

# CYP2D6: bisoprolol

## 2456/2457/2458

IM = intermediate metaboliser (gene dose 0.25-1) (reduced CYP2D6 enzyme activity), NM = normal metaboliser (gene dose 1.25-2.5) (normal CYP2D6 enzyme activity), NS = non-significant, PM = poor metaboliser (gene dose 0) (absent CYP2D6 enzyme activity), UM = ultra-rapid metaboliser (gene dose  $\geq$  2.75) (elevated CYP2D6 enzyme activity) ty)

### Brief summary and justification of choices:

Approximately 50% of bisoprolol is excreted in unchanged form in the urine. The other 50% is metabolised by CYP-3A4 and CYP2D6, with CYP2D6 potentially making only a relatively small contribution. None of the four studies in patients and one study in healthy volunteers found an effect of the CYP2D6 phenotype on bisoprolol pharmacokinetics (Fontana 2022, Chan 2021, Nozawa 2005, Taguchi 2005, and Deroubaix 1996). In addition, Chan 2021 and Nozawa 2005 found no effect of the CYP2D6 phenotype on response to bisoprolol. Based on this, the KNMP Pharmacogenetics Working Group decided that there is no evidence for a CYP2D6-bisoprolol interaction, and thus no reason to recommend a dose adjustment or an alternative (no/no-interactions). You can find an overview of the observed kinetic and clinical consequences per phenotype in the background information text of the gene-drug interactions in the KNMP Kennisbank. You might also have access to this background information text via your pharmacy or physician electronic decision support system.

The table below follows the KNMP definition for NM, PM, IM and UM, unless stated otherwise. The definition of NM, PM, IM and UM used in the table below may therefore differ from the definition used by the authors in the article.

Source	Code	Effect	Comments
ref. 1 Fontana V et al. Chromosomal region 11p14.1 is associated with pharmaco- kinetics and pharmacody- namics of biso- prolol. Pharmgeno- mics Pers Med 2022;15:249- 60. PMID: 35356681.	3	591 patients were treated with bisoprolol 1.25-20 mg/day following a non-ST elevation-acute coronary syndrome. Bisoprolol clearance was determined 1 month after hospital discharge using a population phar- macokinetic model adjusting for age, body weight, sex, smoking, and concomitant use of a diuretic. Chronic kidney disease did not influence clearance and so, was not adjusted for. Patients were excluded if their bisoprolol plasma levels were below the lower limit of quantification, they had poor adherence to bisoprolol (defined as at least one pill missed in the week before blood sampling as assessed by the Brief Medication Questionnaire), or if they were categorised as an outlier in relation to bisoprolol plasma concentrations according to Tukey's method. Comedication with CYP2D6 inhibitors was not excluded. Genotyping: - 300x NM - 246x IM - 31x PM - 14x UM	Authors' conclu- sion: 'No associations were found with CYP2D6 or CYP- 3A genotypes or metabolizer status despite the fact that these two P450 enzymes are involved in biso- prolol metabo- lism'
	PM: AA IM: AA UM: AA	Results:         Results for PM versus IM versus NM versus UM:         median       NS         bisoprolol       Results were also NS for each of the single geno- types.         In addition, no significant associations in the CYP2D6 region were observed in a genome-wide association analysis.         For NM, the median bisoprolol clearance was 11.4 L/h.         Note: Genotyping was for *3, *4, *5, *9, *10, *41, and gene duplication.         These are the most important variants in this population from the United Kingdom. Apart from *5 and gene duplication, pharmacogene-	

		tic variants were extracted from a genome-wide as	ssociation	study.	
ref. 2 Chan SW et al. Influence of CYP2D6 and CYP3A5 poly- morphisms on the pharmaco- kinetics and pharmacody- namics of biso- prolol in hyper- tensive Chi- nese patients. Front Med (Lausanne) 2021;8:683498. PMID: 34568359.	3 IM+PM: AA	99 patients with mild to moderate essential hypertension were treated with bisoprolol 2.5 mg/day for 6 weeks. Plasma concentrations 3 hours post-dose were only determined in 45 of these patients. Clinic blood pressure was measured in sitting position. Comedication with CYP2D6 inhibitors was not excluded. Impairment of hepatic or renal functions was excluded.         Multiple linear regression analysis was performed to test associations with plasma concentrations.         Genotyping:         - 56x NM         - 42x IM         - 1x PM         Results:         Results for IM+PM compared to NM:         change in clinic diastolic blood pressure         NS         change in clinic diastolic blood pressure         NS         change in 24h ambulatory systolic blood pressure			Authors' conclu- sion: 'The common polymorphisms in CYP2D6 that were examined and the CYP3A5 *3 polymorphism appear to have no benefit in predicting the hemodynamic response to biso- prolol in these patients.'
		change in 24h ambulatory diastolic blood pressureNSchange in daytime ambulatory systolic blood pressureNSchange in daytime ambulatory diastolic blood pressureNSchange in night-time ambulatory systolic blood pressureNSchange in night-time ambulatory diastolic blood pressureNSchange in night-time ambulatory diastolic blood pressureNSchange in clinic heart rateNSchange in 24h ambulatory heart rateNS			
			lay 1 lay 42	NS NS NS NS NS	
		Note 1: Genotyping was for *2, *4, *5, *10, and *14 most important variants in this Chinese population Note 2: The authors consider the *14 variant (form *14B) as inactive, whereas PharmVar indicates th activity. However, PharmVar does not include the found in mainland China yet.	4. These a n. nerly denot at *14 has	ed as reduced	
<b>ref. 3</b> Nozawa T et al. Influence of CYP2D6 geno- type on meto- prolol plasma concentration and beta-adre- nergic inhibition during long- term treatment: a comparison with bisoprolol. J Cardiovasc Pharmacol 2005;46:713- 20.	4 IM: AA	<ul> <li>40 patients (ischaemic ) on the peak level (NS).</li> <li>40 patients (ischaemic heart disease, hypertension and atrial fibrillation), 9x *10/*10, 16x *1/*10 or *2/*10, 14x no *10 (*1/*1, *1/*2 or *2/*2), 1x *2/*5, screened for *2, *4, *5, *10 and *14, bisoprolol dose guided by clinical effect, mean dose 3.7 mg/day, no CYP2D6 inhibitors as co-medication;</li> <li>*10/*10 versus NM (1x*10 and no *10): <ul> <li>no difference in peak and trough levels (both NS).</li> <li>no difference in daily dose and dose-corrected peak and trough levels (NS).</li> <li>no difference in change of heart rate, diastolic blood pressure and systolic blood pressure after administration of a β-agonist at the time of the trough level or the peak level (NS).</li> </ul> </li> </ul>			Authors' conclu- sion: "Bisoprolol had a relatively con- stant β-adrener- gic inhibition independent of CYP2D6 geno- type."
20. <b>ref. 4</b> Taguchi M et al. Pharmacokine- tic variability of routinely admi- nistered biso-	3 IM: AA	40 patients, including 7 with mild heart failure, 9x 5 or *2/*10, 14x no *10 (*1/*1, *1/*2 or *2/*2), 1x *2/* *5, *10 and *14, bisoprolol dose guided by clinical mg/day, no CYP2D6 inhibitors as co-medication; *10/*10 versus (1x *10 + no *10 + *2/*5): - no difference in peak and trough levels (both I	*5, screene effect, 2.5	ed for *2,	Authors' conclu- sion: "The relation between the creatinine clea- rance and the oral clearance of

prolol in middle- aged and elder- ly Japanese patients. Biol Pharm Bull 2005;28:876- 81.		<ul> <li>development of a pharmacokinetic model confirmed that the CYP2D6*10 allele did not have a significant effect on non-renal clearance of bisoprolol.</li> </ul>	bisoprolol was not altered by the CYP2D6 geno- type."
ref. 5 Deroubaix X et al. Comparative bioavailability of a metoprolol controlled release formu- lation and a bisoprolol normal release tablet after single oral dose administration in healthy volunteers. Int J Clin Phar- macol Ther 1996;34:61-70.	3 PM: AA	<ul> <li>12 healthy volunteers, 9x NM<sup>#</sup>, 3x PM, phenotyping, single dose of bisoprolol 10 mg, no co-medication other than contraceptives;</li> <li>PM versus NM<sup>#</sup>: <ul> <li>no significant difference in plasma concentrations after 12 and 24 hours (NS).</li> </ul> </li> <li>NOTE: genotype unknown. Phenotyping can only distinguish between PM and the other phenotypes, so NM<sup>#</sup> is actually equal to IM, NM and UM.</li> </ul>	Authors' conclu- sion: "Bisoprolol dispo- sition was not associated with the CYP2D6 pattern."

Risk group

### Comments:

The article Fedorinov DS et al. Pharmacogenetic testing by polymorphic markers G1846A (CYP2D6\*4) and C100T (CYP2D6\*10) of the CYP2D6 gene in coronary heart disease patients taking β-blockers in the Republic of Sakha (YAKUTIA). Drug Metab Pers Ther 2018;33:195-200. PMID: 30325731 was not included in this risk analysis, because the data in this article are wrong. According to the article, the number of patients carrying both the 1846G>A and the 100C>T gene variant is higher than the number of patients carrying the 1846 G>A gene variant, which is not possible. In addition, in the total group of 201 patients using atenolol, metoprolol or bisoprolol, the article claims the presence of 10 1846G>T variants (\*4) based on the number of patients with this gene variant and the presence of 42 1846G>T variants (\*4) based on the gene variant frequency, all within the same table. This casts too much doubt about whether other data in this article can be trusted.

Date of literature search: 14 July 2022.

--

	Phenotype	Code	Gene-drug interaction	Action	Date
KNMP Pharmacogenetics	PM	3 AA	no	no	12 September 2022
Working Group decision	IM	4 AA	no	no	
	UM		no	no	

#### Mechanism:

Approximately 50% of bisoprolol is excreted in unchanged form in the urine. The other 50% is metabolised by CYP-3A4 and CYP2D6, with CYP2D6 potentially making only a relatively small contribution.