*1, 1x *1/*2; the R-acenocoumarol C_{ss} increased from 27.4 to 30.9 ng/mL versus the 2-5 mg/day dose group (NS). 	

Polymorphism for VKORC1, use of CYP2C9 inhibitors

Comments:

- After 2006, studies that only looked at an association with the maintenance dose, but in which the maintenance dose was not determined per genotype or genotype group (for example, genome-wide association or case-control studies) and cases that were identified based only on the INR were not included in the status report. The reason for this is that these articles supplied insufficient new data.

The only articles included after 2010 are those that included more than 100 patients, as other articles supplied insufficient new data.

Dose algorithms:

Articles investigating dose algorithms were only included if the algorithm found was stated in the article.

 Ragia G et al. A novel acenocoumarol pharmacogenomic dosing algorithm for the Greek population of EU-PACT trial. Pharmacogenomics 2017;18:23-34. PubMed PMID: 27967328.

An algorithm for the acenocoumarol maintenance dose was developed on the basis of data from 140 Greek patients, who reached acenocoumarol stable dose in the EU-PACT trial (Verhoef 2013). The algorithm was computationally validated in the same cohort (by testing it on randomly selected groups of 70 patients from this cohort). The algorithm explained 53% of the variation in dose requirement. CYP2C9 was responsible for 3.8% of the variation in dose requirement, while VKORC1 explained 31.3% of the variation in dose requirement.

The algorithm found was:

Log₁₀ (Dose) = 0.555 - 0.034*CYP2C9 - 0.160*VKORC1 - 0.004*age [years] + 0.004*weight [kg], CYP2C9 genotype is 1 for CYP2C9*1/*1, 2 for CYP2C9*1/*2, 3 for CYP2C9*1/*3, 4 for CYP2C9*2/*2 and 5 for CYP2C9*2/*3. VKORC1 genotype is 1 for GG, 2 for GA and 3 for AA.

- Tong HY et al. A new pharmacogenetic algorithm to predict the most appropriate dosage of acenocoumarol for stable anticoagulation in a mixed Spanish population. PLoS One 2016;11:e0150456. PubMed PMID:

26977927.

An algorithm for the acenocoumarol maintenance dose was developed on the basis of data from 554 Spanish patients. The validation cohort consisted of 128 patients. The algorithm explained 52.8% of the variation in dose requirement in the generation cohort and 64% in the validation cohort. CYP2C9 was responsible for 14.3% of the variation in dose requirement, while VKORC1 explained 22.9% of the variation in dose requirement.

The algorithm found was:

Ln (mean weekly acenocoumarol dose) = $3.181 - 0.010^{*}$ age (years) + 0.005^{*} weight (kg) + 0.070 (if enzyme inducer is used) - 0.337 (if amiodarone is used) - 0.111 (if CYP2C9^{*}1/^{*}2) - 0.323 (if CYP2C9^{*}1/^{*}3) - 0.691 (if CYP2C9^{*}2/^{*}2 or ^{*}2/^{*}3 or ^{*}3/^{*}3) - 0.302 (if VKORC1 GA) - 0.727 (if VKORC1 AA) + 0.214 (if CYP4F2 MM) + 0.086 (if INR target is 2.5-3.5).

 Krishna Kumar D et al. An acenocoumarol dosing algorithm exploiting clinical and genetic factors in South Indian (Dravidian) population. Eur J Clin Pharmacol 2015;71:173-81. PubMed PMID: 25519826.
 An algorithm for the acenocoumarol maintenance dose was developed on the basis of data from 217 South-Indian patients. The algorithm was validated in the same cohort (by comparing the predicted doses with those predicted by a clinical algorithm in patients requiring either a low dose (≤ 10.5 mg/week), intermediate dose (≥ 10.5 mg/week and ≤ 35 mg/week) or high dose (≥ 35 mg/week)). The algorithm explained 61.5% of the variation in dose requirement. CYP2C9 *3 was responsible for 16.4% of the variation in dose requirement, while VKORC1 -1639G>A explained 28.6% of the variation in dose requirement. The algorithm found was:

Log₁₀ dose = 0.436 - 0.004*(age) + 0.018*(BMI) - 0.239*(VKORC1 -1639G>A) - 0.163*(CYP2C9*2) - 0.293* (CYP2C9*3) + 0.043*(CYP4F2) - 0.142*(GGCX) + 0.057*(VKORC1 rs7294)

Cerezo-Manchado JJ et al. Creating a genotype-based dosing algorithm for acenocoumarol steady dose. Thromb Haemost 2013;109:146-153.

An algorithm for the acenocoumarol maintenance dose was developed on the basis of data from 973 patients. The validation cohort consisted of 2683 patients. The algorithm explained 48% of the variation in dose requirement. CYP2C9 was responsible for 5.7% of the variation in dose requirement, while VKORC1 explained 23% of the variation in dose requirement.

The algorithm found was:

 $\sqrt{\text{weekly}}$ acenocoumarol dose=A+(-ay²-by+c)*(dz²+ez+f)+[VKORC1 GG or GA or AA] + [CYP4F2 TT or CT or CC] + [CYP2C9 11 or 12 or 13 or 22 or 23 or 33]. y = age, z = $\sqrt{\text{height}}$ in cm*(weight in kg)/3600

Smires FZ et al. Influence of genetics and non-genetic factors on acenocoumarol maintenance dose requirement in Moroccan patients. J Clin Pharm Ther. 2012;37:594-8. PMID: 22486182.
 See summary in the risk analysis. The authors developed the following algorithm: Acenocoumarol dose (mg/week) = 28.32 /7.24 (if INR target between 3.0-4.0) or +14.48 (if INR target between 3.5-4.5) - 6.30*number of VKORC1 variant alleles – 7.57*number of CYP2C9 variant alleles. This algorithm explained 36.2% of the dose variation.

Rathore SS et al. Therapeutic dosing of acenocoumarol: proposal of a population specific pharmacogenetic dosing algorithm and its validation in North Indians. PloS ONE 2012;7:e37844.
 An algorithm for the acenocoumarol maintenance dose was developed on the basis of data from 125 North Indian patients with a target INR of 2.0-3.5. The algorithm was validated in a cohort including 100 patients. The algorithm explained 41.4% of the variation in dose requirement. None of the CYP2C9 polymorphisms were significantly associated with acenocoumarol sensitivity or resistance. The minor influence of CYP2C9 in this algorithm may be explained by the low frequency of CYP2C9*2 and *3 in this population. The algorithm found was:

Dose (mg/day) = $3.082 - 0.013^*$ (smoking, 1 for smoker and 0 for non-smoker) - 0.433^* (sex, 1 for male and 0 for female) - 0.004^* (age in years) + indication (0.327 for mitral and aortic valve replacement and -0.092 for aortic valve replacement) + 0.026^* (height in centimetres) + 0.151^* (weight in kilograms) - 7.660*(body surface area in cm²) - 0.862 (VKORC1 GA) - 2.257 (VKORC1 AA) - 0.049 (CYP2C9 *1/*2) - 0.456 (CYP2C9 *1/*3) + 0.449 (CYP4F2 GA) + 0.230 (CYP4F2 AA) + 0.245 (GGCX CG) + 1.055 (GGCX GG)

van Schie RM et al. Loading and maintenance dose algorithms for phenprocoumon and acenocoumarol using patient characteristics and pharmacogenetic data. Eur Heart J 2011;32:1909–1917.
 An algorithm for the acenocoumarol maintenance dose was developed on the basis of data from 375 acenocoumarol users with a target INR of 2.0-3.5. The algorithm was validated in an independent dataset including 168 acenocoumarol users, of whom no height or weight parameters were known. As the acenocoumarol half-life is low, no separate loading dose is needed. The loading dose can therefore be calculated by multiplying the calculated maintenance dose per day by three and administering that quantity over the first 3 days of therapy. The algorithm explained 52.6% of the variation in dose requirement, and the CYP2C9 polymorphism explained 4.5% of the variation. The mean absolute error in the calculated maintenance dose was 0.52 mg/day. These numbers were 49.0% and 0.57 mg/day respectively for the

validation set. A randomised controlled trial is needed to test whether the use of this algorithm leads to improvement of control and safety of acenocoumarol therapy.

The algorithm found was:

 $\sqrt{\text{(mean maintenance dose (mg/week))}} = 4.117 - 0 (if CYP2C9*1/*1) - 0.093 (if CYP2C9*1/*2) - 0.519 (if CYP2C9*1/*3) - 0.435 (if CYP2C9*2/*2) - 0.466 (if CYP2C9*2/*3) - 1.375 (if CYP2C9*3/*3) - 0 (if VKORC1 CC) - 0.572 (if VKORC1 CT) - 1.267 (if VKORC1 TT) - 0.027 * age (years) + 0.271 (if female) + 0.009 * height (cm) + 0.010 * weight (kg) - 0.377 (if amiodarone user)$

Ragia G et al. A novel acenocoumarol pharmacogenomic dosing algorithm for the Greek population of EU-PACT trial. Pharmacogenomics 2017;18:23-34. PubMed PMID: 27967328: The median acenocoumarol doses predicted by the EU-PACT algorithm were significantly higher than the median stable doses for the 140 Greek patients who achieved stable acenocoumarol doses in the EU-PACT trial. The predicted doses were also significantly too high for the following subgroups: CYP2C9 *1/*1, CYP2C9 *1/*2, normal responders (patients having either no CYP2C9 and VKORC1 variant or one variant other than CYP2C9*3), sensitive responders (patients having either CYP2C9 *1/*3 or CYP2C9 *2/*2 in combination with no or one VKORC1 variants or CYP2C9 *2/*3 in combination with no VKORC1 variant or CYP2C9 *1/*2 in combination with one or two VKORC1 variants or CYP2C9 *1/*1 in combination with two VKORC1 variants), highly sensitive responders (patients having either CYP2C9 *3/*3 or having CYP2C9 *2/*3 in combination with one or two VKORC1 variants or CYP2C9 *1/*3 or CYP2C9 *2/*2 in combination with wo VKORC1 variants).

 Verde Z et al. A novel, single algorithm approach to predict acenocoumarol dose based on CYP2C9 and VKORC1 allele variants. PLoS One 2010;5:e11210.

A single algorithm to predict which patients would require high-dose or low-dose acenocoumarol was developed on the basis of data from 193 acenocoumarol users with a target INR of 3.0-4.0 or 2.0-3.0. The algorithm was not validated in an independent dataset. The algorithm consists of a single number (the acenocoumarol dose genotype score (AGS)) obtained by adding up the number of wild-type alleles of five polymorphisms (CYP2C9*2, CYP2C9*3, VKORC1 -1639G>A, VKORC1 497T>G and VKORC1 1173C>T) and to express that number as a percentage of the maximum score. NOTE: as the authors did not consider that VKORC1 -1639G>A and VKORC1 1173C>T are linked, they inadvertently included the greater effect of this polymorphism in their algorithm.

The mean AGS was significantly higher in the high-dose group (> 28 mg/week) than in the low-dose group (< 7 mg/week). Patients with an AGS > 70 had an increased chance of requiring a high dose (OR = 3.347; 95% CI = 1.112-10.075). Patients with an AGS ≤ 60 had an increased chance of needing a low dose (OR = 2.356; 95% CI = 1.094-5.073). The results were the same after correction for relevant co-medication.

- Markatos CN et al. VKORC1 and CYP2C9 allelic variants influence acenocoumarol dose requirements in Greek patients. Pharmacogenomics 2008;9:1631-8.

An algorithm for the acenocoumarol maintenance dose was developed on the basis of data from 98 acenocoumarol users with a target INR of 2.0-3.0. The algorithm was not validated. The algorithm found was:

Log (dose (mg/day)) = 1.083 - 0.004 * age (years) - 0.188 * VKORC1 genotype (1 for CC, 2 for GA, 3 for AA) - 0.073 * CYP2C9 genotype (1 for *1/*1, 2 for *1/*2, 3 for *1/*3, 4 for *2/*2, 5 for *2/*3)

Cost-effectiveness

Schalekamp et al., 2006 reports that there are various scenarios where the cost-effectiveness of CYP2C9-based acenocoumarol therapy could be plausible:

"The marginal cost to avoid 1 major bleeding episode by CYP2C9 genotyping appears to be sensitive to a number of parameters. Some of these parameters are virtually unknown (reduction of major bleeding rate in carriers of a CYP2C9 polymorphism), vary between populations (major bleeding rate in wild-type subjects and prevalence of CYP2C9 polymorphisms), or change in time (cost of genotyping). These uncertainties, especially the ability to reduce the major bleeding rate by CYP2C9 genotyping, prevent us from concluding unequivocally that CYP2C9 genotyping is valuable in addition to INR monitoring in anticoagulation clinics. However, our base case example, our sensitivity analyses, and our threshold analysis all show that, even in a setting characterized by intensive INR monitoring, CYP2C9 genotyping could be a cost-effective strategy under certain circumstances and a potentially useful addition to INR monitoring."

Date of literature search: 26 January 2018.

	Genotype	Code	Gene-drug interaction	Action	Date
Dutch Pharmacogenetics	*1/*2	4 F	Yes	No	14 May 2018
Working Group decision	*1/*3	4 F	Yes	No	
	*2/*2	4 F	Yes	No	
	*2/*3	4 F	Yes	No	
	*3/*3	4 F	Yes	No	

IM	4 F	Yes	No
PM	4 F	Yes	No

Mechanism:

Acenocoumarol consists of a racemic mixture. The anticoagulant effect of the S-enantiomer is more potent than that of the R-enantiomer. However, the S-enantiomer is eliminated more rapidly, which makes the R-enantiomer predominantly responsible for the anticoagulant effect.

The S-enantiomer is almost fully metabolised by CYP2C9 by hydroxylation. The R-enantiomer is metabolised by CYP1A2, CYP3A4, CYP2C9 and CYP2C19.

A genetic polymorphism in CYP2C9 leads to decreased metabolic capacity of the enzyme, which may cause increased S-acenocoumarol plasma concentrations and to a lesser extent increased R-acenocoumarol plasma concentrations.