

CYP2D6: amitriptyline

2569-2571

AMI = amitriptyline, AUC = area under the concentration-time curve, AUC = AUC $_{0-\infty}$ = AUC extrapolated to infinity, AUC $_{0-48h}$ = AUC during the first 48 hours after medicine intake, Clor = oral clearance, Css = steady state concentration, CTCAE = common terminology criteria for adverse events, IM = intermediate metaboliser (gene dose 0.25-1) (decreased CYP2D6 enzyme activity), MR = metabolic ratio, NM = normal metaboliser (gene dose 1.25-2.5) (normal CYP2D6 enzyme activity), NS = non-significant, NORT = nortriptyline, PM = poor metaboliser (gene dose 0) (absent CYP2D6 enzyme activity), S = significant, SmPC = Summary of Product Characteristics, UM = ultra-rapid metaboliser (gene dose \geq 2.75) (increased CYP2D6 enzyme activity)

Disclaimer: The Pharmacogenetics Working Group of the KNMP formulates the optimal recommendations for each phenotype group based on the available evidence. If this optimal recommendation cannot be followed due to practical restrictions, e.g. therapeutic drug monitoring or a lower dose is not available, the health care professional should consider the next best option.

Brief summary and justification of choices:

Amitriptyline is mainly converted by CYP2C19-mediated N-demethylation to the active metabolite nortriptyline. Both amitriptyline and nortriptyline are metabolised by CYP2D6 to 10-hydroxy metabolites, predominantly E-10-hydroxy metabolites. Amitriptyline is approximately three times as potent as E-10-OH-amitriptyline. Nortriptyline is approximately twice as potent as E-10-OH-nortriptyline. Nortriptyline is converted by CYP2D6 and CYP2C19 to the inactive metabolite didesmethylamitriptyline (desmethylnortriptyline).

The therapeutic range is an amitriptyline+nortriptyline plasma concentration of 100-300 ng/ml and values higher than 400 ng/ml are considered to be toxic. The Z-hydroxy metabolites can cause cardiotoxicity and plasma concentrations of Z-hydroxy nortriptyline or Z-hydroxy amitriptyline higher than 40 ng/ml are considered to be toxic.

Genetic variants in CYP2D6 can result in a decreased CYP2D6 enzyme activity (intermediate metabolisers (IM)), an absent CYP2D6 enzyme activity (poor metabolisers (PM)) or an increased CYP2D6 enzyme activity (ultra-rapid metabolisers (UM)).

All kinetic studies except for Scherf-Clavel 2023, which uses genotype predicted phenotypes that are crudely corrected for comedication, showed significant differences for patients with CYP2D6 gene variants (in the ratio between the 10-hydroxy metabolites and amitriptyline and nortriptyline, the ratio between amitriptyline and nortriptyline and/or the nortriptyline or amitriptyline+nortriptyline exposure) (Scherf-Clavel 2022, Matthaei 2021, Ryu 2017, de Vos 2011, Halling 2008, Koski 2006, Steimer 2005, Steimer 2004, Shimoda 2002, Mellstrom 1986, and Baumann 1986). This indicates the presence of a CYP2D6-amitriptyline interaction.

- IM: One of the studies identified a correlation between IM and an increase in side effects (Steimer 2005, 17 IM). Therapy adjustment is therefore desirable (yes/yes-interaction). The weighted mean of the dose adjustment calculated on the basis of the increase in exposure of amitriptyline+nortriptyline is a dose reduction to 85% of the normal dose (median 71%, ranging per study from 71-93%) (based on a total of 63 IM from 3 studies (Ruy 2017, de Vos 2011, and Steimer 2004)). A dose reduction of 15% is actually too low to be clinically significant and thus, to be recommended. Because of the observed increase in side effects in Steimer 2005 and because of the higher median calculated dose reduction, the KNMP Pharmacogenetics Working Group decided to recommend the smallest clinically relevant dose reduction for IM, i.e. a reduction with 25% to 75% of the normal dose.
- PM: There are insufficient data available for PM patients, but on theoretical grounds, the effect is expected to be more potent than that in IM. For this reason, the KNMP Pharmacogenetics Working Group decided that therapy adjustment is required for this gene-drug interaction (yes/yes-interaction). The weighted mean of the dose adjustment calculated on the basis of the increase in C_{ss} amitriptyline+nortriptyline is a dose reduction to 62% of the normal dose (median 68%, ranging per study from 46-69%) (based on a total of 20 PM from 3 studies (Scherf-Clavel 2022, de Vos 2011, and Halling 2008)). This was rounded off to 60% to be more achievable in clinical practice.
- UM: One case found a correlation between UM and therapy failure (Bertilsson 1985). For this reason, the KNMP Pharmacogenetics Working Group decided that therapy adjustment is required for this gene-drug interaction (yes/yes-interaction). The weighted mean of the dose adjustment calculated on the basis of the change in C_{ss} amitriptyline+nortriptyline is a dose increase to 156% of the normal dose (median 183%, ranging per study from 60-197%) (results derived from 3 studies or case reports including a total of 4 UM). This was rounded off to

160% to be more achievable in clinical practice.

As hydroxy metabolites may have a cardiotoxic effect, an alternative is suggested as a second option. You can find an overview of the observed kinetic and clinical consequences per phenotype in the background information text of the gene-drug interactions in the KNMP Kennisbank. You might also have access to this background information text via your pharmacy or physician electronic decision support system.

Recommendation concerning pre-emptive genotyping, including justification of choices:

The KNMP Pharmacogenetics Working Group considers genotyping before starting amitriptyline to be potentially beneficial for the prevention of side effects and for drug efficacy. Genotyping can be considered on an individual patient basis. If, however, the genotype is available, the KNMP Pharmacogenetics Working Group recommends adhering to the gene-drug guideline.

The clinical implication of the gene-drug interaction scores 1 out of the maximum of 10 points (with pre-emptive genotyping considered to be potentially beneficial for scores ranging from 0 to 2 points) (see also the clinical implication score tables at the end of this risk analysis):

No severe clinical effects were observed in users of amitriptyline with a variant phenotype. The maximum severity code was C corresponding to CTCAE grade 2. This results in a score of 0 out of the maximum of 2 points for the first criterion of the clinical implication score, the clinical effect associated with the gene-drug interaction (only points for CTCAE grade \geq 3).

The lack of a severe clinical effect also results in a score of 0 of the maximum of 3 points for the second and third criterion of the clinical implication score: the level of evidence supporting an associated clinical effect grade \geq 3 and the number needed to genotype (NNG) in the Dutch population to prevent one clinical effect code \geq D (grade \geq 3). The Summary of Product Characteristics (SmPC) of amitriptyline mentions the CYP2D6 PM phenotype, but does not mention this phenotype as a contra-indication and does not recommend pre-emptive genotyping. This results in 1 out of the maximum of 2 points for the fourth and last criterion of the clinical implication score, the pharmacogenetics information in the SmPC (1 point for at least one genotype/phenotype mentioned in the SmPC, but not mentioned as a contra-indication and no recommendation to genotype).

The table below uses the KNMP nomenclature for NM, PM, IM and UM. As a result, the definitions of NM, PM, IM and UM in the table below can differ from the definitions used by the authors in the article.

| Source | Code | Effect | Comments |
|------------------------|------|--|--|
| ref. 1 | 3 | 100 inpatients with a depressive episode from two | Authors' conclusion: |
| Scherf-Clavel M et al. | | cohorts were treated with amitriptyline. Data were only | 'The data stress the |
| Effect of CYP2D6 | | reported on 80 of these patients. The largest of the two | relevance of pheno- |
| pharmacogenetic | | cohorts included all patients, regardless of their diagno- | conversion-informed |
| phenotype and | | sis, for whom therapeutic drug monitoring was available | PGx in psychophar- |
| phenoconversion on | | and genotyping was requested. The smaller cohort inclu- | macological treat- |
| serum concentrations | | ded only patients suffering from a depressive episode. | ment and suggest |
| of antidepressants | | The largest of the two cohorts was the same as the lar- | that phenoconver- sion should be inclu- |
| and antipsychotics: a | | gest cohort in Scherf-Clavel 2022, so the patient groups | ded in PGx result |
| retrospective cohort | | of both studies probably overlap. | interpretation when |
| study. | | To correct for comedication, the activity score of CYP2D6 | PGx is implemented |
| Int J Clin Pharm | | in patients receiving a moderate and strong CYP2D6 inhi- | in routine clinical |
| 2023;45:1107-17. | | bitor was multiplied with 0.5, and 0, respectively. | care, especially |
| PMID: 37166747. | | Dimensional outliers (≥ 3 SD from the mean) from dose- | before initiating ami- |
| | | corrected serum concentrations were set as missing | triptyline- or risperi- |
| | | data. Benjamini-Hochberg correction with a significance | done-treatment, to |
| | | threshold of p < 0.05 in each analysis (data for 5 different | start with a dose |
| | | drugs were analysed) was performed to correct for | adequate to the |
| | | multiple comparisons, as Bonferroni correction tends to | respective CYP2D6 |
| | | be too conservative for genomic analysis as the data | functional enzyme |
| | | were not completely independent due to the linkage | status.' |
| | | equilibrium. | |
| | | Relevant comedication was not excluded, but a correc- | |
| | | tion factor was applied to account for the effect of CYP- | |
| | | 2D6-inhibiting comedication on CYP2D6 activity. It is not | |
| | | clear from the article why data were only reported on | |
| | | 80% of the patients. The genotype-predicted phenotype | |
| | | of these 80 patients was not reported, neither was the | |
| | | cohort they originated from. | |

| Comedication-corrected genotype-predicted phenotypes: - 42x NM - 29x IM - 9x PM Results: Results for PM versus IM versus NM (comedication-corrected phenotypes) dose-corrected trend for a decrease with increaserum concentration of (NS) mitriptyline + nortriptyline cation-corrected gene dose reached significance (S). The association with the comedication-corrected gene dose reached significance (S). Mote: Genotyping was for *2 through *6, *9, *10, *14, *17, *34, *35, *39, *41, *46, *58, *64, *69, *71, *82, *88, *114, and gene multiplication. These are the most important gene variants in this German population. Tef. 2 Richards-Belle A et al. Associations of antidepressants and antipsychotics with lipid parameters: Do Relevant comedication (CYP2D6 inhibitors and cholesterol-lowering medication) was not excluded and analysis only roughly adjusted for this co-medication (presence or absence of strond/moderate CYP2D6 inhibitors and phenotypes on labsence of strond/moderate CYP2D6 inhibitors and phenotypes o | ref. 1, continuation | | | | | |
|--|---|--------|--|--|---|---|
| PM: AA IM: AA IM | , | | - 42x NM - 29x IM | ected genotype-pred | dicted phenotypes: | |
| PM: AA IM: AA IM | | | Results: | | | |
| PM: AA IM: AA IM | | | | rsus IM versus NM | (comedication- | |
| serum concentration of amitriptyline + nortriptyline + nortrip | | | corrected phenoty | pes) | • | |
| metabolic ratio nortriptyline/ amitriptyline serum concentration below, above or within the therapeutic range Note: Genotyping was for *2 through *6, *9, *10, *14, *17, *34, *35, *39, *41, *46, *58, *64, *69, *71, *82, *88, *114, and gene multiplication. These are the most important gene variants in this German population. Pef. 2 Richards-Belle A et al. Associations of antidepressants and antipsychotics with lipid parameters: Do CYP2C19/CYP2D6 genes play a role? A UK population-based study. J Psychopharmacol 2023;37:396-407. PMID: 36772859. metabolic ratio nortriptyline / amitriptyline serum concentration below, above or within the therapeutic range NS | | | serum concen- | sing CYP2D6 acti | | |
| nortriptyline/ amitriptyline serum concentration below, above or within the therapeutic range Note: Genotyping was for *2 through *6, *9, *10, *14, *17, *34, *35, *39, *41, *46, *58, *64, *69, *71, *82, *88, *114, and gene multiplication. These are the most important gene variants in this German population. 1 Database-derived data of 8308 amitriptyline users were analysed. Associations of antidepressants and antipsychotics with lipid parameters: Do CYP2C19/CYP2D6 antipitors and presence or absence of strong/moderate CYP2D6 inhibitors and presence or absence of strong/moderate CYP2D6 inhibitors and presence or absence of of cholesterol-lowering medication). Analysis was by linear regression, adjusting for age, sex, cholesterol-lowering medication (binary), genetic ancestry group (categorical) and use of strong/moderate CYP2D6 inhibitors (binary). Bonferroni-correction for the total number of outcomes (4) was performed, but not for the number of genes and medications analysed. As a result p < 0.013 (0.05/4) was | | | amitriptyline + | The association w | gene dose | |
| tration below, above or within the therapeutic range Note: Genotyping was for *2 through *6, *9, *10, *14, *17, *34, *35, *39, *41, *46, *58, *64, *69, *71, *82, *88, *114, and gene multiplication. These are the most important gene variants in this German population. Tef. 2 Richards-Belle A et al. Associations of antidepressants and antipsychotics with lipid parameters: Do CYP2C19/CYP2D6 genes play a role? A UK population-based study. J Psychopharmacol J Psychopharmacol 2023;37:396-407. PMID: 36772859. Total Database-derived data of 8308 amitriptyline users were analysed. Relevant comedication (CYP2D6 inhibitors and cholesterol-lowering medication) was not excluded and analysis only roughly adjusted for this co-medication (presence or absence of strong/moderate CYP2D6 inhibitors and presence or absence of cholesterol-lowering medication). Analysis was by linear regression, adjusting for age, sex, cholesterol-lowering medication (binary), genetic ancestry group (categorical) and use of strong/moderate CYP2D6 inhibitors (binary). Bonferroni-correction for the total number of outcomes (4) was performed, but not for the number of genes and medications analysed. As a result p < 0.013 (0.05/4) was | | | nortriptyline/ | NS | | |
| *34, *35, *39, *41, *46, *58, *64, *69, *71, *82, *88, *114, and gene multiplication. These are the most important gene variants in this German population. **ref. 2** Richards-Belle A et al. Associations of antidepressants and antipsychotics with lipid parameters: Do CYP2C19/CYP2D6 genes play a role? A UK population-based study. J Psychopharmacol 2023;37:396-407. PMID: 36772859. **34, *35, *39, *41, *46, *58, *64, *69, *71, *82, *88, *114, and gene multiplication. These are the most important gene variants in this German population. Database-derived data of 8308 amitriptyline users were analysed. (CYP2D6 inhibitors and cholesterol-lowering medication) was not excluded and analysis only roughly adjusted for this co-medication (presence or absence of strong/moderate CYP2D6 inhibitors and presence or absence of cholesterol-lowering medication). Analysis was by linear regression, adjusting for age, sex, cholesterol-lowering medication (binary), genetic ancestry group (categorical) and use of strong/moderate CYP2D6 inhibitors (binary). Bonferroni-correction for the total number of outcomes (4) was performed, but not for the number of genes and medications analysed. As a result p < 0.013 (0.05/4) was | | | tration below, above or within the therapeutic | NS | | |
| Richards-Belle A et al. Associations of antidepressants and antipsychotics with lipid parameters: Do CYP2C19/CYP2D6 genes play a role? A UK population-based study. J Psychopharmacol 2023;37:396-407. PMID: 36772859. Relevant comedication (CYP2D6 inhibitors and cholesterol-lowering medication) was not excluded and analysis of CYP2C19 or CYP2D6 inhibitors and presence of strong/moderate CYP2D6 inhibitors and presence or absence of cholesterol-lowering medication. Analysis was by linear regression, adjusting for age, sex, cholesterol-lowering medication (binary), genetic ancestry group (categorical) and use of strong/moderate CYP2D6 inhibitors (binary). Bonferroni-correction for the total number of outcomes (4) was performed, but not for the number of genes and medications analysed. As a result p < 0.013 (0.05/4) was | | | *34, *35, *39, *41, * and gene multiplica | 46, *58, *64, *69, *7 tion. These are the | 71, *82, *88, *114, most important | |
| Genotyping: - 5916x NM - 1961x IM - 431x PM Results: Results compared to NM: PM IM total cholesterol NS NS LDL-cholesterol NS NS HDL-cholesterol NS NS triglycerides NS NS | Richards-Belle A et al. Associations of antidepressants and antipsychotics with lipid parameters: Do CYP2C19/CYP2D6 genes play a role? A UK population-based study. J Psychopharmacol 2023;37:396-407. | PM: AA | Database-derived of analysed. Relevant comedicaterol-lowering medionly roughly adjusted absence of strong/r presence or absence Analysis was by line cholesterol-lowering group (categorical) inhibitors (binary). Bonferroni-correction was performed, but medications analyst considered significated Genotyping: - 5916x NM - 1961x IM - 431x PM Results: Results compared total cholesterol LDL-cholesterol HDL-cholesterol triglycerides | tion (CYP2D6 inhibited in the cation) was not exceed for this co-medic moderate CYP2D6 in the center of cholesterol-love ear regression, adjugmedication (binary and use of strong/non for the total number ed. As a result p < 0 ant. | itors and choles- luded and analysis ation (presence or nhibitors and vering medication). Isting for age, sex, y), genetic ancestry noderate CYP2D6 Der of outcomes (4) of genes and 0.013 (0.05/4) was IM NS NS NS NS | evidence for a role of CYP2C19 or CYP2D6 metabolic phenotypes on lipid parameters in other medications |
| All NS in the table above concerned NS both before and after Bonferroni-correction. Note: In this study, amitriptyline users were found to have | | | and after Bonferro | ni-correction. | | |

| rides, and lower HDL-cholesterol than amitriptyline non- users. Note: Genotyping was with an Affymetrix array, so for many gene polymorphisms. However, *5, *6 and gene multiplication were not determined. Genotyping still deter- mined the most important gene variants in this British population. ref. 3 Scherf-Clavel M et al. Effects of pharmaco- kinetic gene variation on therapeutic drug levels and antidepres- sant treatment response. Pharmacopsychiatry 2022;55:246-54. Authors' conclusion: 'The present data support previous recommendations to reduce starting doses of amitripty- line and to guide dose-adjustments via the rapeutic drug monitoring was performed in week 3, 5, and 7 of treatment and used to adjust the dose. Patients were analysed after 7 weeks of treatment. The other cohort included patients with unipolar depres- | | • | | T |
|---|----------------------|----------|---|----------------------|
| users. Note: Genotyping was with an Alfymetrix array, so for many gene polymorphisms. However, "5, "6 and gene multiplication were not determined. Genotyping still determined the most important gene variants in this British population. 109 patients from two cohorts (62 and 47 patients from each of the cohorts) were treated with amitripyline (final doss £5.344 mg/dsy (mean143 mg/dsy)). The cohort from which £2 patients were derived, included patients with at least a moderate depressive period (Hamilton Depression Rating Scale-21 (HDRS±1) > 14). Therapeutic drug monitoring was performed in week 3, 5, and 7 of treatment and used to adjust the dose. Patients were analysed after 7 weeks of treatment. 50% of patients were derived and 165 per protocol and used to adjust the dose. Patients were analysed after 6 weeks of treatment. 50% of patients were derived and 38% in the other cohort). Treatment response was defined as ≥ 50% reduction in HDRS+-score. 23% of patients showed remission (30% in the cohort from which the £2 patients were derived and 15% in the other cohort). Adverse drug reactions were observed), change of anti-depressant due to adverse drug reactions was assessed in the other cohort from cohort from which £2 patients were derived (4 mild and 4 medium adverse drug reactions were observed), change of anti-depressant due to adverse drug reactions was assessed in the other cohort from cohort from which £2 patients were derived (4 mild and 4 medium adverse drug reactions were observed), change of anti-depressant due to adverse drug reactions were derived as a HDRS₂-score £7. Trough serum concentrations in steady state were determined. Dimensional outliers (≥ 4 SD from the mean) from (doss-corrected) serum concentrations and metabolic ratio nortriptyline/amitriptyline were set as missing data. Relevant corrected on the total number of genes (7) and the total number of | ref. 2, continuation | | higher total cholesterol, LDL-cholesterol, and triglyce- | |
| Note: Genotyping was with an Affymetrix array, so for many gene polymorphisms. However, "5, "6 and gene multiplication were not determined. Genotyping still determined the most important gene variants in this British population. Schert-Clavel M et al. Effects of pharmaco-kinetic grant of the cohorts) were treated with amtiritypline (final dose 25-340 mg/day (mean143 mg/day)). The obner from which 62 patients were derived, included patients with at least a moderate depressive period (Hamilton Depression Rating Scale-21 (HDRS-1) - 14 (HDRS-1) - 14). The rapseutic drug monitoring was performed in week 3, 6, and 7 of treatment and used to adjust the dose. Patients were analysed after 7 weeks of treatment. The other cohort included patients with unipolar depression. Therapeutic drug monitoring was performed according to the doctor's choice and not per protocol and used to adjust the dose. Patients were analysed after 6 weeks of treatment. 50% of patients were responders (59% in the cohort from which the 62 patients were responders (59% in the cohort from which the 62 patients were derived and 38% in the other cohort). Adverse drug reactions were assessed in the cohort from which 62 patients were derived (4 mild and 4 medium adverse drug reactions were observed), change of anti-depressant due to adverse drug reactions were observed), change of anti-depressant due to adverse drug reactions was assessed in the orthor cohort (boservore dir 1 patient). Clinical improvement was measured as the percentual reduction in the HDRS-+score. Remission was defined as a HDRS-+score in the cohort from which the service of the cohort from which the service of the cohort from which the patient was derived. Pavalues were Bonferroni-corrected for the total number of genes (7) and the total number of drugs (4 for concentrations and 2 for metabolic ratios) investigated. As a result ps 2 0.001 or ps 2 0.002 was considered significant. Genotyping: The number of NM, IM, PM and UM+gene dose 2.5 is not mentioned. Scheft-Clavel 2023 men | | | • • | |
| many gene polymorphisms. However, "5, "6 and gene multiplication were not determined. Genotyping still determined the most important gene variants in this British population. Scharf-Clavel M et al. Effects of pharmacokinetic gene variants in the cohorts, were treated with amintipstyline (final dose 25-340 mg/day (mean143 mg/day)). The cohort from which 62 patients were derived, included patients with at least a moderate depressive period (Hamilton Depression Rating Scale-21 (HDRS₂1)-> 14). Therapeutic drug monitoring was performed in week 3, 5, and 7 of treatment and used to adjust the dose. Patients were analysed after 7 weeks of treatment. The other cohort included patients with unipolar depression. Therapeutic drug monitoring was performed according to the doctor's choice and not per protocol and used to adjust the dose. Patients were enalysed after 6 weeks of treatment. 50% of patients were ensured and 18% in the other cohort). Treatment response was defined as ≥ 50% reduction in IbRS₂s-score. 23% of patients showed remission (30% in the cohort from which the 62 patients were derived 4 and 4 medium adverse drug reactions were assessed in the cohort from which the 62 patients were derived (4 mild and 4 medium adverse drug reactions were assessed in the cohort from which 62 patients were derived (4 mild and 4 medium adverse drug reactions were assessed in the cohort from which 62 patients were derived (4 mild and 4 medium adverse drug reactions were assessed in the cohort from which 62 patients were derived (4 mild and 4 medium adverse drug reactions were assessed in the cohort from which 62 patients were derived (4 mild and 4 medium adverse drug reactions were assessed in the cohort from which 62 patients were deterved (4 mild and 4 medium adverse drug reactions was assessed in the other cohort.) Treatment received (4 mild and 4 medium adverse drug reactions were assessed in the cohort from which 62 patients were determined. Dimensional outliers (2 4 SD from the mean) from (dose-corrected) serior concentr | | | users. | |
| many gene polymorphisms. However, "5, "6 and gene multiplication were not determined. Genotyping still determined the most important gene variants in this British population. Scharf-Clavel M et al. Effects of pharmacokinetic gene variants in the cohorts, were treated with amintipstyline (final dose 25-340 mg/day (mean143 mg/day)). The cohort from which 62 patients were derived, included patients with at least a moderate depressive period (Hamilton Depression Rating Scale-21 (HDRS₂1)-> 14). Therapeutic drug monitoring was performed in week 3, 5, and 7 of treatment and used to adjust the dose. Patients were analysed after 7 weeks of treatment. The other cohort included patients with unipolar depression. Therapeutic drug monitoring was performed according to the doctor's choice and not per protocol and used to adjust the dose. Patients were enalysed after 6 weeks of treatment. 50% of patients were ensured and 18% in the other cohort). Treatment response was defined as ≥ 50% reduction in IbRS₂s-score. 23% of patients showed remission (30% in the cohort from which the 62 patients were derived 4 and 4 medium adverse drug reactions were assessed in the cohort from which the 62 patients were derived (4 mild and 4 medium adverse drug reactions were assessed in the cohort from which 62 patients were derived (4 mild and 4 medium adverse drug reactions were assessed in the cohort from which 62 patients were derived (4 mild and 4 medium adverse drug reactions were assessed in the cohort from which 62 patients were derived (4 mild and 4 medium adverse drug reactions were assessed in the cohort from which 62 patients were derived (4 mild and 4 medium adverse drug reactions were assessed in the cohort from which 62 patients were deterved (4 mild and 4 medium adverse drug reactions was assessed in the other cohort.) Treatment received (4 mild and 4 medium adverse drug reactions were assessed in the cohort from which 62 patients were determined. Dimensional outliers (2 4 SD from the mean) from (dose-corrected) serior concentr | | | Note: Constraing was with an Affrontia arroy as for | |
| ref. 3 Scherf-Clavel M et al. Effects of pharmaco- three variation and therapeutic drug levels and antidepres- sant treatment response. Pharmacopsychiatry 2022;55:246-54, PMID: 35839823. ### Additional Companies of the Cohorts of the Cohort from which the Cohort from which the Cohort from which the Cohort from which Schellents were derived, included patients with at least a moderate depressive period (Hamilton Depression Rating Scale-21 (HDRS⊕) > 14). The response. Pharmacopsychiatry 2022;55:246-54, PMID: 35839823. #### Additional Cohort from Which the Cohort from Which the Cohort included patients with unipolar depression. Therapeutic drug monitoring was performed in week 3, 5, and 7 of treatment and used to adjust thin unipolar depression. Therapeutic drug monitoring was performed according to the doctor's choice and not per protocol and used to adjust the dose. Patients were analysed after 6 weeks of treatment. 50% of patients were responders (59% in the cohort from which the 62 patients were derived and 38% in the other cohort). Adverse drug reactions were observed), change of antidepressant due to adverse drug reactions were assessed in the cohort from which the 2 patients were derived and 4 medium adverse drug reactions were observed), change of antidepressant due to adverse drug reactions was assessed in the other cohort (observed in 1 patient). Clinical improvement was measured as the percentual reduction in the HDRS₂score 7. Trough serum concentrations and metabolic ratio nortriptyline/amitriptyline were set as missing data. Relevant cornecitations and netabolic ratio nortriptyline/amitriptyline were set as missing data. Relevant cornecitations was not excluded, but dose-corrected occorrected occorrected occorrected for the cohort from which the patient was derived. P-values were Bonferroni-corrected for the cohort mehiculate analysis excluding patients using CYP2D6 inhibitors. The authors do not indicate whether the difference in response and remission between the two cohorts is significant | | | | |
| mined the most important gene variants in this British population. ref. 3 109 patients from two cohorts (62 and 47 patients from each of the cohorts) were treated with amtritoplyine (final dose 25-340 mg/day (mean 143 mg/day)). The cohort from which 62 patients were derived, included patients with at least a moderate depressive period (Hamilton Depression Rating Scale-21 (HDRS₂r) > 14). Therapeutic drug evels and not per protocol and used to adjust the dose. Patients were analysed after 7 weeks of treatment. The other cohort included patients with unipolar depression. Therapeutic drug monitoring was performed according to the doctor's choice and not per protocol and used to adjust the dose. Patients were analysed after 6 weeks of treatment. 50% of patients were responders (59% in the cohort from which the 62 patients were derived and 38% in the other cohort). Treatment response was defined as ≥ 50% reduction in HDRS₂r-score. 23% of patients showed remission (30% in the cohort from which the 62 patients were derived and 15% in the other cohort). Adverse drug reactions were assessed in the cohort from which the 42 patients were derived and 15% in the other cohort). Adverse drug reactions were observed), change of antidepressand due to adverse drug reactions were observed), change of antidepressand due to adverse drug reactions were observed), change of antidepressand due to adverse drug reactions were observed), change of antidepressand use to adverse drug reactions were observed), change of antidepressand use to adverse drug reactions were observed), change of antidepressand use to adverse drug reactions were observed), change of antidepressand use to adverse drug reactions were observed), change of antidepressand use to adverse drug reactions were observed), change of antidepressand use to adverse drug reactions were observed), change of antidepressand use to adverse drug reactions were observed), change of antidepressand use to adverse drug reactions were observed). The adverse drug reactions were obser | | | | |
| ref. 3 Scherf-Clavel M et al. 2 Effects of pharmaco- kinetic gene variation on therapeutic drug levels and antidepress- sant treatment response. Pharmacopsychiatry 2 PMID: 35839823. History 2 PMID: 35839823. Authors' conclusion: The present data as updated to the cohort from which 62 patients were derived, included patients with at least a moderate depressive period (Hamilton Depression Rating Scale-21 (HDRS₁-) > 14). The report previous recommendations to reduce starting to response. Pharmacopsychiatry were analysed after 7 weeks of treatment. The other cohort included patients with unipolar depression. Therapeutic drug monitoring was performed according to the doctor's choice and not per protocol and used to adjust the dose. Patients were analysed after 6 weeks of treatment. 50% of patients were derived and 35% in the other cohort. The ather cohort from which the 62 patients were derived and 15% in the other cohort from which the 62 patients were derived and 15% in the other cohort from which 62 patients were derived (4 mild and 4 medium adverse drug reactions were observed), change of antidepressand tue to adverse drug reactions was assessed in the other cohort (observed in 1 patient). Clinical improvement was measured as the percentual reduction in the IDRS₂+score. 23% of patients were determined. Dimensional outliers (≥ 4 SD from the mean) from (dose-corrected) serum concentrations and metabolic ratio nortriplyline/amilitriplyline were set as missing data. Relevant comedication was nearescuted, but dose-corrected soncentrations, metabolic ratio, and clinical improvement were also determined in a post-hoc, explorative analysis excluding patients using CYP2D6 inhibitors. The authors do not indicate whether the difference in response and remission between the two cohorts is significant and do not correct for the cohort from which the patient was derived. P-values were Bonferroni-corrected for the total number of genes (7) and the total number of drugs (4 for concentrations and 2 for metabolic ratios) in | | | | |
| scherf-Clavel M et al. Effects of pharmaco- kinetic gene variation on therapeutic drug levels and antidepressant treatment response. Pharmacopsychiatry 2022;55:246-54. PMID: 35839923. 13 109 patients from two cohorts (62 and 47 patients from each of the cohorts) were reteated with amtiriptyline (final dose 25-340 mg/day (mean 143 mg/day)). The cohort from which 62 patients were derived, included patients with at least a moderate depressive period (Hamilton Depression Rating Scale-21 (HDRS:n) > 14). Therapeutic drug monitoring was performed in week, 3.5, and 7 of treatment and used to adjust the dose. Patients were analysed after 7 weeks of treatment. The other cohort included patients with unipolar depres- sion. Therapeutic drug monitoring was performed accor- cling to the doctor's choice and not per protocol and used to adjust the dose. Patients were analysed after 6 weeks of treatment. 50% of patients were responders (59% in the cohort from which the 62 patients were period and 38% in the other cohort). Treatment response was defined as ≥ 50% reduction in HDRS3-resone. 23% of patients showed remission (30% in the cohort from which the 62 patients were derived and 15% in the other cohort). Adverse drug reactions were observed), change of anti- depressant due to adverse drug reactions was assessed in the other cohot (observed in 1 patient). Clinical improvement was measured as the percentual reduction in the HDRS ₂₁ -score. Remission was defined as a HDRS2r-score s?? Trough serum concentrations and metabolic ratio nortriptyline/amittypline were set as missing data. Relevant comedication was not excluded, but dose- corrected concentrations, metabolic ratio, and clinical improvement were also determined in a post-hoc, explo- rative analysis excluding patients using CYP2D6 inhibi- tors. The authors do not indicate whether the difference in response and remission between the two cohorts is significant and do not correct for the cohort from which the patient was derived. P-values were Bonferroni-corrected for the | | | . • | |
| Exheart-Clavel M et al. Effects of pharmaco-kinetic gene variation on therapeutic drug levels and antidepressant treatment response. Pharmacopsychiatry 2022;55:246-54. PMID: 35839823. Hence of the cohort's which 62 patients were derived, included patients with at least a moderate depressive period (Hamilton Depression Rating Scale-21 (HDRS₂-) > 14). Therapeutic drug monitoring was performed in week 3, 5, and 7 of treatment and used to adjust the dose. Patients were analysed after 7 weeks of treatment. The other cohor included patients with unipolar depression. Therapeutic drug monitoring was performed according to the doctor's choice and not per protocol and used to adjust the dose. Patients were analysed after 5 weeks of treatment. 50% of patients were responders (59% in the cohort from which the 62 patients were derived and 38% in the other cohort). Treatment response was defined as ≥ 50% reduction in HDRS₂-score. 23% of patients showed remission (30% in the cohort from which the 52 patients were derived and 15% in the other cohort). Adverse drug reactions were observed), change of antidepressant due to adverse drug reactions were observed), change of antidepressant due to adverse drug reactions was assessed in the other cohort from which the patient was measured as the percentual reduction in the HDRS₂-score. Remission was defined as a HDRS₂-score ≥ 7. Trough serum concentrations in steady state were determined. Dimensional outliers (≥ 4 SD from the mean) from (dose-corrected) serum concentrations and metabolic ratio and clinical improvement were also determined in a post-hoc, explorative analysis excluding patients using CYP2D6 inhibitors. The authors do not indicate whether the difference in response and remission between the two cohorts is significant and do not correct for the cohort from which the patient was derived. P-values were Bonferroni-corrected for the total number of genes (7) and the total number of drugs (4 for concentrations and 2 for metabolic ratios) investigated. As a result p ≥ 0.001 | ref. 3 | 3 | | Authors' conclusion: |
| Effects of pharmacok identic gene variation on therapeutic drug levels and antidepressant treatment response. Pharmacopsychiatry 2022;55:246-54. PMID: 35839823. MID: 3583 | | | | |
| tkinetic gene variation on therapeutic drug levels and antidepressant treatment response. Pharmacopsychiatry 2022;55:246-54. PMID: 35839823. The other cohort included patients with unipolar depressions were analysed after 7 weeks of treatment. The other cohort included patients with unipolar depression. Therapeutic drug monitoring was performed according to the doctor's choice and not per protocol and used to adjust the dose. Patients were analysed after 6 weeks of treatment. 50% of patients were responders (59% in the cohort from which the 62 patients were derived and 38% in the other cohort). Treatment response was defined as ≥ 50% reduction in HDRS₁-score. 23% of patients were derived and 38% in the other cohort). Adverse drug reactions were assessed in the cohort from which £2 patients were derived and 18% in the other cohort). Adverse drug reactions were assessed in the cohort from which £2 patients were devived and 18% in the other cohort). Adverse drug reactions were assessed in the cohort from which £2 patients were devived and 18% in the other cohort). Adverse drug reactions were assessed in the cohort from which £2 patients were devived and 18x in the cohort from which £2 patients were derived and 18x in the cohort from which £2 patients were devived and 18x in the cohort from which £2 patients were derived and 18x in the cohort from which £2 patients were derived and 18x in the cohort from which £2 patients were derived and 18x in the cohort from which the £2 patients were derived and 18x in the cohort from which £3 patients were derived and 18x in the cohort from which the cohort from t | | | | |
| patients with at least a moderate depressive period (Hamilton Depression Rating Scale-21 (HDRS ₂₁) > 14). Starting doses of amitripty-line and to guide doses of the doctor's choice and not per protocol and used to adjust the dose. Patients were analysed after 17 weeks of treatment. 50% of patients were responders (59% in the cohort from which the 62 patients were derived and 38% in the other cohort). Treatment response was defined as ≥ 50% reduction in HDRS ₂₁ -score. 23% of patients showed remission (30% in the cohort from which 62 patients were derived (4 mild and 4 medium adverse drug reactions were assessed in the cohort from which 62 patients were derived (4 mild and 4 medium adverse drug reactions were assessed in the cohort from which 62 patients were derived (4 mild and 4 medium adverse drug reactions were assessed in the cohort from which 62 patients were derived (4 mild and 4 medium adverse drug reactions were assessed in the cohort from which 62 patients were derived (4 mild and 4 medium adverse drug reactions were assessed in the cohort from which 62 patients were derived (4 mild and 4 medium adverse drug reactions were assessed in the cohort from which the 62 patients were derived (4 mild and 4 medium as a HDRS ₂₁ -score 3 ft.) Trough serum concentrations and metabolic ratio nortriptyline/amitriptyline were set as missing data. Relevant comedication was not excluded, but dose-corrected serum concentrations and metabolic ratio nortriptyline/amitriptyline were set as missing data. Relevant comedication was not excluded, but dose-corrected serum concentrations and metabolic ratio, and clinical improvement were also determined in a post-hoc, explorative analysis excluding patients using CYP2D6 inhibitors. The authors do not indicate whether the difference in response and remission between the two cohorts is significan | | | | |
| (Hamilton Depression Rating Scale-21 (HDRS ₂₁) > 14). Therapeutic drug monitoring was performed in week 3, 5, and 7 of treatment and used to adjust the dose. Patients were analysed after 7 weeks of treatment. The other cohort included patients with unipolar depression. Therapeutic drug monitoring was performed according to the doctor's choice and not per protocol and used to adjust the dose. Patients were analysed after 6 weeks of treatment. 50% of patients were the protocol and used to adjust the dose. Patients were analysed after 6 weeks of treatment. 50% of patients were there and 38% in the other cohort). Treatment response was defined as ≥ 50% reduction in HDRS ₂₁ -score. 23% of patients showed remission (30% in the cohort from which the 62 patients were derived 4 fmild and 4 medium adverse drug reactions were assessed in the cohort from which 62 patients were derived 4 fmild and 4 medium adverse drug reactions were assessed in the other cohort (boserved in 1 patient). Clinical improvement was measured as the percentual reduction in the HDRS ₂₁ -score. Remission was defined as a HDRS ₂₁ -score 5 7. Trough serum concentrations in steady state were determined. Dimensional outliers (2 4 SD from the mean) from (dose-corrected) serum concentrations and metabolic ratio nortriptyline/amitriptyline were set as missing data. Relevant comedication was not excluded, but dose-corrected concentrations, metabolic ratio, and clinical improvement were also determined in a post-hoc, explorative analysis excluding patients using CYP2D6 inhibitors. The authors do not indicate whether the difference in response and remission between the two cohorts is significant and do not correct for the cohort from which the patient was derived. P-values were Bonferroni-corrected for the total number of genes (7) and the frequency o | _ | | | to reduce starting |
| Therapeutic drug monitoring was performed in week 3, 5, and 7 of treatment and used to adjust the dose. Patients were analysed after 7 weeks of treatment. The other cohort included patients with unipolar depression. Therapeutic drug monitoring was performed according to the doctor's choice and not per protocol and used to adjust the dose. Patients were analysed after 6 weeks of treatment. 50% of patients were responders (59% in the cohort from which the 62 patients were derived and 38% in the other cohort). Treatment response was defined as ≥ 50% reduction in HDRS2n-score. 23% of patients showed remission (30% in the cohort from which the 62 patients were derived and 15% in the other cohort). Adverse drug reactions were assessed in the cohort from which 62 patients were derived (4 mild and 4 medium adverse drug reactions were observed), change of anti-depressant due to adverse drug reactions was assessed in the other cohort (observed) in 1 patient). Clinical improvement was measured as the percentual reduction in the HDRS₂n-score ≤ 7. Trough serum concentrations in steady state were determined. Dimensional outliers (≥ 4 SD from the mean) from (dose-corrected) serum concentrations and metabolic ratio nortriptyline/amitriptyline were set as missing data. Relevant comedication was not excluded, but dose-corrected concentrations, metabolic ratio, and clinical improvement were also determined in a post-hoc, explorative analysis excluding patients using CYP2D6 inhibitors. The authors do not indicate whether the difference in response and remission between the two cohorts is significant and do not correct for the cohort from which the patient was derived. P-values were Bonferroni-corrected for the total number of genes (7) and the total number of drugs (4 for concentrations and 2 for metabolic ratios) investigated. As a result p ≤ 0.001 or p ≤ 0.002 was considered significant. Genotyping: The number of NM, IM, PM and UM+gene dose 2.5 is not mentioned. Scheft-Clavel 2023 mentions the frequency of PM in the larges | | | · · · | doses of amitripty- |
| and 7 of treatment and used to adjust the dose. Patients were analysed after 7 weeks of treatment. The other cohort included patients with unipolar depression. Therapeutic drug monitoring was performed according to the doctor's choice and not per protocol and used to adjust the dose. Patients were analysed after 6 weeks of treatment. 50% of patients were responders (59% in the cohort from which the 62 patients were derived and 38% in the other cohort). Treatment response was defined as ≥ 50% reduction in HDRS₂₁-score. 23% of patients showed remission (30% in the cohort from which the 62 patients were derived and 15% in the other cohort). Adverse drug reactions were assessed in the cohort from which 62 patients were derived (4 mild and 4 medium adverse drug reactions were observed), change of anti-depressant due to adverse drug reactions was assessed in the other cohort (observed in 1 patient). Clinical improvement was measured as the percentual reduction in the HDRS₂₁-score. Remission was defined as a HDRS₂₁-score ₹ 7. Trough serum concentrations in steady state were determined. Dimensional outliers (≥ 4 SD from the mean) from (dose-corrected) serum concentrations and metabolic ratio nortriptyline/amitriptyline were set as missing data. Relevant comedication was not excluded, but dose-corrected concentrations, metabolic ratio, and clinical improvement were also determined in a post-hoc, explorative analysis excluding patients using CYP2D6 inhibitors. The authors do not indicate whether the difference in response and remission between the two cohorts is significant and do not correct for the cohort from which the patient was derived. P-values were Bonferroni-corrected for the total number of genes (7) and the total number of drugs (4 for concentrations and 2 for metabolic ratios) investigated. As a result p ≤ 0.001 or p ≤ 0.002 was considered significant. Genotyping: The number of NM, IM, PM and UM+gene dose 2.5 is not mentioned. Scheff-Clavel 2023 mentions the frequency of PM in the largest cohort to be | · · | | Therapeutic drug monitoring was performed in week 3, 5, | |
| were analysed after 7 weeks of treatment. The other cohort included patients with unipolar depression. Therapeutic drug monitoring was performed according to the doctor's choice and not per protocol and used to adjust the dose. Patients were analysed after 6 weeks of treatment. 50% of patients were responders (59% in the cohort from which the 62 patients were derived and 38% in the other cohort). Treatment response was defined as ≥ 50% reduction in HDRS₂r-score. 23% of patients showed remission (30% in the cohort from which the 62 patients were derived and 15% in the other cohort). Adverse drug reactions were assessed in the cohort from which 62 patients were derived and 15% in the other cohort). Adverse drug reactions were assessed in the cohort from which 62 patients were derived (4 mild and 4 medium adverse drug reactions were observed), change of anti-depressant due to adverse drug reactions was assessed in the other cohort (observed in 1 patient). Clinical improvement was measured as the percentual reduction in the HDRS₂r-score. Remission was defined as a HDRS₂r-score ≤ 7. Trough serum concentrations in steady state were determined. Dimensional outliers (≥ 4 SD from the mean) from (dose-corrected) serum concentrations and metabolic ratio nortriptyline/amitriptyline were set as missing data. Relevant comedication was not excluded, but dose-corrected concentrations, metabolic ratio, and clinical improvement were also determined in a post-hoc, explorative analysis excluding patients using CYP2D6 inhibitors. The authors do not indicate whether the difference in response and remission between the two cohorts is significant and do not correct for the cohort from which the patient was derived. P-values were Bonferroni-corrected for the total number of genes (7) and the total number of drugs (4 for concentrations and 2 for metabolic ratios) investigated. As a result p ≤ 0.001 or p ≤ 0.002 was considered significant. Genotyping: The number of NM, IM, PM and UM+gene dose 2.5 is not mentioned. Scherf-Clavel 2023 | | | and 7 of treatment and used to adjust the dose. Patients | |
| The other cohort included patients with unipolar depression. Therapeutic drug monitoring was performed according to the doctor's choice and not per protocol and used to adjust the dose. Patients were analysed after 6 weeks of treatment. 50% of patients were responders (59% in the cohort from which the 62 patients were derived and 38% in the other cohort). Treatment response was defined as ≥ 50% reduction in HDR5₂:-score. 23% of patients showed remission (30% in the cohort from which the 62 patients were derived and 15% in the other cohort). Adverse drug reactions were assessed in the cohort from which the 52 patients were derived (4 mild and 4 medium adverse drug reactions were observed), change of anti-depressant due to adverse drug reactions was assessed in the other cohort (observed in 1 patient). Clinical improvement was measured as the percentual reduction in the HDR5₂:-score. Remission was defined as a HDR5₂:-score ≤ 7. Trough serum concentrations in steady state were determined. Dimensional outliers (≥ 4 SD from the mean) from (dose-corrected) serum concentrations and metabolic ratio nortripyline/amitripyline were set as missing data. Relevant comedication was not excluded, but dose-corrected concentrations, metabolic ratio, and clinical improvement were also determined in a post-hoc, explorative analysis excluding patients using CYP2D6 inhibitors. The authors do not indicate whether the difference in response and remission between the two cohorts is significant and do not correct for the cohort from which the patient was derived. P-values were Bonferroni-corrected for the total number of genes (7) and the total number of drugs (4 for concentrations and 2 for metabolic ratios) investigated. As a result p ≤ 0.001 or p ≤ 0.002 was considered significant. Genotyping: The number of NM, IM, PM and UM+gene dose 2.5 is not mentioned. Scherf-Clavel 2023 mentions the frequency of PM in the largest cohort to be 5.2% (10 out of 194) and the frequency of PM in a third German cohort to be 6.2% (6 out of | · • | | were analysed after 7 weeks of treatment. | |
| sion. Therapeutic drug monitoring was performed according to the doctor's choice and not per protocol and used to adjust the dose. Patients were analysed after 6 weeks of treatment. 50% of patients were responders (59% in the cohort from which the 62 patients were derived and 38% in the other cohort). Treatment response was defined as ≥ 50% reduction in HDRS₂-score, 23% of patients showed remission (30% in the cohort from which the 62 patients were derived and 15% in the other cohort). Adverse drug reactions were assessed in the cohort from which 62 patients were derived, and 4 medium adverse drug reactions were observed), change of antidepressant due to adverse drug reactions was assessed in the other cohort (observed in 1 patient). Clinical improvement was measured as the percentual reduction in the HDRS₂₁-score. Remission was defined as a HDRS₂₁-score ≤ 7. Trough serum concentrations in steady state were determined. Dimensional outliers (≥ 4 SD from the mean) from (dose-corrected) serum concentrations and metabolic ratio nortriptyline/amitriptyline were set as missing data. Relevant comedication was not excluded, but dose-corrected concentrations, metabolic ratio, and clinical improvement were also determined in a post-hoc, explorative analysis excluding patients using CYP2D6 inhibitors. The authors do not indicate whether the difference in response and remission between the two cohorts is significant and do not correct for the cohort from which the patient was derived. P-values were Bonferroni-corrected for the total number of genes (7) and the total number of drugs (4 for concentrations and 2 for metabolic ratios) investigated. As a result p ≤ 0.001 or p ≤ 0.002 was considered significant. Genotyping: The number of NM, IM, PM and UM+gene dose 2.5 is not mentioned. Scherf-Clavel 2023 mentions the frequency of PM in the largest cohort to be 5.2% (10 out of 194) and the frequency of PM in a third German cohort to be 6.2% (6 out of | | | The other cohort included patients with unipolar depres- | |
| to adjust the dose. Patients were analysed after 6 weeks of treatment. 50% of patients were responders (59% in the cohort from which the 62 patients were derived and 33% in the other cohort). Treatment response was defined as ≥ 50% reduction in HDRS₂₁-score. 23% of patients showed remission (30% in the cohort from which the 62 patients were derived and 15% in the other cohort). Adverse drug reactions were assessed in the cohort from which 62 patients were derived (4 mild and 4 medium adverse drug reactions were observed), change of anti-depressant due to adverse drug reactions was assessed in the other cohort (observed in 1 patient). Clinical improvement was measured as the percentual reduction in the HDRS₂₁-score × 7. Trough serum concentrations in steady state were determined. Dimensional outliers (≥ 4 SD from the mean) from (dose-corrected) serum concentrations and metabolic ratio nortriptyline/amitriptyline were set as missing data. Relevant comedication was not excluded, but dose-corrected concentrations, metabolic ratio, and clinical improvement were also determined in a post-hoc, explorative analysis excluding patients using CYP2D6 inhibitors. The authors do not indicate whether the difference in response and remission between the two cohorts is significant and do not correct for the cohort from which the patient was derived. P-values were Bonferroni-corrected for the total number of genes (7) and the total number of drugs (4 for concentrations and 2 for metabolic ratios) investigated. As a result p ≤ 0.001 or p ≤ 0.002 was considered significant. Genotyping: The number of NM, IM, PM and UM+gene dose 2.5 is not mentioned. Scherf-Clavel 2023 mentions the frequency of PM in the largest cohort to be 5.2% (10 out of 194) and the frequency of PM in the largest cohort to be 5.2% (10 out of 194) and the frequency of PM in the largest cohort to be 5.2% (10 out of 194) and the frequency of PM in the largest cohort to be 5.2% (6 out of | PMID: 35839823. | | sion. Therapeutic drug monitoring was performed accor- | |
| of treatment. 50% of patients were responders (59% in the cohort from which the 62 patients were derived and 38% in the other cohort). Treatment response was defined as ≥ 50% reduction in HDRS₂₁-score. 23% of patients showed remission (30% in the cohort from which the 62 patients were derived and 15% in the other cohort). Adverse drug reactions were assessed in the cohort from which 62 patients were derived (4 mild and 4 medium adverse drug reactions were observed), change of anti-depressant due to adverse drug reactions was assessed in the other cohort (observed in 1 patient). Clinical improvement was measured as the percentual reduction in the HDRS₂₁-score. Remission was defined as a HDRS₂₁-score ≤ 7. Trough serum concentrations in steady state were determined. Dimensional outliers (≥ 4 SD from the mean) from (dose-corrected) serum concentrations and metabolic ratio nortriptyline/amitriptyline were set as missing data. Relevant comedication was not excluded, but dose-corrected concentrations, metabolic ratio, and clinical improvement were also determined in a post-hoc, explorative analysis excluding patients using CYP2D6 inhibitors. The authors do not indicate whether the difference in response and remission between the two cohorts is significant and do not correct for the cohort from which the patient was derived. P-values were Bonferroni-corrected for the total number of genes (7) and the total number of drugs (4 for concentrations and 2 for metabolic ratios) investigated. As a result p ≤ 0.001 or p ≤ 0.002 was considered significant. Genotyping: The number of NM, IM, PM and UM+gene dose 2.5 is not mentioned. Scheff-Clavel 2023 mentions the frequency of PM in the largest cohort to be 5.2% (10 out of 194) and the frequency of PM in a third German cohort to be 6.2% (6 out of | | | ding to the doctor's choice and not per protocol and used | zers. |
| 50% of patients were responders (59% in the cohort from which the 62 patients were derived and 38% in the other cohort). Treatment response was defined as ≥ 50% reduction in HDRS₂r-score. 23% of patients showed remission (30% in the cohort from which the 62 patients were derived and 15% in the other cohort). Adverse drug reactions were assessed in the cohort from which 62 patients were derived (4 mild and 4 medium adverse drug reactions were observed), change of antidepressant due to adverse drug reactions was assessed in the other cohort (observed in 1 patient). Clinical improvement was measured as the percentual reduction in the HDRS₂r-score ≤ 7. Trough serum concentrations in steady state were determined. Dimensional outliers (≥ 4 SD from the mean) from (dose-corrected) serum concentrations and metabolic ratio nortriptyline/amitriptyline were set as missing data. Relevant comedication was not excluded, but dose-corrected concentrations, metabolic ratio, and clinical improvement were also determined in a post-hoc, explorative analysis excluding patients using CYP2D6 inhibitors. The authors do not indicate whether the difference in response and remission between the two cohorts is significant and do not correct for the cohort from which the patient was derived. P-values were Bonferroni-corrected for the total number of genes (7) and the total number of drugs (4 for concentrations and 2 for metabolic ratios) investigated. As a result p ≤ 0.001 or p ≤ 0.002 was considered significant. Genotyping: The number of NM, IM, PM and UM+gene dose 2.5 is not mentioned. Scherf-Clavel 2023 mentions the frequency of PM in the largest cohort to be 5.2% (10 out of 194) and the frequency of PM in a third German cohort to be 6.2% (6 out of | | | to adjust the dose. Patients were analysed after 6 weeks | |
| which the 62 patients were derived and 38% in the other cohort). Treatment response was defined as ≥ 50% reduction in HDRS₂1-score. 23% of patients showed remission (30% in the cohort from which the 62 patients were derived and 15% in the other cohort). Adverse drug reactions were assessed in the cohort from which 62 patients were derived (4 mild and 4 medium adverse drug reactions were observed), change of anti-depressant due to adverse drug reactions was assessed in the other cohort (observed in 1 patient). Clinical improvement was measured as the percentual reduction in the HDRS₂1-score. Remission was defined as a HDRS₂1-score ≤ 7. Trough serum concentrations in steady state were determined. Dimensional outliers (≥ 4 SD from the mean) from (dose-corrected) serum concentrations and metabolic ratio nortriptyline/amitriptyline were set as missing data. Relevant comedication was not excluded, but dose-corrected concentrations, metabolic ratio, and clinical improvement were also determined in a post-hoc, explorative analysis excluding patients using CYP2D6 inhibitors. The authors do not indicate whether the difference in response and remission between the two cohorts is significant and do not correct for the cohort from which the patient was derived. P-values were Bonferroni-corrected for the total number of genes (7) and the total number of drugs (4 for concentrations and 2 for metabolic ratios) investigated. As a result p ≤ 0.001 or p ≤ 0.002 was considered significant. Genotyping: The number of NM, IM, PM and UM+gene dose 2.5 is not mentioned. Scherf-Clavel 2023 mentions the frequency of PM in the largest cohort to be 5.2% (10 out of 194) and the frequency of PM in a third German cohort to be 6.2% (6 out of | | | | |
| cohort). Treatment response was defined as ≥ 50% reduction in HDRS₂¹-score. 23% of patients showed remission (30% in the cohort from which the 62 patients were derived and 15% in the other cohort). Adverse drug reactions were assessed in the cohort from which 62 patients were derived (4 mild and 4 medium adverse drug reactions were observed), change of anti-depressant due to adverse drug reactions was assessed in the other cohort (observed in 1 patient). Clinical improvement was measured as the percentual reduction in the HDRS₂¹-score. Remission was defined as a HDRS₂¹-score ≤ 7. Trough serum concentrations in steady state were determined. Dimensional outliers (≥ 4 SD from the mean) from (dose-corrected) serum concentrations and metabolic ratio nortriptyline/amitriptyline were set as missing data. Relevant comedication was not excluded, but dose-corrected concentrations, metabolic ratio, and clinical improvement were also determined in a post-hoc, explorative analysis excluding patients using CYP2D6 inhibitors. The authors do not indicate whether the difference in response and remission between the two cohorts is significant and do not correct for the cohort from which the patient was derived. P-values were Bonferroni-corrected for the total number of genes (7) and the total number of drugs (4 for concentrations and 2 for metabolic ratios) investigated. As a result p ≤ 0.001 or p ≤ 0.002 was considered significant. Genotyping: The number of NM, IM, PM and UM+gene dose 2.5 is not mentioned. Scheff-Clavel 2023 mentions the frequency of PM in the largest cohort to be 5.2% (10 out of 194) and the frequency of PM in a third German cohort to be 6.2% (6 out of | | | | |
| reduction in HDRS₂₁-score. 23% of patients showed remission (30% in the cohort from which the 62 patients were derived and 15% in the other cohort). Adverse drug reactions were assessed in the cohort from which 62 patients were derived (4 mild and 4 medium adverse drug reactions were observed), change of anti-depressant due to adverse drug reactions was assessed in the other cohort (observed in 1 patient). Clinical improvement was measured as the percentual reduction in the HDRS₂₁-score. Remission was defined as a HDRS₂₁-score ≤ 7. Trough serum concentrations in steady state were determined. Dimensional outliers (≥ 4 SD from the mean) from (dose-corrected) serum concentrations and metabolic ratio nortriptyline/amitriptyline were set as missing data. Relevant comedication was not excluded, but dose-corrected concentrations, metabolic ratio, and clinical improvement were also determined in a post-hoc, explorative analysis excluding patients using CYP2D6 inhibitors. The authors do not indicate whether the difference in response and remission between the two cohorts is significant and do not correct for the cohort from which the patient was derived. P-values were Bonferroni-corrected for the total number of genes (7) and the total number of drugs (4 for concentrations and 2 for metabolic ratios) investigated. As a result p ≤ 0.001 or p ≤ 0.002 was considered significant. Genotyping: The number of NM, IM, PM and UM+gene dose 2.5 is not mentioned. Scheff-Clavel 2023 mentions the frequency of PM in the largest cohort to be 5.2% (10 out of 194) and the frequency of PM in a third German cohort to be 6.2% (6 out of | | | · | |
| remission (30% in the cohort from which the 62 patients were derived and 15% in the other cohort). Adverse drug reactions were assessed in the cohort from which 62 patients were derived (4 mild and 4 medium adverse drug reactions were observed), change of antidepressant due to adverse drug reactions was assessed in the other cohort (observed in 1 patient). Clinical improvement was measured as the percentual reduction in the HDRS₂1-score. Remission was defined as a HDRS₂1-score ≤ 7. Trough serum concentrations in steady state were determined. Dimensional outliers (≥ 4 SD from the mean) from (dose-corrected) serum concentrations and metabolic ratio nortriptyline/amitriptyline were set as missing data. Relevant comedication was not excluded, but dose-corrected concentrations, metabolic ratio, and clinical improvement were also determined in a post-hoc, explorative analysis excluding patients using CYP2D6 inhibitors. The authors do not indicate whether the difference in response and remission between the two cohorts is significant and do not correct for the cohort from which the patient was derived. P-values were Bonferroni-corrected for the total number of genes (7) and the total number of drugs (4 for concentrations and 2 for metabolic ratios) investigated. As a result p ≤ 0.001 or p ≤ 0.002 was considered significant. Genotyping: The number of NM, IM, PM and UM+gene dose 2.5 is not mentioned. Scherf-Clavel 2023 mentions the frequency of PM in the largest cohort to be 5.2% (10 out of 194) and the frequency of PM in a third German cohort to be 6.2% (6 out of | | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | |
| were derived and 15% in the other cohort). Adverse drug reactions were assessed in the cohort from which 62 patients were derived (4 mild and 4 medium adverse drug reactions were observed), change of antidepressant due to adverse drug reactions was assessed in the other cohort (observed in 1 patient). Clinical improvement was measured as the percentual reduction in the HDRS₂₁-score. Remission was defined as a HDRS₂₁-score ≤ 7. Trough serum concentrations in steady state were determined. Dimensional outliers (≥ 4 SD from the mean) from (dose-corrected) serum concentrations and metabolic ratio nortriptyline/amitriptyline were set as missing data. Relevant comedication was not excluded, but dose-corrected concentrations, metabolic ratio, and clinical improvement were also determined in a post-hoc, explorative analysis excluding patients using CYP2D6 inhibitors. The authors do not indicate whether the difference in response and remission between the two cohorts is significant and do not correct for the cohort from which the patient was derived. P-values were Bonferroni-corrected for the total number of genes (7) and the total number of drugs (4 for concentrations and 2 for metabolic ratios) investigated. As a result p ≤ 0.001 or p ≤ 0.002 was considered significant. Genotyping: The number of NM, IM, PM and UM+gene dose 2.5 is not mentioned. Scheff-Clavel 2023 mentions the frequency of PM in the largest cohort to be 5.2% (10 out of 194) and the frequency of PM in a third German cohort to be 6.2% (6 out of | | | · | |
| Adverse drug reactions were assessed in the cohort from which 62 patients were derived (4 mild and 4 medium adverse drug reactions were observed), change of antidepressant due to adverse drug reactions was assessed in the other cohort (observed in 1 patient). Clinical improvement was measured as the percentual reduction in the HDRS₂₁-score. Remission was defined as a HDRS₂₁-score ≤ 7. Trough serum concentrations in steady state were determined. Dimensional outliers (≥ 4 SD from the mean) from (dose-corrected) serum concentrations and metabolic ratio nortriptyline/amitriptyline were set as missing data. Relevant comedication was not excluded, but dose-corrected concentrations, metabolic ratio, and clinical improvement were also determined in a post-hoc, explorative analysis excluding patients using CYP2D6 inhibitors. The authors do not indicate whether the difference in response and remission between the two cohorts is significant and do not correct for the cohort from which the patient was derived. P-values were Bonferroni-corrected for the total number of genes (7) and the total number of drugs (4 for concentrations and 2 for metabolic ratios) investigated. As a result p ≤ 0.001 or p ≤ 0.002 was considered significant. Genotyping: The number of NM, IM, PM and UM+gene dose 2.5 is not mentioned. Scherf-Clavel 2023 mentions the frequency of PM in the largest cohort to be 5.2% (10 out of 194) and the frequency of PM in a third German cohort to be 6.2% (6 out of | | | · · | |
| which 62 patients were derived (4 mild and 4 medium adverse drug reactions were observed), change of antidepressant due to adverse drug reactions was assessed in the other cohort (observed in 1 patient). Clinical improvement was measured as the percentual reduction in the HDRS₂r-score. Remission was defined as a HDRS₂r-score ≤ 7. Trough serum concentrations in steady state were determined. Dimensional outliers (≥ 4 SD from the mean) from (dose-corrected) serum concentrations and metabolic ratio nortriptyline/amitriptyline were set as missing data. Relevant comedication was not excluded, but dose-corrected concentrations, metabolic ratio, and clinical improvement were also determined in a post-hoc, explorative analysis excluding patients using CYP2D6 inhibitors. The authors do not indicate whether the difference in response and remission between the two cohorts is significant and do not correct for the cohort from which the patient was derived. P-values were Bonferroni-corrected for the total number of genes (7) and the total number of drugs (4 for concentrations and 2 for metabolic ratios) investigated. As a result p ≤ 0.001 or p ≤ 0.002 was considered significant. Genotyping: The number of NM, IM, PM and UM+gene dose 2.5 is not mentioned. Scherf-Clavel 2023 mentions the frequency of PM in the largest cohort to be 5.2% (10 out of 194) and the frequency of PM in a third German cohort to be 6.2% (6 out of | | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | |
| adverse drug reactions were observed), change of anti- depressant due to adverse drug reactions was assessed in the other cohort (observed in 1 patient). Clinical improvement was measured as the percentual reduction in the HDRS₂₁-score. Remission was defined as a HDRS₂₁-score ≤ 7. Trough serum concentrations in steady state were determined. Dimensional outliers (≥ 4 SD from the mean) from (dose-corrected) serum concentrations and metabolic ratio nortriptyline/amitriptyline were set as missing data. Relevant comedication was not excluded, but dose-corrected concentrations, metabolic ratio, and clinical improvement were also determined in a post-hoc, explorative analysis excluding patients using CYP2D6 inhibitors. The authors do not indicate whether the difference in response and remission between the two cohorts is significant and do not correct for the cohort from which the patient was derived. P-values were Bonferroni-corrected for the total number of genes (7) and the total number of drugs (4 for concentrations and 2 for metabolic ratios) investigated. As a result p ≤ 0.001 or p ≤ 0.002 was considered significant. Genotyping: The number of NM, IM, PM and UM+gene dose 2.5 is not mentioned. Scherf-Clavel 2023 mentions the frequency of PM in the largest cohort to be 5.2% (10 out of 194) and the frequency of PM in a third German cohort to be 6.2% (6 out of | | | | |
| depressant due to adverse drug reactions was assessed in the other cohort (observed in 1 patient). Clinical improvement was measured as the percentual reduction in the HDRS₂₁-score. Remission was defined as a HDRS₂₁-score ≤ 7. Trough serum concentrations in steady state were determined. Dimensional outliers (≥ 4 SD from the mean) from (dose-corrected) serum concentrations and metabolic ratio nortriptyline/amitriptyline were set as missing data. Relevant comedication was not excluded, but dose-corrected concentrations, metabolic ratio, and clinical improvement were also determined in a post-hoc, explorative analysis excluding patients using CYP2D6 inhibitors. The authors do not indicate whether the difference in response and remission between the two cohorts is significant and do not correct for the cohort from which the patient was derived. P-values were Bonferroni-corrected for the total number of genes (7) and the total number of drugs (4 for concentrations and 2 for metabolic ratios) investigated. As a result p ≤ 0.001 or p ≤ 0.002 was considered significant. Genotyping: The number of NM, IM, PM and UM+gene dose 2.5 is not mentioned. Scherf-Clavel 2023 mentions the frequency of PM in the largest cohort to be 5.2% (10 out of 194) and the frequency of PM in a third German cohort to be 6.2% (6 out of | | | · | |
| in the other cohort (observed in 1 patient). Clinical improvement was measured as the percentual reduction in the HDRS2₁-score. Remission was defined as a HDRS2₁-score ≤ 7. Trough serum concentrations in steady state were determined. Dimensional outliers (≥ 4 SD from the mean) from (dose-corrected) serum concentrations and metabolic ratio nortriptyline/amitriptyline were set as missing data. Relevant comedication was not excluded, but dose-corrected concentrations, metabolic ratio, and clinical improvement were also determined in a post-hoc, explorative analysis excluding patients using CYP2D6 inhibitors. The authors do not indicate whether the difference in response and remission between the two cohorts is significant and do not correct for the cohort from which the patient was derived. P-values were Bonferroni-corrected for the total number of genes (7) and the total number of drugs (4 for concentrations and 2 for metabolic ratios) investigated. As a result p ≤ 0.001 or p ≤ 0.002 was considered significant. Genotyping: The number of NM, IM, PM and UM+gene dose 2.5 is not mentioned. Scherf-Clavel 2023 mentions the frequency of PM in the largest cohort to be 5.2% (10 out of 194) and the frequency of PM in a third German cohort to be 6.2% (6 out of | | | | |
| Clinical improvement was measured as the percentual reduction in the HDRS₂1-score. Remission was defined as a HDRS₂1-score ≤ 7. Trough serum concentrations in steady state were determined. Dimensional outliers (≥ 4 SD from the mean) from (dose-corrected) serum concentrations and metabolic ratio nortriptyline/amitriptyline were set as missing data. Relevant comedication was not excluded, but dose-corrected concentrations, metabolic ratio, and clinical improvement were also determined in a post-hoc, explorative analysis excluding patients using CYP2D6 inhibitors. The authors do not indicate whether the difference in response and remission between the two cohorts is significant and do not correct for the cohort from which the patient was derived. P-values were Bonferroni-corrected for the total number of genes (7) and the total number of drugs (4 for concentrations and 2 for metabolic ratios) investigated. As a result p ≤ 0.001 or p ≤ 0.002 was considered significant. Genotyping: The number of NM, IM, PM and UM+gene dose 2.5 is not mentioned. Scherf-Clavel 2023 mentions the frequency of PM in the largest cohort to be 5.2% (10 out of 194) and the frequency of PM in a third German cohort to be 6.2% (6 out of | | | | |
| reduction in the HDRS₂1-score. Remission was defined as a HDRS₂1-score ≤ 7. Trough serum concentrations in steady state were determined. Dimensional outliers (≥ 4 SD from the mean) from (dose-corrected) serum concentrations and metabolic ratio nortriptyline/amitriptyline were set as missing data. Relevant comedication was not excluded, but dose-corrected concentrations, metabolic ratio, and clinical improvement were also determined in a post-hoc, explorative analysis excluding patients using CYP2D6 inhibitors. The authors do not indicate whether the difference in response and remission between the two cohorts is significant and do not correct for the cohort from which the patient was derived. P-values were Bonferroni-corrected for the total number of genes (7) and the total number of drugs (4 for concentrations and 2 for metabolic ratios) investigated. As a result p ≤ 0.001 or p ≤ 0.002 was considered significant. Genotyping: The number of NM, IM, PM and UM+gene dose 2.5 is not mentioned. Scherf-Clavel 2023 mentions the frequency of PM in the largest cohort to be 5.2% (10 out of 194) and the frequency of PM in a third German cohort to be 6.2% (6 out of | | | | |
| as a HDRS₂t-score ≤ 7. Trough serum concentrations in steady state were determined. Dimensional outliers (≥ 4 SD from the mean) from (dose-corrected) serum concentrations and metabolic ratio nortriptyline/amitriptyline were set as missing data. Relevant comedication was not excluded, but dose-corrected concentrations, metabolic ratio, and clinical improvement were also determined in a post-hoc, explorative analysis excluding patients using CYP2D6 inhibitors. The authors do not indicate whether the difference in response and remission between the two cohorts is significant and do not correct for the cohort from which the patient was derived. P-values were Bonferroni-corrected for the total number of genes (7) and the total number of drugs (4 for concentrations and 2 for metabolic ratios) investigated. As a result p ≤ 0.001 or p ≤ 0.002 was considered significant. Genotyping: The number of NM, IM, PM and UM+gene dose 2.5 is not mentioned. Scherf-Clavel 2023 mentions the frequency of PM in the largest cohort to be 5.2% (10 out of 194) and the frequency of PM in a third German cohort to be 6.2% (6 out of | | | | |
| Trough serum concentrations in steady state were determined. Dimensional outliers (≥ 4 SD from the mean) from (dose-corrected) serum concentrations and metabolic ratio nortriptyline/amitriptyline were set as missing data. Relevant comedication was not excluded, but dose-corrected concentrations, metabolic ratio, and clinical improvement were also determined in a post-hoc, explorative analysis excluding patients using CYP2D6 inhibitors. The authors do not indicate whether the difference in response and remission between the two cohorts is significant and do not correct for the cohort from which the patient was derived. P-values were Bonferroni-corrected for the total number of genes (7) and the total number of drugs (4 for concentrations and 2 for metabolic ratios) investigated. As a result p ≤ 0.001 or p ≤ 0.002 was considered significant. Genotyping: The number of NM, IM, PM and UM+gene dose 2.5 is not mentioned. Scherf-Clavel 2023 mentions the frequency of PM in the largest cohort to be 5.2% (10 out of 194) and the frequency of PM in a third German cohort to be 6.2% (6 out of | | | | |
| mined. Dimensional outliers (≥ 4 SD from the mean) from (dose-corrected) serum concentrations and metabolic ratio nortriptyline/amitriptyline were set as missing data. Relevant comedication was not excluded, but dose-corrected concentrations, metabolic ratio, and clinical improvement were also determined in a post-hoc, explorative analysis excluding patients using CYP2D6 inhibitors. The authors do not indicate whether the difference in response and remission between the two cohorts is significant and do not correct for the cohort from which the patient was derived. P-values were Bonferroni-corrected for the total number of genes (7) and the total number of drugs (4 for concentrations and 2 for metabolic ratios) investigated. As a result p ≤ 0.001 or p ≤ 0.002 was considered significant. Genotyping: The number of NM, IM, PM and UM+gene dose 2.5 is not mentioned. Scherf-Clavel 2023 mentions the frequency of PM in the largest cohort to be 5.2% (10 out of 194) and the frequency of PM in a third German cohort to be 6.2% (6 out of | | | | |
| (dose-corrected) serum concentrations and metabolic ratio nortriptyline/amitriptyline were set as missing data. Relevant comedication was not excluded, but dose-corrected concentrations, metabolic ratio, and clinical improvement were also determined in a post-hoc, explorative analysis excluding patients using CYP2D6 inhibitors. The authors do not indicate whether the difference in response and remission between the two cohorts is significant and do not correct for the cohort from which the patient was derived. P-values were Bonferroni-corrected for the total number of genes (7) and the total number of drugs (4 for concentrations and 2 for metabolic ratios) investigated. As a result p ≤ 0.001 or p ≤ 0.002 was considered significant. Genotyping: The number of NM, IM, PM and UM+gene dose 2.5 is not mentioned. Scherf-Clavel 2023 mentions the frequency of PM in the largest cohort to be 5.2% (10 out of 194) and the frequency of PM in a third German cohort to be 6.2% (6 out of | | | , | |
| ratio nortriptyline/amitriptyline were set as missing data. Relevant comedication was not excluded, but dose-corrected concentrations, metabolic ratio, and clinical improvement were also determined in a post-hoc, explorative analysis excluding patients using CYP2D6 inhibitors. The authors do not indicate whether the difference in response and remission between the two cohorts is significant and do not correct for the cohort from which the patient was derived. P-values were Bonferroni-corrected for the total number of genes (7) and the total number of drugs (4 for concentrations and 2 for metabolic ratios) investigated. As a result p ≤ 0.001 or p ≤ 0.002 was considered significant. Genotyping: The number of NM, IM, PM and UM+gene dose 2.5 is not mentioned. Scherf-Clavel 2023 mentions the frequency of PM in the largest cohort to be 5.2% (10 out of 194) and the frequency of PM in a third German cohort to be 6.2% (6 out of | | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | |
| Relevant comedication was not excluded, but dose-corrected concentrations, metabolic ratio, and clinical improvement were also determined in a post-hoc, explorative analysis excluding patients using CYP2D6 inhibitors. The authors do not indicate whether the difference in response and remission between the two cohorts is significant and do not correct for the cohort from which the patient was derived. P-values were Bonferroni-corrected for the total number of genes (7) and the total number of drugs (4 for concentrations and 2 for metabolic ratios) investigated. As a result p ≤ 0.001 or p ≤ 0.002 was considered significant. Genotyping: The number of NM, IM, PM and UM+gene dose 2.5 is not mentioned. Scherf-Clavel 2023 mentions the frequency of PM in the largest cohort to be 5.2% (10 out of 194) and the frequency of PM in a third German cohort to be 6.2% (6 out of | | | 1 ' | |
| corrected concentrations, metabolic ratio, and clinical improvement were also determined in a post-hoc, explorative analysis excluding patients using CYP2D6 inhibitors. The authors do not indicate whether the difference in response and remission between the two cohorts is significant and do not correct for the cohort from which the patient was derived. P-values were Bonferroni-corrected for the total number of genes (7) and the total number of drugs (4 for concentrations and 2 for metabolic ratios) investigated. As a result p ≤ 0.001 or p ≤ 0.002 was considered significant. Genotyping: The number of NM, IM, PM and UM+gene dose 2.5 is not mentioned. Scherf-Clavel 2023 mentions the frequency of PM in the largest cohort to be 5.2% (10 out of 194) and the frequency of PM in a third German cohort to be 6.2% (6 out of | | | | |
| improvement were also determined in a post-hoc, explorative analysis excluding patients using CYP2D6 inhibitors. The authors do not indicate whether the difference in response and remission between the two cohorts is significant and do not correct for the cohort from which the patient was derived. P-values were Bonferroni-corrected for the total number of genes (7) and the total number of drugs (4 for concentrations and 2 for metabolic ratios) investigated. As a result p ≤ 0.001 or p ≤ 0.002 was considered significant. Genotyping: The number of NM, IM, PM and UM+gene dose 2.5 is not mentioned. Scherf-Clavel 2023 mentions the frequency of PM in the largest cohort to be 5.2% (10 out of 194) and the frequency of PM in a third German cohort to be 6.2% (6 out of | | | · · | |
| rative analysis excluding patients using CYP2D6 inhibitors. The authors do not indicate whether the difference in response and remission between the two cohorts is significant and do not correct for the cohort from which the patient was derived. P-values were Bonferroni-corrected for the total number of genes (7) and the total number of drugs (4 for concentrations and 2 for metabolic ratios) investigated. As a result p ≤ 0.001 or p ≤ 0.002 was considered significant. Genotyping: The number of NM, IM, PM and UM+gene dose 2.5 is not mentioned. Scherf-Clavel 2023 mentions the frequency of PM in the largest cohort to be 5.2% (10 out of 194) and the frequency of PM in a third German cohort to be 6.2% (6 out of | | | | |
| tors. The authors do not indicate whether the difference in response and remission between the two cohorts is significant and do not correct for the cohort from which the patient was derived. P-values were Bonferroni-corrected for the total number of genes (7) and the total number of drugs (4 for concentrations and 2 for metabolic ratios) investigated. As a result p ≤ 0.001 or p ≤ 0.002 was considered significant. Genotyping: The number of NM, IM, PM and UM+gene dose 2.5 is not mentioned. Scherf-Clavel 2023 mentions the frequency of PM in the largest cohort to be 5.2% (10 out of 194) and the frequency of PM in a third German cohort to be 6.2% (6 out of | | | · | |
| in response and remission between the two cohorts is significant and do not correct for the cohort from which the patient was derived. P-values were Bonferroni-corrected for the total number of genes (7) and the total number of drugs (4 for concentrations and 2 for metabolic ratios) investigated. As a result p ≤ 0.001 or p ≤ 0.002 was considered significant. Genotyping: The number of NM, IM, PM and UM+gene dose 2.5 is not mentioned. Scherf-Clavel 2023 mentions the frequency of PM in the largest cohort to be 5.2% (10 out of 194) and the frequency of PM in a third German cohort to be 6.2% (6 out of | | | | |
| significant and do not correct for the cohort from which the patient was derived. P-values were Bonferroni-corrected for the total number of genes (7) and the total number of drugs (4 for concentrations and 2 for metabolic ratios) investigated. As a result p ≤ 0.001 or p ≤ 0.002 was considered significant. Genotyping: The number of NM, IM, PM and UM+gene dose 2.5 is not mentioned. Scherf-Clavel 2023 mentions the frequency of PM in the largest cohort to be 5.2% (10 out of 194) and the frequency of PM in a third German cohort to be 6.2% (6 out of | | | | |
| the patient was derived. P-values were Bonferroni-corrected for the total number of genes (7) and the total number of drugs (4 for concentrations and 2 for metabolic ratios) investigated. As a result p ≤ 0.001 or p ≤ 0.002 was considered significant. Genotyping: The number of NM, IM, PM and UM+gene dose 2.5 is not mentioned. Scherf-Clavel 2023 mentions the frequency of PM in the largest cohort to be 5.2% (10 out of 194) and the frequency of PM in a third German cohort to be 6.2% (6 out of | | | · · | |
| P-values were Bonferroni-corrected for the total number of genes (7) and the total number of drugs (4 for concentrations and 2 for metabolic ratios) investigated. As a result p ≤ 0.001 or p ≤ 0.002 was considered significant. Genotyping: The number of NM, IM, PM and UM+gene dose 2.5 is not mentioned. Scherf-Clavel 2023 mentions the frequency of PM in the largest cohort to be 5.2% (10 out of 194) and the frequency of PM in a third German cohort to be 6.2% (6 out of | | | | |
| trations and 2 for metabolic ratios) investigated. As a result p ≤ 0.001 or p ≤ 0.002 was considered significant. Genotyping: The number of NM, IM, PM and UM+gene dose 2.5 is not mentioned. Scherf-Clavel 2023 mentions the frequency of PM in the largest cohort to be 5.2% (10 out of 194) and the frequency of PM in a third German cohort to be 6.2% (6 out of | | | | |
| trations and 2 for metabolic ratios) investigated. As a result p ≤ 0.001 or p ≤ 0.002 was considered significant. Genotyping: The number of NM, IM, PM and UM+gene dose 2.5 is not mentioned. Scherf-Clavel 2023 mentions the frequency of PM in the largest cohort to be 5.2% (10 out of 194) and the frequency of PM in a third German cohort to be 6.2% (6 out of | | | of genes (7) and the total number of drugs (4 for concen- | |
| result p ≤ 0.001 or p ≤ 0.002 was considered significant. Genotyping: The number of NM, IM, PM and UM+gene dose 2.5 is not mentioned. Scherf-Clavel 2023 mentions the frequency of PM in the largest cohort to be 5.2% (10 out of 194) and the frequency of PM in a third German cohort to be 6.2% (6 out of | | | - · · · | |
| Genotyping: The number of NM, IM, PM and UM+gene dose 2.5 is not mentioned. Scherf-Clavel 2023 mentions the frequency of PM in the largest cohort to be 5.2% (10 out of 194) and the frequency of PM in a third German cohort to be 6.2% (6 out of | | | , - | |
| The number of NM, IM, PM and UM+gene dose 2.5 is not mentioned. Scherf-Clavel 2023 mentions the frequency of PM in the largest cohort to be 5.2% (10 out of 194) and the frequency of PM in a third German cohort to be 6.2% (6 out of | | | | |
| mentioned. Scherf-Clavel 2023 mentions the frequency of PM in the largest cohort to be 5.2% (10 out of 194) and the frequency of PM in a third German cohort to be 6.2% (6 out of | | | | |
| Scherf-Clavel 2023 mentions the frequency of PM in the largest cohort to be 5.2% (10 out of 194) and the frequency of PM in a third German cohort to be 6.2% (6 out of | | | The number of NM, IM, PM and UM+gene dose 2.5 is not | |
| largest cohort to be 5.2% (10 out of 194) and the frequency of PM in a third German cohort to be 6.2% (6 out of | | | | |
| cy of PM in a third German cohort to be 6.2% (6 out of | | | · | |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | | |
| 97). This indicates that it is reasonable to assume a | | | · · | |
| | | <u> </u> | 97). This indicates that it is reasonable to assume a | |

| ref. 3, continuation | | frequency of app this study, so the | - | | 109 patien | ts in | |
|--|-------|---|--|--|---|--|--|
| | | | | | | | |
| | | Results: Results compared | red to NM: | | | | |
| | | Trousine company | PM | IM | UM+ gene dose 2.5 | value for NM | |
| | | clinical improvement (percentual reduction in HDRS ₂₁ score) | NM versu The same after exclu | N versus IM IS UM+gene Is result was Usion of pati IN 19206 inhibit | dose 2.5 obtained ients | | Dose-corrected serum concentration |
| | | % of patients with remission | | // versus IM is UM+gene | | | of amitriptyline + nortriptyline versus NM: |
| | PM: A | dose- corrected concentration of amitriptyline+ nortriptyline | x 2.16 (S) | trend for an asso- ciation (p = 0.103) (NS) | NS | 0.92 ng. mg/ml | PM: 216% |
| | | | versus UN Apart from trend for I were obta | versus IM v M+gene dos n the absen IM, similar re ained after e s using CYF | se 2.5 ce of a esults exclusion | | |
| | IM: A | metabolic ratio | S | S versus IM v | NS ersus NM | | |
| | UM: A | nortriptyline/ amitriptyline | versus UN Similar re after exclu | M+gene dos sults were dusion of pati P2D6 inhibit | se 2.5 obtained ients | | |
| | | Note: Genotypingene multiplication | g was for *2 on. These a | 2 through *6 are the mos | 5, *9, *10, *4 | | |
| ref. 4 Matthaei J et al. Effects of genetic polymorphism in CYP2D6, CYP2C19, and the organic cation transporter OCT1 on amitriptyline pharma- cokinetics in healthy volunteers and depressive disorder patients. Front Pharmacol 2021;12:688950. PMID: 34093211. | 3 | 35 healthy volunt ransporter 1 (OC 25 mg amitriptyling Participants reported and fatigue was event reported. Relevant comed could not be detected decline in nortripall subjects at the amitriptyline inta AUC of amitriptyline inta AUC of amitripty results of this studitiple linear rebody mass index Genotyping: | teers, selections, selections, selections, serious ad the only state of the only sta | cted for their ype, received se events us liverse event atistically sign excluded. It is nortriptyline entration was urement at the this under iptyline for Fibe used for nalysis adjustice. | ed a single of sing visual at the were reported at the were reported at the were reported at the were | dose of ena- orted verse UC _{0-∞} a rved in fter he the lations. | Authors' conclusion: 'The pharmacokinetics of amitriptyline and nortriptyline are strongly dependent on the CYP2C19 and CYP2D6 genotypes.' |
| | | - 2x UM - 18x NM - 12x IM - 3x PM | | | | | |

| Results: Results compared to NM: | |
|---|---|
| Results compared to NM: PM | |
| PM IM UM value for NM intensity of fatigue AUC _{0-∞} x 1.53 x 1.20 x 0.77 amitriptyline S for gene dose 0 versus gene dose 1 versus gene dose 1 versus gene dose 2 versus gene dose 2.5 versus gene dose 2.5 versus gene dose 0.5 instead of 0.25) Multiple linear regression confirmed the CYP2D6 genotype to be an independent predictor | |
| PM: A IM: A UM: A IM: A UM: A IM: A UM: A IM: A UM: A UM: A UM: A IM: A UM: A | |
| intensity of fatigue type (NS). AUC _{0-∞} x 1.53 x 1.20 x 0.77 203,4 amitriptyline NM: A UM: A | |
| Fatigue type (NS). AUC₀-∞ x 1.53 x 1.20 x 0.77 y 203,4 yg.h/L S for gene dose 0 versus gene dose 1 versus gene dose 1,5 versus gene dose 2.5 versus gene dose 2.5 versus gene dose 3 (with *10 considered gene dose 0.5 instead of 0.25) Multiple linear regression confirmed the CYP2D6 genotype to be an independent predictor | |
| PM: A IM: A UM: A IM: | |
| PM: A IM: A UM: A UM: A UM: A UM: A UM: A UM: A UM: A UM: A Description: A UM: A UM: A Description: S for gene dose 0 versus gene dose 1 versus gene dose 1,5 versus gene dose 2 versus gene dose 2.5 versus gene dose 3 (with *10 considered gene dose 0.5 instead of 0.25) Multiple linear regression confirmed the CYP2D6 genotype to be an independent predictor | |
| dose 0.5 versus gene dose 1 versus gene dose 1,5 versus gene dose 2 versus gene dose 2.5 versus gene dose 3 (with *10 considered gene dose 0.5 instead of 0.25) Multiple linear regression confirmed the CYP2D6 genotype to be an independent predictor | |
| Versus gene dose 1,5 versus gene dose 2 versus gene dose 2.5 versus gene dose 3 (with *10 considered gene dose 0.5 instead of 0.25) Multiple linear regression confirmed the CYP2D6 genotype to be an independent predictor | |
| 2.5 versus gene dose 3 (with *10 considered gene dose 0.5 instead of 0.25) Multiple linear regression confirmed the CYP2D6 genotype to be an independent predictor | |
| *10 considered gene dose 0.5 instead of 0.25) Multiple linear regression confirmed the CYP2D6 genotype to be an independent predictor | |
| instead of 0.25) Multiple linear regression confirmed the CYP2D6 genotype to be an independent predictor | |
| Multiple linear regression con- firmed the CYP2D6 genotype to be an independent predictor | |
| to be an independent predictor | |
| | |
| | |
| of AUC₀ amitriptyline, explai- ning 43% of the variation. | |
| AUC _{0-48h} x 1.40 x 1.15 x 0.77 168,3 | |
| amitriptyline S for gene dose 0 versus gene µg.h/L | |
| dose 0.5 versus gene dose 1 | |
| versus gene dose 1,5 versus | |
| gene dose 2 versus gene dose | |
| 2.5 versus gene dose 3 (with *10 considered gene dose 0.5 | |
| instead of 0.25) | |
| AUC _{0-48h} x 1.65 x 1.41 x 0.81 87.7 | |
| nortriptyline S for gene dose 0 versus gene µg.h/L | |
| dose 0.5 versus gene dose 1 | |
| versus gene dose 1,5 versus gene dose 2 versus gene dose | |
| 2.5 versus gene dose 3 (with | |
| *10 considered gene dose 0.5 | |
| instead of 0.25) | |
| Multiple linear regression con- | |
| firmed the CYP2D6 genotype to be an independent predictor | |
| of AUC _{0-48h} nortriptyline. | |
| AUC _{0-48h} x 1.49 x 1.24 x 0.79 256,0 | |
| amitriptyline+ S for gene dose 0 versus gene µg.h/L | |
| nortriptyline dose 0.5 versus gene dose 1 | |
| versus gene dose 1,5 versus | |
| gene dose 2 versus gene dose 2.5 versus gene dose 3 (with | |
| *10 considered gene dose 0.5 | |
| instead of 0.25) | |
| (Significance not determined, | |
| but is S for the decrease with | |
| increasing gene dose for ami- triptyline and nortriptyline | |
| separately.) | |
| | |
| Note: AUCs did not differ between different OCT1 geno- | |
| types. | |
| Note: Genotyping was for *2 through *6, *9, *10, *35, *41, | |
| and gene duplication. These are the most important gene | |
| variants in this German population. | |
| ref. 5 1 Case-series of patients on amitriptyline 10 mg once daily Authors' conclu | |
| Mifsud Buhagiar L et including two patients without CYP2D6 and CYP2C19 'Hydroxy metal | ^ |

| al. Practical liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry method for the simultaneous quantification of amitriptyline, nortriptyline and their hydroxy metabolites in human serum. Biomed Chromatogr 2019;33:e4679. PMID: 31415098. ref. 5, continuation | UM: AA | inhibitors as comedication Steady-state serum conduction 15 hours after dose adm. The two cases without commatched. The CYP2D6 of the CYP2D6 NM was CYPresence of only 1 patients are the chance of non-reserved from the cype of the CYP2D6 NM was CYPTE of the CYP2D6 NM was CYP2D6 NM was CYPTE of the CYP2D6 NM was CYP2D6 NM was CYPTE of the CYP2D6 NM was CYPTE of the CYP2D6 NM was CYP2D6 NM was CYP2D6 NM was CYPTE of the CYP2D6 NM was CYP2D6 N | centrations were determ inistration. omedication were not fu UM was CYP2C19 IM, was CYP2C19 IM, way P2C19 NM. In addition, and in the reference group epresentative results. | lly hereas , the | lites concentrations, relative to the amitriptyline and nortriptyline concentrations, are observed to be highest in case example I. The levels of hydroxy metabolites are essentially determined by CYP2D6 metabolism which is reported as ultrarapid for case example I.' Serum concentration of amitriptyline + nortriptyline versus NM: UM: 51% |
|--|--------|--|--|---|---|
| | | line Z-hydroxy amitripty- line E-hydroxy amitripty- line Z-hydroxy nortripty- line E-hydroxy nortripty- line | x 0.90 (NS) x 0.86 (NS) x 0.77 (NS) x 0.92 (NS) | 1,01 ng/ml 2,06 ng/ml 1,59 ng/ml 9,32 ng/ml | |
| ref. 6 Chaudhry M et al. Impact of CYP2D6 genotype on amitriptyline efficacy for the treatment of diabetic peripheral neuropathy: a pilot study. Pharmacogenomics 2017;18:433-443. PubMed PMID: 28350251. | 3 | Note: The article does note determined, nor how get type. 31 patients with painful of were treated with low-does 25 mg/day in 64% of patients satisfation a scale of 0 to 10: the blurred vision, constipation mouth and/or eyes, and was defined as a mean adissatisfaction to prevail Co-medication was not endication was not endicated by the state of the stat | diabetic peripheral neuronse amitriptyline (10-100 tients). During one week action with the following serintensity of pain/burning on, drowsiness, dryness difficulty urinating. Dissascore higher than 5 (indicover satisfaction). excluded. 6 comparisons was applignificance). | ppathy mg/day; patients six items g in feet, s of the atisfaction cating | Author's conclusion: "CYP2D6 genotype contributes to treat- ment outcome and may be useful for guiding drug thera- py." |

| ref. 6, continuation | | | | | | |
|---|--------|--|---------------------------|----------------|------------|--|
| | | Results: | | 1.0 | | |
| | | Gene dose 0.5 versus dose 1.5 versus gene | - | | | |
| | | - trend for more sever | | | | |
| | | lower gene doses (N | | | | |
| | IM: AA | - trend for a higher pe | | | | |
| | UM: AA | dissatisfied with at le | east one side | e effect with | lower | |
| | | gene doses (NS) - trend for a higher pe | rcentage of | patients bei | na | |
| | | dissatisfied with the | | | | |
| | | doses (NS) | | | | |
| | | - the UM patient did n either pain intensity | | | | |
| | | amitriptyline 25 mg/ | | | | |
| | | used the analgesic | | | | |
| | | tacin. | | | | |
| | | The study was a pilot good probability for si | | | ave a | |
| | | The authors calculate | | | d be | |
| | | needed for each outc | ome measur | e to have a | | |
| | | lity of at least 0.9 to b | e significant | · | | |
| | | NB: Genotyping was p | erformed by | Seguencina | of the | |
| | | entire gene. Gene varia | | | | |
| | | population were *1, *2, | *2M, *4, *5, | *17, *29, *2 | 9+1SNP | |
| ref. 7 | 3 | (2509G>T),*35, *41, *4 | | | | Author's conclusion: |
| Ryu S et al. | 3 | 18 healthy volunteers, CYP2C19 genotype, re | | | | "The extent of |
| A study on CYP2C19 | | line 25 mg. | | 9.0 0.00 | | hydroxylation of |
| and CYP2D6 poly- | | The subjects rated dry | | | | amitriptyline or nor- |
| morphic effects on pharmacokinetics and | | analogue scales predo 72 and 96 hours after of | | | | triptyline was signifi- cantly reduced in |
| pharmacodynamics of | | significant drowsiness | | | | subjects carrying |
| amitriptyline in healthy | | Eight adverse events of | | | | two CYP2D6 |
| Koreans. Clin Transl Sci | | which four were considered by the considered which four were considered which four were considered by the considered by the considered which four were considered by the consi | | | | decreased functio- nal alleles compared |
| 2017;10:93-101. | | mild and fully recovere | | icss). All evi | ciilo weie | with those with no or |
| PubMed PMID: | | Co-medication and sm | | excluded. | | one decreased func- |
| 28296334. | | Conctyping | | | | tional allele. The gene variations of |
| | | Genotyping: - 6x *1/*1 (17% CYP20 | C19 NM. 83% | 6 CYP2C19 | IM) | CYP2C19 and CYP- |
| | | - 6x gene dose 1.5 + g | ene dose ≥ 2 | 2 (4x *1/*10, | 1x *1/*10 | 2D6 did not change |
| | | with gene duplication | , 1x *1xN/*5 |) (50% CYP: | 2C19 NM, | the pharmacodyna- |
| | | 50% CYP2C19 IM) - 6x *10/*10 (67% CYP | 2C19 NM 3 | 3% CYP2C | 19 IM) | mic effect." |
| | | OX 10/ 10 (0/ /0 011 | 20101111, 0 | 070 011 20 | 10 1111) | |
| | | Results: | ± 4 /± 4 | | | |
| | | Results compared to | *1/*1: *10/*10 | gene | value for | |
| | | | 10/ 10 | dose 1.5 | *1/*1 | |
| | | | | + gene | | |
| | | dry mouth | no differen | dose ≥ 2 | | |
| | | dry mouth | no differen tween grou | | | |
| | | drowsiness | no differen | ce be- | no signi- | |
| | | | tween grou | ıps (NS) | ficant in- | |
| | | increase in pulse | no differen | ce he- | crease | |
| | | rate | tween grou | | | |
| | | change in blood | no differen | ce be- | no signi- | |
| | | pressure | tween grou | ıps (NS). | ficant | |
| | | | <u> </u> | | change | |

| ref. 7, continuation | | AUC amitriptyline | x 1.09 | x 0.94 | 265.60 | |
|---|------------------|--|---|---|--|---|
| , | | | NS for *10 | | ng.h/ml | |
| | | | | dose 1.5 + | | |
| | | | gene dose | | | |
| | | | sus *1/*1 | | | |
| | | AUC nortriptyline | x 1.89 | x 1.21 | 171.16 | |
| | | | NS for *10 | | ng.h/ml | |
| | | | | dose 1.5 + | | |
| | | | gene dose | | | AUC amitriptyline + |
| | | | sus *1/*1 (| | | AUC nortriptyline |
| | | ALIO ANTOINE PAR | determined | | 400.70 | versus *1/*1: |
| | | AUC amitriptyline + AUC nortriptyline | x 1.40 NS for *10 | x 1.05 | 436.76 | IM: 140% |
| | | AUC Hortificialitie | | dose 1.5 + | ng.h/ml | |
| | | | gene dose | | | |
| | | | sus *1/*1 (| | | |
| | | | determined | | | |
| | | AUC ratio 10-OH- | x 0.33 | x 0.74 | 0.27 | 1 |
| | IM: A | amitriptyline/amitrip- | (S) | (NS) | | |
| | | tyline | , , | , , | | |
| | | AUC ratio 10-OH- | x 0.37 | x 0.75 | 1.9 | |
| | | nortriptyline/nortrip- | (S) | (NS) | | |
| | | tyline | | | | |
| | | The authors indicated | | | | |
| | | the subjects with gen | | | | |
| | | not differ from the pla | | trations in tr | ie sub- | |
| | | jects with gene dose | 1.5. | | | 1 |
| | | NB: Genotyping of CYI | P2D6 was fo | r *5 *10 and | d done | |
| | | duplication, genotyping | | | | |
| | | These are the most im | | | | |
| | | Korean population. | portain going | | | |
| ref. 8 | 3 | 152 migraine patients i | received ami | triptyline pro | phylaxis | Author's conclusion: |
| Atasayar G et al. | | for a minimum of 2 mo | | | | "There were no |
| Association of MDR1, | | minimal effective dose | | | | significant correla- |
| CYP2D6, and CYP- | | the maximum effective | | | | tions between the |
| 2C19 gene polymor- | | response and side effe | | | | treatment responses |
| phisms with prophy- | | amitriptyline monothera | | | ndicating | to amitriptyline, |
| lactic migraine treat- | | no missed amitriptyline | | | o in the | propranolol, and |
| ment response. | | Trootmont recognice w | | | | LVAINTAIA ACID AND THA |
| L I Neurol Sci | | Treatment response w | | | | valproic acid and the |
| J Neurol Sci 2016:366:149-154 | | headache frequency d | uring the pre | ceding mon | th with at | MDR1, CYP2D6 |
| 2016;366:149-154. | | headache frequency de least 50%. 44% of pati | uring the pre ents respond | ceding mon ded to treatn | th with at | MDR1, CYP2D6 and CYP2C19 gene |
| 2016;366:149-154. PubMed PMID: | | headache frequency d | uring the pre ents respond | ceding mon ded to treatn | th with at | MDR1, CYP2D6 |
| 2016;366:149-154. | | headache frequency de least 50%. 44% of pati | uring the pre ents respond | ceding mon ded to treatn | th with at | MDR1, CYP2D6 and CYP2C19 gene |
| 2016;366:149-154. PubMed PMID: | | headache frequency de least 50%. 44% of pati Relevant co-medication Genotyping: - 104x NM | uring the pre ents respond | ceding mon ded to treatn | th with at | MDR1, CYP2D6 and CYP2C19 gene |
| 2016;366:149-154. PubMed PMID: | | headache frequency de least 50%. 44% of pati Relevant co-medication Genotyping: - 104x NM - 41x IM | uring the pre ents respond | ceding mon ded to treatn | th with at | MDR1, CYP2D6 and CYP2C19 gene |
| 2016;366:149-154. PubMed PMID: | | headache frequency de least 50%. 44% of pati Relevant co-medication Genotyping: - 104x NM | uring the pre ents respond | ceding mon ded to treatn | th with at | MDR1, CYP2D6 and CYP2C19 gene |
| 2016;366:149-154. PubMed PMID: | | headache frequency di least 50%. 44% of pati Relevant co-medication Genotyping: - 104x NM - 41x IM - 7x PM | uring the pre ents respond | ceding mon ded to treatn | th with at | MDR1, CYP2D6 and CYP2C19 gene |
| 2016;366:149-154. PubMed PMID: | | headache frequency di least 50%. 44% of pati Relevant co-medication Genotyping: - 104x NM - 41x IM - 7x PM Results: | uring the pre ents respond n was not ex | ceding mon ded to treatn cluded. | th with at | MDR1, CYP2D6 and CYP2C19 gene |
| 2016;366:149-154. PubMed PMID: | | headache frequency de least 50%. 44% of pation Relevant co-medication Genotyping: - 104x NM - 41x IM - 7x PM Results: Percentage of response | uring the pre ents respond n was not ex | ceding mon ded to treatn cluded. | th with at | MDR1, CYP2D6 and CYP2C19 gene |
| 2016;366:149-154. PubMed PMID: | ΙΜ· ΔΔ | headache frequency de least 50%. 44% of pation Relevant co-medication Genotyping: - 104x NM - 41x IM - 7x PM Results: Percentage of responders): | uring the pre ents respond n was not ex nders compa | ceding mon ded to treatn cluded. | th with at | MDR1, CYP2D6 and CYP2C19 gene |
| 2016;366:149-154. PubMed PMID: | IM: AA PM: AA | headache frequency de least 50%. 44% of patie Relevant co-medication Genotyping: - 104x NM - 41x IM - 7x PM Results: Percentage of responsesponders): IM NS | uring the pre ents respond n was not ex nders compa | ceding mon ded to treatn cluded. | th with at | MDR1, CYP2D6 and CYP2C19 gene |
| 2016;366:149-154. PubMed PMID: | | headache frequency de least 50%. 44% of pation Relevant co-medication Genotyping: - 104x NM - 41x IM - 7x PM Results: Percentage of responders): | uring the pre ents respond n was not ex nders compa | ceding mon ded to treatn cluded. | th with at | MDR1, CYP2D6 and CYP2C19 gene |
| 2016;366:149-154. PubMed PMID: | | headache frequency de least 50%. 44% of pati Relevant co-medication Genotyping: - 104x NM - 41x IM - 7x PM Results: Percentage of responses ponders): IM NS PM NS | uring the pre ents respond n was not ex ders compa | ceding mon ded to treatn cluded. | th with at nent. | MDR1, CYP2D6 and CYP2C19 gene |
| 2016;366:149-154. PubMed PMID: | | headache frequency de least 50%. 44% of pati Relevant co-medication Genotyping: - 104x NM - 41x IM - 7x PM Results: Percentage of responses of responders): IM NS NB: Genotyping was for | uring the preents respond n was not ex ders compa | ceding mon ded to treath cluded. red to NM (4 | th with at nent. | MDR1, CYP2D6 and CYP2C19 gene |
| 2016;366:149-154. PubMed PMID: | | headache frequency de least 50%. 44% of pati Relevant co-medication Genotyping: - 104x NM - 41x IM - 7x PM Results: Percentage of responses ponders): IM NS PM NS | uring the preents respond n was not ex nders compa or *3, *4 and ariants in this | red to NM (4 *6. These as Turkish po | th with at nent. | MDR1, CYP2D6 and CYP2C19 gene |
| 2016;366:149-154. PubMed PMID: | | headache frequency de least 50%. 44% of pati Relevant co-medication Genotyping: - 104x NM - 41x IM - 7x PM Results: Percentage of responses responders): IM NS NB: Genotyping was formost important gene visited in the second se | uring the preents respond n was not ex nders compa or *3, *4 and ariants in this this populati | red to NM (4 *6. These as Turkish poon. | th with at nent. | MDR1, CYP2D6 and CYP2C19 gene |
| 2016;366:149-154. PubMed PMID: 27288795. | PM: AA | headache frequency de least 50%. 44% of patients de least 50%. 44% of patients de | uring the preents respond n was not ex nders compa or *3, *4 and ariants in this this population ug monitorinated with ami | red to NM (4 *6. These a s Turkish poon. g was perfor triptyline (7) | th with at nent. 15% re the pulation. rmed in | MDR1, CYP2D6 and CYP2C19 gene polymorphisms." |
| ref. 9 de Vos A et al. Association between | PM: AA | headache frequency de least 50%. 44% of patients being treat from the state of the state o | uring the preents respond n was not ex ders compa or *3, *4 and ariants in this this population ug monitorinated with amilese 1), 18x | red to NM (4 *6. These as Turkish poon. g was perfortriptyline (71 PM (gene do | re the pulation. The med in lx NM ose 0), 3x | Authors' conclusion: "Significant association of CYP2D6 |
| 2016;366:149-154. PubMed PMID: 27288795. ref. 9 de Vos A et al. | PM: AA | headache frequency de least 50%. 44% of patients de least 50%. 44% of patients de | or *3, *4 and ariants in this population with amiliose 1), 18x The amitripty | red to NM (4 *6. These as Turkish poon. g was perfortriptyline (71 PM (gene do | re the pulation. The din lx NM ose 0), 3x as known | MDR1, CYP2D6 and CYP2C19 gene polymorphisms." Authors' conclusion: "Significant associa- |

| tyline, citalopram and | | Relevant co-medication was not excluded. | was observed." |
|---|---------|--|--------------------|
| clomipramine in Dutch | | | |
| hospitalized patients. | | IM versus NM: | |
| Pharmacogenomics J | | - Increase in the NORT C _{ss} by 29% (from 55 to 71 μg/L) | |
| 2011;11:359-67. | IM: A | (S) | |
| , | | - No significant difference in dose, AMI Css, and AMI+ | |
| ref. 9, continuation | | NORT C _{ss} | |
| , | | - No difference in the dose-corrected AMI ^b C _{ss} (both 1.0 | |
| | | μg/L per mg/day) (NS) | |
| | | , | |
| | | - Decrease in the AMI/NORT MR by 18% (from 1.7 to | |
| | | 1.4) (S) | |
| | | - Increase in the dose-corrected C _{ss} amitriptyline+nortrip- | |
| | | tyline, calculated from the mean values for dose-correc- | |
| | | ted C _{ss} amitriptyline and the metabolic ratio by 8% (from | |
| | PM: A | 1.59 to 1.71 µg/L per mg) (significance not determined) | |
| | | | |
| | | PM versus NM: | |
| | | - Increase in the NORT C _{ss} by 73% (from 55 to 95 μg/L) | |
| | | (S) | |
| | | - No difference in dose and AMI Css (NS) | |
| | | - Increase in the AMI+NORT Css by 46% (from 129 to 188 | |
| | | μg/L) (S) | |
| | | - Increase in the dose-corrected AMI ^b C _{ss} by 30% (from | |
| | | 1.0 to 1.3 μg/L per mg/day) (NS) | |
| | | - Decrease in the AMI/NORT MR by 24% (from 1.7 to | |
| | | 1.3) (S) | Dose-corrected AMI |
| | | - Increase in the percentage of patients with NORT Css | + NORT plasma |
| | | above the therapeutic range (> 150 µg/L) by 492% | concentration |
| | UM: AA | (from 2.8% to 16.7%) (S) | versus NM: |
| | | - Increase in the dose-corrected C _{ss} amitriptyline+nortrip- | IM: 108% |
| | | tyline, calculated from the mean values for dose-correc- | PM: 145% |
| | | | UM: 54% |
| | | ted C _{ss} amitriptyline and the metabolic ratio by 45% | |
| | | (from 1.59 to 2.30 μg/L per mg) (significance not deter- | |
| | | mined) | |
| | | LINA PARA | |
| | | UM versus NM: | |
| | | - No significant difference in dose, AMI Css, NORT Css | |
| | | and AMI+NORT C _{ss} | |
| | | - Decrease in the dose-corrected AMI ^b C _{ss} by 20% (from | |
| | | 1.0 to 0.8 µg/L per mg/day) (NS) | |
| | | - Increase in the AMI/NORT MR by 47% (from 1.7 to 2.5) | |
| | | (NS) | |
| | | - Decrease in the dose-corrected Css amitriptyline+nor- | |
| | | triptyline, calculated from the mean values for dose- | |
| | | corrected C _{ss} amitriptyline and the metabolic ratio by | |
| | | 46% (from 1.59 to 0.86 μg/L per mg) (significance not | |
| | <u></u> | determined) | |
| ref. 10 | 3 | Steady-state plasma concentrations were determined in | |
| Halling J et al. | | 23 patients using amitriptyline (5-100 mg/day; median 25 | |
| The CYP2D6 poly- | | mg/day) after exclusion of the two patients on the lowest | |
| morphism in relation | | doses (one PM on 5 mg/day and an NM# on 10 mg/day). | |
| to the metabolism of | | Genotyping showed these 21 patients to be 10x NM | |
| amitriptyline and | | (*1/*1), 7x IM (genotype not specified) and 4x PM (*4/*4). | |
| nortriptyline in the | | No co-medication with CYP2D6 inhibitors. | |
| Faroese population. | | TWO CO-ITIEGROATION WITH O IT 2DO HIHIBIRUIS. | |
| Br J Clin Pharmacol | | PM versus NM#: | |
| 2008;65:134-8. | | | |
| | | - No difference in median dose | |
| | | - Increase in the AMI+NORT ^b C _{ss} by a median 48% and | |
| | | mean 69% (from median 4.4 to 6.5 nM/mg per day) | |
| | | (NS) | Dose-corrected AMI |
| | | - Increase in the AMI ^b C _{ss} by a median 62% and mean | + NORT plasma |
| | | 28% (from median 2.4 to 3.89 nM/mg per day) (NS) | Piasina |

| ref. 10, continuation | PM: A | Increase in the NORT^b C_{ss} by a median 129% and mean 121% (from median 1.49 to 3.42 nM/mg per day) (NS) Increase in AMI/(E)-10-hydroxyAMI MR (S) Increase in NORT/(E)-10-hydroxyNORT MR (S) The AMI+NORT C_{ss} was higher than the therapeutic C_{ss} in 1 of the in total 5 PMs (454 nM versus 130-325 nM) Note: No genotyping for gene duplication was performed. Note: A high frequency of PM (15%) was observed in the Faroe Islands population investigated. | concentration versus NM#: PM: 169% |
|---|---------|--|--|
| ref. 11 Koski A et al. CYP2D6 and CYP- 2C19 genotypes and amitriptyline metabolite ratios in a series of medicolegal autopsies. Forensic Sci Int 2006;158:177-83. | 3 IM: A | The effect of CYP2D6 genotype on amitriptyline metabolism was investigated in 195 post-mortem toxicology cases (13x PM, 60x IM, 108x NM, 14x UM). Co-medication with CYP2D6 inhibitors varied. IM versus NM: - Increase in AMI/(E)-10-hydroxyAMI MR (S) - Decrease in (E)-10-hydroxyAMI MR (S) - Decrease in (E)-10-hydroxyAMI/(Z)-10-hydroxyNORT MR (S) - Decrease in (Z)-10-hydroxyAMI/(Z)-10-hydroxyNORT MR (S) PM versus NM: - Increase in AMI/(E)-10-hydroxyAMI MR (S) - Increase in NORT/(E)-10-hydroxyAMI MR (S) - Decrease in (E)-10-hydroxyAMI/(Z)-10-hydroxyNORT MR (S) - Decrease in (Z)-10-hydroxyAMI/(Z)-10-hydroxyNORT MR (S) - Increase in NORT/(E)-10-hydroxyNORT MR (S) - Increase in NORT/(E)-10-hydroxyAMI MR (S) - Decrease in (E)-10-hydroxyAMI/(Z)-10-hydroxyAMI MR (S) - Decrease in (E)-10-hydroxyAMI/(Z)-10-hydroxyAMI MR (S) - Decrease in AMI/NORT MR (S) The cause of death in 103 cases was drug intoxication. Of these cases, 63 were primarily caused by AMI overdose, of whom 39 intended, 17 unintended and 7 not known. The unintended fatal intoxications were not associated with PM genotype (1x PM with a very low AMI concentration, 9x IM, 6x NM, 1x UM). Covariant analysis (CYP2D6, CYP2C19, age, gender) showed a dominant effect of CYP2D6 on AMI metabolism. Note: No genotyping for *41 was performed. | Authors' conclusion: "Our study shows a concordance of AT metabolite patterns with CYP2D6 and CYP2C19 genotypes in the presence of confounding factors typical for postmortem material. This result demonstrates the feasibility of postmortem pharmacogenetic analysis and supports the dominant role of genes in drug metabolism." |
| Steimer W et al. Amitriptyline or not, that is the question: pharmacogenetic testing of CYP2D6 and CYP2C19 iden- tifies patients with low or high risk for side effects in amitriptyline therapy. Clin Chem 2005;51:376-85. | IM: C | blinded study were given amitriptyline 150 mg/day for 3 weeks. In 5 patients, the psychiatrist adjusted the dose during the study period (up to 75 mg/day (n=1), 100 mg/day (n=3) and 125 mg/day (n=1)). Co-medication: 13x possible CYP2D6 inhibitors. IM versus NM+UM: - Increase in the percentage of patients with substantial side effects from 12.1% to 76.5% (S by 523%) Ditto for patients without CYP2D6-relevant co-medication: from 4.2% to 69.2% (S by 1548%) - Higher NORT C _{ss} : - From 49.0 to 101.2 μg/L for CYP2C19 IM+PM (S by 107%) | "Combined pharmacogenetic testing for CYP2D6 and CYP-2C19 identifies patients with low risk for side effects in amitriptyline therapy and could possibly be used to individualize antidepressive regimens and reduce treatment cost. Identification of genotypes associated |

| ref. 12, continuation | | From 65.0 to 108.4 μg/L for CYP2C19 NM (S by 67%) Higher (AMI + NORT) C_{ss} From 154.8 to 202.0 μg/L for CYP2C19 IM+PM (S for the trend; by 30%) From 134.7 to 201.9 μg/L for CYP2C19 NM (S for the trend; by 50%) No difference in therapeutic response (NS) NORT concentrations correlated with side effects, but AMI concentrations did not. However, the stronger influence on side effects of the phenotype of CYP2D6, which converts both amitriptyline and nortriptyline into inactive metabolites, than of the phenotype of CYP2C19, which converts amitriptyline into nortriptyline, suggests that the effect of nortriptyline concentration is due to its effect on the amitriptyline+nortriptyline concentration. The NORT + AMI concentrations did not correlate with therapeutic response. | with slightly reduced intermediate metabolism may be more important than currently anticipated." |
|---|---------|--|--|
| ref. 13 Steimer W et al. Allele-specific change of concentration and functional gene dose for the prediction of steady-state serum concentrations of amitriptyline and nortriptyline in CYP-2C19 and CYP2D6 extensive and intermediate metabolizers. Clin Chem 2004;50:1623-33. | 3 IM: A | The same study as Steimer, 2005 (ref. 2) but further analysis of the pharmacokinetics. The number of patients was 3 for gene dose 0.5, 14 for gene dose 1.0, 11 for gene dose 1.5, 20 for gene dose 2.0 and 1 for gene dose 3.0. - Significant differences in NORTa Css when compared on the basis of gene dose (null allele = 0, partially functional allele = 0.5, functional allele = 1): - IM versus NM: - 0.5 versus 1.5: from 37.6 to 66.8 μg/L per kg/mg (Sby 78%) - 0.5 versus 2.0: from 25.2 to 66.8 μg/L per kg/mg (Sby 165%) - 1.0 versus 2.0: from 25.2 to 48.2 μg/L per kg/mg (Sby 91%) - Low NM versus high NM: - 1.5 versus 2.0: from 25.2 to 37.6 μg/L per kg/mg (Sby 49%) - The AMI+NORT Css is mainly affected by changes in NORT concentration as a result of CYP2D6 polymorphisms. The AMI+NORTa Css was: - Gene dose 0.5: 101.6 μg/L per kg/mg - Gene dose 1.0: 89.9 μg/L per kg/mg - Gene dose 1.0: 89.9 μg/L per kg/mg - Gene dose 2.0: 59.8 μg/L per kg/mg - Gene dose 2.0: 59.8 μg/L per kg/mg NM: 65.2 μg/L per kg/mg - NM: 65.2 μg/L per kg/mg - NM: 65.2 μg/L per kg/mg Note: The mean AMI+NORT Css and the mean NORT Css were consistent with the mean Css for patients with gene dose 1.5, so not with those of homozygous wild-type patients. | Authors' conclusion: "CYP2D6 but not CYP2C19 correlates with the sum of both concentrations used to guide AT thera- py." Dose- and body weight-corrected AMI + NORT plas- ma concentration versus NM: IM: 141% UM: 166% |
| ref. 14 Grasmader K et al. Impact of polymorphisms of cytochrome-P450 isoenzymes 2C9, 2C19 and 2D6 on plasma concentrations and clinical effects of antidepressants in a naturalistic clinical setting. | UM: AA | 136 patients on antidepressants, including 3 on amitriptyline (dose not known) were genotyped. Out of the 3 patients on AMI, 1 was a CYP2D6 UM and the other 2 were either CYP2D6 IM or NM. The mean dose-corrected C _{ss} of AMI + NORT was 0.61 ng/mL per mg of dosed AMI. For the UM, the corrected plasma concentration was 6% higher than the mean. | |

| Eur J Clin Pharmacol | | | |
|--|---------------------|---|---|
| ref. 15 Shimoda K et al. The impact of CYP-2C19 and CYP2D6 genotypes on metabolism of amitriptyline in Japanese psychiatric patients. J Clin Psychopharmacol 2002;22:371-8. | 3 | 50 patients received amitriptyline 25-225 mg/day (0.46-5.18 mg/kg per day) for ≥ 2 weeks. 8 patients had 0 mutant alleles (NM (genotype 1-1)), 32 patients had 1 mutant allele (29x NM (genotype 1-0.5) and 3x IM (genotype 1-0)), 10 patients had 2 mutant alleles (all IM, genotype 0.5-0.5 (n=8) or 0.5-0 (n=2)). IM versus NM: - Increase in the NORT/(E)-10-hydroxyNORT MR from 0.73 to 1.31 (NS by 79%) Multiple regression analysis showed that the number of CYP2D6 mutant alleles and gender together explained 17.7% of the variation in log (NORT/(E)-10-hydroxy-NORT). | |
| ref. 16 Mellstrom B et al. Amitriptyline metabolism: association with debrisoquin hydroxyllation in nonsmokers. Clin Pharmacol Ther 1986;39:369-71. ref. 17 Bertilsson L et al. Extremely rapid hydroxylation of debrisoquine: a case report with implication for treatment with nortriptyline and other tricyclic antidepressants. | 3 IM: A PM: A | Note: No genotyping for gene duplication was performed. 11 non-smokers received a 50-mg single dose of amitriptyline. Amitriptyline Cl _{or} showed a negative correlation with MR desibroquine/4-hydroxy-desibroquine MR in urine (S). NOTE: genotype unknown Female patient on amitriptyline 50 mg three times daily. Plasma concentrations 3 and 5 weeks after initiation of treatment were 33 and 28 µg/L for AMI and 13 and <19 µg/L for NORT. After an initial short period of improvement in the depression, the patient had a relapse. The patient was previously treated with high-dose NORT (300-500 mg/day) and the NORT/10-hydroxyNORT MR was 0.13 at the time, suggestive of very rapid hydroxylation. The patient did not have severe anticholinergic | Authors' conclusion: "Our data suggest that there may be a common regulation of the hydroxylation of debrisoquin and the oxidative metabolism of amitriptyline in nonsmokers." Authors' conclusion: "Our patient developed low plasma levels of both AT and NT when she was treated with AT. There seem to be difficulties in optimizing the treatment of |
| Ther Drug Monit 1985;7:478-80. | | NOTE: genotype unknown | extremely rapid hydroxylators with all tricyclic antidepressants. In such cases it may be warranted to try a nontricyclic antidepressant, which is not metabolized by the debrisoquine hydroxylase." |
| ref. 18 Baumann P et al. Amitriptyline pharmacokinetics and clinical response: II. Metabolic polymorphism assessed by hydroxylation of debrisoquine and mephenytoin. Int Clin Psychopharmacol 1986;1:102-12. | 3 | 16 patients (12x NM#, 4x PM) received amitriptyline (75 mg/day for 2 days, followed by 150 mg/day for 19 days). PM versus IM+NM+UM: - Lower MR (hydroxyAMI + hydroxyNORT)/(AMI + NORT) - 2 PMs had the highest AMI + NORT concentrations - PMs did not have excessive side effects - Clinical response could not be predicted on the basis of hydroxylation status or plasma concentrations of the active substances | Authors' conclusion: "The desibroquine- test appears to be a useful clinical tool for detecting in patients a genetic deficiency in the hydroxylation of AT- type drugs." |
| | IM: A | Correlations between desibroquine/hydroxydesibroquine MR in urine and AMI and metabolites in plasma: | |

| ref. 18, continuation | PM: A UM: A | - Positive: AMI (S) and AMI+NORT (S) - Negative: hydroxyAMI/AMI (S), hydroxyNORT/NORT (S), (hydroxyAMI + hydroxyNORT)/(AMI + NORT) (S), (hydroxyAMI + hydroxyNORT)/AMI (S) NOTE: genotype unknown | |
|--|----------------|---|--|
| ref. 19 SmPC Amitriptyline HCl Auro 24-01-22. | O PM: A | Dose: Known poor metabolisers of CYP2D6 or CYP2C19 These patients can have a higher plasma concentration of amitriptyline and the active metabolite nortriptyline. Consider reducing the initial dose with 50%. Kinetics: The metabolism can be influenced by genetic polymorphisms (CYP2D6 and CYP2C19). | |
| ref. 20 SmPC Amitriptyline Hydrochloride Sandoz, USA, 17-07- 14. | O PM: A | Interactions: The biochemical activity of the drug metabolizing isozyme cytochrome P450 2D6 (debrisoquin hydroxylase) is reduced in a subset of the caucasian population (about 7 to 10% of Caucasians are so called "poor metabolizers"); reliable estimates of the prevalence of reduced P450 2D6 isozyme activity among Asian, African and other populations are not yet available. Poor metabolizers have higher than expected plasma concentrations of tricyclic antidepressants (TCAs) when given usual doses. Depending on the fraction of drug metabolized by P450 2D6, the increase in plasma concentration may be small, or quite large (8 fold increase in plasma AUC of the TCA). | |

a: Corrected for dose and body weight.

^{#:} Phenotyping and Halling et al., 2008 did not distinguish between IM, NM and UM. NM# is therefore equal to IM+NM+UM.

| Risk group | IM with CYP2D6 inhibitor |
|------------|--------------------------|

Comments:

- Articles reporting kinetic effects published after 2017 were only included if they compared the exposure of amitriptyline + nortriptyline in IM, PM or UM with that in NM. Other articles on kinetics supplied insufficient additional information.
 - Articles published after 2006 were only included if they either reported clinical effects or exposure of amitriptyline and nortriptyline in patients with different genotypes. The reason for this is that articles reporting metabolic ratios only supply insufficient additional information about the effect size of gene polymorphisms on amitriptyline therapy and about the magnitude of any dose adjustments needed.
 - The kinetic meta-analysis of Milosavljevic 2021 was not included in the risk analysis, because the meta-analysis included only 1 study for PM (Halling 2007). In addition, the major IM group (gene dose 1/0) was excluded from the meta-analysis for IM, resulting in inclusion of only 3 out of the 17 IM from the study of Steimer 2004 (next to the 6 IM from the study of Ryu 2017). Therefore, this meta-analysis does not provide any information on the major IM group in European countries. (Milosavljevic F et al. Association of CYP2C19 and CYP2D6 poor and intermediate metabolizer status with antidepressant and antipsychotic exposure: a systematic review and meta-analysis. JAMA Psychiatry 2021;78:270-80. PMID: 33237321.)
- The risk analysis includes both genotyping and phenotyping studies. In order to make it easier to distinguish between these two types of studies, we have added the line "Note: genotype unknown" as the last line under phenotyping studies.
- Existing guideline:
 - Hicks JK et al. Clinical pharmacogenetics implementation consortium guideline (CPIC) for CYP2D6 and CYP2C19 genotypes and dosing of tricyclic antidepressants: 2016 update. Clin Pharmacol Ther 2017;102:37-44, PubMed PMID: 27997040 and October 2019 update on the CPIC site (modifications to CPIC's prior system of genotype-phenotype translation, including downgrading the value assigned to the CYP2D6*10 allele for activity score calculation from 0.5 to 0.25 and changing the phenotype assignment for an activity score of 1 from normal metaboliser to intermediate metaboliser).

b: Corrected for dose.

CPIC uses the same definition for NM, IM and PM as we do. However, CPIC uses a different definition for UM (gene dose \geq 2.5 instead of \geq 2.75), because CPIC did not decide to include gene dose 2.5 in NM until most laboratories can determine which allele has been duplicated and therefore can distinguish between e.g. *1x2/*41 (gene dose 2.5) and *1/*41x2 (gene dose 2). The summary below uses the KNMP definition for NM, PM, IM and UM.

CPIC states that the recommended starting dose of amitriptyline does not need dose adjustment for NM. In addition, CPIC states that a 25% reduction of the recommended dose may be considered for patients with a CYP2D6 gene dose of 0.5. As a reference for this percentage reduction they mention the 2011 publication of our dosing recommendations in Clinical Pharmacology and Therapeutics. However, this dosing recommendation is primarily based on patients with gene dose 1. In addition, we changed the percentage reduction in 2011 from 25% to 40%, based on the switch from using the sum of the plasma concentrations of amitriptyline and nortriptyline to using the plasma concentration of nortriptyline for dose calculations. Because patients with a CYP2D6 activity score of 1.0 are inconsistently categorised as intermediate or normal metabolisers in the literature, making these studies difficult to evaluate, CPIC classified the strength of the recommendation for gene dose 0.5 as moderate (i.e. there is a close or uncertain balance as to whether the evidence is high quality and the desirable clearly outweigh the undesirable effects). After the October 2019 update, CPIC states that a 25% reduction of the recommended dose may also be considered for patients with a CYP2D6 gene dose of 1.

CPIC states that CYP2D6 ultra-rapid metabolisers + gene dose 2.5 have a higher probability of failing amitriptyline pharmacotherapy due to subtherapeutic plasma concentrations, and alternate agents are preferred. CPIC states that, if amitriptyline is warranted, there are insufficient data in the literature to calculate a starting dose for a patient with CYP2D6 ultra-rapid metaboliser or gene dose 2.5 status, and therapeutic drug monitoring is strongly recommended.

Based on a nortriptyline study, CPIC indicates that adverse effects are more likely in CYP2D6 poor metabolisers due to elevated tricyclic plasma concentrations; therefore, alternate agents are preferred. If a tricyclic is warranted, CPIC recommends to consider a 50% reduction of the usual dose, and strongly recommends therapeutic drug monitoring.

The therapeutic recommendations for amitriptyline are indicated below:

| Dosing recom on CYP2D6 p | mendations for amitriptyline for conditions requiring higher doses such as otherotype ^a | depression based |
|-----------------------------|--|----------------------------------|
| Phenotype | Therapeutic recommendation | Classification of recommendation |
| UM + gene dose 2.5 | Avoid amitriptyline use due to potential lack of efficacy. Consider alternative drug not metabolised by CYP2D6. If amitriptyline is warranted, consider titrating to a higher target dose (compared to normal metabolisers). Utilise therapeutic drug monitoring to guide dose adjustments. | Strong ^d |
| NM | Initiate therapy with recommended starting dose.c | Strongd |
| gene dose 1 | Consider a 25% reduction of recommended starting dose. ^c Utilise therapeutic drug monitoring to guide dose adjustments. ^b | Optional ^e |
| gene dose 0.5 | Consider a 25% reduction of recommended starting dose. ^c Utilise therapeutic drug monitoring to guide dose adjustments. ^b | Moderate ^f |
| PM | Avoid tricyclic use due to potential for side effects. Consider alternative drug not metabolised by CYP2D6. If amitriptyline is warranted, consider a 50% reduction of recommended starting dose. ^c Utilise therapeutic drug monitoring to guide dose adjustments. ^b | Strong ^d |

^a Dosing recommendations only apply to higher initial doses of amitriptyline for treatment of conditions such as depression. For conditions at which lower initial doses are used, such as neuropathic pain, CPIC recommends no dose modifications for PM or IM, because it is less likely that PM or IM will experience adverse effects due to supra-therapeutic plasma concentrations of amitriptyline. However, CPIC indicates that these patients should be monitored closely for side effects. In addition, if larger doses of TCA are warranted, CPIC recommends following the gene-based dosing guidelines in the table above. For UM+gene dose 2.5, CPIC recommends considering an alternative agent. Based on predicted and observed pharmacokinetic data in those with depression, CYP2D6 UM+gene dose 2.5 may be at an increased risk of failing amitriptyline therapy for neuropathic pain due to lower than expected drug concentrations (Dworkin RH et al. Pharmacologic management of neuropathic pain: evidence-based recommendations. Pain 2007;13: 237-51).

^b Titrate dose to observed clinical response with symptom improvement and minimal (if any) side effects.

^c Patients may receive an initial low dose of amitriptyline, which is then increased over several days to the recommended steady-state dose. The starting dose in this guideline refers to the recommended steady-state dose.

^d Strong indicates that "The evidence is high quality and the desirable effects clearly outweigh the undesirable effects."

^e Optional indicates that the desirable effects are closely balanced with undesirable effects, or the evidence is weak or based on extrapolations. There is room for differences in opinion as to the need for the recommended course of action.

Moderate indicates that "there is a close or uncertain balance" as to whether the evidence is high quality and the desirable clearly outweigh the undesirable effects.

As evidence linking CYP2D6 genotype with amitriptyline phenotype, CPIC mentions Peñas-Lledó 2013, de Vos 2011, Bijl 2008, Forget 2008, Halling 2008, Johnson 2006, Koski 2006, Steimer 2005, Steimer 2004, Shimoda 2002, Brever-Pfaff 1992, Tacke 1992, Baumann 1986, Mellstrom 1986 and Balant-Gorgia 1982, All these studies, except for Balant-Gorgia 1982, Breyer-Pfaff 1992, Tacke 1992, the case reports Johnson 2006 and Forget 2008, Bijl 2008, and Peñas-Lledó 2013, are included in our risk analysis. In addition, our risk analysis includes the small study of Grasmader 2004, the case report of Bertilsson 1985, and five studies published in 2016-2022. CPIC indicates that these studies provide a high level of evidence for a decreased amitriptyline metabolism in PM compared to gene dose 1-2 (based on 8 references including Tacke 1992 and Balant-Gorgia 1982). In addition, CPIC indicates that these studies provide a high level of evidence for a correlation between the number/resulting function of CYP2D6 variant alleles and metabolism of amitriptyline (4 references). Contrary to this, CPIC indicates a weak level of evidence for the absence of a difference in metabolism of amitriptyline between carriers of only one CYP2D6 functional allele or carriers of decreased function alleles compared to carriers of two CYP2D6 normal function alleles (Shimoda 2002). CPIC indicates that these studies provide a moderate level of evidence for the requirement of a decreased dose of amitriptyline in PM compared to gene dose 1-2 (de Vos 2011) and for an increased risk of side effects in carriers of no function alleles compared to carriers of other alleles (Steimer 2005 and the case reports of Forget 2008 and Johnson 2006). In addition, CPIC indicates a moderate level of evidence for an association of PM with early discontinuation (within 28 days to 45 days after the start of the first prescription) of antidepressant therapy as compared to gene dose 1-2 (Peñas-Lledó 2013 and Bijl 2008), and for UM+gene dose 2.5 to have an increased risk for discontinuation of treatment and a decreased response (Peñas-Lledó 2013). Note: the majority of analysed patients in Peñas-Lledó 2013 and Bijl 2008 (54-55%) used another depressant than amitriptyline. Finally, CPIC indicates a moderate level of evidence for a correlation of desbrisoguine hydroxylation (Mellstrom 1986) and dextromethorphan metabolism (Breyer-Pfaff 1992) with amitriptyline metabolisme. CPIC also took other gene-based dosing recommendations in consideration, including the 2008 and 2011 publications of our dosing recommendations in Clinical Pharmacology and Therapeutics. CPIC also provides therapeutic recommendations based on both CYP2D6 and CYP2C19 genotypes. For CYP2D6 UM+gene dose 2.5 and for CYP2D6 PM the therapeutic recommendations for the different CYP-2C19 phenotypes are similar, reflecting the stronger influence of the CYP2D6 phenotype compared to the CYP2C19 phenotype. CPIC indicates that further studies are needed to develop moderate or strong dosing recommendations for TCAs when considering combined CYP2D6/CYP2C19 phenotypes. At the moment, insufficient data are available. Based on Steimer 2005, CPIC mentions that patients carrying at least one CYP2D6 no function allele and two CYP2C19 normal function alleles had an increased risk of experiencing side effects when administered amitriptyline. This would argue for a therapeutic recommendation also for patients with CYP2D6 gene dose 1, which is the predominant phenotype in this patient group. On 1-12-2023, there was not a more recent version of the recommendations present on the PharmGKB- and on the CPIC-site.

Date of literature search: 6 November 2023.

| | Phenotype | Code | Gene-drug interaction | Action | Date |
|------------------|-----------|------|-----------------------|--------|-----------------|
| KNMP Pharmaco- | PM | 3A | Yes | Yes | 8 February 2024 |
| genetics Working | IM | 3C | Yes | Yes | |
| Group decision | UM | 3C | Yes | Yes | |

Mechanism:

Amitriptyline is mainly converted by CYP2C19-mediated N-demethylation to the active metabolite nortriptyline. Both amitriptyline and nortriptyline are metabolised by CYP2D6 to 10-hydroxy metabolites, predominantly E-10-hydroxy metabolites. Amitriptyline is approximately three times as potent as E-10-OH-amitriptyline. Nortriptyline is approximately twice as potent as E-10-OH-nortriptyline.

N-oxidation and N-glucuronidation of amitriptyline also take place. Nortriptyline is converted by CYP2D6 and CYP-2C19 to the inactive metabolite didesmethylamitriptyline (desmethylnortriptyline).

Study results show an association between the sum of the concentrations of amitriptyline and nortriptyline with the efficacy of the therapy and between nortriptyline concentrations and side effects. The therapeutic range is 100-300 ng/ml and values higher than 400 ng/ml are considered to be toxic. An upper limit is indicated for the therapeutic range of nortriptyline (50-150 ng/ml), but not for the therapeutic range of amitriptyline (> 50 ng/ml). The Z-hydroxy metabolites can cause cardiotoxicity and plasma concentrations of Z-hydroxy nortriptyline or Z-hydroxy amitriptyline higher than 40 ng/ml are considered to be toxic.

Clinical Implication Score:

Table 1: Definitions of the available Clinical Implication Scores

| Potentially | PGx testing for this gene-drug pair is potentially beneficial. Genotyping can be | | |
|-------------|---|--------|--|
| beneficial | considered on an individual patient basis. If, however, the genotype is available, | | |
| | the DPWG recommends adhering to the gene-drug guideline | | |
| Beneficial | PGx testing for this gene-drug pair is beneficial. It is advised to consider genotyping the patient before (or directly after) drug therapy has been initiated to guide drug and dose selection | 3-5 + | |
| Essential | PGx testing for this gene-drug pair is essential for drug safety or efficacy. Genotyping must be performed before drug therapy has been initiated to guide drug and dose selection | 6-10 + | |

Table 2: Criteria on which the attribution of Clinical Implication Score is based

| Cli | nical Implication Score Criteria | Possible Score | Given Score |
|------|---|-------------------|------------------------|
| Cliu | nical effect associated with gene-drug interaction (drug- or diminished efficacy-induced) | 00010 | 00010 |
| • | CTCAE Grade 3 or 4 (clinical effect score D or E) | + | |
| | CTCAE Grade 5 (clinical effect score F) | ++ | |
| Lev | rel of evidence supporting the associated clinical effect grade ≥ 3 | | |
| • | One study with level of evidence score ≥ 3 | + | |
| • | Two studies with level of evidence score ≥ 3 | ++ | |
| • | Three or more studies with level of evidence score ≥ 3 | +++ | |
| Nu | mber needed to genotype (NNG) in the Dutch population to prevent one clinical effect grade | | |
| ≥ 3 | | | |
| • | 100 < NNG ≤ 1000 | + | |
| • | 10 < NNG ≤ 100 | ++ | |
| • | NNG ≤ 10 | +++ | |
| PG | x information in the Summary of Product Characteristics (SmPC) | | |
| • | At least one genotype/phenotype mentioned | + | + |
| OR | | | |
| • | Recommendation to genotype | ++ | |
| OR | | | |
| ٠ | At least one genotype/phenotype mentioned as a contra-indication in the corresponding section | ++ | |
| Tot | al Score: | 10+ | 1+ |
| Co | responding Clinical Implication Score: | | Potentially beneficial |