

CYP2D6: mirtazapine

2001/2002/2003

 Cl_{or} = oral clearance, C_{ss} = steady state concentration, HAMD = Hamilton Rating Scale for Depression, IM = intermediate metaboliser (gene dose 0.25-1) (decreased CYP2D6 enzyme activity), MR = metabolic ratio, NM = normal metaboliser (gene dose 1.25-2.5) (normal CYP2D6 enzyme activity), NS = non-significant, PM = poor metaboliser (gene dose 0) (absent CYP2D6 enzyme activity), S = significant, UM = ultra-rapid metaboliser (gene dose 2.75) (increased CYP2D6 enzyme activity)

Brief summary and justification of choices:

Mirtazapine is converted by CYP3A4 to the metabolite N-desmethylmirtazapine, which has a pharmacological activity that is 3-6% that of mirtazapine. Mirtazapine is mainly converted by CYP2D6 and CYP1A2 to inactive hydroxy metabolites. This conversion primarily involves the S(+)-enantiomer. Both enantiomers play a role in the side effect "sedation", only the R(-)-enantiomer plays a role in the effects on blood pressure and heart rate. The S(+)-enantiomer is probably more therapeutically effective than the R(-)-enantiomer.

- IM: Two studies from the same group, one with 38 IM and one with 28 IM showed an increase in side effects and either a reduced or a better (or faster) effectiveness (Zastrozhin 2020 and Zastrozhin 2019). However, Zastrozhin 2020 did not find significant differences in plasma concentrations and dose-corrected plasma concentrations of mirtazapine between NM and IM, making it very unlikely that the observed (small and/or inconsistent) differences in clinical outcomes were due to CYP2D6 gene variants. In addition, Zastrozhin 2019 only determined effects during treatment initiation (until day 16) and the patient group was not representative for patients normally treated with mirtazapine. The patients in Zastrozhin 2019 had mild depression (Hamilton Rating Scale score < 15) combined with alcohol use disorder. Because of this, the KNMP Pharmacogenetics Working Group decided that there is no evidence that the IM phenotype results in clinical effects after the treatment initiation phase and in patients with major depression. IM has been shown to increase S(+)-mirtazapine, but not R(-)-mirtazapine (Hayashi 2015, Lind 2009 and Brockmöller 2007). For these reasons, the KNMP Pharmacogenetics Working Group concluded that there is a gene-drug interaction, but that adjustment of therapy is not required.</p>
- PM: A study with 3 PM and single dose administration found a longer duration of the adverse event "dry mouth" for PM (Kirchheiner 2004). However, 2 case reports and a study with 1 PM found no side effects for PM on mirtazapine (Johnson 2006, Stephan 2006, and Grasmäder 2004). In addition, Murphy 2003 did not find an increase in side effects for 27x PM+IM. A study involving a very low dose of S-mirtazapine found an effect on the ability to drive for 7 PM, but not for IM+NM+UM (Ramaekers 2011). However, in therapeutic doses, mirtazapine does have a severe negative effect on the ability to drive, also for non-PM. So, there is insufficient evidence for a clinical effect for PM patients. For this reason, the KNMP Pharmacogenetics Working Group decided that adjustment of therapy is not required for PM either.
- UM: No clinical effects were found for UM.

Based on the data above, the KNMP Pharmacogenetics Working Group concluded that there is a gene drug interaction (due to the effect on S(+)-mirtazapine pharmacokinetics (Hayashi 2015, Lind 2009 and Brockmöller 2007)), but that therapy adjustments are not required (yes/no-interactions).

You can find an overview of the observed kinetic and clinical consequences per phenotype in the background information text of the gene-drug interactions in the KNMP Kennisbank. You might also have access to this background information text via your pharmacy or physician electronic decision support system.

Source	Code	Effect	Comments
ref. 1 Scherf-Clavel M et al. Effects of phar- macokinetic gene variation on therapeutic drug levels and	3	171 patients from two cohorts (107 and 64 patients from each of the cohorts) were treated with mirtazapine (final dose 7.5-120 mg/day (mean 45 mg/day)). The cohort from which 107 patients were derived included patients with unipolar depression. Therapeutic drug monitoring was performed according to the doctor's choice and not per protocol and used to adjust the dose. Patients were analysed after 6 weeks of treatment. The other cohort included patients with at least a moderate depressive	Authors' conclu- sion: 'Dose-corrected concentrations of quetiapine and mirtazapine were not associated with the exa- mined diplotypes/

The table below follows the KNMP definitions for NM, PM, IM and UM. Therefore, the definitions of NM, PM, IM and UM used in the table below may differ from the definition used by the authors in the article.

anti-depressant treatment response. Pharmacopsy- chiatry 2022 Jul 15. Online ahead of print. PMID: 35839823. ref. 1, continu- ation	PM: AA IM: AA UM: AA	period (Hamilton E peutic drug monito and used to adjust treatment. 49% of patients were response was defi patients showed re patients showed re patients were deriv Change of antidep sed in the cohort ff but data missing in assessed in the ot tion were observed Clinical improvement HAMD ₂₁ -score. Re Trough serum concer Relevant comedica concentration and post-hoc, explorati tors. 34% of the patients smoking status. The response and reminot correct for the P-values were Bor and the total numb was considered sign Genotyping: The number of NM Results: Results for PM ver clinical improvement (percentual reduction in HAMD ₂₁ score) % of patients with remission dose-corrected concentration of mittazanine	Depression Rating Scale-21 (HAMD ₂₁) > 14). Thera- bring was performed in week 3, 5, and 7 of treatment at the dose. Patients were analysed after 7 weeks of ere responders (31% in the cohort from which the derived and 77% in the other cohort). Treatment ned as ≥ 50% reduction in HAMD ₂₁ -score. 31% of emission (19% in the other cohort). Treasment due to adverse drug reactions was asses- rom which 107 patients were derived (not observed, n 64% of patients). Adverse drug reactions were her cohort (1 mild and 1 medium adverse drug reac- d), ent was measured as the percentual reduction in the emission was defined as a HAMD ₂₁ -score ≤ 7. centrations in steady state were determined. the (dose-correc- ntration were set as missing data. ation was not excluded, but the dose-corrected clinical improvement were also determined in a ive analysis excluding patients using CYP2D6 inhibi- atients was smoker. Results were not corrected for he authors do not indicate whether the difference in ission between the two cohorts is significant and do cohort from which the patient was derived. Inferroni-corrected for the total number of genes (7) ber of drugs (4) investigated. As a result p ≤ 0.001 gnificant. 4, IM, PM and UM+gene dose 2.5 is not mentioned. 4, IM, PM and UM+gene dose 2.5 is not mentioned. 5 NS (The association was S before Bonferroni- correction.) Results were similar after exclusion of patients using CYP2D6 inhibitors. NS NS The association was also NS after exclusion of patients using CYP2D6 inhibitors.	phenotypes Pk gene variation did not affect treatment res- ponse.'
	UM: AA	dose-corrected concentration of mirtazapine Note: Genotyping plication. These ar	NS The association was also NS after exclusion of patients using CYP2D6 inhibitors. was for *2 through *6, *9, *10, *41, and gene multi- re the most important gene variants in this German	
		population.		
ref. 2 Milosavljevic F et al. Association of CYP2C19 and CYP2D6 poor and intermedi- ate metabolizer status with antidepressant and antipsycho-	4	Meta-analysis of 4 healthy volunteers PM. Studies show meta-analysis. Of according to the R ventions (ROBINS assessed domains other 3 had a mod 2-3 of the 7 assess Of the 4 studies in risk analysis separ	studies with a total of 144 participants (patients or), including a total of 125 NM (gene dose 2) and 19 ing a critical risk of bias were not excluded from the the 4 included studies, 1 had a serious risk of bias isk Of Bias In Non-randomised Studies – of Inter- I) tool (showing a serious risk of bias in 1 of the 7 and a moderate risk in another domain), and the erate risk of bias (showing a moderate risk of bias in sed domains). cluded in the meta-analysis, 3 were included in this rately (Jacquenoud Sirot 2012, Lind 2009, and	Authors' conclu- sion: 'Exposure diffe- rences were also observed for clo- zapine, quetia- pine fumarate, amitriptyline hydrochloride, mirtazapine, nor- triptyline hydro-

tic exposure: a systematic review and meta-analysis. JAMA Psychia- try 2021;78:270- 80. PMID: 33237321. ref. 2, continu- ation	PM: A	Kirchheiner 2004). Meta-analyses were per pective registration of the fixed-effects model should only be chosen was transparent and the Publication bias analys However, publication b insufficient number of in Results: Mirtazapine exposure x 1.39 (S) in a fixed-et x 1.42 (S) in a random Heterogeneity betwee Results were similar a of bias (x 1.40 (S) in a the studies was signification There were no indication	erformed v he protoco uld only b the studie afterward e data ex is was as ias could ncluded si for PM co ffects mod neffects r in the stud ffer exclu a fixed-effe icant and ions for p	vith a fixed-effects mod of was not mentioned. I been applied in case of es and so, this statistica s. The search and sele traction was standardis sessed by Egger test a not be assessed reliab tudies (< 10). <u>ompared to NM (gene of</u> del and <u>nodel</u> <u>dies was significant and sion of the study with a ects model; heterogene moderate). ublication bias.</u>	del, but pros- In addition, a absence of al method ection strategy sed. Ind funnel plot. Iy owing to the dose 2):	chloride, fluoxe- tine hydrochlo- ride, fluvoxamine maleate, paroxe- tine hydrochlo- ride, and venlafa- xine hydrochlo- ride; however, these differences were marginal, ambiguous, or based on less than 3 indepen- dent studies.'
ref. 3 Zastrozhin MS et al. The Influence of concentra- tion of micro- RNA hsa-miR- 370-3p and CYP2D6*4 on equilibrium concentration of mirtazapine in patients with major depres- sive disorder. Psychophar- macol Bull 2020;50:58-75.	3	192 patients were treat for a period of 8 weeks Mirtazapine effectivene sion Rating Scale and t Adverse events were e Scale (UKU). Therapeutic drug monit ment. Other psychotropic men whether non-psychotro ded. All patients had a abstinent. The Benjamin-Hochber sons. Genotyping: - 154x NM - 38x IM	Authors' conclu- sion: 'The effect of genetic poly- morphism of the CYP2D6 gene on the efficacy and safety profiles of mirtazapine was demonstrated in a group of 192 patients with recurrent depres- sive disorder.'			
PMID:		Results:				
52133112.		Results compared to I	NM:	IM	value for	
			-		NM	
		median Hamilton Depression Rating Scale score median Hospital Anxiety and Depres- sion Scale score	week 4 week 8 For both Depress was 22.1 treatmen 45.5% for score be the scor ponse is 50%, it i difference week 4 week 8 The meet sion Sca 36.0 for a decreat NM and	x 1.12 (S)x 1.20 (S)IM and NM, the mediation Rating Scale scoreion Rating Scale score0, indicating a decreaseat with 54.5% for NM ator IM, with the final diffeetween NM and IM beinee observed in week 1.a usually defined as a ds questionable whetheric is clinically relevant.x 1.11 (S)x 1.20 (S)dian Hospital Anxiety aale score in week 1 wasNM and IM, respectivease during treatment wiwith 50% for IM. with t	13.010.0an Hamiltonan week 1e duringnd witherence inng only 9% ofBecause res-lecrease ≥r this small22.015.0nd Depres-s 37.0 andely,,indicatingith 59% forhe final diffe-	

ref. 3, continu-			rence in	score between	NM and IM being		
ation			Median dose-				
			ce is clir	nically relevant.		concentration of	
		median UKU Side-	week 4	NS	3.0	mirtazapine com-	
	IM: B	Effect Rating Scale score	week 8	x 1.33 (S)	3.0	pared to NM: IM: 126%	
		median dose-correcte	ed plas-	x 1.26 (NS)	0.23 ng/ml		
		ma concentration of n	nirtaza-		per mg		
		median plasma conce of mirtazapine	entration	NS	9.60 ng/ml		
		Note: Genotyping was in this Russian populat Genotype distribution v	for *4. Thi ion. was in Har	is is the most im dy-Weinberg ec	portant variant allele quilibrium.		
ref. 4	3	109 patients with depre	essive disc	order and como	rbid alcohol use disor-	Authors' conclu-	
Zastrozhin MS		der were treated with n	nirtazapin	e for 16 days. T	he median mirtaza-	sion:	
et al.		pine dose was 30 mg/c	day. ion ovmot	ama wara ratad	with the following	"This study	
2D6 activity on		scales: Penn Alcohol (Craving Sc	onis were rateu	oque Scale, Clinical	that an increased	
the efficacy and		Global Impression. Ho	spital Anxi	ietv and Depres	sion Scale, and	CYP2D6 activity	
safety of mir-		Hamilton Rating Scale	for Depre	ssion. Higher so	ores on these scales	reduces the effi-	
tazapine in		indicate greater addicti	ion or dep	ression. Advers	e events were rated	cacy of treatment	
patients with		with The UKU Side Eff	ects Ratin	g Scale.		with mirtaza-	
depressive		Psychotropic medication	on other tr	an mirtazapine	was excluded, with	pine."	
comorbid alco-		syndrome Co-medicat	ion with e	ffect on CYP2D	6 activity was not		
hol use		excluded.					
disorder.		Ot.					
Can J Physiol		Genotyping:					
2019:97:781-5.		- 28x IM					
PubMed PMID:							
31100205.		Results:					
		Median scores on add	diction, de	pression and ac	lverse event rating		
ref. 4, continu-		scales for IM compare	ed to NM:		volue for NM		
ation		Penn Alcohol	day 1	NS			
	IM· AA#	Craving Scale	day 9	x 0.50 (S)	4.0		
			day 16	x 0.50 (S)	2.0		
		Visual Analogue	day 1	NS	30.0		
		Scale	day 9	x 0.65 (S)	17.0		
			day 16	x 0.41 (S)	11.0		
		Clinical Global	day 1	<u>NS</u>	3.0		
		Impression	day 9	<u>x 0.50 (5)</u>	2.0		
		Hospital Anxiety	day 10	<u>x 0 (3)</u> NS	22.0		
		and Depression	day 9	x 0.63 (S)	12.0		
		Scale	day 16	x 0.50 (S)	8.0		
		Hamilton Rating	day 1	NS	13.0		
		Scale for	day 9	x 0.57 (S)	7.0		
		Depression	day 16	x 0.30 (S)	5.0		
		UKU Side Effects	day 1	NS x 1.22 (2)	1.0		
		Raung Scale	day 9	<u>x 1.33 (5)</u> x 1.42 (5)	3.0		
			uay 10	<u>x 1.42 (J)</u>	0.0		
		NOTE: Genotyping wa	s perform	ed for *4. This is	the most important		
		gene variant in this Ru	ssian pop	ulation.			
ref. 5	4	66 patients were treate	ed with mir	tazapine (mean	dose 20.4 mg/day;	Authors' conclu-	
Hayashi Y et al.		range: 3.75-45 mg/day	(0.065-1.	10 mg/kg per da	ay)). Steady-state	sion:	
Factors affec-		plasma concentrations	were dete	ermined 10-15 h	ours atter dosing.	l "Homozygous	

ting steady- state plasma concentrations of enantiomeric mirtazapine and its desme- thylated meta- bolites in Japa- nese psychia- tric patients. Pharmacopsy- chiatry 2015:(8:270		CYP enzyme inhibitors and in smokers. Genotyping: - 17x gene dose 2 (no *10 alle - 35x gene dose 1.25 (one *10 - 14x gene dose 0.5 (two *10 Results: Dose- and bodyweight-corre per mg/kg) compared to gen	eles; NM) 0 allele; NM) alleles; IM) ected plasma co ie dose 2: gene dose	oncentrations (in ng/mL value for	CYP2D6 *10 alleles and smo- king have a signi- ficant impact on the metabolism of S-(+)-mirta- zapine in Japa- nese patients."
2015;48:279- 85. PubMed PMID: 26595747. ref. 5, continu- ation	IM: A	S(+)-mirtazapine R(-)-mirtazapine S(+)-desmethylmirtazapine R(-)-desmethylmirtazapine ratio S(+)-mirtazapine/R(-)-mirtazapine NOTE: Genotyping was performost important gene variants	0.5 x 1.84 (S) S for (gene d dose 1.25) ve Multiple regre the number o independent corrected S(+ concentration The number o determined 7 dose-corrected of S(+)-mirtaz x 1.35 (NS) NS for (gene dose 1.25) ve x 1.89 (NS) NS for (gene dose 1.25) ve x 1.26 (NS) NS for (gene dose 1.25) ve x 1.38 (NS)	1.25 x 1.12 (NS) ose 0.5) versu ersus (gene do ession analysis f *10 alleles to predictor for th -)-mirtazapine b) CYP2D6 *10 .8% of the vari- ed plasma con- zapine. x 0.99 (NS) dose 0.5) verse ersus (gene do x 1.08 (NS) dose 0.5) verse ersus (gene do x 1.04 (NS) dose 0.5) verse ersus (gene do	gene dose 2 17.9 s (gene se 2) s showed be an e dose- plasma 0 alleles ability in centration 36.2 sus (gene se 2) 6.2 sus (gene se 2) 46.3 sus (gene se 2) 0.55 sus (gene se 2) 0.55 sus (gene se 2)	Dose-corrected plasma concen- tration of mirtaza- pine compared to gene dose 2: IM: 151%
ref. 6 Okubo M et al. Effects of cyto- chrome P450 2D6 and 3A5 genotypes and possible co- administered medicines on the metabolic clearance of antidepressant mirtazapine in Japanese patients. Biochem Phar- macol 2015;93:104-9. PubMed PMID: 25475885.	3 IM: A	with a *5-allele were excluded 14 patients were treated with Relevant co-medication was r (one NM (<10 cigarettes per of	trom the study mirtazapine. not excluded. 2 day) and one IM gene dose 1.5) ene dose 0.5, 7 na concentratic ately 0.9 ng/ml 4 (S) rmed for *2, *5 in this Japanes	y. patients were / (>20 cigarett 1x gene dose (on of mirtazapin per mg): and *10. Thes se population.v	smokers es per 0.25) ne se are the	Authors' conclu- sion: 'These results suggested that mirtazapine metabolic clea- rance could be variously influen- ced by the CYP- 2D6 and CYP- 3A5 genotypes and coadminis- tered drugs in clinical patients." Dose-corrected plasma concen- tration of mirtaza- pine compared to NM: IM: approx. 244%

ref. 7 Jaquenoud Sirot E et al. Multicenter stu- dy on the clini- cal effective- ness, pharma- cokinetics, and pharmacogene- tics of mirtaza- pine in depres- sion. J Clin Psycho- pharmacol 2012;32:622-9. PubMed PMID: 22926595.	3	All patients were treated with minta2apine for 8 weeks. The minta2a- pine dose was 30 mg/day on days 1-14 and 30-45 mg/day on days 15-56. The dose could be adapted on days 15, 28, and 42. Deviations from the dosing schedule, such as dose reductions below 30 mg/d or at other time points, were allowed only in case of intolerable adverse events. Depression symptoms were measured with the 17-item Hamilton Depression rating scale (HAMD). HAMD total score significantly decreased from 24.8 at baseline to 9.8 at the end of this study, and the response rate (≥ 50% decrease in the score on the HAMD) was 81%. No serious adverse drug reactions were reported during the study. Stable benzodiazepine treatment (with a maximum of 30% change in dose during the study), and zopiclone, zolpidem or chloral hydrate for night-time sedation were not excluded, but other psychotropic and sedative drugs, antiepileptic drugs and thyroid hormones were exclu- ded. Co-medication with effect on mirtazapine metabolism was not excluded. 38% of patients was smoker.					Authors' conclu- sion: 'Only in nonsmo- kers, plasma levels of S(+)- enantiomer of mirtazapine and metabolites depended on the CYP2D6 geno- type. Therefore, high CYP1A2 activity seen in smokers seems to mask the influ- ence of the CYP- 2D6 genotype."
		Genotyping: -22x NM -13x IM -3x PM -3x UM Results: Results compared to NM				value	
						for NM	
		score on the Hamilton Depression scale	NS for PM UM	versus IM	versus NM	versus	
		change in score on the Hamilton Depression scale	NS for PM UM	versus IM	versus NM	versus	
		R-mirtazapine plasma	x 1.01	x 1.00	x 0.88	22.5	
		concentration on day	NS for PM	versus IM	versus NM	versus	
		S-mirtazapine plasma	x 2.17	x 1.55	x 1.38	6.5	
	IM· A	concentration on day 14 (ng/ml)	NS for PM UM	versus IM	versus NM	versus	
	PM: A		S for PM ve	ersus IM v	ersus NM v	ersus	Plasma concen
	UM: A		UM in non-	smokers,	but not in s	mokers	tration of mirtaza-
			S-mirtazap	IM versus	azapine wa NM versus	s S for	pine compared to
			all patients	and in no	n-smokers,	but not	NM: IM:115%
		R-mirtazanine + S-	x 1.30	x 1 15	x 1 02	28.3	PM:130%
		mirtazapine plasma	NS for PM	versus IM	versus NM	versus	UM:102%
		concentration on day 14 (ng/ml)	UM				
		R-desmethylmirtazapi-	x 1.55	x 1.17	x 1.48	16.7	
		tion on day 14 (ng/ml)	NS for PM	versus IM	versus NM	versus	
		S-desmethylmirtazapi-	x 2.24	x 1.71	x 1.24	2.1	
		ne plasma concentra-	Trend for a	n associat	ion (p = 0.0	9) (NS).	
		tion on day 14 (ng/ml) 	S for PM ve	ersus IM v smokers	ersus NM v	rersus	
			S for PM ve	ersus IM v	ersus NM v	ersus	
			UM on day	28, 42 an	d 56 (dose-	correc-	
			ted plasma		ations).		
		κ-δ-nyaroxyminazapine	- NS for PM	X U.42 Versus IM	X U.35	Z.0	
		on day 14 (ng/ml)	UM				

ref. 7, continu- ation ref. 8 Ramaekers JG et al. Residual ef- fects of esmir- tazapine on actual driving performance:	3	S-8-hydroxymirtazapine plasma concentration on day 14 (ng/ml) x 1.18 x 1.18 x 0.73 1.1 NS for PM versus IM versus NM versus UM NS for PM versus IM versus NM versus UM NOTE: In this study, there was no evidence for a significant plasma concentration-clinical effectiveness or plasma concentration-adverse effect relationship regarding any pharmacokinetic parameter, with the exception of a high probability of being a responder for patients with a plasma concentration of S-mirtazapine ≥ 5 ng/mL (probability of 77%). NOTE: Genotyping was performed for *3-*6 and gene duplication. These are the most important gene variants in this mixed Swiss/ French population. Further analyses identified a *16-allele in one PM (*5/*16). A total of 32 healthy volunteers in a cross-over study received S- mirtazapine 1.5 or 4.5 mg or placebo in the evening for 7 days. The deviation in distance from the side of the lane in a driving ability test was measured eleven hours after the first and last dose. Relevant co- medication was excluded. Phenotyping: 7x PM, 25x NM+IM+UM.	Authors' conclu- sion: "Exploratory analysis in a small group of poor CYP 2D6 metabolizers suggested that		
overall findings and an explora- tory analysis into the role of CYP2D6 phenotype. Psychopharma- cology 2011;215:321- 32. PubMed PMID: 21246188.	PM: B	 PM versus NM+IM+UM: increase in the percentage of volunteers who stopped prematurely due to mirtazapine-related adverse events (from 0% to 14%) (NS) for both phenotypes, an effect on the ability to drive comparable to an alcohol concentration of 0.5 mg/mL cannot be ruled out following a single dose of 4.5 mg for PM, an effect on the ability to drive comparable to an alcohol concentration of 0.5 mg/mL cannot be ruled out following a single dose of 1.5 mg/mL cannot be ruled out following a single dose of 1.5 mg/mL cannot be ruled out following a single dose of 1.5 mg or a repeated dose of 4.5 mg; this can be ruled out for NM+IM+UM increase in the plasma concentration on day 8 by 80% (from 0.54 to 0.97 ng/mL) at a dose of 1.5 mg/day (NS) increase in the plasma concentration on day 8 by 39% (from 1.52 to 2.12 ng/mL) at a dose of 4.5 mg/day (NS) NOTE: Genotype unknown. NOTE: The doses used (intended for insomnia) are much lower than the doses used for depression. 	these subjects are more sensi- tive to the impai- ring effects of esmirtazapine on car driving." Plasma concentration of mirtazapine versus NM+IM+UM: PM: 139-180%		
ref. 9 Borobia AM et al. Influence of sex and CYP2D6 genotype on mirtazapine disposition, evaluated in Spanish heal- thy volunteers. Pharmacol Res	3	A total of 68 healthy volunteers received a single dose of mirtazapine 30 mg in both parts of a cross-over study (various, bio-equivalent formulations). Co-medication was excluded. Genotyping: - 34x NM (32x *1/*1, 1x (*1/*4)x2, 1x *1/*9) - 26x IM (24x gene dose 1 (18x *1/*4, 2x *1/*5, 4x *1/*6) and 2x gene dose 0.5 (1x *3/*9, 1x *4/*9)) - 7x PM (6x *4/*4, 1x *4/*6) - 1x UM ((*1/*1)x2) Gene dose 1 versus NM+UM:	Authors' conclu- sion: "Both CYP2D6 genotype group and sex influence the disposition of mirtazapine in healthy volun- teers."		
2009;59:393-8. PubMed PMID: 19429471.	IM: A IM+PM: A	 AUC decreased by 16% (from 2176.86 to 1829.34 ng.hour/mL) (NS) increase in the dose-corrected and weight-corrected AUC, predicted using a pharmacokinetic model, by 6.4% (from 1516.62 to 1613.63 ng.hour/mL) (S for the trend) (PM + gene dose 0.5) versus NM+UM: AUC decreased by 25% (from 2176.86 to 1628.72 ng.hour/mL) (NS) increase in the dose-corrected and weight-corrected AUC, predicted using a pharmacokinetic model, by 35% (from 1516.62 to 2049.28 ng.hour/mL) (S for the trend) 	AUC mirtazapine versus NM: IM: 106%		

ref. 9, continu-			
ation		NOTE: Genotyping was performed for *3 through *7, *9 and gene duplication.	
ref. 10 Lind AB et al. Steady-state concentrations of mirtazapine, N-desmethyl- mirtazapine, 8- hydroxy-mirta- zapine and their enantio- mers in relation to cytochrome P450 2D6 genotype, age and smoking behaviour. Clin Pharmaco- kinet 2009;48:63-70. PubMed PMID: 19071885.	4 IM: A PM: A UM: AA	$\begin{array}{l} \begin{array}{l} \begin{array}{l} \begin{array}{l} \begin{array}{l} \begin{array}{l} \mbox{duplication.} \end{array} \\ A total of 95 patients were treated with mirtazapine 30 mg/day for 4 \\ \mbox{weeks, substrates and strong inhibitors of CYP2D6 as co-medication were excluded. Correction was performed for gender, age and smoking. \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{l} \begin{array}{l} \begin{array}{l} \mbox{Genotyping:} \end{array} \\ & -56x NM (*1/*1) \end{array} \\ & -30x IM (4x *1/*3, 19x *1/*4, 5x *1/*5, 2x *1/*6) \end{array} \\ & -6x PM (3x *4/*4, 3x *4/*5) \end{array} \\ & -3x UM (*1/*1xN with N \geq 2) \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{l} \begin{array}{l} \mbox{IM versus NM:} \end{array} \\ & -increase in the median C_{ss} of mirtazapine by 3.3\% (from 122 to 126 nmol/L) (NS) \end{array} \\ & -increase in the median C_{ss} of S(+)-mirtazapine by 39\% (from 28 to 39 nmol/L) (S) \end{array} \\ & - decrease in the median C_{ss} of R(-)-mirtazapine by 1.1\% (from 91 to 90 nmol/L) (NS) \end{array} \\ & - increase in the median C_{ss} of S(+)-mirtazapine by 57\% (from 122 to 192 nmol/L) (NS) \end{array} \\ & - increase in the median C_{ss} of S(+)-mirtazapine by 9.9\% (from 28 to 39 nmol/L) (S) \end{array} \\ & - increase in the median C_{ss} of S(+)-mirtazapine by 111\% (from 28 to 59 nmol/L) (S) \end{array} \\ & - increase in the median C_{ss} of S(+)-mirtazapine by 9.9\% (from 91 to 100 nmol/L) (NS) \end{array} \\ & - increase in the median C_{ss} of S(+)-mirtazapine by 9.9\% (from 122 to 127 nmol/L) (NS) \end{array} \\ & - increase in the median C_{ss} of S(-)-mirtazapine by 9.9\% (from 91 to 100 nmol/L) (NS) \end{array} \\ & - increase in the median C_{ss} of S(+)-mirtazapine by 9.9\% (from 91 to 100 nmol/L) (NS) \end{array} \\ & - increase in the median C_{ss} of S(-)-mirtazapine by 9.9\% (from 122 to 127 nmol/L) (NS) \\ & - decrease in the median C_{ss} of S(+)-mirtazapine by 25\% (from 28 to 21 nmol/L) (NS) \end{array} \\ & - increase in the median C_{ss} of S(+)-mirtazapine by 25\% (from 28 to 21 nmol/L) (NS) \end{array} \\ & - increase in the median C_{ss} of S(+)-mirtazapine by 25\% (from 28 to 21 nmol/L) (NS) \end{array} \\ & - increase in the median C_{ss} of R(-)-mirtazapine by 16\% (from 91 to 106 nmol/L) (NS) \end{array} \\ & - increase in the median C_{ss} of S(+)-mirtazapine by 16\% (from 91 to 106 nmol/L) (NS) \end{array} \\ \\ & - increase in the median C_{ss} of R(-)-mirtazapi$	Authors' conclu- sion: "This study is the first to show the impact of the CYP2D6 geno- type on steady- state serum concentrations of the enantiomers of mirtazapine and its metabo- lites." Plasma concentration of mirtazapine versus NM: PM: 157% IM: 103% UM: 104%
ref. 11 Brockmöller J et al. Pharmacokine- tics of mirta- zapine: enan- tioselective effects of the CYP2D6 ultra rapid metaboli- zer genotype and correlation with adverse effects. Clin Pharmacol Ther 2007;81:699- 707.	3 PM: A UM: A	 The samples obtained in Kirchheiner, 2004 were analysed for enantio-selective effects. S(+)-mirtazapine: decrease in AUC from PM to NM to UM (17.7, 9.9 and 6.7 mg.min/L respectively) (S) increase in Cl_{or} from PM to NM to UM (1.3, 2.3 and 3.4 L/min respectively) (S) the clearance is higher than can be explained by the hepatic blood flow (1-1.6 L/min): therefore, a "first pass" effect occurs plasma concentration correlates with sedation (S) R(-)-mirtazapine: no decrease in AUC from PM to NM to UM (34.1, 29.4 and 30.3 mg.min/L respectively) (S) no increase in Cl_{or} from PM to NM to UM (0.66, 0.77 and 0.74 L/min respectively) (S) plasma concentration correlates with sedation and to a reduction in heart rate and blood pressure 4 hours after administration (S) Both enantiomers: no difference in plasma concentrations of the desmethyl metabolite between PM, NM and UM 	Authors' conclu- sion: "In ultrarapid metabolizers, one might consi- der administering higher doses to achieve equiva- lent sedative and antidepressive effects, but one encounters the dilemma that the cardiovascularly active R(-) enan- tiomer will not be equally ultrara- pidly eliminated."

waf dd aawdi	1		
ref. 11, conti-		- plasma concentrations of the desmethyl metabolites were lower than	
rof 12	1	A female national stopped taking veniatavine, amitrintuline and escitato	
Iohnson Met	1	nram due to side effects	
		The nation was found to be CVP2D6 $*1/*1$ and CVP2C10 $*2/*2$	
A poor metabo-		The patient's condition improved in the hospital after the dose was set	
lizer for cyto-	ΡΜ· ΑΑ	to mirtazapine 45 mg/day and hydroxyzine 50 mg/day. There was no	
chromes P450	1 101. 7 0 0	follow-up after discharge and plasma concentrations were not deter-	
2D6 and 2C19:		mined.	
a case report			
on antidepres-			
sant treatment.			
CNS Spectr			
2006;11:757-			
60.			
ref. 13	2	A 47-year-old male exhibited multiple side effects during treatment	
Stephan PL et		with clomipramine and quetiapine. The patient was found to be a PM	
al.		for CYP2D6 (*4/*6), NM for CYP2C19 and had a low CYP3A4/5	
Adverse drug		activity.	
reactions follo-		The patient was previously treated with mirtazapine (for 4 weeks,	
wing nonres-		maximum dose 60 mg/day). The patient exhibited no clinical response	
ponse in a	PM: AA	to mirtazapine, side effects that formed a reason to perform genoty-	
depressed		ping were only reported for clomipramine and quetiapine.	
patient with			
CYP2D6 defi-			
ciency and low			
CYP 3A4/5			
activity.			
Pharmacopsy-			
chiatry			
2006;39:150-2.	0		
Fet. 14 Kirobhoiner Let	3	A total of 25 healthy, male volunteers (12X NM (gene dose 2), 10X UM	
		(gene dose 5), 5% Fivi (gene dose 0)) received a single dose of mina-	
Impact of the		zapine 43 mg.	
CYP2D6 ultra-		LIM versus NM:	
ranid metabo-	IIM· A	- ALIC mirtazanine decreased from 1 13 to 0.9 mg hour/L (S by 20%)	ALIC mirtazanine
lizer genotype	0111.71	- Clor mirtazapine increased from 39.7 to 49.8 L/hour (S by 25%)	versus NM [.]
on mirtazapine			PM: 198%
pharmacokine-		PM versus NM:	UM: 80%
tics and adver-		- AUC mirtazapine increased from 1.13 to 2.24 mg.hour/L (S by 98%)	
se events in		- Clor mirtazapine decreased from 39.7 to 20.1 L/hour (S by 49%)	
healthy volun-	PM: B	- 10 hours after administration, the PMs were still experiencing dry	
teers.		mouth, i.e. a longer period of side effects	
J Clin Psycho-			
pharmacol		There was a significant correlation of the mirtazapine plasma concen-	
2004;24:647-		tration with reduced blood pressure, but not with heart rate or QT	
52.		interval. All volunteers experienced significant sedation.	
		The AUC of desmethylmirtazapine did not differ significantly between	
		PM, NM and UM.	
		Population pharmacokinetics analysis predicts that in carriers of 0, 1,	
		2 and 3 active CYP2D6 alleles, 0%, 25%, 39% and 55% of Clor mirta-	
		zapine is caused by CYP2D6.	
		NOTE: Constructing was performed for the alleles *2 *4 *5 and *0 and	
		for gone duplication	
rof 15	3	Diasma concentrations were determined on a weekly basis in 40	Authors' conclu
Grasmäder K of	3	riasma concentrations were determined on a weekly pasis in 49	AULITORS CONCIU-
al al		patients (23x 1919), 1X FIVI, 10X IVI and 1X UVI) UT ITILIAZAPITE. A lotal	"The variability of
Population		or zz patients received CTFZD0 substrates as co-medication, TU	mirtazanine nlae
pharmacokine-		A population pharmacokination model was constructed with the date	ma concentra-
tic analysis of		The PM and LIM had no abnormal plasma concentrations (22 and 26	tions in clinical
mirtazapine		ne rivi and Uvi nad no aphornal plasma concentrations (33 and 30	routine is caused

Eur J Clin Phar- macol 2004;60:473- 80. ref. 15, conti- nuation	IM: A	for the model construction. The resulting model predicts a 26.4% lower clearance for IMs than for NMs. The article did not contain any raw data. NOTE: Genotyping was performed for the alleles *2,*3, *4, *5, *6, *7, *8 and *9 and for gene duplication. In this study, the *9 allele was categorised with the null alleles.	to a relevant degree by CYP- 2D6. This should be taken into account when a special clinical situation, such as co-morbidity and add-on medica- tion, demands careful dosing of this drug."
ref. 16 Grasmäder K et al. Impact of poly- morphisms of cytochrome- P450 isoenzy- mes 2C9, 2C19 and 2D6 on plasma concen- trations and clinical effects of antidepres- sants in a natu- ralistic clinical setting. Eur J Clin Phar- macol 2004;60:329- 36.	3 PM: AA UM: AA	A total of 136 Caucasian patients on antidepressants, including 43 on mirtazapine (dose unknown) were genotyped. Of the 43 patients on mirtazapine, one was a PM and one was a UM, the other 41 were either IM or NM. Relevant co-medication was not excluded. The mean dose-corrected C _{ss} of mirtazapine was 0.82 ng/mL per mg of dosed doxepin. For the PM, the dose-corrected plasma concentration was 28% higher than the mean. The PM had no relevant side effects. For the UM, the dose-corrected plasma concentration was 4% higher than the mean. The UM did have relevant side effects.	Plasma concen- tration of mirtaza- pine versus NM (+ IM): PM: 128% UM: 104%
ref. 17 Murphy GM Jr et al. Pharmacoge- netics of antide- pressant medi- cation intole- rance. Am J Psychia- try 2003;160:1830- 5.	4 PM+IM: AA	 Out of a total of 246 elderly patients, 121 patients (94x NM#+UM, 27x PM+IM) in a mirtazapine versus paroxetine trial received mirtazapine (15 mg/day for 2 weeks, followed by 30 mg/day for 2 weeks, followed by 30 or 45 mg/day for 4 weeks.) PM+IM compared to NM#+UM: no significant difference in final dose (31.54 versus 31.22 mg/day) no significant difference in plasma concentration on day 28 (39.84 versus 40.55 ng/mL) slightly lower percentage of women (44.4 versus 52.1%) no difference in three depression scores lower score for the severity of side effects (NS; 38.36 versus 49.47) lower percentage of patients who stopped treatment due to side effects (NS; 7.4 versus 18.1%) Analysis of variance demonstrated no significant interaction between co-medication and effects of the CYP2D6 genotype on the severity of side effects. NM#: In this study, gene dose 1 – 0 was considered as an NM instead of as an IM. The same results were obtained if gene dose 1 – 0 was considered as an IM instead of an NM. 	Authors' conclu- sion: "CYP2D6 geno- type did not predict treatment outcome."

Risk group

-

Comments:

- The study of Shinozaki 2019 (Shinozaki M et al. 8-Hydroxylation and glucuronidation of mirtazapine in Japanese psychiatric patients: significance of the glucuronidation pathway of 8-hydroxy-mirtazapine. Pharmacopsychiatry 2019;52:237-44. PubMed PMID: 31158907) was not included in the risk analysis, because 94% of the patients in this study was also included in Hayashi 2015 and Shinozaki 2019 only contains association analyses, no concentration values per genotype/phenotype.

Date of literature search: 1 September 2022.

	Phenotype	Code	Gene-drug interaction	Action	Date
KNMP Pharmacogenetics	PM	4B	Yes	No	14 November 2022
Working Group decision	IM	4C	Yes	No	
	UM	4A	Yes	No	

_. notino Codo Com , drug inter A atia

Mechanism:

Mirtazapine is converted by CYP3A4 to the metabolite N-desmethylmirtazapine, which has a pharmacological activity that is 3-6% that of mirtazapine.

Mirtazapine is mainly converted by CYP2D6 and CYP1A2 to inactive hydroxy metabolites. This conversion primarily involves the S(+)-enantiomer.

Both enantiomers play a role in the side effect "sedation", only the R(-)-enantiomer plays a role in the effects on blood pressure and heart rate. The S(+)-enantiomer is probably more therapeutically effective than the R(-)-enantiomer.