

CYP2D6: amitriptyline

2569-2571

AMI = amitriptyline, AUC = area under the concentration-time curve, $AUC = AUC_{0-\infty}$ = AUC extrapolated to infinity, AUC_{0-48h} = AUC during the first 48 hours after medicine intake, Cl_{or} = oral clearance, C_{ss} = steady state concentration, CTCAE = common terminology criteria for adverse events, IM = intermediate metaboliser (gene dose 0.25-1) (decreased CYP2D6 enzyme activity), MR = metabolic ratio, NM = normal metaboliser (gene dose 1.25-2.5) (normal CYP2D6 enzyme activity), NS = non-significant, NORT = nortriptyline, PM = poor metaboliser (gene dose 0) (absent CYP2D6 enzyme activity), S = significant, SmPC = Summary of Product Characteristics, UM = ultra-rapid metaboliser (gene dose ≥ 2.75) (increased CYP2D6 enzyme activity)

Disclaimer: The Pharmacogenetics Working Group of the KNMP formulates the optimal recommendations for each phenotype group based on the available evidence. If this optimal recommendation cannot be followed due to practical restrictions, e.g. therapeutic drug monitoring or a lower dose is not available, the health care professional should consider the next best option.

Brief summary and justification of choices:

Amitriptyline is mainly converted by CYP2C19-mediated N-demethylation to the active metabolite nortriptyline. Both amitriptyline and nortriptyline are metabolised by CYP2D6 to 10-hydroxy metabolites, predominantly E-10-hydroxy metabolites. Amitriptyline is approximately three times as potent as E-10-OH-amitriptyline. Nortriptyline is approximately twice as potent as E-10-OH-nortriptyline. Nortriptyline is converted by CYP2D6 and CYP2C19 to the inactive metabolite didesmethylamitriptyline (desmethylnortriptyline).

The therapeutic range is an amitriptyline+nortriptyline plasma concentration of 100-300 ng/ml and values higher than 400 ng/ml are considered to be toxic. The Z-hydroxy metabolites can cause cardiotoxicity and plasma concentrations of Z-hydroxy nortriptyline or Z-hydroxy amitriptyline higher than 40 ng/ml are considered to be toxic.

Genetic variants in CYP2D6 can result in a decreased CYP2D6 enzyme activity (intermediate metabolisers (IM)), an absent CYP2D6 enzyme activity (poor metabolisers (PM)) or an increased CYP2D6 enzyme activity (ultra-rapid metabolisers (UM)).

All kinetic studies except for Scherf-Clavel 2023, which uses genotype predicted phenotypes that are crudely corrected for comedication, showed significant differences for patients with CYP2D6 gene variants (in the ratio between the 10-hydroxy metabolites and amitriptyline and nortriptyline, the ratio between amitriptyline and nortriptyline and/or the nortriptyline or amitriptyline+nortriptyline exposure) (Scherf-Clavel 2022, Matthaei 2021, Ryu 2017, de Vos 2011, Halling 2008, Koski 2006, Steimer 2005, Steimer 2004, Shimoda 2002, Mellstrom 1986, and Baumann 1986). This indicates the presence of a CYP2D6-amitriptyline interaction.

- IM: One of the studies identified a correlation between IM and an increase in side effects (Steimer 2005, 17 IM). Therapy adjustment is therefore desirable (yes/yes-interaction). The weighted mean of the dose adjustment calculated on the basis of the increase in exposure of amitriptyline+nortriptyline is a dose reduction to 85% of the normal dose (median 71%, ranging per study from 71-93%) (based on a total of 63 IM from 3 studies (Ruy 2017, de Vos 2011, and Steimer 2004)). A dose reduction of 15% is actually too low to be clinically significant and thus, to be recommended. Because of the observed increase in side effects in Steimer 2005 and because of the higher median calculated dose reduction, the KNMP Pharmacogenetics Working Group decided to recommend the smallest clinically relevant dose reduction for IM, i.e. a reduction with 25% to 75% of the normal dose.
- PM: There are insufficient data available for PM patients, but on theoretical grounds, the effect is expected to be more potent than that in IM. For this reason, the KNMP Pharmacogenetics Working Group decided that therapy adjustment is required for this gene-drug interaction (yes/yes-interaction). The weighted mean of the dose adjustment calculated on the basis of the increase in C_{ss} amitriptyline+nortriptyline is a dose reduction to 62% of the normal dose (median 68%, ranging per study from 46-69%) (based on a total of 20 PM from 3 studies (Scherf-Clavel 2022, de Vos 2011, and Halling 2008)). This was rounded off to 60% to be more achievable in clinical practice.
- UM: One case found a correlation between UM and therapy failure (Bertilsson 1985). For this reason, the KNMP Pharmacogenetics Working Group decided that therapy adjustment is required for this gene-drug interaction (yes/yes-interaction). The weighted mean of the dose adjustment calculated on the basis of the change in C_{ss} amitriptyline+nortriptyline is a dose increase to 156% of the normal dose (median 183%, ranging per study from 60-197%) (results derived from 3 studies or case reports including a total of 4 UM). This was rounded off to

160% to be more achievable in clinical practice.

As hydroxy metabolites may have a cardiotoxic effect, an alternative is suggested as a second option. You can find an overview of the observed kinetic and clinical consequences per phenotype in the background information text of the gene-drug interactions in the KNMP Kennisbank. You might also have access to this background information text via your pharmacy or physician electronic decision support system.

Recommendation concerning pre-emptive genotyping, including justification of choices:

The KNMP Pharmacogenetics Working Group considers genotyping before starting amitriptyline to be potentially beneficial for the prevention of side effects and for drug efficacy. Genotyping can be considered on an individual patient basis. If, however, the genotype is available, the KNMP Pharmacogenetics Working Group recommends adhering to the gene-drug guideline.

The clinical implication of the gene-drug interaction scores 1 out of the maximum of 10 points (with pre-emptive genotyping considered to be potentially beneficial for scores ranging from 0 to 2 points) (see also the clinical implication score tables at the end of this risk analysis):

No severe clinical effects were observed in users of amitriptyline with a variant phenotype. The maximum severity code was C corresponding to CTCAE grade 2. This results in a score of 0 out of the maximum of 2 points for the first criterion of the clinical implication score, the clinical effect associated with the gene-drug interaction (only points for CTCAE grade ≥ 3).

The lack of a severe clinical effect also results in a score of 0 of the maximum of 3 points for the second and third criterion of the clinical implication score: the level of evidence supporting an associated clinical effect grade ≥ 3 and the number needed to genotype (NNG) in the Dutch population to prevent one clinical effect code $\geq D$ (grade ≥ 3).

The Summary of Product Characteristics (SmPC) of amitriptyline mentions the CYP2D6 PM phenotype, but does not mention this phenotype as a contra-indication and does not recommend pre-emptive genotyping. This results in 1 out of the maximum of 2 points for the fourth and last criterion of the clinical implication score, the pharmacogenetics information in the SmPC (1 point for at least one genotype/phenotype mentioned in the SmPC, but not mentioned as a contra-indication and no recommendation to genotype).

The table below uses the KNMP nomenclature for NM, PM, IM and UM. As a result, the definitions of NM, PM, IM and UM in the table below can differ from the definitions used by the authors in the article.

Source	Code	Effect	Comments
ref. 1 Scherf-Clavel M et al. Effect of CYP2D6 pharmacogenetic phenotype and phenoconversion on serum concentrations of antidepressants and antipsychotics: a retrospective cohort study. Int J Clin Pharm 2023;45:1107-17. PMID: 37166747.	3	100 inpatients with a depressive episode from two cohorts were treated with amitriptyline. Data were only reported on 80 of these patients. The largest of the two cohorts included all patients, regardless of their diagnosis, for whom therapeutic drug monitoring was available and genotyping was requested. The smaller cohort included only patients suffering from a depressive episode. The largest of the two cohorts was the same as the largest cohort in Scherf-Clavel 2022, so the patient groups of both studies probably overlap. To correct for comedication, the activity score of CYP2D6 in patients receiving a moderate and strong CYP2D6 inhibitor was multiplied with 0.5, and 0, respectively. Dimensional outliers (≥ 3 SD from the mean) from dose-corrected serum concentrations were set as missing data. Benjamini–Hochberg correction with a significance threshold of $p < 0.05$ in each analysis (data for 5 different drugs were analysed) was performed to correct for multiple comparisons, as Bonferroni correction tends to be too conservative for genomic analysis as the data were not completely independent due to the linkage equilibrium. Relevant comedication was not excluded, but a correction factor was applied to account for the effect of CYP2D6-inhibiting comedication on CYP2D6 activity. It is not clear from the article why data were only reported on 80% of the patients. The genotype-predicted phenotype of these 80 patients was not reported, neither was the cohort they originated from.	Authors' conclusion: 'The data stress the relevance of phenoconversion-informed PGx in psychopharmacological treatment and suggest that phenoconversion should be included in PGx result interpretation when PGx is implemented in routine clinical care, especially before initiating amitriptyline- or risperidone-treatment, to start with a dose adequate to the respective CYP2D6 functional enzyme status.'

ref. 2, continuation		<p>higher total cholesterol, LDL-cholesterol, and triglycerides, and lower HDL-cholesterol than amitriptyline non-users.</p> <p>Note: Genotyping was with an Affymetrix array, so for many gene polymorphisms. However, *5, *6 and gene multiplication were not determined. Genotyping still determined the most important gene variants in this British population.</p>	
<p>ref. 3 Scherf-Clavel M et al. Effects of pharmacokinetic gene variation on therapeutic drug levels and antidepressant treatment response. Pharmacopsychiatry 2022;55:246-54. PMID: 35839823.</p>	3	<p>109 patients from two cohorts (62 and 47 patients from each of the cohorts) were treated with amitriptyline (final dose 25-340 mg/day (mean 143 mg/day)). The cohort from which 62 patients were derived, included patients with at least a moderate depressive period (Hamilton Depression Rating Scale-21 (HDRS₂₁) > 14). Therapeutic drug monitoring was performed in week 3, 5, and 7 of treatment and used to adjust the dose. Patients were analysed after 7 weeks of treatment. The other cohort included patients with unipolar depression. Therapeutic drug monitoring was performed according to the doctor's choice and not per protocol and used to adjust the dose. Patients were analysed after 6 weeks of treatment.</p> <p>50% of patients were responders (59% in the cohort from which the 62 patients were derived and 38% in the other cohort). Treatment response was defined as $\geq 50\%$ reduction in HDRS₂₁-score. 23% of patients showed remission (30% in the cohort from which the 62 patients were derived and 15% in the other cohort).</p> <p>Adverse drug reactions were assessed in the cohort from which 62 patients were derived (4 mild and 4 medium adverse drug reactions were observed), change of antidepressant due to adverse drug reactions was assessed in the other cohort (observed in 1 patient).</p> <p>Clinical improvement was measured as the percentual reduction in the HDRS₂₁-score. Remission was defined as a HDRS₂₁-score ≤ 7.</p> <p>Trough serum concentrations in steady state were determined. Dimensional outliers (≥ 4 SD from the mean) from (dose-corrected) serum concentrations and metabolic ratio nortriptyline/amitriptyline were set as missing data. Relevant comedication was not excluded, but dose-corrected concentrations, metabolic ratio, and clinical improvement were also determined in a post-hoc, explorative analysis excluding patients using CYP2D6 inhibitors. The authors do not indicate whether the difference in response and remission between the two cohorts is significant and do not correct for the cohort from which the patient was derived.</p> <p>P-values were Bonferroni-corrected for the total number of genes (7) and the total number of drugs (4 for concentrations and 2 for metabolic ratios) investigated. As a result $p \leq 0.001$ or $p \leq 0.002$ was considered significant.</p> <p>Genotyping: The number of NM, IM, PM and UM+gene dose 2.5 is not mentioned.</p> <p>Scherf-Clavel 2023 mentions the frequency of PM in the largest cohort to be 5.2% (10 out of 194) and the frequency of PM in a third German cohort to be 6.2% (6 out of 97). This indicates that it is reasonable to assume a</p>	<p>Authors' conclusion: 'The present data support previous recommendations to reduce starting doses of amitriptyline and to guide dose-adjustments via therapeutic drug monitoring in CYP-2D6 poor metabolizers.'</p>

ref. 3, continuation		<p>frequency of approximately 5.7% in the 109 patients in this study, so the presence of 6 PM.</p> <p>Results:</p> <table><tr><th colspan="5">Results compared to NM:</th></tr><tr><th></th><th>PM</th><th>IM</th><th>UM+gene dose 2.5</th><th>value for NM</th></tr><tr><td rowspan="2">clinical improvement (percentual reduction in HDRS₂₁ score)</td><td colspan="3">NS for PM versus IM versus NM versus UM+gene dose 2.5</td><td rowspan="2"></td></tr><tr><td colspan="3">The same result was obtained after exclusion of patients using CYP2D6 inhibitors.</td></tr><tr><td>% of patients with remission</td><td colspan="3">NS for PM versus IM versus NM versus UM+gene dose 2.5</td><td rowspan="4">0.92 ng. mg/ml</td></tr><tr><td rowspan="3">dose-corrected concentration of amitriptyline+ nortriptyline</td><td>x 2.16 (S)</td><td>trend for an association (p = 0.103) (NS)</td><td>NS</td></tr><tr><td colspan="3">S for PM versus IM versus NM versus UM+gene dose 2.5</td></tr><tr><td colspan="3">Apart from the absence of a trend for IM, similar results were obtained after exclusion of patients using CYP2D6 inhibitors.</td></tr><tr><td rowspan="3">metabolic ratio nortriptyline/ amitriptyline</td><td>S</td><td>S</td><td>NS</td><td rowspan="3"></td></tr><tr><td colspan="3">S for PM versus IM versus NM versus UM+gene dose 2.5</td></tr><tr><td colspan="3">Similar results were obtained after exclusion of patients using CYP2D6 inhibitors.</td></tr></table> <p>Note: Genotyping was for *2 through *6, *9, *10, *41, and gene multiplication. These are the most important gene variants in this German population.</p>	Results compared to NM:						PM	IM	UM+gene dose 2.5	value for NM	clinical improvement (percentual reduction in HDRS ₂₁ score)	NS for PM versus IM versus NM versus UM+gene dose 2.5				The same result was obtained after exclusion of patients using CYP2D6 inhibitors.			% of patients with remission	NS for PM versus IM versus NM versus UM+gene dose 2.5			0.92 ng. mg/ml	dose-corrected concentration of amitriptyline+ nortriptyline	x 2.16 (S)	trend for an association (p = 0.103) (NS)	NS	S for PM versus IM versus NM versus UM+gene dose 2.5			Apart from the absence of a trend for IM, similar results were obtained after exclusion of patients using CYP2D6 inhibitors.			metabolic ratio nortriptyline/ amitriptyline	S	S	NS		S for PM versus IM versus NM versus UM+gene dose 2.5			Similar results were obtained after exclusion of patients using CYP2D6 inhibitors.			Dose-corrected serum concentration of amitriptyline + nortriptyline versus NM: PM: 216%
Results compared to NM:																																															
	PM	IM	UM+gene dose 2.5	value for NM																																											
clinical improvement (percentual reduction in HDRS ₂₁ score)	NS for PM versus IM versus NM versus UM+gene dose 2.5																																														
	The same result was obtained after exclusion of patients using CYP2D6 inhibitors.																																														
% of patients with remission	NS for PM versus IM versus NM versus UM+gene dose 2.5			0.92 ng. mg/ml																																											
dose-corrected concentration of amitriptyline+ nortriptyline	x 2.16 (S)	trend for an association (p = 0.103) (NS)	NS																																												
	S for PM versus IM versus NM versus UM+gene dose 2.5																																														
	Apart from the absence of a trend for IM, similar results were obtained after exclusion of patients using CYP2D6 inhibitors.																																														
metabolic ratio nortriptyline/ amitriptyline	S	S	NS																																												
	S for PM versus IM versus NM versus UM+gene dose 2.5																																														
	Similar results were obtained after exclusion of patients using CYP2D6 inhibitors.																																														
ref. 4 Matthaei J et al. Effects of genetic polymorphism in CYP2D6, CYP2C19, and the organic cation transporter OCT1 on amitriptyline pharmacokinetics in healthy volunteers and depressive disorder patients. Front Pharmacol 2021;12:688950. PMID: 34093211.	3	<p>35 healthy volunteers, selected for their organic cation transporter 1 (OCT1) genotype, received a single dose of 25 mg amitriptyline. Participants reported adverse events using visual analogue scales. No serious adverse events were reported and fatigue was the only statistically significant adverse event reported. Relevant comedication was excluded. However, AUC_{0-∞} could not be determined for nortriptyline, because a decline in nortriptyline concentration was not observed in all subjects at the last measurement at 48 hours after amitriptyline intake. Because this underestimates the AUC of amitriptyline + nortriptyline for PM and IM, the results of this study cannot be used for dose calculations. Multiple linear regression analysis adjusted for sex, age, body mass index, and glomerular filtration rate.</p> <p>Genotyping:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">- 2x UM- 18x NM- 12x IM- 3x PM	Authors' conclusion: 'The pharmacokinetics of amitriptyline and nortriptyline are strongly dependent on the CYP2C19 and CYP2D6 genotypes.'																																												

ref. 4, continuation	PM: A IM: A UM: A	Results:				
		Results compared to NM:				
			PM	IM	UM	value for NM
		intensity of fatigue	Independent of CYP2D6 genotype (NS).			
		AUC _{0-∞} amitriptyline	x 1.53	x 1.20	x 0.77	203,4 µg.h/L
			S for gene dose 0 versus gene dose 0.5 versus gene dose 1 versus gene dose 1,5 versus gene dose 2 versus gene dose 2.5 versus gene dose 3 (with *10 considered gene dose 0.5 instead of 0.25)			
			Multiple linear regression confirmed the CYP2D6 genotype to be an independent predictor of AUC _{0-∞} amitriptyline, explaining 43% of the variation.			
		AUC _{0-48h} amitriptyline	x 1.40	x 1.15	x 0.77	168,3 µg.h/L
			S for gene dose 0 versus gene dose 0.5 versus gene dose 1 versus gene dose 1,5 versus gene dose 2 versus gene dose 2.5 versus gene dose 3 (with *10 considered gene dose 0.5 instead of 0.25)			
		AUC _{0-48h} nortriptyline	x 1.65	x 1.41	x 0.81	87.7 µg.h/L
			S for gene dose 0 versus gene dose 0.5 versus gene dose 1 versus gene dose 1,5 versus gene dose 2 versus gene dose 2.5 versus gene dose 3 (with *10 considered gene dose 0.5 instead of 0.25)			
			Multiple linear regression confirmed the CYP2D6 genotype to be an independent predictor of AUC _{0-48h} nortriptyline.			
		AUC _{0-48h} amitriptyline+ nortriptyline	x 1.49	x 1.24	x 0.79	256,0 µg.h/L
			S for gene dose 0 versus gene dose 0.5 versus gene dose 1 versus gene dose 1,5 versus gene dose 2 versus gene dose 2.5 versus gene dose 3 (with *10 considered gene dose 0.5 instead of 0.25) (Significance not determined, but is S for the decrease with increasing gene dose for amitriptyline and nortriptyline separately.)			
		Note: AUCs did not differ between different OCT1 genotypes.				
		Note: Genotyping was for *2 through *6, *9, *10, *35, *41, and gene duplication. These are the most important gene variants in this German population.				
		ref. 5 Mifsud Buhagiar L et	1	Case-series of patients on amitriptyline 10 mg once daily including two patients without CYP2D6 and CYP2C19		

<p>al. Practical liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry method for the simultaneous quantification of amitriptyline, nortriptyline and their hydroxy metabolites in human serum. Biomed Chromatogr 2019;33:e4679. PMID: 31415098.</p> <p>ref. 5, continuation</p>	<p>UM: AA</p>	<p>inhibitors as comedication. Steady-state serum concentrations were determined 13-15 hours after dose administration. The two cases without comedication were not fully matched. The CYP2D6 UM was CYP2C19 IM, whereas the CYP2D6 NM was CYP2C19 NM. In addition, the presence of only 1 patient in the reference group increases the chance of non-representative results.</p> <p>Genotyping: 1x NM 1x UM</p> <p>Results:</p> <table><tr><th colspan="3">Serum concentrations for UM versus NM:</th></tr><tr><td></td><td></td><td>value for NM</td></tr><tr><td>amitriptyline</td><td>x 0.54 (NS)</td><td>8,12 ng/ml</td></tr><tr><td>nortriptyline</td><td>x 0.42 (NS)</td><td>3,14 ng/ml</td></tr><tr><td>amitriptyline+nortriptyline</td><td>x 0.51 (NS) The authors indicate a reference range of 7.3-15.1 ng/ml, indicating that serum concentrations were below this reference range in UM.</td><td>11,26 ng/ml</td></tr><tr><td>nortriptyline/amitriptyline</td><td>x 0.75 (NS)</td><td>0,4</td></tr><tr><td>Z-hydroxy amitriptyline</td><td>x 0.90 (NS)</td><td>1,01 ng/ml</td></tr><tr><td>E-hydroxy amitriptyline</td><td>x 0.86 (NS)</td><td>2,06 ng/ml</td></tr><tr><td>Z-hydroxy nortriptyline</td><td>x 0.77 (NS)</td><td>1,59 ng/ml</td></tr><tr><td>E-hydroxy nortriptyline</td><td>x 0.92 (NS)</td><td>9,32 ng/ml</td></tr></table> <p>Note: The article does not state which gene variants were determined, nor how genotype was translated into phenotype.</p>	Serum concentrations for UM versus NM:					value for NM	amitriptyline	x 0.54 (NS)	8,12 ng/ml	nortriptyline	x 0.42 (NS)	3,14 ng/ml	amitriptyline+nortriptyline	x 0.51 (NS) The authors indicate a reference range of 7.3-15.1 ng/ml, indicating that serum concentrations were below this reference range in UM.	11,26 ng/ml	nortriptyline/amitriptyline	x 0.75 (NS)	0,4	Z-hydroxy amitriptyline	x 0.90 (NS)	1,01 ng/ml	E-hydroxy amitriptyline	x 0.86 (NS)	2,06 ng/ml	Z-hydroxy nortriptyline	x 0.77 (NS)	1,59 ng/ml	E-hydroxy nortriptyline	x 0.92 (NS)	9,32 ng/ml	<p>lites concentrations, relative to the amitriptyline and nortriptyline concentrations, are observed to be highest in case example I. The levels of hydroxy metabolites are essentially determined by CYP2D6 metabolism which is reported as ultra-rapid for case example I.'</p> <p>Serum concentration of amitriptyline + nortriptyline versus NM: UM: 51%</p>
Serum concentrations for UM versus NM:																																	
		value for NM																															
amitriptyline	x 0.54 (NS)	8,12 ng/ml																															
nortriptyline	x 0.42 (NS)	3,14 ng/ml																															
amitriptyline+nortriptyline	x 0.51 (NS) The authors indicate a reference range of 7.3-15.1 ng/ml, indicating that serum concentrations were below this reference range in UM.	11,26 ng/ml																															
nortriptyline/amitriptyline	x 0.75 (NS)	0,4																															
Z-hydroxy amitriptyline	x 0.90 (NS)	1,01 ng/ml																															
E-hydroxy amitriptyline	x 0.86 (NS)	2,06 ng/ml																															
Z-hydroxy nortriptyline	x 0.77 (NS)	1,59 ng/ml																															
E-hydroxy nortriptyline	x 0.92 (NS)	9,32 ng/ml																															
<p>ref. 6 Chaudhry M et al. Impact of CYP2D6 genotype on amitriptyline efficacy for the treatment of diabetic peripheral neuropathy: a pilot study. Pharmacogenomics 2017;18:433-443. PubMed PMID: 28350251.</p>	<p>3</p>	<p>31 patients with painful diabetic peripheral neuropathy were treated with low-dose amitriptyline (10-100 mg/day; 25 mg/day in 64% of patients). During one week patients daily scored their satisfaction with the following six items on a scale of 0 to 10: the intensity of pain/burning in feet, blurred vision, constipation, drowsiness, dryness of the mouth and/or eyes, and difficulty urinating. Dissatisfaction was defined as a mean score higher than 5 (indicating dissatisfaction to prevail over satisfaction). Co-medication was not excluded. Bonferroni correction for 6 comparisons was applied (i.e. p < 0.00833 indicating significance).</p> <p>Genotyping: - 18x NM (11x gene dose 2, 7x gene dose 1.5) - 12x IM (10x gene dose 1, 2x gene dose 0.5) - 1x UM (gene dose 3)</p>	<p>Author's conclusion: "CYP2D6 genotype contributes to treatment outcome and may be useful for guiding drug therapy."</p>																														

ref. 6, continuation		<p>Results:</p> <table><tr><td colspan="4">Gene dose 0.5 versus gene dose 1.0 versus gene dose 1.5 versus gene dose 2 versus gene dose 3:</td></tr><tr><td colspan="4"><ul style="list-style-type: none">- trend for more severe side effects in patients with lower gene doses (NS)- trend for a higher percentage of patients being dissatisfied with at least one side effect with lower gene doses (NS)- trend for a higher percentage of patients being dissatisfied with the pain intensity with lower gene doses (NS)- the UM patient did not report dissatisfaction with either pain intensity or any of the side effects on amitriptyline 25 mg/day. The patient concomitantly used the analgesic and CYP2C19 inhibitor indomethacin.</td></tr><tr><td colspan="4">The study was a pilot study and too small to have a good probability for significant results. The authors calculated that 180 patients would be needed for each outcome measure to have a probability of at least 0.9 to be significant.</td></tr></table> <p>NB: Genotyping was performed by sequencing of the entire gene. Gene variants identified in this South-African population were *1, *2, *2M, *4, *5, *17, *29, *29+1SNP (2509G>T), *35, *41, *43, *45, *84, *1xN, *2xN and *4xN.</p>	Gene dose 0.5 versus gene dose 1.0 versus gene dose 1.5 versus gene dose 2 versus gene dose 3:				<ul style="list-style-type: none">- trend for more severe side effects in patients with lower gene doses (NS)- trend for a higher percentage of patients being dissatisfied with at least one side effect with lower gene doses (NS)- trend for a higher percentage of patients being dissatisfied with the pain intensity with lower gene doses (NS)- the UM patient did not report dissatisfaction with either pain intensity or any of the side effects on amitriptyline 25 mg/day. The patient concomitantly used the analgesic and CYP2C19 inhibitor indomethacin.				The study was a pilot study and too small to have a good probability for significant results. The authors calculated that 180 patients would be needed for each outcome measure to have a probability of at least 0.9 to be significant.																
Gene dose 0.5 versus gene dose 1.0 versus gene dose 1.5 versus gene dose 2 versus gene dose 3:																											
<ul style="list-style-type: none">- trend for more severe side effects in patients with lower gene doses (NS)- trend for a higher percentage of patients being dissatisfied with at least one side effect with lower gene doses (NS)- trend for a higher percentage of patients being dissatisfied with the pain intensity with lower gene doses (NS)- the UM patient did not report dissatisfaction with either pain intensity or any of the side effects on amitriptyline 25 mg/day. The patient concomitantly used the analgesic and CYP2C19 inhibitor indomethacin.																											
The study was a pilot study and too small to have a good probability for significant results. The authors calculated that 180 patients would be needed for each outcome measure to have a probability of at least 0.9 to be significant.																											
<p>ref. 7 Ryu S et al. A study on CYP2C19 and CYP2D6 polymorphic effects on pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics of amitriptyline in healthy Koreans. Clin Transl Sci 2017;10:93-101. PubMed PMID: 28296334.</p>	3	<p>18 healthy volunteers, selected for their CYP2D6 and CYP2C19 genotype, received a single dose of amitriptyline 25 mg.</p> <p>The subjects rated dry mouth and drowsiness on visual analogue scales predose and 1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 8, 12, 24, 48, 72 and 96 hours after dosing. Medication did not cause significant drowsiness or a change in blood pressure. Eight adverse events occurred in the 18 volunteers, of which four were considered amitriptyline-related (1x dry eyes, 2x headache, 1x head heaviness). All events were mild and fully recovered.</p> <p>Co-medication and smoking were excluded.</p> <p>Genotyping:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">- 6x *1/*1 (17% CYP2C19 NM, 83% CYP2C19 IM)- 6x gene dose 1.5 + gene dose ≥ 2 (4x *1/*10, 1x *1/*10 with gene duplication, 1x *1xN/*5) (50% CYP2C19 NM, 50% CYP2C19 IM)- 6x *10/*10 (67% CYP2C19 NM, 33% CYP2C19 IM) <p>Results:</p> <table><tr><td colspan="4">Results compared to *1/*1:</td></tr><tr><td></td><td>*10/*10</td><td>gene dose 1.5 + gene dose ≥ 2</td><td>value for *1/*1</td></tr><tr><td>dry mouth</td><td colspan="2">no difference between groups (NS)</td><td></td></tr><tr><td>drowsiness</td><td colspan="2">no difference between groups (NS)</td><td>no significant increase</td></tr><tr><td>increase in pulse rate</td><td colspan="2">no difference between groups (NS)</td><td></td></tr><tr><td>change in blood pressure</td><td colspan="2">no difference between groups (NS).</td><td>no significant change</td></tr></table>	Results compared to *1/*1:					*10/*10	gene dose 1.5 + gene dose ≥ 2	value for *1/*1	dry mouth	no difference between groups (NS)			drowsiness	no difference between groups (NS)		no significant increase	increase in pulse rate	no difference between groups (NS)			change in blood pressure	no difference between groups (NS).		no significant change	<p>Author's conclusion:</p> <p>"The extent of hydroxylation of amitriptyline or nortriptyline was significantly reduced in subjects carrying two CYP2D6 decreased functional alleles compared with those with no or one decreased functional allele. The gene variations of CYP2C19 and CYP2D6 did not change the pharmacodynamic effect."</p>
Results compared to *1/*1:																											
	*10/*10	gene dose 1.5 + gene dose ≥ 2	value for *1/*1																								
dry mouth	no difference between groups (NS)																										
drowsiness	no difference between groups (NS)		no significant increase																								
increase in pulse rate	no difference between groups (NS)																										
change in blood pressure	no difference between groups (NS).		no significant change																								

ref. 7, continuation	IM: A	AUC amitriptyline	x 1.09	x 0.94	265.60 ng.h/ml	AUC amitriptyline + AUC nortriptyline versus *1/*1: IM: 140%			
		NS for *10/*10 versus (gene dose 1.5 + gene dose ≥ 2) versus *1/*1							
		AUC nortriptyline	x 1.89	x 1.21	171.16 ng.h/ml				
		NS for *10/*10 versus (gene dose 1.5 + gene dose ≥ 2) versus *1/*1 (S not determined)							
		AUC amitriptyline + AUC nortriptyline	x 1.40	x 1.05	436.76 ng.h/ml				
		NS for *10/*10 versus (gene dose 1.5 + gene dose ≥ 2) versus *1/*1 (S not determined)							
		AUC ratio 10-OH-amitriptyline/amitriptyline	x 0.33 (S)	x 0.74 (NS)	0.27				
		AUC ratio 10-OH-nortriptyline/nortriptyline	x 0.37 (S)	x 0.75 (NS)	1.9				
The authors indicated that the plasma concentrations in the subjects with gene duplication (gene dose ≥ 2) did not differ from the plasma concentrations in the subjects with gene dose 1.5.									
NB: Genotyping of CYP2D6 was for *5, *10 and gene duplication, genotyping of CYP2C19 for *2, *3 and *17. These are the most important gene variants in this Korean population.									
ref. 8 Atasayar G et al. Association of MDR1, CYP2D6, and CYP2C19 gene polymorphisms with prophylactic migraine treatment response. J Neurol Sci 2016;366:149-154. PubMed PMID: 27288795.	3	152 migraine patients received amitriptyline prophylaxis for a minimum of 2 months. Treatment started with the minimal effective dose and the dose was increased up to the maximum effective dose according to treatment response and side effects. Only patients receiving amitriptyline monotherapy for prophylaxis and indicating no missed amitriptyline doses were evaluated. Treatment response was defined as a decrease in the headache frequency during the preceding month with at least 50%. 44% of patients responded to treatment. Relevant co-medication was not excluded. Genotyping: - 104x NM - 41x IM - 7x PM Results: <table><tr><td colspan="2">Percentage of responders compared to NM (45% responders):</td></tr><tr><td>IM</td><td>NS</td></tr><tr><td>PM</td><td>NS</td></tr></table> NB: Genotyping was for *3, *4 and *6. These are the most important gene variants in this Turkish population. *6 was not detected in this population.	Percentage of responders compared to NM (45% responders):		IM	NS	PM	NS	Author's conclusion: "There were no significant correlations between the treatment responses to amitriptyline, propranolol, and valproic acid and the MDR1, CYP2D6 and CYP2C19 gene polymorphisms."
Percentage of responders compared to NM (45% responders):									
IM	NS								
PM	NS								
ref. 9 de Vos A et al. Association between CYP2C19*17 and metabolism of amitrip-	3	Routine therapeutic drug monitoring was performed in 150 patients being treated with amitriptyline (71x NM (*1/*1), 58x IM (gene dose 1), 18x PM (gene dose 0), 3x UM (gene dose ≥ 3)). The amitriptyline dose was known in 86 patients (34x NM, 40x IM, 10x PM, 2x UM).	Authors' conclusion: "Significant association of CYP2D6 genotype with amitriptyline metabolism"						

Eur J Clin Pharmacol 2004;60:329-36.			
ref. 15 Shimoda K et al. The impact of CYP-2C19 and CYP2D6 genotypes on metabolism of amitriptyline in Japanese psychiatric patients. J Clin Psychopharmacol 2002;22:371-8.	3 IM: A	50 patients received amitriptyline 25-225 mg/day (0.46-5.18 mg/kg per day) for ≥ 2 weeks. 8 patients had 0 mutant alleles (NM (genotype 1-1)), 32 patients had 1 mutant allele (29x NM (genotype 1-0.5) and 3x IM (genotype 1-0)), 10 patients had 2 mutant alleles (all IM, genotype 0.5-0.5 (n=8) or 0.5-0 (n=2)). IM versus NM: - Increase in the NORT/(E)-10-hydroxyNORT MR from 0.73 to 1.31 (NS by 79%) Multiple regression analysis showed that the number of CYP2D6 mutant alleles and gender together explained 17.7% of the variation in log (NORT/(E)-10-hydroxy-NORT). Note: No genotyping for gene duplication was performed.	
ref. 16 Mellstrom B et al. Amitriptyline metabolism: association with debrisoquin hydroxylation in nonsmokers. Clin Pharmacol Ther 1986;39:369-71.	3 IM: A PM: A	11 non-smokers received a 50-mg single dose of amitriptyline. Amitriptyline Cl_{or} showed a negative correlation with MR desibroquine/4-hydroxy-desibroquine MR in urine (S). NOTE: genotype unknown	Authors' conclusion: "Our data suggest that there may be a common regulation of the hydroxylation of debrisoquin and the oxidative metabolism of amitriptyline in nonsmokers."
ref. 17 Bertilsson L et al. Extremely rapid hydroxylation of debrisoquine: a case report with implication for treatment with nortriptyline and other tricyclic antidepressants. Ther Drug Monit 1985;7:478-80.	2 UM: C	Female patient on amitriptyline 50 mg three times daily. Plasma concentrations 3 and 5 weeks after initiation of treatment were 33 and 28 $\mu\text{g/L}$ for AMI and 13 and <19 $\mu\text{g/L}$ for NORT. After an initial short period of improvement in the depression, the patient had a relapse. The patient was previously treated with high-dose NORT (300-500 mg/day) and the NORT/10-hydroxyNORT MR was 0.13 at the time, suggestive of very rapid hydroxylation. The patient did not have severe anticholinergic side effects. NOTE: genotype unknown	Authors' conclusion: "Our patient developed low plasma levels of both AT and NT when she was treated with AT. There seem to be difficulties in optimizing the treatment of extremely rapid hydroxylators with all tricyclic antidepressants. In such cases it may be warranted to try a non-tricyclic antidepressant, which is not metabolized by the debrisoquine hydroxylase."
ref. 18 Baumann P et al. Amitriptyline pharmacokinetics and clinical response: II. Metabolic polymorphism assessed by hydroxylation of debrisoquine and mephenytoin. Int Clin Psychopharmacol 1986;1:102-12.	3 IM: A	16 patients (12x NM [#] , 4x PM) received amitriptyline (75 mg/day for 2 days, followed by 150 mg/day for 19 days). PM versus IM+NM+UM: - Lower MR (hydroxyAMI + hydroxyNORT)/(AMI + NORT) - 2 PMs had the highest AMI + NORT concentrations - PMs did not have excessive side effects - Clinical response could not be predicted on the basis of hydroxylation status or plasma concentrations of the active substances Correlations between desibroquine/hydroxydesibroquine MR in urine and AMI and metabolites in plasma:	Authors' conclusion: "The desibroquine-test appears to be a useful clinical tool for detecting in patients a genetic deficiency in the hydroxylation of AT-type drugs."

ref. 18, continuation	PM: A UM: A	- Positive: AMI (S) and AMI+NORT (S) - Negative: hydroxyAMI/AMI (S), hydroxyNORT/NORT (S), (hydroxyAMI + hydroxyNORT)/(AMI + NORT) (S), (hydroxyAMI + hydroxyNORT)/AMI (S) NOTE: genotype unknown	
ref. 19 SmPC Amitriptyline HCl Auro 24-01-22.	0 PM: A	<u>Dose:</u> Known poor metabolisers of CYP2D6 or CYP2C19 These patients can have a higher plasma concentration of amitriptyline and the active metabolite nortriptyline. Consider reducing the initial dose with 50%. <u>Kinetics:</u> The metabolism can be influenced by genetic polymorphisms (CYP2D6 and CYP2C19).	
ref. 20 SmPC Amitriptyline Hydrochloride Sandoz, USA, 17-07-14.	0 PM: A	<u>Interactions:</u> The biochemical activity of the drug metabolizing isozyme cytochrome P450 2D6 (debrisoquin hydroxylase) is reduced in a subset of the caucasian population (about 7 to 10% of Caucasians are so called "poor metabolizers"); reliable estimates of the prevalence of reduced P450 2D6 isozyme activity among Asian, African and other populations are not yet available. Poor metabolizers have higher than expected plasma concentrations of tricyclic antidepressants (TCAs) when given usual doses. Depending on the fraction of drug metabolized by P450 2D6, the increase in plasma concentration may be small, or quite large (8 fold increase in plasma AUC of the TCA).	

^a: Corrected for dose and body weight.

^b: Corrected for dose.

[#]: Phenotyping and Halling et al., 2008 did not distinguish between IM, NM and UM. NM[#] is therefore equal to IM+NM+UM.

Risk group	IM with CYP2D6 inhibitor
------------	--------------------------

Comments:

- Articles reporting kinetic effects published after 2017 were only included if they compared the exposure of amitriptyline + nortriptyline in IM, PM or UM with that in NM. Other articles on kinetics supplied insufficient additional information.
Articles published after 2006 were only included if they either reported clinical effects or exposure of amitriptyline and nortriptyline in patients with different genotypes. The reason for this is that articles reporting metabolic ratios only supply insufficient additional information about the effect size of gene polymorphisms on amitriptyline therapy and about the magnitude of any dose adjustments needed.
The kinetic meta-analysis of Milosavljevic 2021 was not included in the risk analysis, because the meta-analysis included only 1 study for PM (Halling 2007). In addition, the major IM group (gene dose 1/0) was excluded from the meta-analysis for IM, resulting in inclusion of only 3 out of the 17 IM from the study of Steimer 2004 (next to the 6 IM from the study of Ryu 2017). Therefore, this meta-analysis does not provide any information on the major IM group in European countries. (Milosavljevic F et al. Association of CYP2C19 and CYP2D6 poor and intermediate metabolizer status with antidepressant and antipsychotic exposure: a systematic review and meta-analysis. JAMA Psychiatry 2021;78:270-80. PMID: 33237321.)
- The risk analysis includes both genotyping and phenotyping studies. In order to make it easier to distinguish between these two types of studies, we have added the line "Note: genotype unknown" as the last line under phenotyping studies.
- Existing guideline:
Hicks JK et al. Clinical pharmacogenetics implementation consortium guideline (CPIC) for CYP2D6 and CYP2C19 genotypes and dosing of tricyclic antidepressants: 2016 update. Clin Pharmacol Ther 2017;102:37-44, PubMed PMID: 27997040 and October 2019 update on the CPIC site (modifications to CPIC's prior system of genotype-phenotype translation, including downgrading the value assigned to the CYP2D6*10 allele for activity score calculation from 0.5 to 0.25 and changing the phenotype assignment for an activity score of 1 from normal metaboliser to intermediate metaboliser).

CPIC uses the same definition for NM, IM and PM as we do. However, CPIC uses a different definition for UM (gene dose ≥ 2.5 instead of ≥ 2.75), because CPIC did not decide to include gene dose 2.5 in NM until most laboratories can determine which allele has been duplicated and therefore can distinguish between e.g. $*1x2/*41$ (gene dose 2.5) and $*1/*41x2$ (gene dose 2). The summary below uses the KNMP definition for NM, PM, IM and UM.

CPIC states that the recommended starting dose of amitriptyline does not need dose adjustment for NM. In addition, CPIC states that a 25% reduction of the recommended dose may be considered for patients with a CYP2D6 gene dose of 0.5. As a reference for this percentage reduction they mention the 2011 publication of our dosing recommendations in Clinical Pharmacology and Therapeutics. However, this dosing recommendation is primarily based on patients with gene dose 1. In addition, we changed the percentage reduction in 2011 from 25% to 40%, based on the switch from using the sum of the plasma concentrations of amitriptyline and nortriptyline to using the plasma concentration of nortriptyline for dose calculations. Because patients with a CYP2D6 activity score of 1.0 are inconsistently categorised as intermediate or normal metabolisers in the literature, making these studies difficult to evaluate, CPIC classified the strength of the recommendation for gene dose 0.5 as moderate (i.e. there is a close or uncertain balance as to whether the evidence is high quality and the desirable clearly outweigh the undesirable effects). After the October 2019 update, CPIC states that a 25% reduction of the recommended dose may also be considered for patients with a CYP2D6 gene dose of 1.

CPIC states that CYP2D6 ultra-rapid metabolisers + gene dose 2.5 have a higher probability of failing amitriptyline pharmacotherapy due to subtherapeutic plasma concentrations, and alternate agents are preferred.

CPIC states that, if amitriptyline is warranted, there are insufficient data in the literature to calculate a starting dose for a patient with CYP2D6 ultra-rapid metaboliser or gene dose 2.5 status, and therapeutic drug monitoring is strongly recommended.

Based on a nortriptyline study, CPIC indicates that adverse effects are more likely in CYP2D6 poor metabolisers due to elevated tricyclic plasma concentrations; therefore, alternate agents are preferred. If a tricyclic is warranted, CPIC recommends to consider a 50% reduction of the usual dose, and strongly recommends therapeutic drug monitoring.

The therapeutic recommendations for amitriptyline are indicated below:

Dosing recommendations for amitriptyline for conditions requiring higher doses such as depression based on CYP2D6 phenotype ^a		
Phenotype	Therapeutic recommendation	Classification of recommendation
UM + gene dose 2.5	Avoid amitriptyline use due to potential lack of efficacy. Consider alternative drug not metabolised by CYP2D6. If amitriptyline is warranted, consider titrating to a higher target dose (compared to normal metabolisers). ^b Utilise therapeutic drug monitoring to guide dose adjustments.	Strong ^d
NM	Initiate therapy with recommended starting dose. ^c	Strong ^d
gene dose 1	Consider a 25% reduction of recommended starting dose. ^c Utilise therapeutic drug monitoring to guide dose adjustments. ^b	Optional ^e
gene dose 0.5	Consider a 25% reduction of recommended starting dose. ^c Utilise therapeutic drug monitoring to guide dose adjustments. ^b	Moderate ^f
PM	Avoid tricyclic use due to potential for side effects. Consider alternative drug not metabolised by CYP2D6. If amitriptyline is warranted, consider a 50% reduction of recommended starting dose. ^c Utilise therapeutic drug monitoring to guide dose adjustments. ^b	Strong ^d

^a Dosing recommendations only apply to higher initial doses of amitriptyline for treatment of conditions such as depression. For conditions at which lower initial doses are used, such as neuropathic pain, CPIC recommends no dose modifications for PM or IM, because it is less likely that PM or IM will experience adverse effects due to supra-therapeutic plasma concentrations of amitriptyline. However, CPIC indicates that these patients should be monitored closely for side effects. In addition, if larger doses of TCA are warranted, CPIC recommends following the gene-based dosing guidelines in the table above. For UM+gene dose 2.5, CPIC recommends considering an alternative agent. Based on predicted and observed pharmacokinetic data in those with depression, CYP2D6 UM+gene dose 2.5 may be at an increased risk of failing amitriptyline therapy for neuropathic pain due to lower than expected drug concentrations (Dworkin RH et al. Pharmacologic management of neuropathic pain: evidence-based recommendations. Pain 2007;13: 237-51).

^b Titrate dose to observed clinical response with symptom improvement and minimal (if any) side effects.

^c Patients may receive an initial low dose of amitriptyline, which is then increased over several days to the recommended steady-state dose. The starting dose in this guideline refers to the recommended steady-state dose.

^d Strong indicates that "The evidence is high quality and the desirable effects clearly outweigh the undesirable effects."

^e Optional indicates that the desirable effects are closely balanced with undesirable effects, or the evidence is weak or based on extrapolations. There is room for differences in opinion as to the need for the recommended course of action.

^f Moderate indicates that "there is a close or uncertain balance" as to whether the evidence is high quality and the desirable clearly outweigh the undesirable effects.

As evidence linking CYP2D6 genotype with amitriptyline phenotype, CPIC mentions Peñas-Lledó 2013, de Vos 2011, Bijl 2008, Forget 2008, Halling 2008, Johnson 2006, Koski 2006, Steimer 2005, Steimer 2004, Shimoda 2002, Breyer-Pfaff 1992, Tacke 1992, Baumann 1986, Mellstrom 1986 and Balant-Gorgia 1982. All these studies, except for Balant-Gorgia 1982, Breyer-Pfaff 1992, Tacke 1992, the case reports Johnson 2006 and Forget 2008, Bijl 2008, and Peñas-Lledó 2013, are included in our risk analysis. In addition, our risk analysis includes the small study of Grasmader 2004, the case report of Bertilsson 1985, and five studies published in 2016-2022. CPIC indicates that these studies provide a high level of evidence for a decreased amitriptyline metabolism in PM compared to gene dose 1-2 (based on 8 references including Tacke 1992 and Balant-Gorgia 1982). In addition, CPIC indicates that these studies provide a high level of evidence for a correlation between the number/resulting function of CYP2D6 variant alleles and metabolism of amitriptyline (4 references). Contrary to this, CPIC indicates a weak level of evidence for the absence of a difference in metabolism of amitriptyline between carriers of only one CYP2D6 functional allele or carriers of decreased function alleles compared to carriers of two CYP2D6 normal function alleles (Shimoda 2002). CPIC indicates that these studies provide a moderate level of evidence for the requirement of a decreased dose of amitriptyline in PM compared to gene dose 1-2 (de Vos 2011) and for an increased risk of side effects in carriers of no function alleles compared to carriers of other alleles (Steimer 2005 and the case reports of Forget 2008 and Johnson 2006). In addition, CPIC indicates a moderate level of evidence for an association of PM with early discontinuation (within 28 days to 45 days after the start of the first prescription) of antidepressant therapy as compared to gene dose 1-2 (Peñas-Lledó 2013 and Bijl 2008), and for UM+gene dose 2.5 to have an increased risk for discontinuation of treatment and a decreased response (Peñas-Lledó 2013). Note: the majority of analysed patients in Peñas-Lledó 2013 and Bijl 2008 (54-55%) used another depressant than amitriptyline. Finally, CPIC indicates a moderate level of evidence for a correlation of desbrisoquine hydroxylation (Mellstrom 1986) and dextromethorphan metabolism (Breyer-Pfaff 1992) with amitriptyline metabolism. CPIC also took other gene-based dosing recommendations in consideration, including the 2008 and 2011 publications of our dosing recommendations in Clinical Pharmacology and Therapeutics. CPIC also provides therapeutic recommendations based on both CYP2D6 and CYP2C19 genotypes. For CYP2D6 UM+gene dose 2.5 and for CYP2D6 PM the therapeutic recommendations for the different CYP2C19 phenotypes are similar, reflecting the stronger influence of the CYP2D6 phenotype compared to the CYP2C19 phenotype. CPIC indicates that further studies are needed to develop moderate or strong dosing recommendations for TCAs when considering combined CYP2D6/CYP2C19 phenotypes. At the moment, insufficient data are available. Based on Steimer 2005, CPIC mentions that patients carrying at least one CYP2D6 no function allele and two CYP2C19 normal function alleles had an increased risk of experiencing side effects when administered amitriptyline. This would argue for a therapeutic recommendation also for patients with CYP2D6 gene dose 1, which is the predominant phenotype in this patient group. On 1-12-2023, there was not a more recent version of the recommendations present on the PharmGKB- and on the CPIC-site.

Date of literature search: 6 November 2023.

	Phenotype	Code	Gene-drug interaction	Action	Date
KNMP Pharmacogenetics Working Group decision	PM	3A	Yes	Yes	8 February 2024
	IM	3C	Yes	Yes	
	UM	3C	Yes	Yes	

Mechanism:

Amitriptyline is mainly converted by CYP2C19-mediated N-demethylation to the active metabolite nortriptyline. Both amitriptyline and nortriptyline are metabolised by CYP2D6 to 10-hydroxy metabolites, predominantly E-10-hydroxy metabolites. Amitriptyline is approximately three times as potent as E-10-OH-amitriptyline. Nortriptyline is approximately twice as potent as E-10-OH-nortriptyline.

N-oxidation and N-glucuronidation of amitriptyline also take place. Nortriptyline is converted by CYP2D6 and CYP2C19 to the inactive metabolite didesmethylamitriptyline (desmethylnortriptyline).

Study results show an association between the sum of the concentrations of amitriptyline and nortriptyline with the efficacy of the therapy and between nortriptyline concentrations and side effects. The therapeutic range is 100-300 ng/ml and values higher than 400 ng/ml are considered to be toxic. An upper limit is indicated for the therapeutic range of nortriptyline (50-150 ng/ml), but not for the therapeutic range of amitriptyline (> 50 ng/ml). The Z-hydroxy metabolites can cause cardiotoxicity and plasma concentrations of Z-hydroxy nortriptyline or Z-hydroxy amitriptyline higher than 40 ng/ml are considered to be toxic.

Clinical Implication Score:

Table 1: Definitions of the available Clinical Implication Scores

Potentially beneficial	PGx testing for this gene-drug pair is potentially beneficial. Genotyping can be considered on an individual patient basis. If, however, the genotype is available, the DPWG recommends adhering to the gene-drug guideline	0-2 +
Beneficial	PGx testing for this gene-drug pair is beneficial. It is advised to consider genotyping the patient before (or directly after) drug therapy has been initiated to guide drug and dose selection	3-5 +
Essential	PGx testing for this gene-drug pair is essential for drug safety or efficacy. Genotyping must be performed before drug therapy has been initiated to guide drug and dose selection	6-10 +

Table 2: Criteria on which the attribution of Clinical Implication Score is based

Clinical Implication Score Criteria	Possible Score	Given Score
Clinical effect associated with gene-drug interaction (drug- or diminished efficacy-induced) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> CTCAE Grade 3 or 4 (clinical effect score D or E) CTCAE Grade 5 (clinical effect score F) 	+ ++	
Level of evidence supporting the associated clinical effect grade ≥ 3 <ul style="list-style-type: none"> One study with level of evidence score ≥ 3 Two studies with level of evidence score ≥ 3 Three or more studies with level of evidence score ≥ 3 	+ ++ +++	
Number needed to genotype (NNG) in the Dutch population to prevent one clinical effect grade ≥ 3 <ul style="list-style-type: none"> $100 < \text{NNG} \leq 1000$ $10 < \text{NNG} \leq 100$ $\text{NNG} \leq 10$ 	+ ++ +++	
PGx information in the Summary of Product Characteristics (SmPC) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> At least one genotype/phenotype mentioned OR <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Recommendation to genotype OR <ul style="list-style-type: none"> At least one genotype/phenotype mentioned as a contra-indication in the corresponding section 	+ ++ ++	+
Total Score:	10+	1+
Corresponding Clinical Implication Score:		Potentially beneficial