

# CYP1A2: clozapine

## 4640 to 4645

CI = confidence interval, BMI = body-mass index, HDL = high-density lipoprotein, IM = intermediate metaboliser (a fully functional or \*1F allele in combination with an allele resulting in an enzyme with reduced or absent activity other than \*1C) (reduced CYP1A2 enzyme activity), LDL = low-density lipoprotein, NM = normal metaboliser (two fully functional alleles) (normal CYP1A2 enzyme activity), NS = non-significant, OR = odds ratio, OR<sub>corr</sub> = corrected odds ratio, PM = poor metaboliser (two alleles resulting in an enzyme with reduced or absent activity that are not both \*1C) (strongly reduced or absent CYP1A2 enzyme activity), S = significant, TDM = therapeutic drug monitoring, \*1A = a fully functional allele, \*1C = the most common allele in the Netherlands reported to result in an enzyme with decreased activity, \*1C-heterozygote = a genotype with one \*1C and one other allele or genotype group \*1C-heterozygote (defined as all combinations of \*1C and a fully active or \*1F allele, for example \*1A/\*1C, \*1C/\*1D and \*1C/\*1F) (reported to have reduced CYP1A2 enzyme activity), \*1F = an allele reported to result in increased inducibility of CYP1A2 expression, '\*1F' = an allele that contains other gene variants alongside the gene variant in \*1F (-163C>A), e.g. the alleles \*1K and \*1W (the presence of other gene variants alongside the one in \*1F has been reported to abolish the increased inducibility of CYP1A2 expression, with \*1K even being reported to result in an enzyme with reduced activity), \*1A/\*1F = genotype \*1A/\*1F or genotype group \*1A/\*1F (defined as all combinations of an \*1F allele and a fully functional allele, for example \*1A/\*1F = the most common genotype in the Netherlands (reported to result in increased inducibility of CYP1A2 expression).

#### Brief summary and justification of choices:

Clozapine is mainly converted by CYP1A2 to the active metabolite N-desmethylclozapine (norclozapine). The activity of norclozapine is low and not considered clinically relevant. Polymorphisms that influence the activity of CYP1A2 are therefore expected to influence the clozapine plasma concentrations and in turn the incidence of side effects and the effectiveness.

\*1F is the most common gene variant in the Netherlands. Pharmacogenetic guidelines for \*1F/\*1F are therefore not useful. No abnormal activity has been demonstrated for \*1D, \*1W and rs2069522. Therefore, they fall under NM for the time being, as does \*1A/\*1A. One genome-wide association study (GWAS) showed rs2472297, an intergenic variant between CYP1A1 and CYP1A2, to be associated with reduction of clozapine plasma concentrations adjusted for, amongst others, dose (Pardiñas 2019). However, this was not confirmed by another study. In addition, Pharm-GKB considers rs2472297 to be a CYP1A1 variant, and an association in a GWAS does not indicate causality. For these reasons, there is not enough evidence for an effect of rs2472297 on the activity of CYP1A2, and the polymorphism was not included as a CYP1A2 variant yet.

NM and \*1A/\*1F Clinical differences

Five studies investigating \*1A/\*1F and/or NM found significant clinical differences versus \*1F/\*1F. However, none of these clinical differences were confirmed in any other articles and corresponding changes in plasma concentrations were only found in one study.

Viikki 2014 (185 patients) found a decrease in the severity of side effects for (NM + \*1A/\*1F). However, there were no differences in plasma concentrations of clozapine, norclozapine and clozapine + norclozapine between (NM + \*1A/\*1F) and \*1F/\*1F. In addition, Ortega-Vázquez 2020 (48 patients) did not find an effect of \*1F on total adverse events, general adverse events, metabolic adverse events and neurological adverse events, Rajkumar 2013 (101 patients) did not find an effect on adverse events, and Ferrari 2012 (12 cases and 22 control patients) did not find an effect on severe adverse events.

Olsson 2015 (95 patients) found an increased risk of fasting glucose concentrations > 5.6 mmol/l for (NM + \*1A/\*1F). This was supported by an increase in dose-corrected clozapine concentration in this group. However, Looman 2013 (70 patients) did not find an increase in the incidence of uncontrolled glucose for NM, and Vasudev 2017 (60 patients) did not find an effect of \*1F on fasting glucose levels. Moreover, Olsson 2015 reported that this may have been a coincidental finding due to multiple testing. Vasudev 2017 (60 patients) found a decreased risk of metabolic syndrome in \*1F/\*1F, which would correspond to an increased risk in NM+\*1A/\*1F, but clozapine plasma concentrations did not differ in patients with the \*1F/\*1F genotype in this study. A decreased risk of metabolic syndrome in \*1F/\*1F there was an increased metabolic syndrome risk. There was no effect of \*1F on other metabolic outcomes (BMI, fasting glucose levels, and lipid levels). Looman 2013 (70 patients) did not find an effect of \*1F

on metabolic syndrome, but found NM patients to have higher plasma concentrations of HDL cholesterol than (\*1A/\*1F + \*1F/\*1F). The presence of an effect was not confirmed by analyses of clozapine plasma concentrations. In addition, Vasudev 2017 did not find an effect of \*1F on lipid levels (including HDL cholesterol levels) and Ortega-Vázquez 2020 did not find an effect on metabolic adverse events. Basile 2001 (70 patients) did not find on effect of \*1A on the increase in body weight during treatment for 6 weeks. It is also very likely that metabolic side effects are not dose related. The affinity for metabolic receptors seems to be high to the extent that side effects also occur at low doses and plasma concentrations. An association with kinetic genes therefore does not seem likely.

Kohlrausch 2013 found significantly fewer epileptic seizures in (\*1A/\*1F + NM + \*1C-heterozygote + \*1C/\*1C), but this was not supported by a significant difference in distribution of the \*1F/\*1F, \*1A/\*1F and \*1A/\*1A genotype groups over the patients with and without seizures. This is also not confirmed by similar findings in other articles or supported by analyses of plasma concentrations. De Brito 2015 found a 2-fold higher incidence of \*1F/\*1F in 27 super-refractory patients (treatment-resistant patients not responding to clozapine) compared to 27 refractory patients (treatment-resistant patients responding to clozapine). However, whereas the baseline Brief Psychiatric Rating Scale (BPRS) score was higher in the super-refractory patients, there was no significant difference in the BPRS score change compared to refractory patients. This raises the question whether this result really points to a difference in effectiveness of clozapine or to a difference in disease severity in these patients. In addition, Lesche 2020 (66 patients) and Rajkumar 2013 (101 treatment-resistant patients) did not find an effect of \*1F on clozapine effectiveness (based on symptom severity in Lesche 2020 and on percentage of non-responders, schizophrenia symptoms, disability and cognitive function in Rajkumar 2013).

#### Kinetic differences

Ten kinetic studies, ranging in size from 48 to 185 patients, and one meta-analysis of 4 studies compared NM, \*1A/\*1F or (NM + \*1A/\*1F) to \*1F/\*1F. Eight of these studies and the meta-analysis found no significant differences (Ortega-Vázquez 2020 (48 patients), Lesche 2020 (66 patients), Na Takuathung 2019 (meta-analyses of 4 studies), Huang 2016 (143 patients), Viikki 2014 (185 patients), Lee 2012 (96 patients), Jaquenoud Sirot 2009 (75 patients), Kootstra-Ros 2005 (58 patients), van der Weide 2003 (80 patients)). Lesche 2020 showed an effect of genotype- and smoking-predicted CYP1A2 activity, however genotype and smoking together predicted less of the variance in clozapine exposure than smoking alone. Two studies with 51 and 95 patients found significantly higher plasma concentrations for NM or for (NM + \*1A/\*1F) (Ammar 2021 (51 patients), Olsson 2015 (95 patients)). In Ammar 2021 smoking was associated with dose-corrected clozapine trough concentration in univariate analysis, but not in multivariate analysis. So, smoking was not an independent predictor of dose-corrected clozapine trough concentration in this study. This is strange considering the well demonstrated impact of smoking on clozapine plasma concentration. *Conclusion* 

There is insufficient evidence for clinical or kinetic differences between NM, \*1A/\*1F and \*1F/\*1F. The KNMP Pharmacogenetics Working Group has therefore decided that there is no gene-drug interaction for NM and for \*1A/\*1F (no/no-interactions).

#### \*1C/\*1C and \*1C-heterozygotes Clinical differences

Ortega-Vázguez 2020 (21 heterozygotes and 7 homozygotes for the \*1C-polymorphism) found an increased risk for total adverse events for heterozydotes + homozygotes for the \*1C-polymorphism compared to patients without \*1C-polymorphism, but no difference in dose- and weight-corrected plasma concentration between the genotypes. In addition, this was not confirmed by an increased risk for any of the three groups of adverse events (general, metabolic and neurological). In addition, other studies including more than 10 heterozygotes found no significant effect of \*1C on side effects (Kohlrausch 2013 (17x \*1C-heterozygotes, 1x \*1C/\*1C; investigating seizures only), Rajkumar 2013 (18x \*1C-heterozygotes, 2x \*1C/\*1C), Ferrari 2012 (12x \*1C-heterozygotes, 1x \*1C/\*1C). Only in a case-control study were all three patients with side effects found to be either \*1C/\*1C (n=1) or \*1C/\*1A (n=2), whilst the 5 control patients were all \*1F/\*1F (Bolla 2011). This result was supported by a significant reduction in CYP1A2 mRNA levels in lymphocytes in the \*1C group compared to the \*1F/\*1F group. However, a study including 12 \*1C-heterozygotes and 1 \*1C/\*1C found no effect of \*1C on CYP1A2 mRNA levels in lymphocytes (Ferrari 2012).

Rajkumar 2013 (18x \*1C-heterozygotes, 2x \*1C/\*1C) did not find an effect of \*1C on clozapine effectiveness (percentage of non-responders, schizophrenia symptoms, disability, and cognitive function).

Kinetic differences

Ammar 2021 (5 \*1C-heterozygotes) and Ortega-Vázquez 2020 (21 heterozygotes and 7 homozygotes for the \*1C-polymorphism) found no effect of \*1C on the dose-

corrected clozapine plasma concentration. *Conclusion* The KNMP Pharmacogenetics Working Group decided that there is insufficient evidence for clinical or kinetic differences between \*1C/\*1C, \*1C-heterozygotes and \*1F/\*1F and therefore for a gene-drug interaction (no/no-interactions).

IM and PM There was only one case for IM (\*1A/\*7), with no comparison to similar patients with the \*1F/\*1F or \*1A/\*1A genotype (Allorge D et al. Identification of a novel splice-site mutation in the CYP1A2 gene. Br J Clin Pharmacol 2003;56:341-4. PubMed PMID: 12919186). Literature for PM was lacking. There is therefore insufficient evidence to support a gene-drug interaction for IM and PM (no/no-interactions).

You can find an overview of the observed clinical and kinetic effects per genotype group in the background information text of the gene-drug interactions in the KNMP Kennisbank. You may also have access to this background information text via your pharmacy or physician electronic decision support system.

The table below uses the KNMP nomenclature for CYP1A2 polymorphisms. As a result, the nomenclature in the table below can differ from the nomenclature used by the authors in the article.

Source	Code	Effect				Comments		
ref. 1	4	51 patients were treated with	n clozapine 200	)-700 mg/day	/. Therapeutic	Authors' conclu-		
Ammar H et al.		drug monitoring was perform	ned by determir	ning steady s	state morning	sions:		
Clinical and		trough plasma concentration	trough plasma concentrations.					
genetic influen-		Co-medication influencing p	Co-medication influencing pharmacokinetics or pharmacological effect					
cing factors on		of clozapine was excluded.	of clozapine was excluded. 51% of patients was smoker.					
clozapine phar-		Variables with p < 0.20 in ur	ivariate analysi	is were inclu	ded in multiva-	significantly with		
macokinetics in		riate analysis (stepwise regr	vas one of	the clozapine				
Tunisian schi-		these variables, so multivari	ate analysis ad	justed for sm	noking.	C0/D variation		
zophrenic					-	and could explain		
patients.		Genotyping:				24% of its varia-		
Pharmacoge-		*1F:	*1C:			bility. Our data		
nomics J		- 20x *1F/*1F	- 46x n	no *1C		support a critical		
2021 Mar 17		- 20x *1F-heterozygous	- 5x *1	C-heterozva	ous	role of the CYP-		
(online ahead		- 11x no *1F	<b>e</b> /( )	•		1A2 -163C>A on		
of print).						the variation of		
PMID:		Results:				clozapine expo-		
33731885.		Results compared to *1F/*	1F <sup>.</sup>			sure in Tunisian		
	*1F/*1F		no *1F	*1F-hetero	- value for	schizophrenic		
	: A				*1F/*1F	patients. Consi-		
	*1A/*1	dose-corrected clozapine	x 1.91 (S)	x 1.18 (NS	) 0.67 ng/	dering its narrow		
	F: AA	trough concentration	Multivariate a	nalvsis sho-	ml per	therapeutic		
	^1A/^1A	3	range, CYP1A2					
	: A		genotyping com-					
			bined with TDM					
			corrected cloz	zapine trough	n	of clozapine may		
			concentration	(S). explai-		improve efficacy		
			ning 24% of it	s variability.		and safety of this		
		clozapine trough concen-	x 1.91 (S)	x 1.31 (NS	) 270.1	drug.		
		tration			ng/ml			
		Results for *1C-heterozygo	ous compared to	o no *1C:				
	*1C-he-		*1C-heterozyg	gous	value for no			
	terozy-				*1C			
	gote:	dose-corrected clozapine	x 0.87 (NS)		0.86 ng/ ml			
	ĂA	trough concentration			per mg/day			
		clozapine trough concen-	x 0.76 (NS)		364.1 ng/ml			
		tration						
		Note: In this study smoking	was associated	with dose-c	orrected cloza-			
		pine trough concentration in	univariate anal	lysis, but not	in multivariate			
		analysis. So, smoking was n	ot an independ	lent predicto	r of dose-			
		corrected clozapine trough c	concentration in	this study.				
		Note: Genotyping was perfo	rmed for *1C a	nd *1F. Thes	e are the most			
		pine trough concentration in analysis. So, smoking was n corrected clozapine trough c Note: Genotyping was perfo	univariate anal ot an independ concentration in rmed for *1C a	lysis, but not lent predicto this study. nd *1F. Thes	in multivariate r of dose- e are the most			

		important gene	variants i	n this Tun	isian populat	tion.				
ref. 2	3	48 patients were treated with clozapine (10-700 mg/day, mean 189							Authors' conclu-	
Ortega-Váz-		mg/day).		_					sion:	
quez A et al.		Steady-state pl	asma con	centration	s were deter	mined	. Adve	erse drug	Overall, CYP	
Alcohol intake		reactions were	determine	ed after 18	weeks of cl	ozapın	e trea	itment and	variants showed	
potentiates		were classified	vere classified into neurological, metabolic and general adverse drug							
ciozapine		reactions.	eactions.							
adverse effects		Relevant co-me	Analysis of adverse events was performed with multiple linear regres-							
		Analysis of adv								
DIFIAZ ICIII		alcohol intako	ant in nomozy-							
refractory		correction of P-values for multiple comparisons using the Replamini-						(also known as		
psychosis.		Hochberg proc	edure.		ompanoono	donig		onjanini	CYP1A2*1C/*1C)	
Drug Dev Res									was associated	
2020 Dec 17		Genotyping:							with clozapine	
(online ahead		- 10x *1A/*1L							adverse reac-	
of print).		- 6x *1F/*1L							tions in Mexican	
PMID:		- 6x *1F/*1V							patients with	
33336447.		- 5x *1L/*1L							refractory psy-	
		- 4x *1A/*1A							chosis (OR =	
		- 4x *1A/*1V							3.55) and de-	
		- 3x *1L/*1V							monstrated that	
		- 2x *1F/*1F							this effect is dou-	
		- 2x ^1A/^1F							bled by concomi-	
		- 2X *1V/*1V							tant alconol con-	
									(OR = 7.9) Clinicians	
		- 1x *1E/*CE							should be aware	
		······································							of this informa-	
		Results:							tion before star- ting clozapine use, when trea-	
		Dose- and we	ight-corre	cted plasn	na concentra	ation of	cloza	apine		
		compared to r	no gene va	ariant:			-			
		gene variant	homozy	gous	heterozygo	zygous valu		e for no	ting patients	
		*10 = = = =	1.00	× 1.26 × 1.05		gen	e variant	psychosis who		
		*1C-poly-	x 1.36		x 1.05		58.1	32 ng/ml	psychosis, who	
		morphism	NS for n	iomozygol	is versus nei	tero-	per	mg/ĸg	kers and carriers	
		*1D poly		versus no			65 /	177 ng/ml	of this genetic	
	*4 5 /*4 5	morphism	NS for h	0007/001		toro-	00.4 nor	ma/ka	variant in order to	
	F/  F   · ʌ ʌ	morphism		versus no	dene variant		per	iiig/itg	prevent cloza-	
	. ΑΑ *1Δ/*1Ε	*1F-poly-	x 1 02	101303110	$\frac{\text{gene vanam}}{x 1 15}$		58.6	342 ng/ml	pine-related ad-	
	· AA	morphism	NS for h	omozvaoi	is versus het	tero-	per	ma/ka	verse reactions."	
	*1A/*1A		zygous	versus no	gene variant		F			
	: AA	There was als	o no signi	ificant diffe	erence betwe	en the	geno	otypes.		
		There was als	o no signi	ificant effe	ct on the me	tabolic	ratio	cloza-		
		pine/N-desme	thylclozap	oine for an	y of the inve	stigate	d gen	ne vari-		
		ants or betwee	en the ger	notypes (N	IS).					
		Note: In this s	tudy, no c	lifferences	in clozapine	e pharn	nacok	linetics		
		were found be	etween sm	nokers and	l non-smoke	rs.				
		<b>A</b> . <b>I</b>	1 -							
		Adverse even	ts:	4.4.4.0						
		companson		total	general	neur	010-	metabo-		
				auverse	auverse	gical	reo	adverse		
				eveniis	events	even	ts	events		
	*1C-he-	heterozvaous	+homo-	OR =	NS	OR =	=	NS		
	terozv-	zygous *1C-n	olymor-	3.86 (S)		2.76	(NS			
	gous+	phism compared	red to no			befo	re,			
	homo-	*1C-polymorp	hism			but S	S			
	zygous:					after	ad-			
	В					justir	ng			
						for fa	alse			
					1	disco	ove-			

ref. 2. continu-					rv rate)		
ation		homozygous *1C-	OR -	NS	NS	NS	
		polymorphism compa-	5 71 (NS	110	110	110	
		red to heterozygous+	before				
		no *1C-polymorphism	but S				
			after ad-				
			justing				
			for false				
	*1D-he-		discove-				
	terozy-		ry rate)				
	gous+	heterozygous+homo-	NS	NS	OR =	NS	
	homo-	zygous *1D-polymor-			4.94 (S)		
	zygous:	phism compared to no					
	В	*1D-polymorphism					
		homozygous *1D-	NS	NS	NS	NS	
		polymorphism compa-					
		red to heterozygous+					
		no *1D-polymorphism					
		heterozygous+homo-	NS	NS	NS	NS	
		zygous "1F-polymor-					
		the polymorphism					
	+ 4 A /+ 4		NO	NO	NC	NC	
	*1A/*1F	nomozygous TF-	INS	INS	NS	NS	
		red to beterozygoust					
	AA	no *1E-polymorphism					
		Note: Because adjusting	n for false d	liscovery rat	te should di	minish the	
		number of significant re	sults and no	ot increase	it it is surpr	ising that	
		two comparisons were	NS before a	nd S after a	adiustina foi	false	
		discovery rate.					
		Note: A binary logistic r	earession m	nodel with a	calculated	statistical	
		power of 88.62% found	a higher ris	k of advers	e events in	*1C-poly-	
		morphism carriers using	alcohol du	ring treatme	ent than in *	1C-poly-	
		morphism carriers not u	ising alcoho	ol.			
		NOTE: Genotyping was p	performed f	or the polyn	horphism pr	esent in	
		*1C (-3860G>A) (also pro	esent in *1L	.), the polym	norphism pr	esent in	
		*1D (-2467delT) (also pre	esent in sev	eral other a	lleles, inclu	ding *1L	
		and *1V), the polymorphi	sm present	in *1F (-16	3C>A) (also	present in	
		several other alleles, incl	uding *1L a	nd *1V), *4,	and *8. *1[	D is not	
		reported to result in decr	eased activ	ity. The auti	hors call the	allele with	
		both the ^1C and ^1F pol	ymorphism	^CF. ^4 and	a ^8 were no	ot found in	
		The determined gone ver	rianta ara th	o moot imp	ortant aona	voriente in	
		this Mexican population	nants are ti	ie most imp	onani gene	valiants in	
ref 3	4	66 natients were treated	with clozan	ine (mean /	l08 ma/dav/		Authors' conclu-
Lesche D et al	'	The total score from the	Positive and	Negative S	Syndrome S	Scale	sion:
Impact of CYP-		(PANSS) was used to as	sess schize	phrenia svr	nptom seve	eritv.	"These findings
1A2, CYP2C19		None of the patients rece	eived medic	ation consid	lered as str	ong CYP-	highlight the clini-
and CYP2D6		1A2 inhibitor (i.e., fluvoxa	amine and o	profloxacin	). 47% of p	atients was	cal importance of
genotype- and		smoker. CYP1A2 activity	scores (1 f	or a *1A-all	ele and 1.5	for a *1F-	nongenetic fac-
phenoconver-		allele) were corrected by	multiplying	them by 1.	5 for current	t smokers.	tors (smoking,
sion-predicted		A NM phenotype was as	signed to ar	n activity sc	ore of 2, a l	JM pheno-	concomitant
enzyme activity		type to an activity score 2	≥ 2.5.				medications) and
on clozapine		Clozapine plasma conce	ntrations we	ere adjusted	I for quantile	e-quantile	suggest that the
exposure and		(Q–Q) plots. P-values we	ere correcte	d for multipl	e testing.		added utility of
symptom seve-		O a sa tara'					CYP1A2, CYP-
rity.		Genotyping:					2D6, and CYP-
Pharmacoge-		- 12X NIVI (*1A/*1A)	) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) )	N N			2019 activity
1000005 J		- 54X UIVI (25X "TA/"TF, 2	Drodicted -	) honoturaa :	NOROL GY NI		scores to guide
2020,20.192-		Genotype- and smoking-	predicted p	nenotypes \		i, oux uivi.	is currently limi
		Results:					ted "
. asinoa i wib.	1						

31616047.		Associatio	Associations of genotype-predicted and genotype- and smoking-				
ref. 3. continu-		dose-	CYP1A2 activity	y: NS			
ation		corrected clozapine trough concen- tration	predicted				
			genotype- and smoking	d S for a decrease with increasing CYP- 1A2 activity			
	*1F/*1F : AA *1A/*1F : AA *1A/*1A : AA		predicted	Comparing patients above and below the clinical target clozapine blood concentration (i.e., 350 ng/mL) sho- wed a higher genotype-and smoking predicted CYP1A2 activity among those with clozapine plasma levels less than 350 ng/L compared with those with levels above this threshold (S). Genotype- and smoking-predicted CYP1A2 activity explained 11% of the variation in clozapine trough concen- tration. However, smoking alone explained 17%.			
		symptom	genotype-	NS			
		seventy	genotype- and smoking predicted	d S for an increase with increasing CYP1A2 activity Genotype- and smoking-predicted CYP1A2 activity explained 12% of the variation in symptom severity. Howe- ver, smoking alone explained 13%			
		NOTE: Gen variant in th	otyping was for is Australian po	r *1F only. This is the most important gene			
<b>ref. 4</b> Pardiñas AF et al. Pharmacogeno mic variants and drug inter- actions identi- fied through the genetic analy- sis of clozapine metabolism. Am J Psychia- try 2019;176:477- 86. PMID: 30922102.	3 rs2472	2989 patien were determ tions below rence) were ma concent range of the meaningfully tion measur A genome w trations adju and age at a Data on smo sensitivity a risk scores f Results: Genome w ted for cloz tion measur (dose adju	ts were treated nined 6-24 hour the detection th not included. C rations were als extremes of th y alter our resul ements per pat vide association usted for clozap assay. oking and co-m nalyses control for cigarette sm vide association for cigarette sm vide association for cigarette sm vide association for cigarette sm	Australian population. were treated with clozapine. Plasma concentrations ned 6-24 hours after the last dose. Plasma concentra- ne detection threshold of 0.05 mg/L (indicating nonadhe- not included. Outliers outside the 99th percentile of plas- ations were also excluded. Removing data from a broader extremes of the plasma concentration distributions did not alter our results. The mean number of plasma concentra- ments per patient was 3.5. de association was performed with the plasma concen- sted for clozapine dose, time between dose and assay, ssay. king and co-medication were not available. Secondary alyses controlling for proxy measures based on polygenic or cigarette smoking habits and weight were performed. de association results for plasma concentrations adjus- apine dose, time between dose and plasma concentra- tement, and age at plasma concentration measurement:			
	297C> T: A	pine plasm tration	ia concen- r 1 /	hic variant between CYP1A1 and CYP- 1A2, was found (S). Analysis of hepatocyte expression data did not relate this signal to any particular			

ref. 4. continu-		gene, although rs2472297 has previously	
ation		been associated with CYP1A2 activity on	
		the basis of its effect on caffeine metabo-	
		lite concentrations in a genome-wide	
		association study. In the mixed-model	
		analysis, this gene variant was shown to	
		be associated with reduced clozapine	
		plasma concentrations, with a proportion	
		Being beterozygous for the rs2/72207	
		variant is associated with a reduction in	
		clozapine plasma concentrations roughly	
		equivalent to a decrease in clozapine by	
		50 mg/day, and homozygosity is equiva-	
		lent to a reduction by 100 mg/day.	
		(dose adjusted) No association with a polymorphism in the	
		norclozapine plasma CYP1A2 region was found.	
		concentration	
		pine metabolic ratio	
		Note: PharmGKB considers rs2472297 to be a CYP1A1 instead of a	
		CYP1A2 gene variant. It is located 10340 nucleotides more distant of	
		the CYP1A2 coding sequence than the *1C polymorphism (rs2472297	
		= CYP1A2 -14200C>T).	
ref. 5	3	Meta-analyses of 4 pharmacokinetic studies, including a total of 327	Authors' conclu-
M et al		ded studies were of good guality scoring 64-73 points of the maxi-	The pooled-
Impact of CYP-		mum of 77 points on the 11-item guality scale for genetic studies Q-	effect estimates
1A2 genetic		Genie. However, one of the included studies does not report dose-	through meta-
polymorphisms		corrected plasma concentrations (Rajkumar 2013) and thus, should	analyses of
on pharmaco-		not have been included in the meta-analyses.	seven studies
kinetics of		All 4 studies in the meta-analysis are also included in our risk analysis	demonstrated no
antipsychotic		separately (Olsson 2015, Rajkumar 2013, Jaquenoud Sirot 2009,	significant asso-
drugs: a syste-		KOOISITA-ROS 2005).	clations between
and meta-ana-		nospective register of systematic reviews (CRD/2017079514) Meta-	2467delT poly-
lysis		analyses were performed with a random-effects model in case of	morphism and
Acta Psychiatr		significant heterogeneity between the studies and with a fixed-effect	clozapine or
Scand		model in case of low heterogeneity between the studies. This indica-	olanzapine con-
2019;139:15-		tes that the statistical method was chosen afterwards. The search and	centrations in the
25.		selection strategy was transparent and the data exaction was standar-	blood.'
PMID:		dised.	
30112761.	*1 5/*1 5	Publication bias analysis was performed for all comparisons.	
	· ΔΔ	Results.	
	*1A/*1F	Standard mean difference of the (dose-corrected) clozapine plasma	
	: AA	concentration compared to no *1F:	
	*1A/*1A	*1F-heterozygous NS	
	: AA	*1F/*1F NS	
		For both comparisons, heterogeneity between the studies was	
		lacking.	
		For both comparisons, there were no indications for publication	
		Dias.	
		Note: The results of the meta-analyses investigating the pharmaco-	
		kinetic effect of *1D were not included in this abstract. because *1D is	
	*1D:	a fully functional allele. In accordance with this, no effect of *1D on	
	AA	dose-corrected clozapine plasma concentration was found.	
ref. 6	3	60 patients were on stable clozapine therapy for at least 6 months.	Authors' conclu-
Vasudev K et		I he clozapine dose was 50-600 mg/day (mean 334 mg/day).	Sions:
al. Conctin datar		with 2 or more abnormal personators including trighteeridee.	Pharmacogene-
Genetic deter-		with z or more abnormal parameters including ingrycendes, HDL cho-	

minants of clozapine-indu- ced metabolic side effects. Can J Psychia- try 2017;62:138- 49. PMID: 27681143. ref. 6, continu- ation		lesterol, blood Co-medication index (BMI), a ted for the latt Allelic (varian variant versus (homozygous and recessive homozygous genetic mode models chose evaluate the a metabolic side confounding f effects on wei and olanzapir tes, and cardi No correction Genotyping (e cies): *1F: - 30x *1F/*11 - 25x *1F-he - 5x no *1F Results: Effect of the decrease, ↑	genes encoding CYP2C19, leptin, leptin receptor, and HTR2C receptor were identified as main predictors of metabolic syn- drome as well as BMI In con- trast to previous reports, CYP1A2 and ABCB1 genotype as well as smoking were not found to be significantly associated with clozapine level in our study. Howe- ver, a significant interaction was observed be- tween smoking and CYP1A2*1F g163 CA carrier status.'					
			hetero-	no *1C	*1F/*1F	hetero-	no *1F	
			zygous			zygous		
	^1F/^1F · ΔΛ#	BIVII	NS NS				NS NS	
	*1F/*1F , smo- kers: C *1F/*1F , non- smo- kers: AA#	facting	NS	NS	↓ (S) There was tween smo (S), with s having a 4 for metabo non-smok having a 0 compared There was for all pati	NS s an interact oking and * smokers wit 4.6 times hig olic syndron ers with *1F 0.08 times lo to no *1F. s no effect c ents (all ger	INS tion be- 1F/*1F th *1F/*1F gher odds ne, and 5/*1F ower odds of smoking notypes).	
		alucose	NS	INS	NS	NS	NS	
	*1C- hetero- zygous: AA	lipid levels (including total cho- lesterol, HDL cho- lesterol, LDL cho- lesterol, and trigly- cerides)	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	
	*1A/*1F : AA *1A/*1A : AA *1A/*1F , smo-	blood clo- zapine concentra- tion	NS	NS	NS There was tween sm zygosity (	trend for $\uparrow$ (p = 0.075) (NS) an interact oking and * S). Compared	NS tion be- 1F-hetero- ed to no	

ref. 6. continu-	kers: A				*1F. *1F-heteroz	zvaositv resul-				
ation					ted in a 0.71 tim	es lower clo-				
	*1A/*1F				zapine level am	ona smokers				
	. non-				while enhancing					
	smo-				among non-smg	kers 2 8-fold				
	kers: A				There was no ef	fect of smoking				
					for all patients (a	all genotypes)				
		Note <sup>.</sup> Met	abolic syndr	ome was ass	ociated with cloze	anine blood				
		levels (S).	but BMI wa	s not (NS).						
			Dat Dim Ha				-			
		Note: Geno	tvning was t	for *1C *1D	and *1F These a	re the most				
		important o	ene variants	s in this Cana	idian population.	Data for *1D				
		were not in	cluded in the	e abstract, be	ecause *1D does r	not affect				
	*1D:	enzvme ac	ivity. In acc	ordance with	this, no effect of *	1D on metabolic				
	AA	and kinetic	outcomes w	as found.						
ref. 7	4	143 patient	s were treat	ed with cloza	pine 12.5-500 mg	/day. Relevant	Authors' conclu-			
Huang HC et		co-medicat	on was exc	luded. Patien	its were considere	d smokers if	sions:			
al.		they regula	rly smoked a	at least 6 ciga	arettes per day. 68	5 patients	'Cigarette smo-			
Cigarette smo-		smoked (4	5.4%). The p	ercentage of	smokers was hig	her among men	king has a signifi-			
king has a diffe-		(63%, 55 ir	87 men) th	an among wo	omen (17%, 10 in	56 women).	cant impact on			
rential effect on		Correction	took place fo	or age, BMI, g	gender, smoking a	and clozapine	the plasma level			
the plasma		dose.					of clozapine in			
level of cloza-							Taiwanese schi-			
pine in Taiwa-		Genotyping	<b>:</b>				zophrenic pa-			
nese schizo-		- 58x '*1F'/	*1F'				tients carrying			
phrenic patients		- 73x *1A/'*	1F'				the homozygous			
associated with		- 12x *1A/*	1A				-163A allele in			
the CYP1A2		Desertes					the CYP1A2			
gene -163A/C		Results:			an of elementing for		gene It was			
single nucleo-	'*1⊏'/'*1	Dose-cioz	apine trougi		on of clozapine to		also louno that			
nde polymor-		Versus 1/		IS IA/ IA.			nuing the -163A			
Psychiatr	×1Δ/*1		S No di	allele tended to						
Genet	F'· AA	Smokers	Smokers No difference (NS)							
2016:26:172-7.	*1A/*1A	Non-smor	Non-smokers Increase (NS for the trend and for '*1F'/'*1F' Induce higher plas-							
PubMed PMID:	: AA	Smoking	Versus *1A/*1A)							
27203225.		group (S)	The percer	tage of smok	ore differed between	and the deno-	dency was not			
		types (34)	1% for '*1E'	/*1E' 50.6%	for $*1\Delta/*1F'$ and	66.6% for	found in the indi-			
		*1A/*1A)	470101 H	n , 00.070		00.070101	viduals with			
		In the tota	l aroup, the	e was a tren	d towards higher o	clozapine plas-	smoking habits.'			
		ma conce	ntrations in	women ( $p = 0$	).063: NS). The pe	ercentage of				
		women di	fered betwe	en the genot	vpes (39.7% for '*	'1F'/'*1F',				
		41.1% for	*1A/'*1F' ar	nd 25% for *1	Á/*1A).	,				
		Effect of v	arious para	meters on clo	zapine plasma co	oncentration				
		and powe	r of the effec	ct analysis:						
				'*1F'/'*1F'	*1A/'*1F'	*1A/*1A				
		Smoking	effect	S	NS	NS				
			power	65%	16%	6%				
		Gender	effect	NS	S	NS				
			power	16%	51%	12%				
		Age	effect	NS	NS	NS				
			power	18%	8%	16%				
		Dose	effect	S	S	S				
			power	100%	100%	61%				
		BMI	effect	trend,	trend,	NS				
				p = 0.056	p = 0.092					
			power	48%	39%	6%				
		Note: Genc	typing was	only performe	ea for the *1F poly	morphism (-163				
		U>A). IN As	sian people,	this polymor		irs in combina-				
			iei polymor		and iv alleles).		<u> </u>	l		

ref. 8 de Brito RB et al. The CYP1A2 - 163C>A poly- morphism is associated with super-refractory schizophrenia. Schizophr Res 2015;169:502- 3. PubMed PMID: 26530626.	3 *1F/*1F : C *1A/*1F : AA *1A/*1A : AA#	Genotype frequer refractory patients those of 64 health Refractory patients clozapine. Super- not responding to Co-medication aff the percentage of genotype groups dose and the perc cantly between th Genotyping: refractory patien - 9x *1F/*1F - 11x *1A/*1F - 7x *1A/*1A Results: The percentage refractory patien teers, respective Note: Schizophr Psychiatric Ratir genetic testing v rence in BPRS c most *1F/*1F pa not.	Access were determined in 27 refractory as Genotype frequencies were also cor- ny volunteers. Its are treatment-resistant patients resp refractory patients are treatment-resis clozapine. Fecting CYP1A2 and smoking were nor- smokers did not differ significantly be in patients. 43% of patients was smoke centage of coffee drinkers also did not e genotype groups. Its super-refractory healthy patients - 20x *1F/*1F - 26x */ - 7x *1A/*1F - 22x */ - 16x */ of *1F/*1F was 2.2 and 1.8 fold higher ts than in refractory patients and healthy by (S). enic symptoms were measured with the ng Scale (BPRS). BPRS at baseline are vere higher for *1F/*1F (S), but there we change (NS). The first two findings cor- tients being super-refractory, the last for was for *1F. This is the most importare poulation	and 27 super- npared to onding to cant patients excluded, but ween the er. Clozapine differ signifi- volunteers F/*1F A/*1F A/*1F A/*1A in super- hy volun- e Brief nd BPRS at vas no diffe- respond with inding does	Authors' conclu- sions: 'Taken together, the results here suggest that the CYP1A2*1F polymorphism is associated with super-refrac- tory schizophre- nia, whereas smoking and coffee consump- tion do not seem associated with the super-refrac- toriness. There- fore, genotype testing for CYP- 1A2*1F may be a useful tool to pre- dict the response to clozapine treatment.'
ref. 9 Olsson E et al. Genetic and clinical factors affecting plas- ma clozapine concentration. Prim Care Companion CNS Disord 2015;17;10.408 8/PCC.14m017 04. PubMed PMID: 26137357.	3 *1F/*1F : AA <sup>#</sup> (*1A/*1 F + *1A/ *1A): B	95 patients were f mg/day). Relevan patients were smo Therapeutic drug Genotyping: *1F: - 9x *1A/*1A - 35x *1A/*1F - 51x *1F/*1F Results: *1F/*1F versus ( Dose-correc- ted trough concentration of clozapine Increased fasting glucose concentration (>5.6 mmol/L)	reated with clozapine 50-875 mg/day t co-medication was not excluded. 54° okers. 32% also used other antipsycho monitoring was not routinely performe *1D: - 86x *1A/*1A - 7x *1A/*1D - 2x *1D/*1D *1A/*1F + *1A/*1A): *1A/*1F + *1A/*1A): *1A/*1F + *1A/*1A): x 0.67 (S) The association remained significant after correction. The effect of *1F/*1F was similar to that of smo- king. However, *1F/*1F, smoking and an MDR1 genotype together only predicted 16% of the variation in concentration. OR = 0.27 (S) Male gender and age increased the risk. There did not seem to be an association with plasma concentra- tion. The authors reported that this may have been a coincidental	(mean 324 % of the tics. d. Value for *1A/*1F + *1A/*1A 2.1 ng.mg/mL	Authors' conclu- sions: 'There was a significant asso- ciation between the rs762551 A allele of CYP1A2 and lower plas- ma clozapine concentration. Increased fasting glucose level was 3.7-fold more frequent in CC and CA genotypes than AA genotype (odds ratio = 0.27). There was no significant relation between higher fasting glucose levels and higher cloza- pine levels.'

ref. 9, continu-			finding due to multiple testing.		
ation		Increased hip	NS		
		circumference			
		*40			
	1D: AA	Thore were no	significant offects (NS)		
		- There were no			
		Note: Alleles *1F,	*1D and *1K were genotyped. *1K wa	is not found in	
		this patient group.	*1D is a fully functional allele.		
ref. 10 Viikki M et al. CYP1A2 poly- morphism - 1545C > T (rs2470890) is associated with increased side effects to cloza- pine. BMC Psychia- try 2014;14:50. PubMed PMID:	3	185 patients used mg/day). The maj 5 years. For 65% The other 35% als co-medication wa Antipsychotic-indu University Neurole Genotyping: - 35x *1A/*1A - 96x *1A/*1F - 54x *1F/*1F Results:	sions: 'This study has identified an association between the CYP1A2 polymorphism ~1545C > T (rs2470890) and the occur- rence of more severe clozapine side effects		
24555493.		*1F/*1F versus (	*1A/*1A + *1A/*1F):		However, these
				Value for	results should be
	*1⊑/*1⊑		× 4.04 (C)	CT+CC	regarded as ten-
	іг/ іг · С	Severity of the	X 1.21 (S)	37.1 on the	studies of larger
	. (*1A/*1	total	for *1F/*1F versus *1A/*1F versus	LUNGENG	sample sizes will
	À +		*1A/*1A (S), but the increase		be required to
	*1A/*1F		versus *1A/*1A (x 1.19) was not		confirm the
	): AA#		higher than that versus *1A/*1F (x 1.23).		result.'
			Linear univariate analysis showed		
			that the CYP1A2 genotype and the		
			total antipsychotic dose together		
			predicted 5.0% of the variation in		
			Alternative models analysing clo-		
			zapine monotherapy antipsychotic		
			combinations or regular smoking		
			as factors were not significant		
			(NS).		
		Use of mood	x 1.9; OR = 2.63 (S)	23.3%	
		stabilisers	The most commonly used mood		
			stabiliser was valproic acid.		
		dose	trena (NS; x 1.1; p = 0.078)	390 mg	
		No difference in:			
		- Severity	of sympatheticotonia/tension (NS)		
		- Severity	of depression/anxiety (NS)		
		<ul> <li>Severity</li> </ul>	of sedation (NS)		
		- Severity	of orthostatic hypertension (NS)		
		- Severity	or cutaneous side effects (NS)		
		- Severity	of urinary side offects (NS)		
		- Clozanir	he trough concentration (NS)		
		- Norcloza	apine trough concentration (NS)		
		- Clozapir	he + norclozapine trough concentration	n (NS)	
		- Dose-co	rrected clozapine trough concentration	n (NS)	
		- Percenta	age of regular smokers (NS)		
		- Percenta	age of patients who used the CYP1A2	inducer	
		carbama	azepine (NS)		

ref. 10, conti-		- Perce	ntage of patie	nts using sero	tonin or seroto	onin/nor-		
nuation		adrena	aline reuptake	inhibitors (NS	S)			
		- Total	antipsychotic (	dose (in chÌorp	oromazine equ	ivalents)		
		(NS)	(NS)					
		Note: Genotypi	ng was perfori	med for -1545	C>T1545C>	T is in com-		
	0	plete linkage di	sequilibrium w	163C > A, 1	the polymorph	$\frac{1}{1}$ is $\frac{1}{1}$	A	
ret. 11	3	70 patients use	d clozapine (2	25-800 mg/day	/; mean 337 m	g/day).	Authors' conclu-	
Looman Nivig		Relevant co-me	edication was i	not excluded.	61% of the pa	tients were	SIONS: 'This study sho	
Associatie van		ORs were corre	ected for age	aender diaan	osis duration	of disease	wed that there is	
genetische vari-		dose and smok	ina	gender, diagn			no relationship	
atie in CYP1A2		The use of CYF	P1A2 inducers	and inhibitors	in the patient	group was	between genetic	
en UGT1A4		too low to be ab	ole to correct f	or these. The	number of pat	ients was too	variation in	
met metabole		low to consider	smokers and	non-smokers	separately. Co	prrection for	CYP1A2 and	
stoornissen bij		the duration of	clozapine usa	ge was not po	ssible as these	e data were	UGT1A4 and the	
gebruikers van		missing for a la	rge proportion	of the patient	S.		occurrence of	
ciozapine en		Construction					metabolic syn-	
[Association of		- 9x *14/*14					clozapine and	
genetic varia-		- 20x *1A/*1F					olanzapine.'	
tion in CYP1A2		- 41x *1F/*1F					olanzapillo	
and UGT1A4								
with metabolic		Results:						
disorders in		Metabolic side	effects versu	s *1A/*1A:				
users of cloza-			*1A/*1F	*1F/*1F	(*1A/*1F +	Value for		
pine and olan-					*1F/*1F)	*1A/*1A		
zapinej.	(*1A/*1	Metabolic	NS	NS	NS	33%		
schannelijk		synarome						
Platform	). V	HDL choles-			Decrease			
2013;7:a1310.	). ^	terol			(S)			
	*1A/*1A	Uncontrolled			NS			
	: AA#	glucose						
				<i>.</i> .				
		NOIE 1: The p	ower calculati	on performed	retrospectively	/ showed that		
		a significant din	erence would	require a muc	ch larger nume	ber of patients		
		(~300).						
		NOTE 2: Genot	vping was per	formed for *1	F and *1C. *10	C was not		
		found in the pat	ient populatio	n.				
ref. 12	3	106 patients we	ere treated wit	h a stable cloz	zapine dose (1	00-900 mg/	Authors' conclu-	
Kohlrausch FB		day; mean 543.	5 mg/day). 24	patients deve	eloped clozapi	ne-induced	sion:	
et al.		generalised epi	leptic seizures	8. None of the	patients had a	a history of	"We found the	
The CYP1A2 -		generalised epi	leptic seizures	S.	In logistic room	and an analy	CYP1A2 *1F/*1F	
norphism is		Relevant co-me	wara mada fa	not excluded.	In logistic regr	ession analy-	genotype to be	
associated with		co-medication	and smoking (	$(\geq 1)$ ( $\geq 1$ ) (( $\geq 1$ ) ( $\geq 1$ ) (( $\geq 1$ ) ((( $\geq 1$ ) ((( $\geq 1$ )) (((\geq 1) ((( $\geq 1$ )) (((\geq 1) ((((\geq 1))) ((((\geq 1))) ((((\geq 1))) (((((\geq 1)))) ((((((\geq 1)))) ((((((((((	ese three nars	meters had	associated with	
clozapine-indu-		no significant el	ffect on the ris	k of seizures.	The results we	ere not	seizures and no	
ced generalized		corrected for th	e duration of t	he treatment,	although this v	was signifi-	relationship was	
tonic-clonic		cantly longer fo	r patients who	developed se	eizures.	Ũ	observed with	
seizures in							combinations of	
Brazilian		Genotyping:					*1F and *1C	
schizophrenia		- 15x *1A/*1A					alleles."	
patients.		- 4x ^1A/^1C						
2013·200·242		- 35X 1A/1F						
5		- 13x *1C/*1F						
PubMed PMID:		- 38x *1F/*1F						
23601795.		,						
		*1F-allele:						
	*1F: C	- The incidence	of *1F was hi	gher in patien	ts who develo	ped seizures		
	*1A:	compared to p	patients who d	lid not develop	seizures (S)	(73% versus		

ref. 12, conti-	AA <sup>#</sup>	54%; OR = 2.27; 95%	5 CI: 1.073-5.013)					
nuation		- There were no signific	ant differences in	distribution of the g	genotype			
		groups (no *1F, heter	ozygous *1F and *	1F/*1F) over the pa	atients			
		with and without seizu	ures (NS)					
	*1F/*1F	- Compared to (no *1F	- Compared to (no *1F + heterozygous *1F), *1F/*1F was more com-					
	: C	mon in patients with s	seizures than in pat	ients without seizu	ires (S)			
		$(OR_{corr} = 2.89; 95\% C)$	$(OR_{corr} = 2.89; 95\% CI: 1.04-8.02)$					
	*1Δ/*1	*1C-allele:						
		- There were no differe	nces in the frequer	ocy of *1C and the	corres-			
	*1C/*1	ponding genotype arc	oups between patie	nts who developed	d seizures			
	C: AA	and those who did no	t (NS)					
ref. 13	3	101 patients were treat	ed with clozapine f	or a period of 4-17	'4 months	Authors' conclu-		
Rajkumar AP et		(median 28 months). Th	he clozapine dose	was 100-650 mg/c	lay (medi-	sions:		
al.		an 350 mg/day). Patien	its were on a stable	e dose for at least	12 weeks.	'As CYP1A2		
Association		Non-response to clozar	oine was defined a	s a total score > 3	5 on the	gene SNP do not		
between CYP-		Brief Psychiatric Rating	J Scale (BPRS). Co	gnitive status was	evaluated	help to predict		
TAZ gene sin-		test Disability was quar	official with the Wo	rld Health Organis	cognitive	ne cinical res-		
polymorphisms		hility Assessment Scale		nu neann Organis		ne routine scree-		
and clinical		Adverse effects related	to clozapine obse	rved in the studv w	ere hyper-	ning for them		
responses to		somnolence (76%), sia	lorrhea (47%), nau	sea or vomiting (2	1%),	prior to start clo-		
clozapine in		constipation (21%), ere	ctile dysfunction (2	28%), dyslipidaemi	a (12%),	zapine is current-		
patients with		clozapine-related seizu	res (9%), nocturna	l enuresis (6%) an	d obesity	ly unwarranted.'		
treatment-resis-		(15%).		<i>6</i> 1 1 1 1				
tant schizo-		I rough plasma concent	trations (12 hours a	after dosing) were	determi-			
Acta Neuropsy-		None of the patients us	ed carbamazenine	or oral contracent	ivo nille			
chiatr		but other comedication	affecting CYP1A2	was not excluded	and not			
2013:25:2-11.		adjusted for. 17% of pa	tients smoked mor	e than 1 pack/day.	and this			
PMID:		was associated with no	n-response (as we	re past history of c	catatonia,			
26953068.		higher clozapine doses, higher disease scores (BPRS) and lower						
		cognitive scores (ACE-R)).						
		Based on the *1F frequency of 0.386 in the Asian population, it was						
		calculated that 34 case	s of clozapine non-	-responders would				
		needed for 80% power	to find an effect wi	th an OR of 2.5. W	Ith 44.3%			
		or treatment-resistant patients being clozapine non-responders, this						
		Genotyping:						
		*1F:	*1C:					
		- 37x *1F/*1F	- 81x I	no *1C				
		<ul> <li>41x *1F-heterozygous</li> </ul>	s - 18x <sup>-</sup>	*1C-heterozygous				
		- 23x no *1F	- 2x *1	IC/*1C				
		Results:						
		Results for homozygo	us variant versus r	ieterozygous verst	us no			
			dene v	variant	value			
			*1F	*1C	for no			
					*1F/no			
					*1C			
		% of non-respon-	NS	NS	48%/			
	^1⊢/*1⊢   . ∧ ∧	ders	Multiple logistic re	egression analy-	36%			
	. AA *1Δ/*1⊏		ses, adjusting for	the effects of				
	: AA		ding the server of	anables, Inclu-				
	*1A/*1A	aing the serum ciozapine levels,						
	: AA	effect.						
	***	The absence of an effect was						
	*1C-		confirmed for the	following 3 defi-				
	netero-		nitions of non-res	ponse:				
	AA		- total BPRS scor	re ≥ 38 (worst				

rof 12 conti		au antila)	
nuation		<ul> <li>- at least one of the five selected BPRS items for suspiciousness, hallucinatory behaviours, gran- diosity, conceptual disorganisa- tion and unusual thought content scoring ≥ moderate</li> <li>- at least two of these five selec- ted BPRS items scoring ≥ mode- rate</li> <li>Patients who smoked more than 20 cigarettes a day (n = 17) did not differ in their clinical respon- ses to clozapine depending on their *1F genotypes (NS). Multivariate analyses adjusting for the effects of age, oral dose, and body mass index confirmed the absence of an effect.</li> </ul>	
	adverse events	NS NS Multiple logistic regression analy- ses, adjusting for the effects of other clinical variables, including the serum clozapine levels, con- firmed the absence of an effect.	
	schizophrenia symp- toms (BPRS score)	NS NS Appropriate multiple quantile regression analyses, adjusting for the effects of other clinical varia- bles, including the serum cloza- pine levels, confirmed the absen- ce of an effect.	36.6/ 34.5
	World Health Orga- nisation Disability Assessment-II Scale score	NS NS Appropriate multiple quantile regression analyses, adjusting for the effects of other clinical varia- bles, including the serum cloza- pine levels, confirmed the absen- ce of an effect.	17.4/ 17.8
	Addenbrooke's cog- nitive examination- revised score	NS NS Appropriate multiple quantile regression analyses, adjusting for the effects of other clinical varia- bles, including the serum cloza- pine levels, confirmed the absen- ce of an effect.	61.1/ 62.4
	serum clozapine concentration	NSNSAppropriate multiple quantile regression analyses, adjusting for the effects of other clinical varia- bles confirmed the absence of an effect.Patients who smoked more than 20 cigarettes a day (n = 17) did not differ in their serum clozapine levels depending on their *1F genotypes (NS).Multivariate analyses adjusting for the effects of age, oral dose, and body mass index confirmed the absence of an effect.	602/ 544 ng/ml
	Note: Genotyping was f	for *1C, *1D, *1E, and *1F. These are	e the most

ref. 13, conti- nuation	*1D: AA *1E: AA	important gene variants in this South Indian population. Data for *1D and *1E were not included in the abstract, because these gene vari- ants do not affect enzyme activity. Accordingly, no significant kinetic or clinical effects were found for these gene variants	
ref. 14 Ferrari M et al. Association between CYP- 1A2 polymor- phisms and clozapine-indu- ced adverse reactions in patients with schizophrenia. Psychiatry Res 2012;200:1014- 7. PubMed PMID: 22901441.	*1E: AA 3 *1C: AA *1F: AA *1A: AA (*1A/*1 A + *1A/*1 C + *1C/*1 C + *1C/*1 F + *1C/*1 F + *1A/*1 F ): AA <sup>#</sup>	clinical effects were found for these gene variants.         12 patients with grade 3-4 clozapine-induced side effects that necessitated withdrawal (n=5) or permanent dose-reduction of clozapine (n=7) were compared to 22 patients without side effects who were treated with clozapine for at least 1 year. There were no significant differences between the two groups in the clozapine dose (mean 374 mg/day) and the percentage of patients who used co-medication or smoked. Co-medication was excluded, with the exception of benzodiazepines. Side effects were primarily neurological (58%) and cardio-vascular (16%) in nature. The most common side effect was sedation (21%). Results were not corrected for smoking, dose or use of benzodiazepines.         Genotyping:       *1C:         21x *1A/*1A       12x *1A/*1C         12 *1C/*1C       *1F:         8x *1A/*1A       12x *1A/*1A         19x *1A/*1F       7x *1F/*1F         Combined:       14x low activity (*1A/*1A, *1A/*1C, *1C/*1C, *1C/*1F)         20x high activity (*1F/*1F, *1A/*1F)         Results:       No significant association between *1C and *1F and side effects (NS)         No significant association between *1C and *1F and CYP1A2 mRNA levels in lymphocytes (NS)         Low-activity genotypes were more common in patients with than in patients without side effects (67% versus 27%) (S)         CYP1A2 mRNA levels in lymphocytes were lower in patients with low-activity genotypes than in those with high-activity genotypes (0.036 versus 0.311 normalised to 18S ribosomal RNA) (S)         CYP1A2 mRNA levels in lymphocytes were lower in patients with	Authors' conclu- sion: "Patients with clozapine-indu- ced adverse drug reactions had a higher frequency of CYP1A2 low activity allele combinations (8/12; 67%, P=0.019)."
<b>ref. 15</b> Lee ST et al. Association stu- dy of 27 anno-	4	96 patients were treated with clozapine for more than 6 months. Co- medication with CYP1A2 inhibitors and other anti-psychotic drugs was excluded. Correction took place for smoking. Genotyping was perfor- med for 8 CYP1A2 polymorphisms, including -163C>A, which occurs -	Authors' conclu- sion: "Among the pharmacokinetic-
clozapine phar- macogenetics: validation of pre-existing studies and identification of a new candi- date gene, ABCB1, for treatment response. J Clin Psycho- pharmacol	*1W: AA rs2069 522: AA '*1F': AA *1A: AA	<ul> <li>Results:</li> <li>2 out of the 8 polymorphisms (*1W and rs2069522) exhibited a significant association with dose and weight-corrected plasma concentrations of clozapine and norclozapine (S). However, this association was no longer significant after correction for multiple statistical testing (NS).</li> <li>Note: Genotyping was performed for polymorphisms in *1B, *1E, *1F, *1K, *1W, *4, *5 and rs206952. Polymorphisms were selected if their allele frequency was greater than 0.05 in Chinese, Japanese or Korean individuals. As the polymorphism in *1F in Asian people mainly occurs in *1K and *1W, a possible explanation for a missing association.</li> </ul>	nucleotide polymorphisms, rs2069521 and rs2069522 in CYP1A2 for clo- zapine/(dose/ weight) and nor- clozapine/(dose/ weight) showed borderline asso- ciations that were insignificant after correction for

1			1
PubMed PMID:		*1F.	
ref. 16 Bolla E et al. Are CYP1A2 *1F and *1C associated with clozapine tole- rability?: a preli- minary investi- gation. Psychiatry Res 2011;189:483. PubMed PMID: 21481946.	2 *1A/*1 C: C *1C/*1 C: C *1F/*1F : AA	3 patients with grade 3 clozapine-induced side effects that resulted in withdrawal of treatment were compared to 5 patients without side effects who were treated with clozapine for at least 1 year. The control patients were comparable with regard to clinical characteristics, age, gender and smoking. The patients with side effects were smokers, received no co-medication with drugs that can affect CYP1A2 activity, but were initially receiving diazepam. The clozapine doses (150 mg/day and twice 300 mg/day) were lower than among the control patients (450 mg/day). The side effects consisted primarily of neurological effects, such as severe sedation. These effects could not be explained by co-morbidity or co-medication and disappeared soon after dose reduction or withdrawal of clozapine. Of the patients with side effects, one was *1C/*1C and the other two were *1A/*1C. All control patients with side effects were less than 1/30 <sup>th</sup> of the levels in the control patients (S).	Authors' conclu- sion: "Our results sug- gest that conco- mitant absence of CYP1A2 *F and presence of CYP1A2*C could generate CYP- 1A2 phenotypes which could indu- ce lower mRNA expression and predispose to clozapine intole- rance."
ref. 17 Jaquenoud Sirot E et al. ABCB1 and cytochrome P450 polymor- phisms: clinical pharmacogene- tics of cloza- pine. J Clin Psycho- pharmacol 2009;29:319- 26. PubMed PMID: 19593168.	3 *1F: AA *1A: AA	<ul> <li>75 patients were treated with a stable dose of clozapine (25-800 mg/day; median 250 mg/day). 17 patients also received the strong CYP-1A2 inhibitor fluvoxamine (25-300 mg/day). No significant effect on the plasma concentrations of norclozapine/clozapine was found for other possibly relevant co-medications (6x sertraline, 3x paroxetine, 1x fluoxetine, 3x levomepromazine, 2x amlodipine, 1x phenytoin, 1x omeprazole). 45 patients were smokers. There was no simultaneous correction for smoking and fluvoxamine. There were no serious side effects, but excessive salivation and weight gain were common.</li> <li>Genotyping:</li> <li>8x *1A/*1A</li> <li>31x *1A/*1F</li> <li>34x *1F/*1F</li> <li>Results:</li> <li>Differences in dose-corrected plasma concentrations of clozapine, norclozapine and clozapine + norclozapine were not found between the different genotypes in the total group, in the 58 patients who did not receive fluvoxamine and in the 45 smokers (NS)</li> <li>There were strong correlations between CYP1A2 activity and dose-corrected plasma concentrations of clozapine and clozapine + norclozapine, norclozapine and clozapine setween CYP1A2 activity and dose-corrected plasma concentrations of clozapine and clozapine + norclozapine, norclozapine and clozapine and in the 45 smokers (NS)</li> </ul>	Authors' conclu- sion: "CYP1A2 activity and dose-correc- ted trough stea- dy-state plasma concentrations of clozapine corre- lated significant- ly, with no influ- ence of the CYP- 1A2*1F genoty- pe."
ref. 18 Melkersson KI et al. Impact of CYP- 1A2 and CYP- 2D6 polymor- phisms on drug metabolism and on insulin and lipid elevations and insulin resistance in clozapine-trea- ted patients. J Clin Psychia- try 2007;68:697- 704.	3 (*1C.	<ul> <li>17 patients were treated with clozapine (100-600 mg/day; median 400 mg/day) for at least 0.7 years.</li> <li>Co-medication with an effect on glucose or lipid metabolism and known inhibitors or inducers of CYP1A2 were excluded. 6 patients were smokers. There was no significant effect of smoking on the plasma concentrations of clozapine and norclozapine.</li> <li>Genotyping: Of the 34 alleles, 15 had expected reduced activity (7x no *1F, 5x *1D, 1x *1C, 1x *1E and 1x *1K). There is no knowledge on the effect of the polymorphism on the activity of *1D and *1E.</li> <li>Genotypes:</li> <li>4x two alleles with expected reduced activity (*1C, *1D, *1E, *1K, no *1F)</li> <li>7x one allele with expected reduced activity (*1C, *1D, *1E, *1K, no *1F)</li> <li>6x two alleles with expected normal/increased activity (*1F, no *1C, no *1D, no *1E, no *1K)</li> </ul>	Authors' conclu- sion: "CYP1A2 vari- ants *1C and *1D seem to be asso- ciated with higher serum clozapine concentrations and an increased risk of developing insulin and lipid elevations and insulin-resistance on a given dose of clozapine."

PubMed PMID: 17503978.	*1D, *1E.	(2 alleles with expected reduces a construction of the second sec	uced activity) compared to (2 alleles with activity):	
rof 19 conti	*1K, no	- ~2-Fold increase in the me		
nuation	т <i>).</i> А	<ul> <li>No differences in plasma c ceride and cholesterol and</li> </ul>		
		(1 allele with expected reduce expected normal/increased a		
		<ul> <li>Non-significant increase in concentrations of clozapine</li> <li>No differences in plasma c ceride and cholesterol and</li> </ul>		
		Patients with an increased ir - Are more likely to be carrie increased insulin concentra - Are no more likely to be ca patients with normal insulir		
ref. 19 Kootstra-Ros JE et al.	4	*1C and *1D were determine 2003 without co-medication patients were smokers.	ed for the 58 patients from Van der Weide, that affects the CYP1A2 activity. 33	Authors' conclu- sion: "Multivariate ana-
The cytochro- me P450 CYP-		Genotyping:		lysis of variance did not reveal
1A2 genetic polymorphisms		Smokers: *1F:	Non-smokers: *1F:	any significant correlations be-
*1F and *1D do		- 3x *1A/*1A	- 1x *1A/*1A	tween CYP1A2
pine clearance		- 12x 1A/ 1F - 18x *1F/*1F	- 14x 1A/ 1F - 10x *1F/*1F	clozapine clea-
schizophrenic		*1C: - 32x *1A/*1A	*1C: - 25x *1A/*1A	subjects, al-
patients. Ann Clin Bio-		- 1x *1A/*1C		though a possi-
chem		^1D: - 28x *1A/*1A	^1D: - 24x *1A/*1A	*1D allele cannot
2005;42:216-9. PubMed PMID:		- 2x *1A/*1D	- 1x *1A/*1D	this study."
15949157.				
		Results: *1F:		
	*1F: AA *1A: AA	<ul> <li>Dose-corrected plasma consignificantly in smokers and kers as a function of the nu applies to dose and weight</li> </ul>	ncentrations of clozapine increase non- d decrease non-significantly in non-smo- umber of *1F alleles (NS). The same t-corrected plasma concentrations in	
		<ul> <li>smokers.</li> <li>Multivariate analysis only redose-corrected plasma corwas non-significant after exgenotype (NS). This patient</li> </ul>	evealed an effect of *1F and/or *1D on ncentrations in smokers (S). However, this xclusion of the patient with the *1D/*1D nt also had a *1C polymorphism.	
	*1D: AA or A	*1D: - Dose-corrected plasma consignificantly in smokers as	ncentrations of clozapine decrease non- a function of the number of *1F alleles	
		- Multivariate analysis only re dose-corrected plasma cor was non-significant after ex genotype (NS). This patien	evealed an effect of *1F and/or *1D on ncentrations in smokers (S). However, this xclusion of the patient with the *1D/*1D nt also had a *1C polymorphism.	
		<ul> <li>*1C:</li> <li>The patient who was heter *1D. This is possibly a case polymorphisms for *1C, *11</li> <li>The patient had a high dos</li> </ul>	ozygous for *1C was also homozygous for e of *1D/*1L. The *1L allele contains the D and *1F. e-corrected plasma concentration of	

	-			
ref. 19, conti- nuation	*1C: -	clozapine (1.90 ng/mL per mg compared to the mean of 0.83 ng/mL per mg for the other patients) and a high dose and weight-corrected plasma concentration (153 ng.kg/mL per mg), but a cause other than a genetic cause could not be ruled out.		
ref. 20 van der Weide J et al. The effect of smoking and cytochrome P450 CYP1A2 genetic poly- morphism on clozapine clea- rance and dose requirement. Pharmacoge- netics 2003;13:169- 72. PubMed PMID: 12618594.	3 *1F/*1F : AA (*1A/*1 F + *1A/*1A ): AA	<ul> <li>80 patients, including 45 smokers, were treated with a stable dose of clozapine (25-700 mg/day) for at least 3 months. 21 patients received co-medication that could possibly affect clozapine metabolism, such as fluvoxamine or carbamazepine.</li> <li>Genotyping: <ul> <li>36x *1F/*1F, including 22 smokers</li> <li>44x (no *1F/*1F), including 23 smokers</li> </ul> </li> <li>Results: <ul> <li>*1F/*1F versus (no *1F/*1F:):</li> <li>No differences in dose-corrected plasma concentrations of clozapine in the group of smokers or in the group of non-smokers (NS)</li> <li>No differences in the daily dose of clozapine in the group of smokers (NS).</li> <li>The same applies to the daily dose of clozapine in the group of smokers without co-medication, non-smokers without co-medication, smokers with therapeutic plasma concentrations of clozapine and non-smokers with therapeutic plasma concentrations of clozapine (NS).</li> </ul> </li> <li>NOTE: genotyping was only performed for *1F.</li> </ul>	Authors conclu- sion: "Neither among smokers, nor among nonsmo- kers mean con- centration/dose ratios and daily doses did vary significantly be- tween patients with the different CYP1A2 genoty- pes. The results show that cloza- pine clearance and daily dose requirement are strongly associa- ted with smoking behaviour, while the CYP1A2 genetic polymor- phism seems to have no signifi- cant clinical ef- feat."	
ref. 21 Basile VS et al. Genetic dissec- tion of atypical antipsychotic- induced weight gain: novel preliminary data on the pharmacogene- tic puzzle. J Clin Psychia- try 2001;62:45- 66. PubMed PMID: 11603885.	3 *1F: AA *1A: AA	<ul> <li>Ireatment with clozapine was started in 70 patients (dose unknown, adjustment to plasma concentration of 200-420 ng/mL). Relevant co-medication and smoking were not excluded. Correction was performed for gender, ethnicity, body weight before the start of the treatment and whether or not patients responded to clozapine.</li> <li>Genotyping: <ul> <li>35x *1F/*1F</li> <li>23x *1A/*1F</li> <li>12x *1A/*1A</li> </ul> </li> <li>*1F/*1F versus *1A/*1F versus *1A/*1A: <ul> <li>No difference in increase in body weight during treatment for 6 weeks (NS)</li> </ul> </li> <li>The authors stated that definitive conclusions could not be drawn from the study results due to the limited study size.</li> <li>NOTE: genotyping was only performed for *1F.</li> </ul>	Authors' conclu- sion: "In general, there were no other observable gene- tic associations (with clozapine induced weight gain) for the re- maining candida- te genes tested (5-HT1A, 5- HT2A, histamine Ha and H2 re- ceptor genes, and CYP1A2)."	

AA#: the allele has a significant effect, but this effect is favourable instead of unfavourable.

-

### Comments:

Cases and case series in which the patients were not compared to similar patients with the wild-type genotype were not included in the risk analysis, because they do not contribute sufficiently to the evidence. CYP1A2 activity is strongly affected by non-genetic factors. In addition, because of the prevalence of \*1F/\*1F and \*1F-heterozy-gotes being much higher than 5%, finding one of these genotypes in a case does not suggest significance (i.e. probability < 0.05).

This involves the following cases:

- 1 case with low plasma concentrations of clozapine and lack of effectiveness being a \*1F-carrier (n = 1, smoker)

- 1 case with high plasma concentrations of clozapine and adverse events with \*1F/\*1L (n = 1, comedication with venlafaxine, which is known to increase the clozapine plasma concentration, at the time of plasma concentration measurements)
- 1 case series and 1 case with low plasma concentrations of clozapine and lack of effectiveness with \*1F/\*1F (n=4, all smokers)
- 1 case with a strong increase in plasma concentrations of clozapine and an increase in the incidence of side effects with smoking cessation with \*1F/\*1F (n=2)
- 1 case with a high plasma concentration of clozapine and a side effect of asymptomatic pancreatitis with (\*1F/\*1F) (n=1, heavy smoker)

- 1 case with a high plasma concentration of clozapine and side effects with \*1A/\*7 (n=1, non-smoker) The study of Islam 2021 (Islam F et al. Contributions of cholinergic receptor muscarinic 1 and CYP1A2 gene variants on the effects of plasma ratio of clozapine/N-desmethylclozapine on working memory in schizophrenia. J Psychopharmacol 2021;35:31-9. PMID: 33143542) was not included, because plasma concentrations were not corrected for dose.

The study of Ruan 2019 (Ruan CJ et al. Clozapine metabolism in East Asians and Caucasians: a pilot exploration of the prevalence of poor metabolizers and a systematic review. J Clin Psychopharmacol 2019;39:135-44. PMID: 30811372) was not included, because only the effect of gene variants on the plasma concentration of clozapine+norclozapine was investigated.

Date of literature search: 4 August 2021.

	Genotype	Code	Gene-drug interaction	Action	Date
KNMP Pharmacogenetics	*1A/*1F	4 B	no	no	13 September 2021
Working Group decision	NM	4 B	no	no	
	*1C-heterozygote	4 C	no	no	
	*1C/*1C	3 C	no	no	
	IM	-	no	no	
	PM	-	no	no	

#### Mechanism:

Clozapine is mainly converted by CYP1A2 to the active metabolite N-desmethylclozapine (norclozapine). The activity of norclozapine is low and not considered clinically relevant. Clozapine is also metabolised to a limited extent by CYP-3A4 and possibly by CYP2C19 and CYP2C9. Norclozapine also appears to be metabolised primarily by CYP1A2: low CYP1A2 activity results in high plasma concentrations of norclozapine.

The NVZA (Dutch association of hospital pharmacists) recommends to titrate to a clozapine trough plasma concentration >350 µg/L with an upper limit of 700 µg/L in case of insufficient effectiveness. A better effectiveness has been observed at a concentration >350 µg/L. Concentrations >1000 µg/L are toxic. In literature, a therapeutic range of clozapine of 350-600 ng/mL with toxic concentrations > 1,000 ng/mL is mentioned (Hiemke C et al. Consensus guidelines for therapeutic drug monitoring in neuropsychopharmacology: update 2017. Pharmacopsychiatry 2018; 51:9-62).