

# CYP3A5: tacrolimus

## 2762/2763

AUC = area under the concentration-time curve,  $C_0$  = trough concentration, CI = confidence interval,  $CI_{or}$  = oral clearance, CYP3A5 non-expresser = no CYP3A5 enzyme activity (e.g. \*3/\*3 (the most common genotype)), eGFR = estimated glomerular filtration rate, heterozygous CYP3A5 expresser = moderate CYP3A5 enzyme activity (e.g. \*1/\*3), homozygous CYP3A5 expresser = high CYP3A5 enzyme activity (\*1/\*1), HR = hazard ratio, HR<sub>corr</sub> = corrected hazard ratio, NS = non-significant, OR = odds ratio, OR<sub>corr</sub> = corrected odds ratio, RR = relative risk, S = significant,  $t_{1/2}$  = half-life, TDM = therapeutic drug monitoring.

**Disclaimer**: The Pharmacogenetics Working Group of the KNMP formulates the optimal recommendations for each phenotype group based on the available evidence. If this optimal recommendation cannot be followed due to practical restrictions, e.g. therapeutic drug monitoring or a lower dose is not available, the health care professional should consider the next best option.

#### Brief summary and justification of choices:

CYP3A5 converts tacrolimus to inactive metabolites. The required dose of tacrolimus is therefore higher in heterozygous and homozygous CYP3A5 expressers than in non-expressers (Teng 2024, Lenain 2021, Prasad 2019, Fernando 2019, Liu 2017, Yaowakulpatana 2016, Pulk 2015, Wang 2015, Buendia 2014, Uesugi 2014, Satoh 2008, Fukudo 2008, Hesselink 2008, Kuypers 2007, Renders 2007, Mourad 2006, Cheung 2006, Uesugi 2006, Zhang 2005, Tada 2005, Macphee 2005, and Hesselink 2003).

As stringent therapeutic drug monitoring takes place, CYP3A5 expression only results in a concentration of tacrolimus that is too low during the first days of treatment (Shuker 2016, Thervet 2010, Hesselink 2008, Kuypers 2007, Roy 2006). There is insufficient evidence for a clinical effect of the low tacrolimus concentration in CYP3A5 expressers during these first few days (see below). As the aim is to achieve the target range of tacrolimus concentration as soon as possible, the decision was nevertheless taken to provide a recommendation to undertake action. An overview of the clinical and kinetic effects per genotype is provided in the background information text of the gene-drug interactions in the KNMP Kennisbank. You may also have access to this background text via your pharmacy or physician electronic decision support system. A substantiation of the (dose) recommendation is provided below.

#### Justification of recommendation

A study found no increase in graft failure for 289 heterozygous and 60 homozygous CYP3A5 expressers (Seibert 2018) and a meta-analysis of 2 studies with a total of 166 patients found no increase in graft failure within 1 year (Tang 2011).

A study found for 17 heterozygous + 3 homozygous CYP3A5 expressers a reduced incidence of renal function abnormalities in liver transplant patients (Fukudo 2008). Another study found a non-significantly increased incidence of tacrolimus-related nephrotoxicity in kidney transplant patients for 15 heterozygous expressers (Kuypers 2007). A meta-analysis found an increase in the risk of chronic nephrotoxicity in 4 studies involving a total of 664 patients (OR = 2.42), but not in 5 studies involving a total of 867 patients (Rojas 2015).

A study in kidney patients found an increase in the incidence of acute rejections for both homozygous and heterozygous expressers in the Black group ( $HR_{corr} = 39$  and 6.3, respectively) (246 patients, among whom 54 homozygous and 126 heterozygous expressers), but not in the much larger White group (1226 patients, among whom 6 homozygous and 163 heterozygous expressers) (Seibert 2018). The number of acute rejections in the Black group in this study was only 14.

Another study found no effect on the incidence of acute rejection episodes in kidney transplant patients, but did find a decrease of the average time until the first rejection episode for a total of 56 heterozygous + homozygous expressers (Macphee 2005). Of four meta-analyses of kidney transplant studies, the largest found an increase in rejection episodes in the period 30-60 months after transplantation (OR = 1.68) (3 studies with a total of 513 patients), but not in three earlier periods and in all periods (25 studies with a total of 3181 patients), and in addition in Asians (OR = 1.62) (10 studies with a total of 1298 patients), but not in Europeans (13 studies with a total of 1579 patients) and in all ethnicities (25 studies with a total of 3181 patients) (Khan 2020). The one but largest meta-analysis found an increase was only present in studies in which the diagnosis was based on clinical criteria and not in studies in which the diagnosis was based on clinical criteria and not in studies in which the diagnosis was based on clinical criteria and not in studies in the risk (10 studies with a total of 1246 patients) (Terrazzino 2012) and the smallest meta-analysis found an increase only

during the first month (2 studies with a total of 209 patients), but not over longer periods or over all periods (8 studies with a total of 772 patients) (Tang 2011). Therefore, there are some indications supporting an increased risk of acute rejection. However, as TDM for tacrolimus is not performed in the same way in all countries, there is no evidence that this also applies to the Netherlands, where the time to therapeutic tacrolimus starting dose was adjusted according to the genotype and a meta-analysis of five of these studies found no clinical effects of the genotype-guided dose adjustment (Yang 2021, Pallet 2016 and Thervet 2010, Shuker 2016, and Wang 2015), despite a higher incidence of the tacrolimus trough concentration within the therapeutic range in the meta-analysis (RR = 1.40) and a higher incidence of the studies (x 1.5-1.7 compared to the non-genotype-guided dose adjustment) (Yang 2021, and Pallet 2016).

Some studies indicate a longer time to therapeutic tacrolimus trough concentration for heterozygous and homozygous CYP3A5 expressers (Roy 2006 and Macphee 2005). However, with use of TDM as performed in the Netherlands, the time to therapeutic tacrolimus trough concentration is less than ten days (Shuker 2016 and Hesselink 2008).

A study in 1114 kidney transplant patients (among whom 174 heterozygous and 34 homozygous expressers), restricting the tacrolimus dose after 1 year to 0.10 mg/kg per day, also if this resulted in tacrolimus trough concentrations below the target range of 5-7 ng/mL, showed a reduced decrease in eGFR over time from 1 year after transplantation without a decrease in patient-graft survival or death censored graft survival for CYP3A5 expressers (Lenain 2021). However, there is no confirmation from another study and one study is not enough evidence to recommend a deviation from the normal practice of titrating the dose based on the tacrolimus trough concentration. Dose recommendations

For both heterozygous and homozygous expressers, there are studies listing the mean and median dose-corrected trough concentration or AUC compared to non-expressers. Therefore, two values were calculated from the literature: one based on the mean concentrations/AUCs and one based on the median concentrations/AUCs. For the median concentrations/AUCs, the weighted mean of the median concentrations from the individual studies was calculated.

Homozygous expresser	The dose adjustment calculated based on the studies using mean concentrations/AUCs (6 studies with a total of 91 homozygous expressers) is an increase up to 261% of the dose for non-expressers (208-418%, median 258%) (Teng 2024, Prasad 2019, Liu 2017, Renders 2007, Cheung 2006, and Zhang 2005). The dose adjustment calculated based on the studies using median concentrations/AUCs (4 studies with a total of 113 homozygous expressers) is an increase up to 252% of the dose for non-expressers (230-370%, median 265%) (Fernando 2019, Yaowakulpatana 2016, Pulk 2015, and Thervet 2010). As a precaution due to the toxicity of tacrolimus, the lowest value was maintained (252%) and this was rounded down to a percentage that can be used easily in practice, namely 250%.
	This corresponds well with the median dose-corrected tacrolimus trough concentration on day 3 being a factor 2.33 smaller in 9 homozygous expressers than in non-expres- sers in a Dutch study (Shuker 2016).
Heterozygous expresser	Thervet 2010 found that the standard dose of 0.2 mg/kg per day in non-expressers resulted in high initial concentrations (median 16.6 ng/ml on day 3) and that lowering the dose to 0.15 mg/kg improved the percentage of patients with a tacrolimus trough concentration in the range 10-15 ng/ml on day 3. However, in a similar sized Dutch study, Shuker 2016 found that the standard dose of 0.2 mg/kg per day in non-expressers resulted in therapeutic initial concentrations (median 14.5 ng/ml on day 3) and that lowering the dose to 0.15 mg/kg did not improve the percentage of patients with a tacrolimus trough concentration in the range 10-15 ng/ml on day 3. In addition, both author groups mention that an initial target concentration of 10-15 ng/ml is high according to current standards (Pallet 2016 and Shuker 2016). For this reason, the median tacrolimus trough concentration on day 3 in 5 Dutch homozygous expressers on a starting dose of 0.3 mg/kg per day (9.4 ng/ml) is added to the recommendation to give health care professionals an impression of the effect of this dose in homozygous expressers. The dose adjustment calculated based on the studies using mean concentrations/AUCs (7 studies with a total of 418 heterozygous expressers) is an increase up to 166% of the dose for non-expressers (129-246%, median 175%) (Teng 2024, Prasad 2019, Liu 2017, Kuypers 2007, Renders 2007, Cheung 2006, and Zhang 2005). The dose adjust-
	ment calculated based on the studies using median concentrations/AUCs (5 studies with a total of 792 heterozygous expressers) is an increase in the dose up to 160% of the dose for non-expressers (150-263%, median 155%) (Fernando 2019, Yaowakulpa-tana 2016, Pulk 2015, Thervet 2010, and Hesselink 2003). As a precaution due to the toxicity of tacrolimus, the lowest value was maintained (160%) and this was rounded down to a percentage that can be used easily in practice, namely 150%. This corresponds well with the median dose-corrected tacrolimus trough concentration

on day 3 being a factor 1.49 smaller in 56 heterozygous expressers than in non-expressers in a Dutch study (Shuker 2016).

Thervet 2010 found that the standard dose of 0.2 mg/kg per day in non-expressers resulted in high initial concentrations (median 16.6 ng/ml on day 3) and that lowering the dose to 0.15 mg/kg improved the percentage of patients with a tacrolimus trough concentration in the range 10-15 ng/ml on day 3. However, in a similar sized Dutch study, Shuker 2016 found that the standard dose of 0.2 mg/kg per day in non-expressers resulted in therapeutic initial concentrations (median 14.5 ng/ml on day 3) and that lowering the dose to 0.15 mg/kg did not improve the percentage of patients with a tacrolimus trough concentration in the range 10-15 ng/ml on day 3. In addition, both author groups mention that an initial target concentration of 10-15 ng/ml is high according to current standards (Pallet 2016 and Shuker 2016). For this reason, the median tacrolimus trough concentration on day 3 in 29 Dutch heterozygous expressers on a starting dose of 0.3 mg/kg per day (14.7 ng/ml) is added to the recommendation to give health care professionals an impression of the effect of this dose in heterozygous expressers.

In the case of liver transplants, the tacrolimus metabolism is determined by the genotypes of both the recipient and the donor (Wang 2015, Buendia 2014, Uesugi 2014, Fukudo 2008, and Uesugi 2006). There is insufficient evidence in the literature to support a dose recommendation if the genotypes of the recipient and the liver differ.

### Recommendation concerning pre-emptive genotyping, including justification of choices:

The KNMP Pharmacogenetics Working Group decided not to give a genotyping recommendation for tacrolimus, because evidence of a clinical effect of CYP3A5 expresser phenotypes in standard clinical practice is lacking. Because of this, indications for a positive effect of determining CYP3A5 phenotype and adjusting therapy according to this phenotype are lacking.

The table below follows the KNMP nomenclature for CYP3A5 phenotypes (non-expresser, heterozygous expresser and homozygous expresser). The nomenclature for CYP3A5 phenotypes used in the table below may therefore differ from the nomenclature used by the authors in the article.

Source	Code	Effect		Comments		
ref. 1 Teng H et al. HDL-C and creatinine levels at 1 month are associated with patient 12- month survival rate after kidney transplantation. Pharmacogenet Genomics 2024;34:33-42. PMID: 37906625.	4 *1/*3: A *1/*1: A	303 kidney transplant patients were treated with mycophenolate mofetil and methylpred rtrough concentrations were measured 7 day Relevant co-medication was excluded.Genotyping: - 162x *3/*3 - 135x *1/*3 - 12x *1/*1Results:Dose-corrected tacrolimus trough concentre (1.89 ng/ml per mg): *1/*3 x 0.70*1/*1 Multivariable regression analysis confirmed dent factor affecting the dose-corrected tac tration (OR = 2.89 (95% CI: 1.69-4.95) (S))Note: Genotyping was for *3. This is the most	hisolon. Tacrolimus s after transplantation. ation compared to *3/*3 S for *1/*1 versus *1/*3 versus *3/*3 4 *3/*3 to be an indepen- crolimus trough concen-	Author's conclu- sion: "This study found that CYP- 3A5 *3/*3 is associated with high tacrolimus concentration/ dose ratio." Dose-corrected trough concen- tration compared to *3/*3 at day 7: *1/*3: 70% *1/*1: 48%		
ref. 2 Lenain R et al. Impact of tacro- limus daily dose limitation in renal transplant recipients expressing CYP3A5: a retrospective study.	4	therapy combined with mycophenolate mofe after tapered) and corticosteroids (500 mg a then 20 mg/day until day 7; steroids were ste patients without immunological risk nor delay initial daily dose of tacrolimus was 0.15 mg/k adjusted to reach C <sub>0</sub> between 10 and 15 ng/k and 12 ng/mL within the first year, and later ng/mL with tacrolimus daily dose that should	Chinese population. 114 kidney transplant patients were treated with tacrolimus-based herapy combined with mycophenolate mofetil (initially 2 g/day, there- after tapered) and corticosteroids (500 mg at day 0, 250 mg at day 1, hen 20 mg/day until day 7; steroids were stopped at day 8 for batients without immunological risk nor delayed graft function). The initial daily dose of tacrolimus was 0.15 mg/kg. Then, the dose was adjusted to reach C <sub>0</sub> between 10 and 15 ng/mL the first 3 months, 8 and 12 ng/mL within the first year, and later in a range from 5 to 7 ng/mL with tacrolimus daily dose that should not exceed 0.10 mg/kg per day regardless of CYP3A5 genotype. Follow-up was for a median			

	1							
J Pers Med			al was defined as the time between trans ent among return to dialysis, pre-emptive		without any signi-			
2021;11:1002. PMID:		tion and the first events transplantation, and		ficant increase of biopsy-proven				
34683143.		censored graft survi	acute rejection					
			event among return to dialysis and pre-er		incidence. Our			
ref. 2, continu-			ath was right censored). During follow-up		study raised			
ation			vith a functioning graft and 118 (10.8%) r	eturned	some issues			
		to dialysis.			about specific therapeutic tacro-			
			e rejection was defined according to Ban	ff 2015	limus C <sub>0</sub> targets for CYP3A5*1/-			
			g follow-up 171 patients (15.4%) had bio		patients and sug-			
		proven acute rejecti	ion.		gests to set up			
			atient)-graft survival were determined us		randomized con-			
			nazard model. Characteristics known to b		trol studies in this			
			m survival were selected a priori to be ind າ if not significant (recipient and donor ac		specific popula- tion."			
			previous transplantation).	je, colu				
			estimated by Restricted Maximum Likel	ihood				
			re longitudinal changes in eGFR from 1	year post				
			ording to the CYP3A5 status.	therefore				
			pent in dialysis was higher for *1/*3+*1/*1					
			1 years) (S). Patients treated with chroni vith tacrolimus were excluded.	c uruys				
		Genotyping:						
		- 906x *3/*3						
		- 174x *1/*3 - 34x *1/*1						
		- 34X 1/ 1						
		Results:						
		Results for *1/*3+*	1/*1 compared to *3/*3:					
				value				
				for				
		patient-graft	trend for a lower HR <sub>corr</sub> (p-value =	*3/*3				
		survival	0.10) (NS)					
		death censored	NS					
		graft survival						
		eGFR one year	NS					
	*1/*3+	after transplanta-						
	*1/*1:	tion eGFR mean	- 2.57 mL/min/1.73m <sup>2</sup> per square root					
	AA#	decrease over	time unit (95% CI: -0.384.75) (S)					
		time from one						
		year after						
		transplantation						
		biopsy proven acute rejection	NS					
		daily dose at one	x 1.50 (S)	0.066				
		year after		mg/kg				
		transplantation						
		tacrolimus trough	x 0.81 (S)	5.72				
		concentration at	Due to the dose capping, for *1/*3+	ng/mL				
		five years after transplantation	*1/*1, the mean tacrolimus trough concentration was lower dan the					
			lower limit of the target range (5 ng/					
			mL). This was the case from approxi-					
			mately 3 years after transplantation.					
			At 5 years after transplantation, the					
			tacrolimus trough concentration was					
			below 5 ng/mL in 68% of *1/*3+*1/*1 and 30% of *3/*3.					
		dose-corrected	x 0.50 (S)	2.00				
			x 0.00 (0)	2.00				

ref. 2, continu-		tacrolimus trough			ng/mL	
ation		concentration			per	
					mg/kg	
		Note: Genotyping was for	r*3 ai	nd in patients without the *3/*	3-aeno-	
				are the most important alleles		
		French population.				
ref. 3	3			controlled trials involving a to		Authors' conclu-
Yang H et al. Clinical impact				\5 genotype-guided dosing w ley transplant patients. One c		sion: "Although the
of the adapta-		0 11 0 0		ansplant patients next to kidn		genotype-guided
tion of initial		transplant patients.			-	group had a
tacrolimus				ortion of patients within the ta		higher proportion
dosing to the CYP3A5 geno-				ection included all 5 trials and ely. The meta-analyses on d		of patients within the targeted
type after kidney				sored graft survival included		concentration
trans-plantation:		and a total of 598 patient	s.	-		and less median
systematic				a low risk of bias on all 7 item		time to achieve
review and meta-analysis of				fifth included trial had an unc on concealment (selection bia		the therapeutic range, the clinical
randomized		low risk on the other 6 ite		in conceament (selection bia	is) and a	endpoints, inclu-
controlled trials.				a-analysis, Shuker 2016 and	Thervet	ding delayed
Clin Pharmaco-		2010 were also included				graft function,
kinet 2021;60:877-85.				with a random-effects mode ween the studies and with a f		acute rejection, graft survival
PMID:	Genoty			significant heterogeneity. Th		censored for
33751414.	pe-gui-			d was chosen afterwards. The		death, and
	ded		as tran	sparent and the data extracti	on was	adverse effects
	versus	standardised.		accord by funnal plat only	Thia ia	were similar in both groups. All
	not geno-	insufficient.	was a	assessed by funnel plot only.	1115 15	in all, evidence
	type-					suggested there
	guided	Results:				was no utility
	dosing:	proportion of patients with		rersus not genotype-guided d RR = 1.40 (95% CI: 1.14-1.		in pharmaco- genetics for
	A	tacrolimus exposure wit		RR = 1.40 (95%  Cl.  1.14-1.	12) (3)	tacrolimus based
		the target range				on the cyto-
		incidence of delayed gra	aft	NS		chrome P450
		function	4:00	NO		(CYP) 3A5 geno- type."
		incidence of acute rejec incidence of death cens		NS NS		type.
		graft survival	orcu			
			it heter	rogeneity between the studies	s for all	
		four comparisons.				
				unnel plot did not show obvic indications for publication bi		
				antially after exclusion of indiv		
				ed for all comparisons, except		
		dence of delayed graft f				
				ent according to the GRADE s high quality for the proportion		
				sure within the target range a		
		moderate quality for the				
ref. 4	3			olving a total of 3181 kidney		Authors' conclu-
Khan AR et al.				studies with a total of 1579 pa		sion: "No significant
CYP3A5 gene polymorphisms				opulations and 10 studies with tions. Of the 25 studies, 4 stu		"No significant association was
and their impact				low-up period of 1-2 weeks, 8		found with renal
on dosage and		with a total of 1298 patier	nts a fo	ollow-up period of 1-3 months	s, 8	allograft rejection
trough concen-				ents a follow-up period of 12		episodes
tration of tacro- limus among		months.	01513	3 patients a follow-up period of	U0-00 IC	between expres- sers and nonex-
kidney trans-			d in th	e meta-analysis, Hesselink 2	003	pressers in Euro-

plant patients: a				pers 2007, and Hesselink 2008 were	pean popula-
systematic		also included separa			tions. Interes-
review and		Quality of the include			tingly, Asian
meta-analysis.				not preregistered. Meta-analyses	population (with
Pharmacoge- nomics J				effects model in case of significant dies and with a fixed-effects model in	expresser geno- types) and
2020;20:553-62.				ogeneity. This indicates that the	patients after 3
PMID:				afterwards. The search and selection	years post-trans-
31902947.				ne data extraction was standardised.	plantation (with
				assessed by Egger's and Begg's tests,	expresser geno-
ref. 4, continu- ation		but results were only	/ mentioned	d for the different follow-up categories.	types) have a higher risk of
		Results:			rejection."
				/*3+*1/*1 compared to *3/*3::	
		all ethnicities and for	ollow-up	NS	
		periods			
		1-2 weeks of follow		NS	
	*1/*3+	1-3 months of follow		NS	
	*1/*1: E	12 months of follow		NS	
		36-60 months of fo	llow-up	OR = 1.68 (95% CI: 1.01-2.79) (S)	
		European studies		NS	
		Asian studies		OR = 1.62 (95% CI: 1.16-2.24) (S)	
				ere was no significant heterogeneity	
		between the studie			
	4			nere was no publication bias.	
<b>ref. 5</b> Prasad N et al.	4			were treated with tacrolimus combined	Author's conclu- sion:
Melding phar-				d steroid. Tacrolimus trough concen- num of 3 times (3, 7, and 11 days	"Among CYP3A5
macogenomic		after transplantation		num of 5 times (5, 7, and 11 days	genotypic vari-
effect of MDR1		Relevant co-medicat		cluded.	ants, the dose-
and CYP3A5					adjusted tacroli-
gene polymor-		Genotyping:			mus level was
phism on tacro-		- 123x *3/*3			significantly
limus dosing in		- 94x *1/*3			lower in CYP3A5
renal transplant		- 31x *1/*1			*1*1 (expressor)
recipients in		Desulter			than that of CYP-
Northern India. Kidney Int Rep		Results:	rolimuo tro	ugh concentration compared to *2/*2	3A5*1*3 and CYP3A5*3*3."
2019;5:28-38.		(141.9 ng/ml per m		ugh concentration compared to *3/*3	CTF3A3 3 3.
PMID:	*1/*3: A	*1/*3	x 0.77 (S)	)	Dose-corrected
31922058.	*1/*1: A	*1/*1	x 0.39 (S		trough concen-
	-	., .	x 0.00 (0)	/	tration compared
		Note: Genotyping wa	as for *3. Tl	his is the most important allele in this	to *3/*3 at day 3,
		northern Indian popu		·	7, and 11:
					*1/*3: 77%
not C	0	Thus and the first		t patients were treated with genotype-	*1/*1: 39%
ref. 6	3		Author's conclu-		
Largeau B et al. Comparison of				bined with corticosteroids and myco- olic acid for 3 months. In the first	sion: "Our results con-
tacrolimus star-				ing daily dose was 0.15 mg/kg for non-	firm that selec-
ting doses		expressers and 0.30	ting tacrolimus		
based on CYP-		107 patients, the sta	dosing regimen		
3A5 phenotype		sers, 0.20 mg/kg for	according to the		
or genotype in		homozygous expres	expected pheno-		
kidney trans-		gifts. Tacrolimus dos	type is appro-		
plant recipients.		trough concentration	priate, but that		
Prog Transplant				very 48 hours post-transplantation	lower than cur-
2019;29:300-8.				cophenolate mofetil/mycophenolic	rently recom-
PubMed PMID:				apered. Induction therapy (basiliximab	mended doses
31514576.				chosen depending on the immunolo-	may be prefera-
		gical risk, especially		tization. ned as the need for dialysis therapy	ble."
				eek. Patients with pre-emptive trans-	
	1	within the first posto	peralive we	ek. Fauerits with pre-emptive trans-	

ref. 6, continu- ation	plantation (i.e. not needing dialysis at the time of transplantation) were excluded from delayed graft function analysis. The time required to reach the target trough concentration range was calcu- lated based on the first tacrolimus trough concentration in the target range.						
	Relevant co-medication was not	excluded.					
	Genotyping: 0.15 and 0.3 mg/kg group: - 77x *3/*3 - 20x *1/*3 - 3x *1/*1	0.1, 0.2 - 90x *3 - 14x *1 - 3x *1/	/*3	group:			
	Results:						
	Results compared to *3/*3 in the						
		*1/*1	*1/*3	value for *3/*3			
	recommended tacrolimus	x 2	x 2	0.15			
	starting daily dose			mg/kg			
	median actual tacrolimus starting daily dose	x 1.4	x 0.86	0.14 mg/kg			
	median tacrolimus daily dose	x 2.8	x 2.0	0.10			
	at day 10	× 0.0		mg/kg			
	median tacrolimus daily dose at discharge	x 2.6	x 1.6	0.10 mg/kg			
	% of patients with tacrolimus	x 0	x 0.39	63.6%			
	overexposure $(12 < C_0 < 20)$		*1 versus *1/*3				
	ng/ml) % of patients with tacrolimus	versus *3 NS for *1	3/*3 I/*1 versus	20.8%			
	toxic $C_0$ (> 20 ng/ml)	*1/*3 ver	rsus *3/*3, and 8+*1/*1) versus	20.070			
	% of patients with delayed graft function	*1/*3 ver	I/*1 versus sus *3/*3, and 3+*1/*1) versus	23.2%			
	% of patients with acute rejec- tion	NS for *1 *1/*3 ver	I/*1 versus sus *3/*3, and 3+*1/*1) versus	7.8%			
	median time until target tacrolimus $C_0$	trend for value for 0.078) (N		3.0 days			
	median number of dose modi- fications until target C <sub>0</sub>		I/*1 versus sus *3/*3	4.0			
	Results compared to *3/*3 in the						
		*1/*1	*1/*3	value for *3/*3			
	recommended tacrolimus	x 3	x 2	0.10			
	starting daily dose	× 0.4		mg/kg			
	median actual tacrolimus starting daily dose	x 3.1	x 2.2	0.09 mg/kg			
	median tacrolimus daily dose	x 2.0	x 2.2	0.10			
	at day 10			mg/kg			
	median tacrolimus daily dose at discharge	x 1.8	x 2.0	0.10 mg/kg			
	% of patients with tacrolimus	NS for *1	I/*1 versus	40.0%			
	overexposure (12 < C <sub>0</sub> < 20 ng/ml)	*1/*3 ver	sus *3/*3				
	% of patients with tacrolimus toxic $C_0$ (> 20 ng/ml)	x 5.0	x 0	13.3%			

			•		
ref. 6, continu-			S for *1/*1 versus *1	/*3	
ation			versus *3/*3	07.00/	
		% of patients with delayed	NS for *1/*1 versus	27.2%	
		graft function % of patients with acute rejec-	*1/*3 versus *3/*3 NS for *1/*1 versus	8.9%	-
		tion	*1/*3 versus *3/*3	0.370	
		median first tacrolimus C <sub>0</sub>	x 2.4 x 0.75	7.9	
			S for *1/*1 versus *1		
			versus *3/*3	5	
		median time until target tacro-	NS for *1/*1 versus	3.0 days	
		limus C0	*1/*3 versus *3/*3		
		median number of dose modi-	NS for *1/*1 versus	5.0	
	Genoty	fications until target C <sub>0</sub>	*1/*3 versus *3/*3		
	pe-			11.1.045	-1
	guided	Results for the 0.1, 0.2 and 0.3	mg/kg group compare	ed to the 0.15	
	thera-	and 0.3 mg/kg group:		value for the	-1
	py: 0.1,			0.15 and 0.3	
	0.2 and 0.3 mg/			mg/kg group	
	kg com-	% of patients with tacrolimus	x 0.71 (S)	54.0%	
	pared to	overexposure ( $12 < C_0 < 20$			
	0.15	ng/ml)			
	and 0.3	% of patients with tacrolimus	NS	20.0%	
	mg/kg:	toxic $C_0$ (> 20 ng/ml)			
	А	% of patients with tacrolimus	x 0.69 (S)	74.0%	
		overexposure or toxic $C_0$ (>			
1		12 ng/ml)			
		median time until target	NS		
		tacrolimus C0 median number of dose	NS		-1
		modifications until target C <sub>0</sub>	NO NO		
		a starting dose of 0.10 (non-expi per day could be more appropria ones of 0.15 and 0.30 mg/kg per number of CYP3A5*1/*1 patients definitive conclusions. Note: The median recipient age patients (67 and 70 years) than f ging from 49 to 59 years). This w 0.3 mg/kg group where the minir 64 years versus 39 years for the mg/kg group and ranging from 15 types. Note: Genotyping was for *3. Thi French population.	te than the currently r day. They recognize was clearly too low to was numerically higher for the *1/*3 and *3/*3 vas especially true in t num age for the *1/*1 *1/*1 patients in the 0 8 to 41 years for the o	ecommended that the o draw any er for the *1/*1 patients (ran- he 0.1, 0.2 and patients was 0.15 and 0.3 ther geno-	
ref. 7	4	101 kidney transplant patients w			d Author's conclu-
Fernando ME et		with mycophenolate mofetil and			sion:
al.		azathioprine and corticosteroids			"Median concen-
Influence of CYP3A5 and		tration was measured in the 6 <sup>th</sup> n target whole blood trough concer			tration/dose ratio was significantly
ABCB1 poly-		first 6 months.	nualion was o-10 ng/f	in during the	lower in homozy-
morphism on		Relevant co-medication was exc	luded.		gous and hetero-
tacrolimus drug					zygous expres-
dosing in South		Genotyping:			ser group when
Indian renal		- 41x *3/*3			compared with
allograft reci-		- 48x *1/*3			nonexpresser
pients.		- 12x *1/*1			group."
Indian J Nephrol					
2019;29:261-6.		Results:			Median dose-

		Madlander		a analias se	a a magniture the s		oorrooted to and
PubMed PMID: 31423060.		Median dose-	ompared	corrected trough concentration			
31423060.	*1/*3: A	to *3/*3 (181.3 *1/*3	compared to				
ref. 7, continu-	*1/*1: A	*1/*1	x 0.38 x 0.27		S for *1/*1 ve versus *3/*3	isus 1/3	*3/*3 in month 6:
ation	.,,	1/ 1	X 0.27		Versus 5/ 5		*1/*3: 38%
		Note: Genotypi	*1/*1: 27%				
		South Indian po					
ref. 8	3			kidney transpla	nt patients were	treated	Author's conclu-
Seibert SR et al.					vith mycophenola		sion:
Tacrolimus					d therapy differed		"In our African
trough and dose					eived cyclosporir		American cohort,
intra-patient					and the initial c		each CYP3A5
variability and					crolimus dose w		loss-of-function
CYP3A5 geno- type: effects on					e that differed be ng/ml in months		allele was signi- ficantly associa-
acute rejection					oncentration was		ted with a reduc-
and graft failure					a month in mon		tion in the risk of
in European					ab or antithymod		acute rejection.
American and				g on the immund			CYP3A5 loss-
African Ameri-					ven or clinically t		of-function alleles
can kidney					ng within the first		were not asso-
transplant reci-					defined as a con		ciated with the
pients. Clin Transplant					n, or death with the ratio of the s		risk for graft fai- lure. Determining
2018;32:					calculated for ea		CYP3A5 loss-of-
e13424.					trations and corr		function status
PubMed PMID:				6 months post-tr			may be important
30318646.		Relevant co-me	edication w	as not excluded,	, but results were	e controlled	for rejection risk
		for corticostero					assessment and
					ed with acute re		individualized
					or acute rejection		management of
					. Coefficients of me post-transpla		dosing in the early post-trans-
		were controlled				ai it.	plant course, but
		Genotyping:					less important for
		White:		Black:			long term outco-
		- 1057x non-e	xpressers	- 66x	non-expressers		mes."
		- 163x heteroz	zygous exp		heterozygous e	xpressers	
		- 6x homozyge	ous expres	sers - 54x	homozygous exp	ressers	
		Results:					
		Results compa					
			ethnicity	homozygous	heterozygous	value for	
				expresser	expresser	non-ex- presser	
	hom.	acute rejec-	White	NS	NS	7.1%	
	expr.: E	tion	Black	$HR_{corr} = 39$	$HR_{corr} = 6.3$	3.3%	
	het.			(95% CI: 4.2-	(95% CI: 2.0-		
	expr.: E			400) (S)	20) (S)		
				The number of	•		
				tions in the Bla	ack group was		
			14/1-16	only 14.		40.70/	
		graft failure	White	NS NS	NS NS	12.7% 19.7%	
		coefficient of	Black White	- 5.14 (95%	- 2.57 (95%	19.7%	
		variation of	vvinte	CI: 2.62-	CI: 1.31-	17.0170	
		tacrolimus		7.68) (S)	3.84) (S)		
		dose	Black	NS	NS	12.19%	
		coefficient of	White	+ 3.64 (95%	+ 1.82 (95%	24.94%	
		variation of		CI: 1.14 -	CI: 0.57 -		
		tacrolimus		6.12) (S)	3.06) (S)		
		trough con-	Black	trend for an	trend for an	28.40%	
		centration		increase (p =	increase (p =		

ref. 8, continu-			0.07)	(NS)	0.07) (NS)		
ation		Note: Genoty	ping was for *3, *6 a	and *7. Th	nese are the r	nost impor-	
			this population from				
ref. 9 Liu F et al. Long-term influ- ence of CYP- 3A5, CYP3A4, ABCB1, and NR112 poly- morphisms on tacrolimus concentration in Chinese renal transplant reci-	4	with mycophe corrected tack patients (88%	ansplant patients we enolate mofetil and o rolimus trough conc o of *3/*3, 97% of *1 n with CYP3A induc	corticoste entration /*3 and a	roids. At day was available Il *1/*1).	7, dose- e for 92% of	Author's conclu- sion: "Genotyping of the CYP3A4 and CYP3A5 genes should be consi- dered with res- pect to determi- ning tacrolimus dose regimens during the post- transplantation
pients.			ot bodyweight-corre	ected tacr	olimus trough	o concentra-	period."
Genet Test Mol		tion compare	ed to *3/*3:				
Biomarkers 2017;21:663-73. PubMed PMID:		time post- transplan- tation	*1/*1	*1/*3		value for *3/*3	Dose-, but not bodyweight- corrected trough
28945481.	*4/*4. ^	7 days	x 0.39	x 0.49		2.26 ng/ml	concentration at
	*1/*1: A *1/*3: A		S for *1/*1 versus and for (*1/*3+*1/*			per mg	day 7 compared to *3/*3:
		15 days	x 0.45	x 0.55		2.32 ng/ml	*1/*3: 49%
			S for *1/*1 versus and for (*1/*3+*1/*	1) versus	s *3/*3	per mg	*1/*1: 39%
		1 month	x 0.46 S for *1/*1 versus and for (*1/*3+*1/ <sup>;</sup>		sus *3/*3,	2.41 ng/ml per mg	
		3 months	x 0.51	x 0.60		2.61 ng/ml	
			S for *1/*1 versus and for (*1/*3+*1/*			per mg	
		6 months	x 0.51	x 0.60		2.74 ng/ml	
			S for *1/*1 versus and for (*1/*3+*1/*			per mg	
		1 year	x 0.54 S for *1/*1 versus and for (*1/*3+*1/ <sup>;</sup>		sus *3/*3,	2.73 ng/ml per mg	
		2 years	x 0.47	x 0.56		3.10 ng/ml	
			S for *1/*1 versus and for (*1/*3+*1/*			per mg	
		3 years	x 0.52 S for *1/*1 versus	x 0.56		2.88 ng/ml	
			and for (*1/*3+*1/		,	per mg	
		5 years	x 0.64	x 0.77		2.46 ng/ml	
			NS for *1/*1 versu and for (*1/*3+*1/	1) versus	s *3/*3	per mg	
		independent tration at 7 c explaining 3	e linear regression s t predictor of dose-o lays, 3 months and .0-5.4% of variabilit	tation,			
		trough conce	ansplantation affect entration within CYI us trough concentra	P3A5 gen	otypes (S). De	ose-correc-	
		Chinese popu			-		
<b>ref. 10</b> Pallet N et al. Long-term clini-	4	were analyse available for 2	nical outcomes (mo d for the patients in 212 patients (90% c	Thervet 2 f the origi	2010. Long-te inal group), 10	rm data were	Author's conclu- sion: "We conclude
cal impact of		control group	and 108 in the gen	otype-gui	ded group.		that optimization

adaptation of					of initial tacroli-
initial tacroli-		Genotyping:			mus dose using
mus dosing to		control group:	genotype-guided	group:	pharmacogenetic
CYP3A5 geno-		- 83x *3/*3	- 82x *3/*3		testing does not
type.		- 15x *1/*3	- 22x *1/*3		improve clinical
Am J Trans-		- 6x *1/*1	- 4x *1/*1		outcomes."
plant					
2016;16:2670-5.		Results:			
PubMed PMID:		Results for the genotype-guide	d group compared to	the control	
26990694.		group:	5 1 1		
		× ·		value for the	
ref. 10, conti-				control group	
nuation		graft survival	NS	73.0% after	
				100 months	
		graft survival in surviving	NS	78.6% after	
		patients		100 months	
		biopsy proven acute rejection	NS	25.7% over	
				100 months	
		death	NS	6%	
		cancer	NS	20%	
		infection	NS	55%	11
		cardiovascular events	NS	17%	11
		de novo donor-specific anti-	NS	15%	
		bodies			
		Last follow-up visit:			
		time after transplantation	NS	80 months	
		weight	NS	84 kg	
	Genoty	systolic blood pressure	NS	136 mmHg	
	pe-gui-	diastolic blood pressure	NS	79 mmHg	
	ded	dose-corrected tacrolimus	NS	1.5 ng/ml per	
	versus	trough concentration		mg	
	not-ge-	serum creatinine	NS	172 µmol/L	
	notype-	haemoglobin A1c	trend for an in-	5.7%	
	guided		crease ( $p = 0.06$ )		
	thera-		(NS)		
	py:	proteinuria	NS	0.7 g/L	
	A	tacrolimus trough concentra-	x 1.68 (S)	25%	
		tion within the target range			
		(10-15 ng/ml) after the first 3			
		days of tacrolimus treatment			
				•	
		Note: The authors indicate that t	he patient group and	transplantation	
		protocol in this study may not be	representative of the	e usual kidney	
		transplant patients and protocols	. Patients included ir	n the study were	
		highly selected with no expanded			
		immunological risk. Even if the ir			
		standard (corticosteroids, mycop			
		the target tacrolimus trough cond	centrations were high	er than those	
		currently recommended, albeit th	nat the optimal trough	n concentration	
		ranges for preventing rejection a			
		patients received induction with	hymoglobulin and high	gh mycophe-	
		nolate dosages, despite a low im			
		of the introduction of tacrolimus)			
		rejection rate (<10%) and the ve			
		survival in the whole cohort (90%	δ at 5 years, 80% at ι	nearly 10 years).	
		Note: The authors indicate that,			
		loss in this follow-up study, a larg			
		required to detect a small effect		guided	
		tacrolimus dosing on graft surviv	aı.		
		Note: Conchroing was for *2. This	ic ic the meet impert	nt allala in this	
		Note: Genotyping was for *3. This	is is the most importa	and allele in this	
	1	French population.			

ref. 11 Shuker N et al. A randomized controlled trial comparing the efficacy of Cyp- 3a5 genotype- based with body-weight- based tacroli- mus dosing after living donor kidney transplantation. Am J Trans- plant 2016;16:2085- 96. PubMed PMID: 26714287.	4	237 kidney transplant patients with corticosteroids and myco received an initial dose of 0.1 patients received an initial dos genotype: 0.075 mg/kg every every 12 hours for *1/*3 and * at day 3 was available for 104 and 99 patients in the control guided and 115 patients in the follow-up period. Tacrolimus of concentration range of 10-15 weeks 3 and 4, and 5-10 ng/m Tacrolimus trough concentrat weekly during hospitalisation patients received basiliximab trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazol patients being either seroposi kidney from a cytomegaloviru with valganciclovir for 6 month before transplantation. Delayed graft function was de within the first postoperative v Immunosuppressive drug treat tation (except glucocorticoids) interact with tacrolimus at the A study population of 196 pat was calculated to provide a st rence between the two groups target tacrolimus trough conce assuming a 40% incidence in increase of this value in the g Genotyping: control group: - 88x *3/*3 - 27x *1/*3 - 4x *1/*1	phenolate mg/kg ev se that wa 12 hours 1/*1. Taci patients group. 11 e control g dose was ng/ml in v nl after wa induction e prophyl tive for cy s-positive ns. 43% o offined as t veek. atment wit ) and/or u time of tra- ients (98 p atistical p s in the pr entration of the stand enotype-g gel - 8 - 2	e mofetil. 119 patie ery 12 hours. The as modified accord for *3/*3 and 0.15 rolimus trough cor in the genotype-g 6 patients in the g group completed t adjusted to achiev veeks 1 and 2, 8-7 eek 4 post-transpla butinely measured ery outpatient clin therapy and all re axis for at least 3 tomegalovirus or donor received p f patients was not he need for dialys hin 28 days prior sage of any drugs ansplantation wer patients per treatm ower of 80% to de oportion of patien on day 3 after tran lard dosing group	ents other 118 ding to the mg/kg ncentration uided group genotype- he 3-month ve a trough 12 ng/ml in antation. I three times ic visit. All ceived months. All receiving a rophylaxis on dialysis is therapy to transplan- 6 known to e excluded. nent arm) etect a diffe- ts within the isplantation, and a 20% up.	Author's conclu- sion: "Pharmacogene- tic adaptation of the tacrolimus starting dose does not increa- se the number of patients having therapeutic tacro- limus exposure early after trans- plantation and does not lead to improved clinical outcome in a low immunological risk population."
		Results: Results for the genotype-gui group:	ded group	compared to the	control	
					value for	•
					the control	
		% of patients with tacroli-	all	NS	group 37.4%	•
		mus trough concentration	*3/*3	NS	36.8%	
		within the target range (10- 15 ng/ml) on day 3	*1/*3+ *1/*1	NS	39.1%	
	Genoty pe-gui-	% of patients with subthe- rapeutic tacrolimus trough concentration (< 10 ng/ml)	all	trend for an increase (p = 0.10) (NS)	23.2%	
	ded	on day 3	*3/*3	x 2.42 (S)	15.8%	
	versus		*1/*3+	NS	47.8%	1
	not-ge- notype-		*1/*1			
	guided	% of patients with supra- therapeutic tacrolimus	all	NS	39.4%	
	thera-	trough concentration (> 15	*3/*3 *1/*3+	x 0.50 (S) x 3.57 (S)	47.4% 13.0%	4
	py:	ng/ml) on day 3	*1/*1	× 3.37 (3)	13.0 /0	
	all: A *3/*3: A	median tacrolimus trough	all	x 0.87 (S)	13.3 ng/ml	]
	*1/*3: A	concentration on day 3	*3/*3	x 0.78 (S)	14.5 ng/ml	
	*1/*1:		*1/*3	x 1.41 (S)	10.4 ng/ml	
	AA	median of the average toorg	*1/*1 limus	NS x 0.98 (S)	6.8 ng/ml	
		median of the average tacro	IIIIUS	x 0.98 (S)	13.1 ng/ml	

				1	
ref. 11, conti-		trough concentration in week 1	a a a ( <b>a</b> )		_
nuation		median of the average tacrolimus	x 0.93 (S)	12.5 ng/ml	
		trough concentration in week 2			_
		median time to target tacrolimus	NS	6 days	
		trough concentration			-
		mean number of dose modifications	NS	1.31	
		to reach target tacrolimus trough			
		concentration			-
		% of patients with marked subthera-	NS	10.3%	
		peutic tacrolimus trough concentra-			
		tion (< 5 ng/ml) in month 1			
		% of patients with marked suprathe-	NS	32.5%	
		rapeutic tacrolimus trough concen-			
		tration (> 20 ng/ml) in month 1			_
		total number of adverse events	NS	728	
		total number of serious adverse	NS	148	
		events			
		graft survival (including death with a	NS	96.6%	
		functioning transplant)			
		graft survival in surviving patients	NS	97.5%	
		biopsy proven acute rejection	NS	10.1%	Π
		presumed acute rejection	NS	4.2%	Π
		delayed graft function	NS	4.2%	П
		median eGFR at 3 months after	NS	47 ml/min	Н
		transplantation		per 1.73 m <sup>2</sup>	
		each of the other adverse events,	NS		-
		including post-transplant diabetes			
		mellitus, acute tacrolimus-associa-			
		ted nephrotoxicity, and neurotoxicity			
		ted hephiotoxicity, and hedrotoxicity			
		of early tacrolimus exposure, whereas study. However, in contrast to their study started directly after transplantation, the ment was delayed until day 7 after tran The authors postulate that, possibly, the tacrolimus concentrations in the few first was higher than the variability after a w Note: There was a marked numerical in tacrolimus trough concentration in *3/*3 day 30 to day 90. On day 3, the median dose-corrected t for *1/*3 and *1/*1 was 67% and 43% t Note: Genotyping was for *3. This is the Dutch population.	dy, in which tacrol e initiation of tacro splantation in the e between-patien st days after trans reek. horrease in dose-co 3, but not in *1/*3- acrolimus trough of hat for *3/*3, resp e most important a	imus was blimus treat- French trial. t variability in plantation orrected -*1/*1 from concentration ectively. allele in this	
ref. 12	4	151 kidney transplant patients were tre			Author's conclu-
De Meyer M et		limus (tacrolimus once daily, Advagraf)			sion:
al.		and mycophenolate mofetil. Follow-up			"On day 3, medi-
Pharmacoge-		received a single dose of tacrolimus or			an C <sub>min</sub> fell within
netic-based		transplantation. After transplantation, *:			the therapeutic
strategy using		an initial daily dose of 0.2 mg/kg (n = 6			range in all study
de novo tacro-		0.25 mg/kg (n = 62). *1/*3 patients rece			groups. CYP3A5
limus once daily		mg/kg, and *1/*1 patients an initial daily			expressors requi-
after kidney		Tacrolimus dose was adjusted (every t			re significantly
transplantation:		achieve a trough concentration range of			higher tacrolimus
prospective pilot		12 ng/ml from day 22 to day 90, and 5-			once daily
study.		of living donor kidneys (23% of patients			throughout the
Pharmacoge-		tion therapy. The rejection rate during t	ne mst year atter	uanspianta-	follow-up period
nomics 2016;17:1019-		tion was low in this study (6.6%).	he need for dialise	in thereasy	to achieve a comparable
	1	Delayed graft function was defined as t	The theed for dialys	ss แต่สมง	comparable

27. PubMed PMID:		within the first				avoluded	_
7266721.		Drugs potenti	any meract	mg with tacro	minus were	excluded.	
		Genotyping:					
f. 12, conti-		- 128x *3/*3					
nuation		- 16x *1/*3 - 7x *1/*1					
		- /X  /					
		Results:					
				/*3 on registe	ered initial d	aily tacrolimu	us dose
		(0.2 mg/kg):		*1/*1 on	*1/*3 on	*3/*3 on	value
				0.35 mg/	0.3 mg/	0.25 mg/	for
				kg	kg	kg	*3/*3
							on 0.2
		0/ of	day 2		NC	NC	mg/kg
		% of patients	day 3	NS	NS	NS	about 38%
		with tacro- limus C <sub>0</sub>	day 6	NS	NS	NS	about 48%
		within the	Note: sign	ificance coul	d not be det	termined for	
		target	and *1/*3	due to the lov	w number o	f patients. O	n day 3,
		range (10-		atients with t			
		15 ng/ml)		s numerically e value for *1/			
				e numerically			
				n 0.2 mg/kg.			
		% of	day 3	NS	NS	NS	about
		patients with sub-	day 6	NS	NS	NS	13% about
		therapeu-	uay u			NO	25%
		tic tacroli-		ificance coul			*1/*1
		mus C₀ (<		due to the lov			
		10 ng/ml)	C <sub>0</sub> was nu	% of patients			
		% of	29% to ab day 3	NS	NS	NS	about
		patients	uay 5			NO	46%
		with supra-	day 6	NS	NS	NS	about
		therapeutic	_				25%
		tacrolimus	Note: significance could not be determined for *1/*1				
		C₀ (> 15 ng/ml)	and *1/*3 due to the low number of patients. On day 3 and 6, the % of patients with subtherapeutic tacrolimus				
		<i>g</i> /)		imerically low			
					0%, respectiv		,
		median	day 3		*1 versus *1		14.1
		tacrolimus C <sub>0</sub>				ersus *3/*3	ng/ml
		$C_0$	day 6	on 0.2 mg x 0.72	x 0.94	x 1.09	12.8
			uay u	(NS)	(NS)	(NS)	ng/ml
					versus *1/*		Ŭ
	-					ersus *3/*3	
	Genoty			on 0.2 mg			
	pe-gui- ded				for *1/*1 wa	as below	
	thera-		day 14	the target x 0.74	x 0.91	x 0.98	12.5
	py:			(S)	(NS)	(NS)	ng/ml
	*1/*1: A				versus *1/		
	*1/*3: A			*3/*3 on 0	.25 mg/kg v	ersus *3/*3	
				on 0.2 mg			
					for *1/*1 wa	as below	
			month 1	the target x 0.96	range. x 0.86	x 0.99	12.7
		1		1 0.00	1 0.00	1 1 0.00	1 12.1

ref. 12, continuation       (NS)       (S)       (NS)       ng/ml         S for *1/*1 versus *1/*3 versus       *3/*3 on 0.25 mg/kg versus *3/*3 on 0.2 mg/kg       ng/ml         Only the value for *1/*3 was not above the target range.       0nly the value for *1/*3 versus       8.8         month 3       NS for *1/*1 versus *1/*3 versus       8.8         *3/*3 on 0.25 mg/kg versus *3/*3       ng/ml         month 3       NS for *1/*1 versus *1/*3 versus       8.8         *3/*3 on 0.25 mg/kg versus *3/*3       ng/ml         month 6       x 1.14       x 1.13       x 1.11         (NS)       (NS)       (S)       ng/ml         s for *1/*1 versus *1/*3 versus       *3/*3 on 0.25 mg/kg versus *3/*3       ng/ml         month 12       NS for *1/*1 versus *1/*3 versus       *3/*3 on 0.25 mg/kg versus *3/*3       6.6         month 12       NS for *1/*1 versus *1/*3 versus       6.6       ng/ml         month 12       NS for *1/*1 versus *1/*3 versus       6.6       ng/ml         month 12       NS for *1/*1 versus *1/*3 versus       6.6       ng/ml         moltaily tacro-limus dose       (S)       (S)       (S)       mg/kg         *3/*3 on 0.25 mg/kg versus *3/*3       0.20       mg/kg       mg/kg	
median daily tacro-limus dose       day 1       x 1.75       x 1.50       x 1.25       0.20       mg/kg         median daily tacro-limus dose       0       x 1.75       x 1.50       x 1.25       0.20         median daily tacro-limus dose       0       x 1.75       x 1.50       x 1.25       0.20         median daily tacro-limus dose       0       x 1.75       x 1.50       x 1.25       0.20         median daily tacro-limus dose       0       x 1.75       x 1.50       x 1.25       0.20         median day 1       x 1.75       x 1.75       x 1.50       x 1.25       0.20	
in on 0.2 mg/kg         in on 0.2 mg/kg           Only the value for *1/*3 was not above the target range.         in on 0.2 mg/kg versus *1/*3 versus *1/*3 versus *3/*3 in g/ml           in month 3         NS for *1/*1 versus *1/*3 versus *3/*3 in 0.25 mg/kg versus *3/*3 in 0.25 mg/kg versus *3/*3 in 0.2 mg/kg         in g/ml           in month 6         x 1.14         x 1.13         x 1.11         7.0 mg/ml           in month 6         x 1.14         x 1.13         x 1.11         7.0 mg/ml           in 0.2 mg/kg         in 0.2 mg/kg         in 0.2 mg/kg         in 0.2 mg/kg           in 0.2 mg/kg         month 12         NS for *1/*1 versus *1/*3 versus *3/*3 in 0.25 mg/kg         in 0.2 mg/kg           in median day 1         x 1.75         x 1.50         x 1.25         0.20 mg/kg           in median daily tacrolimus dose         is for *1/*1 versus *1/*3 versus         is for *1/*1 versus *1/*3 versus         is for *1/*1 versus *1/*3 versus	
Only the value for *1/*3 was not above the target range.         8.8           month 3         NS for *1/*1 versus *1/*3 versus *3/*3 on 0.25 mg/kg versus *3/*3 on 0.2 mg/kg         8.8           month 6         x 1.14         x 1.13         x 1.11           NS for *1/*1 versus *1/*3 versus *3/*3 on 0.25 mg/kg versus *3/*3         ng/ml           month 6         x 1.14         x 1.13         x 1.11           S for *1/*1 versus *1/*3 versus *3/*3 on 0.25 mg/kg versus *3/*3         ng/ml           month 12         NS for *1/*1 versus *1/*3 versus *3/*3 on 0.25 mg/kg versus *3/*3 on 0.2 mg/kg         6.6           median daily tacro- limus dose         day 1         x 1.75         x 1.50         x 1.25         0.20 mg/kg	
above the target range.       month 3       NS for *1/*1 versus *1/*3 versus 8.8         month 3       NS for *1/*1 versus *1/*3 versus *3/*3       ng/ml         on 0.2 mg/kg       month 6       x 1.14       x 1.13       x 1.11       7.0         MS for *1/*1 versus *1/*3 versus       x 1.11       (NS)       (S)       ng/ml         S for *1/*1 versus *1/*3 versus       *3/*3 on 0.25 mg/kg versus *3/*3       ng/ml         S for *1/*1 versus *1/*3 versus       *3/*3 on 0.25 mg/kg versus *3/*3       ng/ml         month 12       NS for *1/*1 versus *1/*3 versus       6.6         *3/*3 on 0.25 mg/kg versus *3/*3       ng/ml       ng/ml         on 0.2 mg/kg       month 12       NS for *1/*1 versus *1/*3 versus       6.6         *3/*3 on 0.25 mg/kg versus *3/*3       ng/ml       on 0.2 mg/kg       0.20         median       day 1       x 1.75       x 1.50       x 1.25       0.20         Maily tacro-limus dose       S for *1/*1 versus *1/*3 versus       S for *1/*3 versus       mg/kg	
month 3         NS for *1/*1 versus *1/*3 versus *3/*3 on 0.25 mg/kg versus *3/*3 on 0.2 mg/kg         8.8 ng/ml           month 6         x 1.14         x 1.13         x 1.11         7.0 ng/ml           S for *1/*1 versus *1/*3 versus *3/*3 on 0.25 mg/kg versus *3/*3 on 0.2 mg/kg         mg/ml         6.6 ng/ml           month 12         NS for *1/*1 versus *1/*3 versus *3/*3 on 0.25 mg/kg versus *3/*3 on 0.2 mg/kg         6.6 ng/ml           median daily tacro- limus dose         day 1         x 1.75         x 1.50         x 1.25         0.20 mg/kg	
*3/*3 on 0.25 mg/kg versus *3/*3       ng/ml         on 0.2 mg/kg       month 6       x 1.14       x 1.13       x 1.11       7.0         Month 6       x 1.14       x 1.13       x 1.11       7.0       ng/ml         S for *1/*1 versus *1/*3 versus       *3/*3 on 0.25 mg/kg versus *3/*3       ng/ml       ng/ml         S for *1/*1 versus *1/*3 versus       *3/*3 on 0.25 mg/kg versus *3/*3       ng/ml         month 12       NS for *1/*1 versus *1/*3 versus       6.6         *3/*3 on 0.25 mg/kg versus *3/*3       ng/ml       ng/ml         on 0.2 mg/kg       month 12       NS for *1/*1 versus *1/*3 versus       6.6         median       day 1       x 1.75       x 1.50       x 1.25       0.20         Maily tacro-limus dose       S for *1/*1 versus *1/*3 versus       mg/kg       mg/kg	
on 0.2 mg/kg         nonth 6         x 1.14         x 1.13         x 1.11         7.0           Month 6         x 1.14         x 1.13         x 1.11         7.0         ng/ml           S for *1/*1 versus *1/*3 versus         *3/*3 on 0.25 mg/kg versus *3/*3         ng/ml         ng/ml           Month 12         NS for *1/*1 versus *1/*3 versus         6.6         ng/ml           Month 12         NS for *1/*1 versus *1/*3 versus         6.6           Month 12         NS for *1/*1 versus *1/*3 versus         6.6           Month 12         NS for *1/*1 versus *1/*3 versus         6.6           Month 12         NS for *1/*1 versus *1/*3 versus         6.6           Month 2         NS for *1/*1 versus *1/*3 versus         6.6           Month 2         NS for *1/*1 versus *1/*3 versus         0.20           Month 3         S for *1/*1 versus *1/*3 versus         0.20	
month 6         x 1.14         x 1.13         x 1.11         7.0           (NS)         (NS)         (S)         ng/ml           S for *1/*1 versus *1/*3 versus         *3/*3 on 0.25 mg/kg versus *3/*3         ng/ml           month 12         NS for *1/*1 versus *1/*3 versus         6.6           *3/*3 on 0.25 mg/kg versus *3/*3         ng/ml           month 12         NS for *1/*1 versus *1/*3 versus           *3/*3 on 0.25 mg/kg versus *3/*3         ng/ml           on 0.2 mg/kg         ng/ml           median         day 1         x 1.75         x 1.50         x 1.25           (S)         (S)         (S)         mg/kg           Imus dose         S for *1/*1 versus *1/*3 versus         mg/kg	
(NS)         (NS)         (S)         ng/ml           S for *1/*1 versus *1/*3 versus         s for *1/*1 versus *1/*3 versus         ng/ml           3/*3 on 0.25 mg/kg versus *3/*3         on 0.2 mg/kg         6.6           month 12         NS for *1/*1 versus *1/*3 versus         6.6           *3/*3 on 0.25 mg/kg versus *3/*3         on 0.2 mg/kg         6.6           median         day 1         x 1.75         x 1.50         x 1.25         0.20           daily tacro-limus dose         S for *1/*1 versus *1/*3 versus         S for *1/*1 versus *1/*3 versus         mg/kg	
S for *1/*1 versus *1/*3 versus           *3/*3 on 0.25 mg/kg versus *3/*3           on 0.2 mg/kg           month 12           NS for *1/*1 versus *1/*3 versus           *3/*3 on 0.25 mg/kg versus *3/*3           on 0.2 mg/kg           median           day 1         x 1.75           x 1.50         x 1.25           (S)           (S)           (S)           (S)	
month 12         *3/*3 on 0.25 mg/kg versus *3/*3 on 0.2 mg/kg           month 12         NS for *1/*1 versus *1/*3 versus *3/*3 on 0.25 mg/kg versus *3/*3 on 0.2 mg/kg           median daily tacro- limus dose         day 1           X 1.75         X 1.50           S for *1/*1 versus *1/*3 versus           0.2 mg/kg           median daily tacro- limus dose           S for *1/*1 versus *1/*3 versus	
on 0.2 mg/kg           month 12         NS for *1/*1 versus *1/*3 versus *3/*3 on 0.25 mg/kg versus *3/*3         6.6 ng/ml           median         day 1         x 1.75         x 1.50         x 1.25         0.20           daily tacro- limus dose         (S)         (S)         mg/kg         mg/kg	
month 12         NS for *1/*1 versus *1/*3 versus *3/*3         6.6           *3/*3 on 0.25 mg/kg versus *3/*3         ng/ml           on 0.2 mg/kg         on 0.2 mg/kg           median         day 1         x 1.75         x 1.50         x 1.25         0.20           daily tacro-limus dose         S for *1/*1 versus *1/*3 versus         mg/kg         mg/kg	
*3/*3 on 0.25 mg/kg versus *3/*3         ng/ml           on 0.2 mg/kg         nday 1         x 1.75         x 1.50         x 1.25         0.20           daily tacro- limus dose         (S)         (S)         mg/kg	
on 0.2 mg/kg           median         day 1         x 1.75         x 1.50         x 1.25         0.20           daily tacro- limus dose         (S)         (S)         mg/kg	
median         day 1         x 1.75         x 1.50         x 1.25         0.20           daily tacro- limus dose         (S)         (S)         (S)         mg/kg	
daily tacro- limus dose     (S)     (S)     mg/kg	
limus dose S for *1/*1 versus *1/*3 versus	
on 0.2 mg/kg	
day 3 x 1.84 x 1.58 x 1.21 0.19	
(S) (S) (S) (S) (S) (S)	
S for *1/*1 versus *1/*3 versus	
*3/*3 on 0.25 mg/kg versus *3/*3	
on 0.2 mg/kg	
day 6 x 2.11 x 1.78 x 1.22 0.18	
$(S) \qquad (S) $	
S for *1/*1 versus *1/*3 versus	
*3/*3 on 0.25 mg/kg versus *3/*3	
on 0.2 mg/kg	
day 14 x 2.17 x 1.83 x 1.11 0.18	
(S) (S) mg/kg	
S for *1/*1 versus *1/*3 versus	
*3/*3 on 0.25 mg/kg versus *3/*3	
on 0.2 mg/kg	
month 1 x 3.07 x 2.00 x 1.20 0.15	
(S) (S) (NS) mg/kg	
S for *1/*1 versus *1/*3 versus	
*3/*3 on 0.25 mg/kg versus *3/*3	
on 0.2 mg/kg           month 3         x 3.67         x 2.56         x 1.11         0.09	
(S) (S) (NS) mg/kg	
S for *1/*1 versus *1/*3 versus	
*3/*3 on 0.25 mg/kg versus *3/*3	
on 0.2 mg/kg	
month 6 x 4.50 x 3.50 x 1.33 0.06	
$(S) \qquad (S) \qquad (NS) \qquad mg/kg$	
S for *1/*1 versus *1/*3 versus	
*3/*3 on 0.25 mg/kg versus *3/*3	
on 0.2 mg/kg	
month 12 x 4.80 x 3.40 x 1.40 0.05	
(S) (S) mg/kg	
S for *1/*1 versus *1/*3 versus	
*3/*3 on 0.25 mg/kg versus *3/*3	
on 0.2 mg/kg	
median day 7, NS for *1/*1 versus *1/*3 versus 39-56	
estimated day 14, *3/*3 on 0.25 mg/kg versus *3/*3 ml/min	
glomerular month 1, on 0.2 mg/kg	
filtration month 3,	
rate month 6,	
and	

ref. 12, conti-			month 12			Π
nuation		L			I	₽- <sup>1</sup>
		tacrolimus tro from month 1, methylprednis On day 3, the	ugh concentration in The timing seems colone tapering (tap median dose-corre	rical increase in dose- n *3/*3, but not in *1/*3 to correspond with the ered to 4 mg/day by 6 cted tacrolimus trough 55% that for *3/*3, res	3 and *1/*1 e end of weeks). a concentration	
		Note: Genoty Belgian popul		s is the most importan	t allele in this	
ref. 13 Yaowakulpata- na K et al. Impact of CYP- 3A5 polymor- phism on trough concentrations and outcomes of tacrolimus minimization during the early period after kidney trans- plantation.	4	164 kidney tra with mycophe dose of 0.1 m 0.05 mg/kg tw adjusted to ob	ansplant patients we nolate mofetil and c g/kg was given prec vice daily after trans otain a tacrolimus tre	ere treated with tacrolin corticosteroids. A single operatively, followed b plantation. Tacrolimus ough concentration of crolimus was excluded	e tacrolimus y a dose of dose was 4-8 ng/ml.	Author's conclu- sion: "CYP3A5 poly- morphism signi- ficantly influen- ced the tacroli- mus dose requi- red to achieve the target con- centration."
Eur J Clin Phar- macol				rolimus trough concer	ntration	Median dose- corrected trough
2016;72:277-83. PubMed PMID: 26635230.		time post- transplan- tation	*1/*1	*1/*3	value for *3/*3	concentration at day 3 and day 7 compared to
	*1/*1: A	3 days	x 0.39	x 0.69	91 ng/ml	*3/*3: *1/*3: 67%
	*1/*3: A		S for *1/*1 versus x 0.48	*1/*3 versus *3/*3 x 0.65	per mg/kg 91 ng/ml	*1/*1: 44%
		7 days	S for *1/*1 versus		per mg/kg	17 1. 1170
<b>ref. 14</b> Pulk RA et al. Multigene predictors of tacrolimus exposure in kidney trans- plant recipients. Pharmacoge- nomics 2015;16:841-54. PubMed PMID: 26067485.	3	Thai population 2008 kidney t bined with my for 6 months. between the 7 trough concer 6-10 ng/ml in available, wer 3, 4, 5 and 6 p patient. Relevant co-m mixed effects calcium chan	on. ransplant patients w recophenolate mofeti The treatment regir 7 participating treatment rations were gene months 4-6. Two ta re obtained in each post-transplant, for a nedication was not regression models nel blocker and ster able linear mixed eff	vere treated with tacro l and corticosteroids. F nen and patient group nent centres. Target ta rally 8-12 ng/ml in mor crolimus trough conce of weeks 1-8 and in ea a maximum of 24 mea excluded, but multivari adjusted for co-medica oid use at time of mea ects regression mode	limus com- Follow-up was s differed acrolimus oths 0-3 and entrations, if ach of months surements per fable linear ation (antiviral, usurement).	Author's conclu- sion: "This study con- firmed that CYP- 3A5*1 was asso- ciated with lower tacrolimus trough concentrations."
	*1/*3: A *1/*1: A	- 1285x *3/*3 - 643x *1/*3 - 80x *1/*1 Results: Median daily		the allele frequency): yweight-corrected tacr to *3/*3: S for *1/*1 versus *1/ *3/*3		Median dose-, but not body- weight-corrected trough concen- tration in the first 6 months: *1/*3: 65% *1/*1: 43%

not dd anart		Note: The suffrage 's first of			
ref. 14, conti- nuation			hat dose normalized trough con		
nualion		transplant.	e and then plateaued at day 9	μυδι-	
		Note: Genotyping was for *3	. This is the most important all	ele in this	
		population from the USA.			
ref. 15, liver	3		ver transplant patients were tre		Author's conclu-
Wang L et al.			or 6 months in both groups. In t		sion:
Benefits of			verage tacrolimus starting dose		"Tailoring the
minimizing immunosup-			sted based on the physician's j oncentration, renal function, ar	•	tacrolimus dosage accor-
pressive dosage			p of 106 patients, for non-expre		ding to the CYP-
according to			expresser, the tacrolimus start		3A5 genotype
cytochrome			increased to 3-4 mg/day. Great		could reduce
P450 3A5		taken when increasing the ta	acrolimus dose in these patient	s. For	rejection and
genotype in liver			nd/or receiving a liver from an e		adverse effects."
transplant			day, which was soon increased	to 6	
patients: findings from a		mg/day. Daily doses were di	vided over 2 gifts. transaminase levels exceedin	a the	
single-center			vels exceeding 50% of the upp		
study.		normal.			
Genet Mol Res		Renal toxicity was defined a	s serum creatinine >167 μM in		
2015;14:3191-9.			efore surgery or showing a >30		
PubMed PMID:			normal renal function before su	rgery (after	
25966085.		the exclusion of other factors	s). s hand tremor, numb lips, and i	numbrass	
		in limbs.	s hand tremor, humb lips, and	numbriess	
			s systolic pressure >140 mm⊦	la or	
		diastolic pressure >90 mmH		.9 0.	
			d as blood glucose >7.0 mM fo	r three	
		consecutive days.			
			nced by fluconazole, amlodiping	e and	
		nicardipine were excluded.	es in outcome between the ger	notvoe-	
			type-guided group was not det		
			,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		
		Genotyping:			
		Non-genotype-guided grou			
		- 24x *3/*3 + *3/*3-liver	- 25x *3/*3 + *3/*3-live		
		- 21x *3/*3 + (*1/*3 or *1/*1 liver	) 26x *3/*3 + (*1/*3 or * liver	^1/^1)-	
		- 24x (*1/*3 or *1/*1) + *3/*3	-	*3/*3-	
			liver	0/0	
		- 31x (*1/*3 or *1/*1) + (*1/*		(*1/*3 or	
		*1/*1)-liver	*1/*1)-liver	· ·	
		Results:			
		Results compared to the no	on-genotype-guided group:		
			genotype-guided group	value for	
			generype galaca group	non-ge-	
				notype-	
				guided	
				group	
		average tacrolimus dose	NS	42.94	
		over the 6-month period	The average tacrolimus	mg/day	
			dose in the patients being expressers and/or receiving		
			a liver from an expresser		
	Const		was 1.45x the average		
	Genoty		dose in *3/*3 receiving a		
	pe-gui- ded		*3/*3-liver (45.76 versus		
	therapy		31.50 mg/day) (S).		
	anorupy				1

and AF and						400/	
ref. 15, conti- nuation	compa- red to	% of patients rejection	s with acute	NS		19%	
indución	non-ge- notype-	% of patients	with hepa-	NS		9%	
	guided therapy:	% of patients	with renal	NS		25%	
	AA	% of patients	with neuro-	NS		11%	
		% of patients	with hyper-	NS		24%	
		% of patients	with hyper-	NS		24%	
		% of patients Pneumocyst		NS		4%	
					ents was nume cance was not		
			orrected tacro		concentrations	compared to	
	(*1/*3 or	time after	(*1/*3 or	(*1/*3 or	*3/*3 +	value for	
	*1/*1) + (*1/*3 or *1/*1)-	transplan- tation	*1/*1) + (*1/*3 or *1/*1)-liver	*1/*1) + *3/*3-liver	(*1/*3 or *1/*1)-liver	*3/*3 + *3/*3-liver (in ng/ml	
	liver: A	week 1	x 0.32 (S)	x 0.36 (S)	x 0.41 (S)	per mg/kg) 137.55	
	(*1/*3 or *1/*1) +	week 2	x 0.34 (S)	x 0.44 (S)	x 0.49 (S)	121.84	
	*3/*3-	week 3 week 4	x 0.26 (S) x 0.39 (S)	x 0.38 (S) x 0.46 (S)	x 0.42 (S) x 0.49 (S)	134.28 125.54	
	liver: A	month 2	x 0.39 (S)	x 0.51 (S)	x 0.51 (S)	155.83	
	*3/*3 + (*1/*3 or	month 3 month 4	x 0.50 (S) x 0.36 (S)	x 0.46 (S) x 0.43 (S)	x 0.56 (S) x 0.42 (S)	133.98 144.79	
	*1/*1)-	month 5	x 0.43 (S)	x 0.48 (S)	x 0.49 (S)	133.41	
	liver: A				x 0.41 (S) ncentration in nificantly lower		
		(*1/*3 or *1/* (NS).	1) + *3/*3-liver	r and that in *3	3/*3 + (*1/*3 or	*1/*1)-liver	
					non-genotype-galysed togethe		
		Chinese popu	lation.		nost important		
<b>ref. 16</b> Rojas L et al.	3				tal of 2,185 kid acrolimus twic		Authors' conclu- sion:
Effect of		the studies ind	cluded in the n	neta-analysis,	Hesselink 200	)3, Macphee	"CYP3A5
CYP3A5*3 on kidney trans-					elink 2008 wer studies were a		6986A>G polymorphism
plant recipients		in the meta-ar	alysis by Kha	n 2020. The fo	ollow-up in eac		can affect tacro-
treated with tacrolimus: a		studies varied			nths. sessed, but no	at with a	limus pharmaco- kinetics and the
systematic					essed were fou		incidence of
review and					outcome crite		acute rejection
meta-analysis of observational					s when all 8 cri ia were met ar		and chronic nephrotoxicity on
studies.					21 studies, 2 l		kidney transplant
Pharmacoge-					others a high r		recipients.
nomics J 2015;15:38-48.					dom-effects m hosen a priori.		Patients at high risk of developing
PubMed PMID: 25201288.		preregistratior and selection	n of the study p	protocol was r	not mentioned. Ind the data ext	The search	tacrolimus-rela- ted complications
		standardised. Publication bia	as was assess	sed by funnel p	olot and Egger	's test.	could be detec- ted even before

ref. 16, conti-			their kidney
nuation		(*1/*3 + *1/*1) compared to *3/*3:	transplant."
	*1/*3 + *1/*1: E	<ul> <li>increase in the risk of acute rejection (OR = 1.32; 95% CI: 1.02 - 1.71) (S).</li> <li>With a background risk of 16 acute rejections per 100 treated</li> </ul>	
		patients, this increased risk for CYP3A5 expressers would result in 4 additional acute rejections per 100 patients (95% CI: 0-9). The risk was elevated in studies in which the diagnosis was based	
		on clinical criteria (OR = 5.04; 95% CI: 1.55 - 16.33), but not in studies in which the diagnosis was based on biopsies.	
		There was no heterogeneity between the studies. - no difference in the risk of acute nephrotoxicity (3 studies involving a total of 363 patients) (NS).	
		<ul> <li>There was no heterogeneity between the studies.</li> <li>no significant difference in the risk of chronic nephrotoxicity (5 studies involving a total of 867 patients) (NS). There was heterogeneity between the studies.</li> </ul>	
		Following exclusion of a studies. Following exclusion of a study with unusually high incidence of chronic nephrotoxicity for *3/*3, the heterogeneity between the studies disappeared and there was an increase in the risk of	
		chronic toxicity (OR = 2.42; 95% CI: 1.51 - 3.90) (4 studies involving a total of 664 patients) (S).	
		For all three comparisons, there was no publication bias.	
<b>ref. 17, liver</b> Buendia JA et al.	3	Meta-analysis of 8 studies involving a total of 694 adult liver trans- plant patients. Of the 8 studies in the meta-analysis, Fukudo 2008 was also included separately in this risk analysis. There was hetero-	Authors' conclu- sion: "In adult liver
Effects of combinational		geneity between the studies for most of the outcomes. Quality of the included studies was not assessed.	transplant pa- tients, CYP3A5
CYP3A5 6986A>G polymorphism in		Meta-analyses were performed with a random-effects model in case of substantial heterogeneity between the studies and with a fixed- effects model in the absence of substantial heterogeneity. This indi-	expression in either the donor or recipient resul-
graft liver and native intestine		cates that the statistical method was chosen afterwards. The search and selection strategy was transparent and the data extraction was	ted in a need for a higher mean
on the pharma- cokinetics of		standardised. Potential publication bias was not assessed.	tacrolimus daily dose to achieve
tacrolimus in liver transplant	*1/*3 +	(*1/*3 + *1/*1) compared to *3/*3 (patient):	the target drug exposure. In the
patients: a meta-analysis. Ther Drug Monit 2014;36:442-7.	*1/*1: A	- decrease in the dose-corrected trough concentration at 7 and 14 days and 1, 2, 3, 6 and 12 months after transplantation (S). After 7 days, the decrease in the dose-corrected trough concentration was 0.475 ng/mL per mg/kg per day (95% CI: 0.203 -	immediate post- transplant period, recipient expres- sion of a CYP-
PubMed PMID: 24378577.		0.748 ng/mL per mg/kg per day). (*1/*3 + *1/*1) compared to *3/*3 (liver):	3A5*1 allele seemed to have the greatest in-
		<ul> <li>- decrease in the dose-corrected trough concentration at 7 and 14 days and 1, 2, 3, 6 and 12 months after transplantation (S).</li> <li>After 7 days, the decrease in the dose-corrected trough concentration was 1.125 ng/mL per mg/kg per day (95% CI: 0.782 -</li> </ul>	fluence on tacro- limus pharmaco- kinetics with
		1.468 ng/mL per mg/kg per day).	donor expression of a CYP3A5*1 allele possibly
		(*1/*3 + *1/*1) compared to *3/*3 (recipient/donor combinations) (2 studies involving a total of 109 patients): - *3/*3 recipient: no significant difference in the dose-corrected trough	becoming more important with increasing time
		concentration 7 days after transplantation between a $3/3$ liver and a $(1/3 + 1/1)$ liver (NS).	after transplant."
		After 14 days, 1, 2, 3, 6 and 12 months, the dose-corrected trough concentration was lower for a $(*1/*3 + *1/*1)$ liver than for a $*3/*3$ liver (S).	
		- *3/*3 liver: lower dose-corrected trough concentration 7 days, 2, 3, 6 and 12 months after transplantation in a (*1/*3 + *1/*1) recipient than in a *3/*3 recipient (S).	
		After 7 days, the decrease in the dose-corrected trough concen- tration was 0.866 ng/mL per mg/kg per day (95% CI: 0.186 - 1.546	

		ng/mL per mg/kg per day).	
ref. 18, liver	4	A total of 410 patients who received a liver transplant from a living	Authors' conclu-
Uesugi M et al.		donor were treated with tacrolimus-based therapy.	sion:
Impact of cyto-		Acute cellular rejection was only analysed in patients with a blood	"The CYP3A5*3
chrome P450		group that was compatible with that of the donor, who did not die	genotype of the
3A5 polymor-		within 14 days after transplantation and was also not analysed in 2	small intestine of
phism in graft		patients who underwent repeat transplantation. Patients whose blood	recipients is
livers on the		group was not compatible with that of the donor received a different	more important
frequency of		treatment regimen.	as an indicator of
acute cellular		High dose corticosteroids were used in the treatment of acute cellular	the systemic
rejection in		rejection. As this treatment increases the CYP3A4 concentration in	exposure to
living-donor liver transplantation.		the intestines, the tacrolimus concentrations during the 4 days after high dose corticosteroids were not included in the analysis.	tacrolimus for at least 5 weeks
Pharmacogenet		Diagnosis of acute cellular rejection was based on an increase of	after transplanta-
Genomics		trans-aminase and/or histology of liver biopsies taken between post-	tion than the
2014;24:356-66.		operative days 11 and 26. DNA for genotyping was obtained from	CYP3A5*3 geno-
PubMed PMID:		intestinal or liver tissue and/or peripheral blood.	type of the graft
24911663.			liver, whereas
210110000		*1/*1 versus *1/*3 versus *3/*3 (patient):	there was a
	*1/*3 +	- decrease in the dose-corrected trough concentration in weeks 1, 2,	higher frequency
	*1/*1: A	3, 4 and 5 after transplantation (S).	of acute cellular
	-		rejection among
		*1/*1 versus *1/*3 versus *3/*3 (liver):	patients receiving
		- decrease in the dose-corrected trough concentration in week 1 after	a liver with a
		transplantation (S).	CYP3A5*1 allele
		Only the difference between *1/*3 and *3/*3 was significant in	than among
		weeks 2, 3 and 5. There were no significant differences in week 4.	those receiving a
			liver with
		(*1/*3 + *1/*1) compared to *3/*3 (recipient/donor combinations):	CYP3A5 *3/*3.
		- *3/*3 recipient:	
		- no significant difference in the dose-corrected trough	The CYP3A5*3
		concentration in weeks 1 through 5 after transplantation between	genotype of reci-
		a *3/*3 liver and a (*1/*3 + *1/*1) liver (NS).	pients may be
		- increase in the frequency of acute cellular rejection from post-	important for esti-
		opera-tive day 14 to 23 for a $(*1/*3 + *1/*1)$ liver compared to a $*3/*3$ liver (S)	mation of the
		- (*1/*3 + *1/*1) recipient:	systemic phar- macokinetics of
		- no significant difference in the dose-corrected trough	tacrolimus and it
		concentration in weeks 1 through 5 after transplantation between	may be important
		a $3/3$ liver and a $(1/3 + 1/1)$ liver (NS).	to adjust the
		- no significant increase in the frequency of acute cellular rejection	target level of
		from post-operative day 14 to 23 for a (*1/*3 + *1/*1) liver	tacrolimus after
		compared to a *3/*3 liver (S).	the initial post-
		However, a (*1/*3 + *1/*1) liver was a risk factor for acute cellular	transplantation
		rejection in the total group of recipients (see below).	period on the
		- *3/*3 liver:	basis of the CYP-
		- lower dose-corrected trough concentration weeks 1 and 2 after	3A5*3 genotype
		transplantation in a $(*1/*3 + *1/*1)$ recipient than in a $*3/*3$	of the graft liver."
		recipient (S).	
		- no difference in the frequency of acute cellular rejection from post-	
		operative day 14 to 23 for a (*1/*3 + *1/*1) recipient compared to a	
		*3/*3 recipient (NS).	
		- (*1/*3 + *1/*1) liver:	
		- lower dose-corrected trough concentration weeks 1 through 5 after	
		transplantation in a $(*1/*3 + *1/*1)$ recipient than in a $*3/*3$	
		recipient (S).	
		<ul> <li>increase in the risk of acute cellular rejection from post-operative day 14 to 23 compared to a *3/*3 liver (RR = 2.629; 95% CI: 1.181</li> </ul>	
		-5.853) (S).	
		- no difference in the frequency of acute cellular rejection from post-	
		operative day 14 to 23 for a $(*1/*3 + *1/*1)$ recipient compared to a	
		*3/*3 recipient (NS).	
		The authors indicated that the target value of the tacrolimus concentration may need to be revised for recipients of a $(*1/*3 + *1/*1)$ liver.	

	-			
ref. 19	3		es involving a total of 1,246 kidney trans-	Authors' conclu-
Terrazzino S et			tudies included in the meta-analysis,	sion:
al.			and Hesselink 2008 were also included	"From the current
The effect of			ysis. All 10 studies were also included in	evidence availa-
CYP3A5		5 5	n 2020 and Rojas 2015. However,	ble, CYP3A5
6986A>G and			the data about acute rejection confirmed by	6986A >G and
ABCB1			diagnoses based on clinical criteria.	ABCB1 3435C>
3435C>T on			I studies was not assessed.	T polymorphisms
tacrolimus dose-			rmed with a random-effects model. This	seem to have
adjusted trough			al method was chosen a priori. However,	little or no effect
levels and acute			y protocol was not mentioned. The search	on the acute
rejection rates in			s transparent and the data extraction was	rejection rates in
renal transplant		standardised.	and by funnal plat and Paggia and Eggaria	renal transplant patients under
patients: a		test.	ssed by funnel plot and Begg's and Egger's	•
systematic review and	*1/*3 +	lest.		immunosuppres- sive therapy with
meta-analysis.	*1/*1:	(*1/*2 + *1/*1) compared t	a *9/*9·	tacrolimus."
5	AA	(*1/*3 + *1/*1) compared t		tacronnus.
Pharmacogenet	AA	- no difference in the risk of	between the studies, but no publication	
Genomics 2012;22:642-5.		bias.	between the studies, but no publication	
2012;22:642-5. PubMed PMID:		DIAS.		
22786571.				
ref. 20	3	Mata-analysis of 9 studios	involving a total of 772 kidney transplant	Authors' conclu-
Tang HL et al.	5		included in the meta-analysis, Macphee	sion:
Lower tacroli-			elink 2008 were also included separately in	"The acute organ
mus daily dose			dies were also included in the meta-analy-	rejection rate
requirements			jas 2015 and 7 of the 8 were included in	may be higher in
and acute		the meta-analysis by Terra		CYP3A5 expres-
rejection rates in		Quality of the included stu		sors than non-
the CYP3A5			rmed with a random-effects model in case	expressors over
non-expressors			ty between the studies and with a fixed-	the first month
than expres-			ice of substantial heterogeneity. This indi-	after transplan-
sors.			ethod was chosen afterwards. The search	tation."
Pharmacogenet			s transparent and the data extraction was	
Genomics		standardised.		
2011;21:713-20.		Potential publication bias	was not assessed.	
PubMed PMID:		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
21886016.		(*1/*3 + *1/*1) compared t	o *3/*3:	
	*1/*3 +		ute rejection during the first month (OR =	
	*1/*1: D		1) (2 studies, 209 patients) (S), but not	
			, in the first 12 months or in total (NS).	
			between the studies when considering the	
		data over 12 months and		
		- no difference in the risk of	of death within 1 year (two studies, 163	
		patients) (NS).		
			of survival of the transplant for less than 1	
		year (two studies, 166 pa		
ref. 21	4		t patients were treated with tacrolimus from	Authors' conclu-
Thervet E et al.			. 120 patients received an initial dose of	sion:
Optimization of			other 116 patients received an initial dose	"Pharmacogene-
initial tacroli-			ng to the genotype: 0.075 mg/kg twice daily	tic adaptation of
mus dose using			wice daily for *1/*3 and *1/*1. Patients were	the daily dose of
pharmacogene-			3 months. Patients whose blood group was	tacrolimus is
tic testing.			insplant were excluded. The target range	associated with
Clin Pharmacol			ration was 10 - 15 ng/mL. All patients	improved achie-
Ther			and the immunosuppressive co-	vement of the
2010;87:721-6.			us therapy (mycophenolate mofetil and	target C0. Whe-
PubMed PMID:			ar for all patients. Medication with a known	ther this improve-
20393454.	1	effect on CYP3A5 was exe	ciuded.	ment will affect
				clinical outcomes
		Conchunizar		
		Genotyping:	Croup with genetice	requires further
		Genotyping: Control group	Group with genotype-	
			Group with genotype- based dosing - 4x *1/*1	requires further

ref. 21, conti-		- 18x *1/*3 - 22x *1/*3	
nuation	Genoty pe-	- 96x *3/*3 - 90x *3/*3	
	guided	Genotype-based dosing versus control:	
	versus	- increase in the percentage of patients with a trough concentration	
	not-ge-	within the target range after 3 days of tacrolimus by a factor 1.5	
	notype-	(from 29.1% to 43.2%) (S).	
	guided	- *1/*1: increase in the median trough concentration on day 3 from	
	thera- py:	5.6 to 14.0 ng/mL (S).	
	*1/*1: A	As a result, the median trough concentration increased from being below the target range to being within the target range.	
	*1/*3:	- *1/*3: no significant increase in the median trough concentration on	
	AA	day 3 (from 10.1 to 12.3; both within the target range) (NS).	
	*3/*3: A	- *3/*3: decrease in the median trough concentration on day 3 from	
		16.6 to 12.0 ng/mL (S).	
		As a result, the median trough concentration decreased from being	
		above the target range to being within the target range.	
		- decrease in the time required to achieve a trough concentration	
		within the target range from 7 to 6 days (S).	
		<ul> <li>decrease in the number of dose adjustments in the group by 33% (from 420 to 281) (S).</li> </ul>	
		- no difference in delayed transplant function (incidence, number of	
		dialysis sessions per patient and the number of patients with and	
		the number of episodes of acute rejection) (NS).	
		There was no correlation between acute rejection and genotype	
		(NS).	
		- no difference in transplant function (glomerular filtration speed on	
		days 14 and 90), percentage of patients that died and survival of	
		transplants (NS).	
		- no difference in the occurrence of adverse events, also no	Median trough
		difference in the occurrence or worsening of diabetes mellitus, or in the number of infections that occurred.	concentration of
			tacrolimus com-
		*1/*1 versus *1/*3 versus *3/*3:	pared to *3/*3
		- the median trough concentration after 3 days of tacrolimus 0.1	after 3 days:
		mg/kg twice daily was 5.6 versus 10.1 versus 16.6 ng/mL	*1/*3: 61%
		(significance not determined)	*1/*1: 34%
		NB1: In this article, *3/*3 patients had a median trough concentration	
		above the target range of 10 - 15 ng/mL at a dose of 0.1 mg/kg twice	
		daily. The initial dose recommended in the Informatorium for kidney transplant patients is 0.1 – 0.15 mg/kg twice daily. The Paediatric	
		Formularium recommends a dose of 0.15 mg/kg twice daily.	
		However, Dutch hospitals have indicated that the target value of the	
		tacrolimus concentration in the first 2 or 4 weeks after kidney	
		transplantation is 15 - 20 ng/mL.	
		NB2: The authors cited 2 articles that demonstrated that the AUC for	
		tacrolimus on day 2 is significantly lower for patients with acute	
rof 00	2	rejection.	
ref. 22 Satob S et al	3	The oral clearance of tacrolimus was determined at 28 days and $> 1$	Authors' conclu-
Satoh S et al. Lack of tacroli-		year after transplantation in 50 stable kidney transplant patients (3x *1/*1, 23x *1/*3, 24x *3/*3) on maintenance therapy with tacrolimus,	sion: "The CYP3A5
mus circadian		mycophenolate mofetil and methylprednisolone. The average follow-	polymorphism
pharmacokine-		up after transplantation was 26.7 months. Tacrolimus was started at	may be associa-
tics and CYP-		a dose of 0.075 mg/kg twice daily, after which the dose was adjusted	ted with the time-
3A5 pharmaco-		to achieve a trough concentration in the blood of 15 - 20 ng/mL	dependent chan-
genetics in the		during weeks 1 and 2, 10 - 15 ng/mL during weeks 3 and 4 and < 10	ges in the oral
early and main-		ng/mL thereafter (3 - 8 ng/mL after 1 year).	clearance of
tenance stages			tacrolimus, sug-
in Japanese	*4 /*0	(*1/*3 + *1/*1) compared to *3/*3:	gesting that
renal transplant	*1/*3 +	- decrease in AUC <sub>0-12h</sub> <sup>a</sup> by 37% after 28 days and by 30% after > 1 year (S: resp. from 0.028 to 0.584 ng h/m), per mg per kg and from	genotyping of
recipients.	*1/*1: A	year (S; resp. from 0.928 to 0.584 ng.h/mL per mg per kg and from 1.302 to 0.907 ng.h/mL per mg per kg).	this polymor- phism is useful
	1		

		nor (m) and by 250/ after , 4 year (NO; from 0.240 to 0.200 L/b nor	the energy state
Br J Clin Phar- macol		per kg) and by 25% after > 1 year (NS; from 0.319 to 0.399 L/h per kg).	the appropriate dose of tacroli-
2008;66:207-14.		- increase in the percentage decrease of Cl <sub>or</sub> <sup>b</sup> from the early post- transplantation phase to the maintenance phase by 97% (signifi-	mus in both the early and mainte-
ref. 22, conti- nuation		cance not determined; from 20% to 39%).	nance stages after renal trans-
		Corticosteroids can induce CYP3A activity. The authors postulate	plantation."
		that the decrease in the methylprednisolone dose per body weight	
		over time (0.185 mg/kg after 28 days and 0.124 mg/kg after > 1 year;	
		S) may be responsible for the decrease in $Cl_{or}^{b}$ of tacrolimus, particularly in the CYP3A5 expressers (*1/*3 + *1/*1).	
ref. 23	3	A case control study compared the data of 53 patients - of which 21	Authors' conclu-
Klauke B et al.		on tacrolimus and 32 on cyclosporin - who developed renal insuffi-	sion:
No association		ciency after a heart transplant to the data of 53 controls who did not	"Our data do not
between single nucleotide poly-		develop renal insufficiency. For all patients the immunosuppressive therapy consisted initially of cyclosporin and azathioprine. A total of	justify genotyping of the investiga-
morphisms and		21 patients were switched from cyclosporin to tacrolimus at 10 - 12	ted single nucle-
the develop-		days after the operation (initial dose 1 g twice daily, followed by dose	otide polymor-
ment of nephro-		adjustment to achieve a blood concentration of 6 - 10 mg/dL; mean	phisms (SNPs) to
toxicity after		dose at the time of the study was 4.19 mg/day). Co-medication	assess the deve-
orthotopic heart transplantation.		consisted of (tem)sirolimus or everolimus (if necessary), statins, acetylsalicylic acid, bisphosphonates, calcium and vitamin D.	lopment of renal dysfunction after
J Heart Lung			cardiac trans-
Transplant		*1/*1 versus *1/*3 versus *3/*3:	plantation."
2008;27:741-5.	*1/*3: AA	<ul> <li>percentages of the genotypes in cases and controls are 0% versus 14% versus 86% and 8% versus 4% versus 88% respectively (NS,</li> </ul>	
	*1/*1:	see below).	
ref. 24, liver	AA 3	- the CYP3A5 genotype was not associated with renal insufficiency. The oral clearance of tacrolimus during the first 50 post-operative	Authors' conclu-
Fukudo M et al.	3	days was determined using a population pharmacokinetic model in	sion:
Impact of MDR1		60 liver transplant patients (3x *1/*1, 17x *1/*3, 40x *3/*3) on immu-	"These findings
and CYP3A5 on		nosuppressive therapy with tacrolimus and corticosteroids.	suggest that the
the oral clearance of		Tacrolimus was started at an oral dose of 0.025 mg/kg twice daily,	CYP3A5*1 geno-
tacrolimus and		after which the dose was adjusted to achieve a trough concentration of 10 - 15 ng/mL during weeks 1 and 2, 10 ng/mL thereafter and 5 -	type as well as the MDR1 mRNA
tacrolimus-		10 ng/mL after month 1. The dose during the first 50 days was 0.2 -	level in enterocy-
related renal		16.0 mg/day. Methylprednisolone was administered at a dose of 10	tes contributes to
dysfunction in		mg/kg i.v. during reperfusion of the transplant, after which the dose	interindividual
adult living- donor liver		was gradually reduced. After 1 week the patients were switched to oral prednisolone, which was gradually reduced and - if possible -	variation in the CL/F of tacroli-
transplant		stopped after 3 - 6 months. Co-medication with strong inhibitors or	mus in adult reci-
patients.		inducers of CYP3A was excluded, but treatment of subclinical	pients early after
Pharmacogenet		rejection episodes with intravenous high dose corticosteroids was	living-donor liver
Genomics 2008;18:413-23.		permitted, as were low doses of fluconazole.	transplantation. Furthermore,
2000,10.410 20.		(*1/*3 + *1/*1) compared to *3/*3:	CYP3A5 in the
		- increase in Clor in steady state (from day 14 onwards) by 47% (S).	kidney may play
		- increase in Bayesian estimates of Clor on days 7, 14, 21 and 28 (S),	a protective role
		but non-significant differences on days 35, 42 and 49. - decrease in the dose-corrected trough concentration on day 7 by	in the develop- ment of tacroli-
		38% and on day 14 by 29% (S).	mus-related
		- no significant differences in dose-corrected trough concentrations	nephrotoxicity."
		after 1, 3, 6, 9 and 12 months. At these time points and on day 7,	
		the CYP3A5 genotype of the transplant does have a significant	
		effect on the dose-corrected trough concentration. - effectiveness of TDM: no significant differences in trough concentra-	
	*1/*3 +	tions over the entire follow-up.	
	*1/*1:	- decrease in the cumulative incidence of renal function abnormalities	
	AA#	within 1 year after transplantation by 63% (S; HR = $3.16$ (95% Cl	
		1.01 - 6.16); from 46 to 17%). The CYP3A5 genotype of the transplant has no significant effect on	
		the incidence of renal function abnormalities.	
		The authors postulate that CYP3A5 in the kidney protects against the	
		development of renal function abnormalities, possibly through a	

		reduction in the expecture of kidney cells to tocrolimus	
ref. 25	4	reduction in the exposure of kidney cells to tacrolimus. A prospective study involving 136 kidney transplant patients (5x	Authors' conclu-
Hesselink DA et		$(1/1)^{1/2}$ $(1/1)^{1/2}$	sion:
al.		myco-phenolate mofetil and corticosteroids, determined the tacro-	"We conclude
CYP3A5		limus trough concentrations after 3 and 10 days and after 1, 3, 6 and	that patients
genotype is not		12 months. Induction therapy with either a monoclonal antibody	expressing CYP-
associated with		targeted against the interleukin-2 receptor or anti-thymocyte globulin	3A5 need more
a higher risk of		was permitted.	tacrolimus to
acute rejection			reach target
in tacrolimus-		(*1/*3 + *1/*1) compared to *3/*3:	concentrations
treated renal		- decrease in the dose-corrected trough concentration at all time	and have a lower
transplant reci-		points. The decrease over the entire study period was 37% (after	tacrolimus expo-
pients.	*1/*3 +	correction for age, gender and ethnicity) and 35% after addition of	sure shortly after
Pharmacogenet	*1/*1: A	ABCB1 genotype to the model (S). The decrease remained	transplantation.
Genomics		significant after correction for corticosteroid dose and creatinine	This delay in
2008;18:339-48.		clearance.	reaching target
		- significant versus non-significant decrease of the dose-corrected	concentrations,
		trough concentration between days 3 and 10.	however, did not
		- non-significant change versus significant increase in the dose-	result in an
		corrected trough concentration after day 10.	increased inci-
		- effectiveness of TDM:	dence of early
		- decrease in trough concentration on day 3 by 26% (S; from 16.6 to	biopsy proven
		12.3 ng/mL), but no significant difference at the other time points. - increase in the percentage of patients with a trough concentration	acute rejection
		- Increase in the percentage of patients with a trough concentration < 10 ng/mL (lower limit of target value in early phase after trans-	and therefore, genotyping for
		plantation) on day 3 by 180% (S; from 10% to 28%).	CYP3A5 is un-
		- decrease of the daily dose over the entire study period by 60%	likely to improve
		(after correction for age, gender and ethnicity) and by 68% after	short-term trans-
		addition of ABCB1 genotype to the model (S).	plantation out-
		- no significant difference in the incidence of acute rejection	come."
		confirmed by biopsy (NS; from 16% to 8%).	
		- no significant difference in general 1-year survival (NS; from 99.1%	
		to 92.3%).	
		- no significant difference in loss of transplant in the surviving patients	
		(NS; from 2.7% to 0%).	
		- no significant differences in renal function (creatinine clearance)	
		(NS).	
		- no significant difference in the incidence of delayed transplant func-	
		tioning (need for dialysis during the first week after transplantation)	
		(NS; from 18.2% to 19.2%).	
		- no significant increase in the incidence of diarrhoea (NS; from	
		20.9% to 34.6%).	
		- no significant differences in liver function (serum concentrations of albumin and alanine amino transferase) (NS). There was a	
		decrease in the serum albumin concentrations by 5% only after 3	
		months (S; from 43.3 to 41.1 g/L).	
		$\frac{1}{1}$	
		*1/*1 compared to *3/*3:	
		- decrease of the dose-corrected trough concentration over the entire	
		study period by 27% (after correction for age, gender and ethnicity	
		and after addition of ABCB1 genotype to the model) (NS).	
		The authors report that a clinical trial is ongoing in which patients in	
		the experimental arm receive an initial dose of tacrolimus that is	
		based on the CYP3A5 genotype. They indicate that genotyping of	
		CYP3A5 could play a role in reducing the late loss of a transplant	
		through reducing the adverse events of nephrotoxicity and	
		hypertension.	
ref. 26	3	The tacrolimus concentration-time curves were determined after 7	Authors' conclu-
Kuypers DR et		days, 3 and 6 months and 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5 years in 95 kidney trans-	sion:
al.		plant patients (15x *1/*3, 80x *3/*3) receiving immunosuppressive	"The lack of a
CYP3A5 and		treatment with tacrolimus, mycophenolate mofetil and a low dose oral	time-related
CYP3A4 but not		methylprednisolone. Tacrolimus was started on the day of the trans-	increase in dose-
MDR1 single-		plant at a loading dose of 0.1 mg/kg twice daily, after which the dose	corrected tacro-
	1	The set a loading door of orr inging times daily, alter which the door	

nucleotide poly- morphisms determine long- term tacrolimus disposition and drug-related nephrotoxicity in renal recipients. Clin Pharmacol Ther 2007;82:711-25. <b>ref. 26, conti-</b> <b>nuation</b>	*1/*3: D	<ul> <li>was adjusted to achieve a trough concentration in the blood of 8 - 15 ng/mL during year 1 and 6 - 10 ng/mL after year 1. Chronic use of medication, which affects the absorption, distribution, metabolism or excretion of tacrolimus, was excluded, as was the use of inhibitors or inducers of CYP3A4.</li> <li>*1/*3 compared to *3/*3:</li> <li>- a significant increase in the weight-corrected daily dose at each time-point by 57 - 131% (S).</li> <li>- a significant decrease in AUC<sub>0-12h<sup>a</sup></sub> at each time-point except 2 years by 44 - 61% (S).</li> <li>- no significant increase in AUC<sub>0-12h<sup>a</sup></sub> at each time-point except 2 years by 44 - 61% (S).</li> <li>- no significant increase in AUC<sub>0-12h<sup>a</sup></sub> versus a significant increase in AUC<sub>0-12h<sup>a</sup></sub> by 92% over 5 years (S; from 41.7 to 80 ng.h/mL per mg).</li> <li>- effectiveness of TDM:</li> <li>- no significant differences in the trough concentration at any time-point from day 7.</li> <li>- decrease in the trough concentrations during the first 6 days post-transplantation in a sub-group of *1/*3 with the CYP3A4*1B allele (n = 7) (S) and an increase in the time required to achieve a minimum trough concentration of 10 ng/mL by 200% (S; from 1.4 to 4.2 days), but no significant difference in the time to the first rejection episode confirmed by biopsy (NS; from 6.4 to 5.0 days). Similar results were found for the rest of the *1/*3 group, but the trough concentration was not significantly lower for days 4 - 6.</li> <li>- increase in the incidence of tacrolimus-related nephrotoxicity confirmed by biopsy by 257% (NS; from 11.2% to 40%). The mean AUC<sub>0-12h</sub> did not difference in the incidence of developing diabetes mellitus (NS; from 10% to 7%).</li> <li>- no significant difference in the incidence of developing diabetes mellitus (NS; from 10% to 7%).</li> <li>- no significant difference in the incidence of the first episode of acute rejection confirmed by biopsy in the first 2 weeks (NS; from 16.2% to 20.0%) of or the total incidence of the first episode of acute rejection co</li></ul>	limus exposure observed with the CYP3A4*1/ CYP3A5*1 and CYP3A4*1B/CYP 3A5*1 genotypes is associated with tacrolimus- related nephro- toxicity, possibly as a result of higher concen- trations of toxic metabolites." AUC <sup>a</sup> compared to *3/*3 on day 7: *1/*3: 56%
		intrarenal concentration of potentially toxic metabolites of tacrolimus.	
ref. 27 Renders L et al. CYP3A5 geno- type markedly influences the pharmacokine- tics of tacroli- mus and siroli- mus in kidney transplant reci- pients. Clin Pharmacol Ther 2007;81:228-34.	3 *1/*3 + *1/*1: A	The tacrolimus trough concentrations were determined approx. 2 years after transplantation in 134 stable kidney transplant patients (3x*1/*1, 15x *1/*3, 116x *3/*3) on maintenance therapy with tacro- limus (usually in combination with mycophenolate mofetil (19% of the patients), prednisolone (30%), or both (44%)). AUC <sub>0-12h</sub> , t <sub>1/2</sub> and Cl <sub>or</sub> were determined in 16 patients (1x*1/*1, 6x *1/*3, 9x *3/*3). Tacrolimus was dose-adjusted according to the trough concentra- tions and the dose varied from 1 - 40 mg/day. Use of CYP3A substrates was not excluded. (*1/*3 + *1/*1) compared to *3/*3: - decrease in the dose-corrected trough concentration by 51% (S; from 1.49 to 0.74 x10 <sup>-3</sup> /L). - decrease in AUC <sub>0-12h</sub> <sup>a</sup> by 60% (S; from 58.3 to 23.4 h.ng/mL). - increase in Cl <sub>or</sub> by 210% (S; from 19.5 to 60.5 mL/h). - no significant decrease in t <sub>1/2</sub> (NS; from 12.3 to 9.1 h). - effectiveness of TDM: no significant differences in trough concentra- tion and AUC <sub>0-12h</sub> (NS). - no significant increase in serum creatinine concentrations (NS; from 1.54 to 1.78 mg/dL).	Authors' conclu- sion: "Therefore, CYP- 3A5 expressor status and not transporter vari- ants is a main determinant of oral clearance, particularly for tacrolimus. Dose adaptation accor- ding to trough levels, however, appears to be sufficient to maintain similar concentration— time profiles."

ref. 27, conti-			trough concen-
nuation	*1/*3: A	<ul> <li>*1/*3 compared to *3/*3:</li> <li>decrease in the dose-corrected trough concentration by 39% (S for the trend; from 1.49 to 0.91 x10<sup>-3</sup>/L).</li> </ul>	tration <sup>a</sup> compa- red to *3/*3: *1/*3 61% *1/*1: 34%
	*1/*1: A	<ul> <li>*1/*1 compared to *3/*3:</li> <li>decrease in the dose-corrected trough concentration by 76% (S for the trend; from 1.49 to 0.36 x10<sup>-3</sup>/L).</li> </ul>	
		<ul> <li>*1/*1 versus *1/*3 versus *3/*3:</li> <li>- association of the CYP3A5 genotype with the dose-corrected trough concentration (S; 0.36 versus 0.91 versus 1.49 x10<sup>-3</sup>/L).</li> </ul>	
ref. 28 Mourad M et al. The influence of genetic poly- morphisms of cytochrome P450 3A5 and ABCB1 on star- ting dose- and weight-standar- dized tacroli- mus trough concentrations after kidney transplantation in relation to renal function. Clin Chem Lab Med 2006;44:1192-8.	4 *1/*3 + *1/*1: A	The trough concentrations after the first dose of tacrolimus were determined in 59 kidney transplant patients (1x*1/*1, 9x *1/*3, 49x *3/*3) who were treated with tacrolimus in combination with azathio- prine (1 mg/kg per day) or mycophenolate mofetil (500 mg twice daily) and methylprednisolone (500 mg on the day of transplantation, followed by a gradual reduction of the dose). Renal function was determined after 7 and 14 days. Tacrolimus was started on the day of the transplant (0.1 mg/kg twice daily oral), after which the dose was adjusted to achieve a trough concentration of 5 - 15 ng/mL. Use of medication that affects the absorption and metabolism of tacrolimus was excluded. (*1/*3 + *1/*1) compared to *3/*3: - decrease in the dose-corrected and weight-corrected trough concentration by 46% (S; from 209.6 to 113.3 ng.mg/mL per kg). - decrease in the percentage of patients with tacrolimus concentrations above the therapeutic range (> 15 ng/mL) by 69% (S; from 65% to 20%). - no significant differences in weight-corrected dose (NS). - the presence of at least one *1 allele formed a significant independent variable that affected the dose-corrected and weight-corrected blood concentrations (S). - the tacrolimus concentration on day 1 was not associated with the glomerular filtration speed and the serum creatinine concentration on days 7 and 14 (NS). Six patients with acute tubular necrosis who required haemodialysis after the transplantation were excluded from this analysis. No episodes of acute rejection occurred during the 1 <sup>st</sup> month after transplantation.	Authors' conclu- sion: "Prospective trials are needed to prove that a genetic approach to tacrolimus pharmacokinetics and its related adverse events during the early period after graf- ting may improve patient outcome."
ref. 29 Roy JN et al. Cyp3A4, Cyp- 3A5, and MDR- 1 genetic influ- ences on tacroli- mus pharmaco- kinetics in renal transplant reci- pients. Pharmacogenet Genomics 2006;16:659-65.	3 *1/*3 + *1/*1: A	<ul> <li>In a prospective study, the tacrolimus trough concentrations were determined at two time-points (days 3 - 7 and after 3 months) in 44 kidney transplant patients (9x no *3/*3, 35x *3/*3), who were treated with tacrolimus (dose based on a trough concentration of 10 - 12 ng/mL), myco-phenolate mofetil and steroids. Follow-up for acute rejection and creatinine clearance was 3 months. The use of medication that could affect tacrolimus concentrations was excluded during the first week after transplantation.</li> <li>(no *3/*3) compared to *3/*3:</li> <li>decrease in the dose-corrected and weight-corrected trough concentration by 65% after both 3 - 7 days and after 3 months (S; from 81.3 to 28.2 ng.mg/mL per kg and from 117.5 to 40.7 ng.mg/ mL per kg respectively).</li> <li>increase in the percentage of patients with a dose-corrected and weight-corrected trough concentration below the median (77.9 ng.mg/ mL per kg) (S; from 37% to 90%; OR<sub>corr</sub> = 10.1).</li> <li>the *3 allele was a significant variable that affected the dose-corrected and weight-corrected blood concentrations (S).</li> <li>effectiveness of TDM: increase in the time required to achieve the target concentration (10 - 12 ng/mL) by 216% (S; from 3.8 to 12.0 days).</li> <li>no significant increase in the incidence of acute rejection confirmed by biopsy during the first 3 months (NS; from 23% to 33%).</li> </ul>	Authors' conclu- sion: "The complete absence of Cyp- 3A5*3 allele and the accumulation of less than three copies of MDR-1 (T-129C, C3435T and G2677T) polymorphisms are associated with lower tacro- limus blood levels identifying these genotypes as markers for patients requiring higher tacrolimus doses."

	1		ſ
ref. 29, conti- nuation ref. 30 Cheung CY et al. Influence of	4	<ul> <li>no significant differences in creatinine clearance after 3 months (NS; from 0.71% to 0.69 µL/min).</li> <li>A part of the *3/*3 group had low dose-corrected and weight-corrected trough concentrations. Two CYP3A5 alleles can be present on the same chromosome. Six patients with *3/*3 also had a third CYP-3A5 allele (1x *6 and 5x *1C, 3 of which had low corrected trough concentrations).</li> <li>NOTE: Except for *3 (frequency of 84.1%), genotyping was also performed for *1B, *1C, *2, *5, *6 and SNP31611 in the 3'-UTR.*1B, *2 and *5 were not found in this Canadian population group. The frequency of *1C, *6 and SNP31611 in the 3'-UTR was 5.7%, 1.1% and 4.5% respectively.</li> <li>NOTE: Considering that most other studies only genotyped for *3, no *3/*3 in this study is equivalent to (*1/*1 + *1/*3) in the other studies.</li> <li>At an average 2.7 years after transplantation, the AUC<sub>0-12h</sub> for tacrolimus was determined based on the blood concentration at 2 and 4 hours after taking tacrolimus in 103 kidney transplant patients (10x *1/*1, 38x *1/*3, 55x *3/*3) who were being treated with tacrolimus in</li> </ul>	Authors' conclu- sion: "The CYP3A5*3 polymorphism
different allelic variants of the CYP3A and ABCB1 genes on the tacroli- mus pharmaco- kinetic profile of Chinese renal transplant reci- pients. Pharmacoge- nomics 2006;7:563-74.	*1/*1: A	<ul> <li>combination with azathioprine (n = 78) or mycophenolate mofetil (n = 25) and prednisolone. Tacrolimus was started at a dose of 0.15 mg/kg twice daily, after which the dose was adjusted to achieve a AUC<sub>0-12h</sub> value of 100 - 150 ng.h/mL during the first 3 months and 80 - 100 ng.h/mL thereafter. Use of co-medication and nutritional supplements and the presence of conditions that can affect tacrolimus concentrations were excluded. The prednisolone dose had no significant effect on the variation in tacrolimus dose.</li> <li>*1/*1 compared to *3/*3: - decrease in AUC<sub>0-12h</sub> ab y 57% (S; from 2,143 to 920 ng.mg.h/mL per kg).</li> <li>- increase in the weight-corrected dose by 80% (S; from 0.050 to 0.090 mg/kg per day).</li> </ul>	may be an impor- tant factor in determining the dose requirement for tacrolimus and genotyping can help deter- mine the initial daily dose required by indi- vidual patients for adequate immunosuppres- sion."
	*1/*3: A	<ul> <li>*1/*3 compared to *3/*3:</li> <li>- decrease in AUC<sub>0-12h</sub><sup>ab</sup> by 47% (S; from 2,143 to 1,228 ng.mg.h/mL per kg).</li> <li>- increase in the weight-corrected dose by 40% (S; from 0.050 to 0.070 mg/kg per day).</li> <li>*1/*1 versus *1/*3 versus *3/*3:</li> <li>- the CYP3A5*3 polymorphism was the most important significant independent variable and explained 35% of the variability in</li> </ul>	AUC <sup>ab</sup> compared to *3/*3 after on average 2.7 years: *1/*3: 53% *1/*1: 43%
<b>ref. 31, liver</b> Uesugi M et al. Effect of intes- tinal CYP3A5 on postoperative tacrolimus trough levels in living-donor liver transplant reci- pients. Pharmacogenet Genomics 2006;16:119-27.	3 *1/*1: A *1/*3: A	<ul> <li>required dose (S).</li> <li>The median dose-corrected trough concentration was determined in week 1, week 2, week 3, week 4 and week 5 after transplantation in 201 liver transplant patients (9x*1/*1, 63x *1/*3, 129x *3/*3) on immunosuppressive therapy with tacrolimus (dosed at a trough concentration of 5 - 15 ng/mL).</li> <li>*1/*1 compared to *3/*3:</li> <li>decrease in the median dose-corrected trough concentration by 41 - 62% (S for weeks 1 - 4).</li> <li>*1/*3 compared to *3/*3:</li> <li>decrease in the median dose-corrected trough concentration (S for weeks 1 - 5), but decrease is less than for *1/*1.</li> <li>*1/*1 compared to *3/*3:</li> <li>non-significant decrease in the median dose-corrected trough concentration (S for weeks 1 - 5), but decrease in the median dose-corrected trough concentration (S for weeks 1 - 5), but decrease in the median dose-corrected trough concentration for *1/*1.</li> <li>*1/*1 compared to *3/*3:</li> <li>non-significant decrease in the median dose-corrected trough concentration in weeks 1 - 5 (NS).</li> <li>The dose-corrected trough concentration decreased over time.</li> </ul>	Authors' conclu- sion: "These results indicate that intestinal CYP- 3A5, as well as hepatic CYP3A5, plays an impor- tant role in the first-pass effect of orally adminis- tered tacrolimus."
		For patients with *1/*1 or *1/*3, the CYP3A5 genotype of the	

ref. 31, conti-		transplant affected the dose-corrected trough concentration	
	3		Authors' conclu-
nuation ref. 32 Zhang X et al. Influence of CYP3A5 and MDR1 polymor- phisms on tacrolimus concentration in the early stage after renal transplantation. Clin Transplant 2005;19:638-43.	3 *1/*1: A *1/*3: A	<ul> <li>significantly.</li> <li>The tacrolimus trough concentration was determined 1 week, 1 month and 3 months after transplantation in 118 kidney transplant patients (12x*1/*1, 36x *1/*3, 70x *3/*3) on immunosuppressive treatment with tacrolimus, mycophenolate mofetil and corticosteroids. Tacrolimus was started at a dose of 0.075 mg/kg twice daily, after which the dose was adjusted to achieve a trough concentration in the blood of 10 ng/mL during the first 3 months and 5 ng/mL thereafter. Use of co-medication that affects the concentration of tacrolimus was excluded.</li> <li>*1/*1 compared to *3/*3:</li> <li>decrease in the weight-corrected and dose-corrected trough concentration by 68% after 1 week and 1 month and 77% after 3 months (S).</li> <li>*1/*3 compared to *3/*3:</li> <li>decrease in the weight-corrected and dose-corrected trough concentration by 59% after 1 week, 55% after 1 month and 61% after 3 months (S).</li> <li>*1/*1 compared to *3/*3:</li> <li>decrease in the weight-corrected and dose-corrected trough concentration by 59% after 1 week, 55% after 1 month and 61% after 3 months (S).</li> <li>*1/*1 compared to *3/*3:</li> <li>decrease in the weight-corrected and dose-corrected trough concentration by 21% after 1 week (NS), 29% after 1 month (S) and 40% after 3 months (S).</li> <li>*1/*1 versus *1/*3 versus *3/*3:</li> <li>effectiveness of TDM:</li> <li>increase in the trough concentration with the number of *3 alleles, both after 1 week and after 1 and 3 months (S). This suggests a much poorer TDM in this study than in other studies, in which the target concentration was achieved much sooner after transplantatore.</li> </ul>	Authors' conclu- sion: "CYP3A5*1/*3 polymorphisms are associated with tacrolimus pharmacokinetics and dose requi- rements in renal transplant reci- pients. Pharma- cogenetic me- thods could be employed pros- pectively to help initial dose selec- tion and to indivi- dualize immuno- suppressive the- rapy." Trough concen- tration <sup>ab</sup> compa- red to *3/*3 after 1 week: *1/*3: 41% *1/*1: 32%
		tion. - after 1 week, 46% of (*1/*1 + *1/*3) had trough concentrations < 5 ng/mL and 77% had trough concentrations < 8 ng/mL, whilst 10% of *3/*3 had a trough concentration > 20 ng/mL.	
ref. 33 Tada H et al. Impact of CYP- 3A5 and MDR1(ABCB1) C3435T polymorphisms on the pharma- cokinetics of tacrolimus in renal transplant recipients. Transplant Proc 2005;37:1730-2.	3 *1/*1 + *1/*3: A	<ul> <li>The pharmacokinetic parameters of tacrolimus were determined 4 weeks after transplantation in 39 kidney transplant patients (1x*1/*1, 16x *1/*3, 22x *3/*3) on immunosuppressive treatment with tacrolimus, mycophenolate mofetil and corticosteroids.</li> <li>(*1/*1+ *1/*3) compared to *3/*3:</li> <li>decrease in AUC<sub>0-12h</sub><sup>ab</sup> by 34% (S; from 0.865 to 0.570 ng.mg.h/mL per kg).</li> <li>increase in Clor by 39% (S; from 25.1 to 35.0 L/h).</li> <li>non-significant decrease in t<sub>1/2</sub> by 31% (NS).</li> <li>effectiveness of TDM: no significant differences in AUC<sub>0-12h</sub> and trough concentration.</li> </ul>	Authors' conclu- sion: "Renal transplant recipients who were CYP3A5*1 carriers required a higher dose of tacrolimus than CYP3A5*3/*3, indicating a signi- ficantly lower dose-adjusted AUC <sub>0-12</sub> of tacro- limus."
ref. 34 Macphee IA et al. Tacrolimus pharmacogene- tics: the CYP- 3A5*1 allele predicts low dose-normali- zed tacrolimus blood concen- trations in whites and	3	A total of 180 kidney transplant patients, of which 169 were White (16x (*1/*1 + *1/*3), 103x *3/*3), 26 South Asian (17x (*1/*1 + *1/*3), 9x *3/*3), 23 Black (20x (*1/*1 + *1/*3), 3x *3/*3) and 12 had Middle Eastern ancestors (3x (*1/*1 + *1/*3), 9x *3/*3), received tacrolimus and cortico-steroids and some also received a third medication (azathioprine, n = 45 or mycophenolate mofetil, n = 26). Tacrolimus dose was adjusted to achieve a trough concentration of 10 - 15 ng/mL. (*1/*1+ *1/*3) compared to *3/*3: - decrease in the weight-corrected and dose-corrected trough concentration 3 months after transplantation by a factor of 2 for White and South Asian patients (S). The decrease was non-	Authors' conclu- sion: "Genotyping for CYP3A5*1 to identify CYP3A5 expressors has the potential to identify individu- als with a high dose requirement for tacrolimus and may be a useful tool for

South Asians.significant for the two smallest groups (Black and MidTransplantation- effectiveness of TDM:	dle Eastern). individualizing immunosuppres-
2005;79:499 decrease in the mean trough concentration in week	
502. after transplantation by 26% and 21% respectively (	5
to 13.6 ng/mL and from 14.3 to 11.2 ng/mL respectively	,
0 1	5,
ref. 34, conti- nuation- increase in the time required to achieve the target control(10 - 15 ng/mL):	ncentration
	an trough
<ul> <li>increase in the percentage of patients with the mean concentration outside the target range in week 1 b</li> </ul>	
from 8.2% to 39.3%) and in week 2 by 139% (S; fr	011 3.3% 10
17.9%).	
<ul> <li>increase in the percentage of White patients with t</li> </ul>	
trough concentration outside the target range in w	
(S; from 7.8% to 68.8%) and in week 2 by 382% (	s; from 3.9%
to 18.8%).	1 though
- decrease in the percentage of patients with at least	
concentration > 20 ng/mL in week 1 by 46% (S; from	n 73.0% to
39.3%).	
- no significant difference in the incidence of first episod	
rejection confirmed by biopsy during the first 3 month *1/*1 + 43% to 41%), but there was a decrease in the average	
*1/*3: Bfirst period of rejection by 38% (S; from 13 to 8 days).ref. 353Data were analysed for 62 kidney transplant patients (2)	x *1/*1, 15x Authors' conclu-
Hesselink DA et*1/*3, 45x *3/*3) who had received their transplant more ago and were receiving immunosuppressive treatment	
Genetic poly- morphisms of tacrolimus. Use of medication that affects the absorption metabolism of tacrolimus was excluded.	n and patients with the CYP3A5*3/*3
the CYP3A4,	genotype require
CYP3A5, and *1/*3 compared to *3/*3:	less tacrolimus to
MDR-1 genes - decrease in the mean weight-corrected and dose-corr	
and pharmaco- concentration 3 and 12 months after transplantation b	
kinetics of the 1/*3: A 54% respectively (S; from 94.4 to 61.0 ng.mg/mL per	
calcineurin inhi- 124.2 to 57.6 ng.mg/mL per kg respectively).	with CYP3A5*1
bitors cyclospo effectiveness of TDM: no significant differences in the	
rine and tacroli- concentrations.	allele carriers.
mus.	Median trough
Clin Pharmacol $(*1/*1 + *1/*3)$ compared to *3/*3:	concentration <sup>ab</sup>
Ther *1/*1 + - decrease in the median weight-corrected and dose-co	
2003;74:245-54. *1/*3: A concentration 3 months after transplantation by 35%	
to 61.0 ng.mg/mL per kg). The decrease was also sig	
subgroup of White patients (77%).	*1/*3: 65%
- effectiveness of TDM: no significant differences in the	
concentrations.	
- no significant difference in the incidence of acute reje	ction
confirmed by biopsy (NS; from 20.0% to 23.5%), but t	
patients with a transplant survival $\geq 1$ year and no set	
cation toxicity that necessitated suspension of tacrolir	
NOTE: In addition to *3, genotyping was also performe	d for *6, but
the two *1/*6 patients that were found were excluded fr	
sis, because it was not clear whether the *1 and *6 poly	

<sup>a</sup> Corrected for the dose.

<sup>b</sup> Corrected for body weight.

AA<sup># t</sup> the genotype has a significant effect, but this effect is favourable instead of unfavourable and therefore does not require any action for this genotype.

Risk group -

### Comments:

For the period after March 2015, studies involving more than 400 patients with a liver transplant or more than 600 patients with another indication were only included if they investigated clinical endpoints. Studies with

genotype-guided dosing were only included if more than 100 patients received genotype-guided dosing. Kinetic studies were only included if they involved at least 60 CYP3A5 expressers.

For the period starting October 2008, only studies involving more than 400 patients with a liver transplant or more than 500 patients with another indication, and studies with genotype-guided dosing were included. Furthermore, kinetic results were only included if the data were determined per genotype (i.e. for \*1/\*1 and \*1/\*3 separately). In addition to this, a study was included that examined the link between improvement of the number of patients with a concentration within the target range on day three and clinical outcomes. Other articles did not contribute sufficiently to the burden of proof. Jacobson PA et al. Novel polymorphisms associated with tacrolimus trough concentrations: results from a multicenter kidney transplant consortium. Transplantation 2011;91:300-8. PubMed PMID: 21206424 was not included, because it concerns the same study as Pulk 2015 and provides data for fewer patients.

Due to the large number of articles about CYP3A5 and tacrolimus (36 relevant references as of 15 October 2008), a selection was made for the risk analysis. This selection took place according to the following criteria: - studies involving patients, no studies involving volunteers.

- all studies with clinical effects (other than doses)
- genotyping for CYP3A5 (and not for CYP3A1, which exhibits a strong linkage with CYP3A5).
- kinetic studies with  $\ge 15x (*1/*1 + *1/*3)$  and  $\ge 15x *3/*3$
- kinetic studies with transplantations other than liver transplantation, as in the case of liver transplantation the plasma concentration of tacrolimus is not only affected by the genotype of the patient, but also by the genotype of the transplant. To illustrate this, the largest kinetic study following liver transplantation was included (in addition to a study that also examined a clinical effect).

# Dose recommendations from the literature (dosing algorithms and dosing equations based on modelling only included before 2015):

- Birdwell KA et al. Clinical pharmacogenetics implementation consortium (CPIC) guidelines for CYP3A5 genotype and tacrolimus dosing. Clin Pharmacol Ther 2015;98:19-24.

For both \*1/\*3 and \*1/\*1 the authors recommend an initial dose that is 1.5 - 2 times higher than the normal initial dose. The total initial does may not exceed 0.3 mg/kg per day. The dose must be adjusted according to TDM.

The dose recommendation applies to patients with kidney, heart, lung and stem cell transplants and for liver transplant patients where the genotype of the transplant is identical to that of the patient. The evidence supporting the dose recommendation is strong.

The authors indicate that co-medication - particularly verapamil, diltiazem and triazole derivatives - must be taken into consideration when setting the dose. The interaction with triazole derivatives is monitored via the interaction database. In addition to this, the authors indicate that patient factors such as fasting and diarrhoea can affect tacrolimus metabolism.

The guideline above was still the most recent version on the website of CPIC on 10 April 2024.

Passey C et al. Dosing equation for tacrolimus using genetic variants and clinical factors. Br J Clin Pharmacol 2011;72:948-57.

Based on the data from 681 kidney transplant patients (Jacobson PA et al. Novel polymorphisms associated with tacrolimus trough concentrations: results from a multicenter kidney transplant consortium.

Transplantation 2011;91:300-8. PubMed PMID: 21206424, which is a substudy from Pulk 2015), the authors postulated the following dose algorithm:

the total daily dose (mg) = 0.9216 x the target value for the tacrolimus trough concentration (ng/mL) x [(0.86, if day 6 - 10 after transplantation) or (0.71, if day 11 - 180 after transplantation)] x [(1.69, if CYP3A5 \*1/\*3) or (2.00, if CYP3A5\*1/\*1)] x (0.70, if treatment takes place in a steroid sparing centre) x [(age in years/50)<sup>-0,4</sup>] x (0.94, if the patient is taking a calcium antagonist)

Date of literature search: 11 March 2024.

	Genotype	Code	Gene-drug interaction	Action	Date
KNMP Pharmacogenetics	homozygous expresser	4 E	Yes	Yes	23 May 2024
Working Group decision	heterozygous expresser	4 E	Yes	Yes	

#### Mechanism:

Tacrolimus is primarily converted to metabolites by CYP3A4 and CYP3A5 and these metabolites do not contribute to the pharmacological activity of tacrolimus. CYP3A5 can metabolise tacrolimus in the liver, intestines and kidneys.