

CYP2D6: eliglustat

6137/6138/6139

AUC = 'area under the time-concentration curve', CTCAE = common terminology criteria for adverse events, ECG = electrocardiogram, IM = intermediate metaboliser (gene dose 0.25-1) (decreased CYP2D6 enzyme activity), NM = normal metaboliser (gene dose 1.25-2.5) (normal CYP2D6 enzyme activity), PBPK = physiologically based pharma-cokinetic, PKPD = pharmacokinetic-pharmacodynamic, PM = poor metaboliser (gene dose 0) (absent CYP2D6 enzyme activity), SmPC = Summary of Product Characteristics, $t_{1/2}$ = elimination half-live, UM = ultra-rapid metaboliser (gene dose ≥ 2.75) (increased CYP2D6 enzyme activity)

Disclaimer: The Pharmacogenetics Working Group of the KNMP formulates the optimal recommendations for each phenotype group based on the available evidence. If this optimal recommendation cannot be followed due to practical restrictions, e.g. therapeutic drug monitoring or a lower dose is not available, the health care professional should consider the next best option.

Brief summary and justification of choices:

Eliglustat is predominantly metabolised into inactive metabolites by CYP2D6 and to a lesser extent by CYP3A. Eliglustat is an inhibitor of CYP2D6 and P-gp. Thus, eliglustat inhibits its own metabolism by inhibiting CYP2D6. This results in a nonlinear dose-concentration relationship.

Because eliglustat is predominantly metabolised by CYP2D6, genetic variants that decrease the CYP2D6 activity (poor and intermediate metaboliser (PM and IM)) increase the systemic exposure to eliglustat at a given dose. Similarly, genetic variants that increase the CYP2D6 activity (ultra-rapid metaboliser, UM) decrease the systemic exposure to eliglustat at a given dose.

- PM The systemic exposure following 84 mg twice daily at steady state is 7- to 9-fold higher in PM. Because a PK/PD model predicts small increases in the PR-, QRS- and QTcF-interval at eliglustat plasma concentrations 11-fold the expected C_{max} in humans, a dose reduction for PM from 84 mg twice daily to 84 mg once daily is included in the label of eliglustat. The KNMP: Pharmacogenetics Working Group concluded that there is a gene-drug interaction and therapy adjustment is required (yes/yes-interaction). The therapeutic recommendations are based on the European and American SmPCs.
- IM For one IM, the AUC was 2- to 4-fold higher than the AUCs of the NM with the highest and lowest AUC respectively. This difference is too small for recommendation of a dose reduction. However, for IM, eliglustat is also not recommended in case of mild hepatic impairment and/or in case of mild, moderate or severe renal impairment. The KNMP Pharmacogenetics Working Group concluded that there is a gene-drug interaction and that a warning is required in case of mild hepatic impairment and/or mild, moderate or severe renal impairment (yes/yes-interaction). The therapeutic recommendations are based on the European and American SmPCs.
- UM Four UM, for which the dose was titrated up to eliglustat 127 mg twice daily, had an adequate clinical response on eliglustat. For the only UM receiving eliglustat 84 mg twice daily, the clinical response was not adequate. Because of the small number of UM in which a daily dose of 254 mg has been proven to be effective, the KNMP Pharmacogenetics Working Group decided to follow the SmPC recommendation of an alternative for UM (yes/yes-interaction). The reason is that part of the UM have more than 3 active CYP2D6 alleles and a correspondingly higher CYP2D6 activity. Moreover, also comedication with inducers of CYP3A can result in an additional increase in eliglustat clearance.

You can find an overview of the clinical and kinetic effects per phenotype in the background information text of the gene-drug interactions in the KNMP Kennisbank. You might also have access to this background information text via your pharmacy or physician electronic decision support system.

Recommendation concerning pre-emptive genotyping, including justification of choices:

Due to the absence of publications of new clinical studies or case reports with patients with a CYP2D6 genotype leading to reduced or increased CYP2D6 activity in medical journals, and thus the absence of evidence of an increase in adverse events code \geq D (grade \geq 3) in these patients, the clinical implication of the gene-drug interaction scores only 2 out of the maximum of 10 points (with pre-emptive genotyping considered to be potentially beneficial for scores ranging from 0 to 2 points) (see also the Clinical Implication Score tables at the end of this risk analysis). However, there is not enough evidence to reject the warnings and recommendations in the SmPC. In addition, the Clinical Implication Score is mainly (for 80%) based on studies published in medical journals and therefore not suited to determine the clinical implication for gene-drug interactions for which data are only provided by pre-registration

studies. For these reasons, the KNMP Pharmacogenetics Working Group decided to ignore the Clinical Implication Score and adopt the genotyping recommendation in the SmPC. The SmPC indicates that genotyping must be performed before starting eliglustat to guide drug and dose selection. This would amount to genotyping being essential for drug safety according to the nomenclature of the KNMP Pharmacogenetics Working Group.

| Source | Code | Effect | Comments |
|------------------|--------|--|----------|
| ref. 1 | 3 | Predictions from pharmacokinetic/pharmacodynamic-ECG model- | |
| Ruskin JN et al. | | ling based on the thorough ECG study in 47 healthy adult volun- | |
| How a concen- | | teers indicated below, together with other exposure-related factors, | |
| tration-effect | | contributed to the CYP2D6 phenotype-based dosing recommenda- | |
| analysis of data | | tions for eliglustat, including dose adjustments and contraindica- | |
| from the eliglu- | | tions when co-administered with drugs metabolized by the CYP- | |
| stat thorough | | 2D6 and CYP3A pathways. | |
| electrocardio- | | The ECG study was a double-blind cross-over study in which 42 | |
| graphic study | | healthy volunteers received 4 different single doses in randomised | |
| was used to | | order: placebo, 169 mg eliglustat (therapeutic dose), 675 mg | |
| support dosing | | eliglustat (supratherapeutic dose), and 400 mg moxifloxacin (posi- | |
| recommenda- | | tive control (a dose shown to prolong QT/QTc interval by 8 to 13 | |
| tions. | | ms)). The other 5 volunteers completed only part of the study. | |
| Mol Genet | | Drugs of abuse; alcohol, caffeine-containing products; grapefruit | |
| Metab | | and grapefruit juice; and medications and/or dietary supplements | |
| 2020;131:211-8. | | known to prolong QT/QTc interval or inhibit or induce CYP2D6 | |
| PMID: | | were excluded. Triplicate ECGs were determined at 3 timepoints | |
| 33012655. | | before dosing and 18 timepoints in the period of 0.5-22.5 hour after | |
| | | dosing. Plasma concentrations were determined before and up to | |
| | | 36 hours after dosing. | |
| | | For QTcF (QT interval corrected with Fridericia's correction formu- | |
| | | la), a linear mixed-effect model was used and included period, | |
| | | sequence, treatment, sex, and time as fixed effects; baseline QTcF | |
| | | (the averaged value of all three ECGs performed before dosing) as | |
| | | a covariate; and time-by-treatment and sex-by-treatment interac- | |
| | | tions, and with subject nested within sequence as a random effect. | |
| | | If the upper bound of the one-sided 95% CI for the increase in | |
| | | QTcF (QT-interval corrected with Fridericia's correction formula) | |
| | | from baseline for eliglustat minus the increase in QTcF from base- | |
| | | line for placebo fell below 10 ms, it was concluded that the expo- | |
| | | sure associated with this dose of eliglustat did not prolong the QTc | |
| | | interval to a clinically significant degree. | |
| | | The relationship between placebo-corrected change from baseline | |
| | | in QTc interval (i.e., QTcF), heart rate, PR and QRS intervals (cal- | |
| | | culated for each subject and at each time point), and plasma eliglu- | |
| | | stat concentrations was explored using a linear mixed-effect model | |
| | | with plasma concentration as a fixed effect and subject as a | |
| | | random effect. If the P value of the population slope was < 0.05, a | |
| | | linear relationship was declared and the predicted mean increases | |
| | | from baseline (along with two-sided 95% CI) were calculated using | |
| | | the geometric means of observed C _{max} for the two doses of eliglu- | |
| | | stat tested in the study, as well as the geometric means of physio- logically-based pharmacokinetic model-predicted C _{max} in different | |
| | | | |
| | | drug-drug interaction scenarios (i.e., eliglustat with strong CYP2D6 | |
| | | and/or strong CYP3A inhibitors). Assuming a within-subject standard deviation of 9 ms, a total of 36 | |
| | | subjects (9 subjects per treatment sequence) were calculated to be | |
| | | required to detect an 8-ms difference in QTc between placebo and | |
| | | active treatment with a power of 95% and one-sided type 1 error of | |
| | | 2.5%. | |
| | | 2.070. | |
| | | Genotyping: | |
| | | - 45x NM+IM | |
| | | - 2x PM | |
| | | | |
| | | Results: | |
| | 1 | - No volunteer had QTcF ≥480 ms, QTcF change from baseline > | |
| | IM: AA | $60 \text{ ms}, \text{QRS}$ interval $\geq 120 \text{ ms}, \text{ or met the PR outlier criterion (PR)}$ | |
| | PM: AA | > 200 ms and increase from baseline \geq 25%). | |
| L | | ······································ | I |

| ref 1 continue | | en overte | covoro odvo | rea avanta ar | deaths | | | |
|---------------------------|--|------------------|-------------------|------------------|-------------------|--|--|--|
| ref. 1, continua- tion | No serious adver occurred during t | | | | | | | |
| | an adverse even | | | | | | | |
| | - Treatment-emergent adverse events considered possibly or | | | | | | | |
| | probably related | | | | | | | |
| | subjects included | d dizzines | s (5 subjects), | , nausea (3 su | bjects), | | | |
| | headache (2 sub | jects), blu | rred vision (2 | subjects), and | abdominal | | | |
| | pain/abdominal p | | | | | | | |
| | ment-emergent a | | | | | | | |
| | study end. The n | | | | | | | |
| | emergent advers | | | | | | | |
| | events, 8 subject jects (16.7%)]) a | | | | | | | |
| | subjects (9.1%)) | | | | | | | |
| | moderate advers | | | | | | | |
| | vagal syncope) v | | | | | | | |
| | cases were attrib | outed temp | oorally to phle | botomy. | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | Modelling results: | | | | (0.55) | | | |
| | Drug-drug | PBPK | | predicted me | | | | |
| | interaction | model- | | interval) (ms) | • | | | |
| | scenarios depending on | predic- ted | QTcF | mpared to place | QRS | | | |
| | CYP2D6 | mean | interval | interval | interval | | | |
| | phenotype | eliglu- | | | | | | |
| | | stat | | | | | | |
| | | C _{max} | | | | | | |
| | | (ng/ | | | | | | |
| | | mL) | | | | | | |
| | Normal metaboli | | 1 | 145 | | | | |
| | Eliglustat | 21.5 | 0.3 | 1.5 | 0.3 | | | |
| | alone With paroxe- | 126 | (-1.1;1.6) 2.9 | (0.3;2.7) 5.3 | (-0.4;1.0) 1.6 | | | |
| | tine (strong | 120 | (1.6;4.3) | (4.1;6.5) | (0.9;2.3) | | | |
| | CYP2D6 | | (1.0,4.0) | (4.1,0.0) | (0.0,2.0) | | | |
| | inhibitor) | | | | | | | |
| | With ketocona- | 48.4 | 1.0 | 2.5 | 0.7 | | | |
| | zole (strong | | (-0.4;2.3) | (1.3;3.7) | (-0.0;1.4) | | | |
| | CYP3A | | | | | | | |
| | inhibitor) | | | | | | | |
| | With paroxe- | 405 | 10.0 | 15.3 | 5.0 | | | |
| | tine (strong | | (8.3;11.6)) | (13.9;16.8) | (4.3;5.8) | | | |
| | CYP2D6 inhi- bitor) + ketoco- | | | | | | | |
| | nazole (strong | | | | | | | |
| | CYP3A inhibit- | | | | | | | |
| | or) | | | | | | | |
| | Intermediate me | taboliser (| 84 mg twice o | daily) | · | | | |
| | Eliglustat | 54.4 | 1.1 | 2.7 | 0.7 | | | |
| | alone | | (-0.2;2.5) | (1.5;3.9) | (0.0;1.4) | | | |
| | With paroxe- | 135 | 3.2 | 5.6 | 1.7 | | | |
| | tine (strong | | (1.8;4.5) | (4.4;6.8) | (1.0;2.4) | | | |
| | CYP2D6 | | | | | | | |
| | inhibitor) | 047 | 5.0 | | 0.7 | | | |
| | With ketocona- | 217 | 5.2 | 8.6 | 2.7 | | | |
| | zole (strong | | (3.8;6.6) | (7.3;9.8) | (2.0;3.5) | | | |
| | CYP3A | | | | | | | |
| | inhibitor) With paroxe- | 464 | 11.4 | 17.5 | 5.8 | | | |
| | tine (strong | 404 | (9.7;13.2) | (15.9;19.0) | 5.8 (5.0;6.6) | | | |
| | CYP2D6 inhi- | | (3.7,13.2) | (13.3,13.0) | (0.0,0.0) | | | |
| | bitor) + ketoco- | | | | | | | |
| | | | 1 | 1 | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | nazole (strong CYP3A inhibit- | | | | | | | |
| | nazole (strong | | | | | | | |

| | | | | 1 | 1 | 1 | Π | | | |
|-------------------|---|--|---|------------------|-------------------|------------------|---|--|--|--|
| ref. 1, continua- | | Eliglustat | 67.8 | <u>1.5</u> | <u>3.2</u> | <u>0.9</u> | | | | |
| tion | | alone | | <u>(0.1;2.8)</u> | <u>(2.0;4.4)</u> | <u>(0.2;1.6)</u> | H | | | |
| | | With ketocona- | 284 | <u>6.9</u> | <u>11.0</u> | <u>3.6</u> | | | | |
| | | zole (strong | | <u>(5.4;8.4)</u> | <u>(9.7;12.3)</u> | <u>(2.8;4.3)</u> | | | | |
| | | CYP3A | | | | | | | | |
| | | inhibitor) | | | | | | | | |
| | | Note: The most | conservat | ive threshold | established by | y regulatory | | | | |
| | | authorities for e | | | | | | | | |
| | | concerns was a | | | | , | | | | |
| ref. 2 | 0 | Indication: | | | | | | | | |
| SmPC Cerdelga | Ŭ | Cerdelga is indica | ted for the | lona-term tre | eatment of adu | ult patients | | | | |
| (eliglustat) 28- | | with Gaucher dise | | | | | | | | |
| 08-23. | | bolisers (PMs), inf | | | | | | | | |
| 00 20. | | bolisers (NMs). | ennediate | motabolicon | | nai mota | | | | |
| | | Dose: | | | | | | | | |
| | | The recommende | k ai aanh h | R4 ma elialus | tat twice daily | in CYP2D6 | | | | |
| | | intermediate meta | | | | | | | | |
| | | The recommende | | | | | | | | |
| | | poor metabolisers | | 54 mg eligius | lat once daily i | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Special population | | liaara (UDMa |) and indatorm | vinata | | | | |
| | | CYP2D6 ultra-rap | iu metabo | iisers (URIVIS |) and muelern | male | | | | |
| | | metabolisers | | d in nationta : | | | | | | |
| | | Eliglustat should r | | | | | | | | |
| | | rapid metabolisers | · · · · | | ate metabolise | ers. | | | | |
| | | Patients with hepa | | | | | | | | |
| | | In CYP2D6 norma | | | | | | | | |
| | | class C) hepatic ir | | | | | | | | |
| | | In CYP2D6 norma | | | | | | | | |
| | | impairment (Child | | | | | | | | |
| | | In CYP2D6 norma | | | | | | | | |
| | | ment (Child-Pugh | | | | quired and | | | | |
| | | the recommended | | | | | | | | |
| | | In CYP2D6 interm | | | | | | | | |
| | | (PMs) with any de | gree of he | epatic impairn | nent, eliglustat | is not | | | | |
| | | recommended. | | | | | | | | |
| | | Patients with rena | | | | | | | | |
| | | In CYP2D6 norma | | | | | | | | |
| | | severe renal impa | | | | ired and the | | | | |
| | | recommended do | | | | | | | | |
| | | In CYP2D6 NMs v | | age renal dis | ease (ESRD), | eliglustat is | | | | |
| | | not recommended | | | | | | | | |
| | | In CYP2D6 intermediate metabolisers (IMs) or poor metabolisers | | | | | | | | |
| | | (PMs) with mild, moderate or severe renal impairment or ESRD, | | | | | | | | |
| | | eliglustat is not re | | | | | | | | |
| | | Contraindications: | | | | | | | | |
| | | Patients who are | | | | | | | | |
| | | normal metabolise | | | | | | | | |
| | | | inhibitor concomitantly with a strong or moderate CYP3A inhibitor | | | | | | | |
| | | and patients who | | | | | | | | |
| | | strong CYP3A inh | | | | | | | | |
| | | results in substant | | | | | | | | |
| | | Warning: | | | | | | | | |
| | | Initiation of therap | | | | | | | | |
| | | Before initiation of | | | | | | | | |
| | | genotyped for CY | P2D6 to d | etermine the | CYP2D6 meta | aboliser | | | | |
| | | status. | | | | | | | | |
| | | Drug-drug interac | | | | | | | | |
| | | Cerdelga is contra | | | | | | | | |
| | | diate metabolisers | | | | | | | | |
| | | strong or moderat | | | | | | | | |
| | | or moderate CYP3 | | | | | | | | |
| | | poor metabolisers | | | | | | | | |
| | | For use of eliglust | | | oderate CYP2 | D6 or | | | | |
| | | CYP3A inhibitor, s | | | | | | | | |
| | | Patients with hepa | atic impairi | ment | | | | | | |
| | | Limited data are a | | | rmal metabolis | sers (NMs) | | | | |
| | • | | | - | | · / | | | | |

| | 1 | | |
|-------------------|--------|---|--|
| ref. 2, continua- | | with moderate hepatic impairment. Use of eliglustat in these | |
| tion | | patients is not recommended. | |
| | | Limited or no data are available in CYP2D6 intermediate metabo- | |
| | IM: AA | lisers (IMs) or poor metabolisers (PMs) with any degree of hepatic | |
| | | impairment. Use of eliglustat in these patients is not recommen- | |
| | | ded. | |
| | | Patients with renal impairment Limited or no data are available in CYP2D6 normal metabolisers | |
| | | (NMs), intermediate metabolisers (IMs) or poor metabolisers (PMs) | |
| | | with ESRD and in CYP2D6 intermediate metabolisers (IMs) or poor | |
| | | metabolisers (PMs) with mild, moderate, or severe renal impair- | |
| | | ment; use of eliglustat in these patients is not recommended. | |
| | | Interactions: | |
| | | The list of substances in this section is not an inclusive list and the | |
| | | prescriber is advised to consult the SmPC of all other prescribed | |
| | | medicinal products for potential drug-drug interactions with eliglu- | |
| | | stat. | |
| | | Drugs that may increase eliglustat exposure | |
| | | Cerdelga is contraindicated in patients who are CYP2D6 interme- | |
| | | diate metabolisers (IMs) or normal metabolisers (NMs) taking a | |
| | | strong or moderate CYP2D6 inhibitor concomitantly with a strong | |
| | | or moderate CYP3A inhibitor, and in patients who are CYP2D6 | |
| | | poor metabolisers (PMs) taking a strong CYP3A inhibitor. Use of Cerdelga under these conditions results in substantially elevated | |
| | | eliglustat plasma concentrations. | |
| | | CYP2D6 inhibitors | |
| | | In intermediate (IMs) and normal metabolisers (NMs): | |
| | | After repeated 84 mg twice daily doses of eliglustat in non-PMs, | |
| | | concomitant administration with repeated 30 mg once daily of | |
| | | paroxetine, a strong inhibitor of CYP2D6, resulted in a 7.3- and | |
| | | 8.9-fold increase in eliglustat C_{max} and AUC_{0-12h} , respectively. A | |
| | | dose of eliglustat 84 mg once daily should be considered when a | |
| | | strong CYP2D6 inhibitor (e.g. paroxetine, fluoxetine, quinidine, | |
| | | bupropion).is used concomitantly in IMs and NMs. | |
| | | At 84 mg twice daily dosing with eliglustat in non-PMs, it is predic- ted that concomitant use of moderate CYP2D6 inhibitors (e.g. dulo- | |
| | | xetine, terbinafine, moclobemide, mirabegron, cinacalcet, droneda- | |
| | | rone) would increase eliglustat exposure approximately up to 4- | |
| | | fold. Caution should be used with moderate CYP2D6 inhibitors in | |
| | | IMs and NMs. | |
| | | CYP3A inhibitors | |
| | | In intermediate (IMs) and normal metabolisers (NMs): | |
| | | After repeated 84 mg twice daily doses of eliglustat in non-PMs, | |
| | | concomitant administration with repeated 400 mg once daily doses | |
| | | of ketoconazole, a strong inhibitor of CYP3A, resulted in a 3.8-fold | |
| | | and 4.3-fold increase in eliglustat C _{max} and AUC _{0-12h} , respectively; | |
| | | similar effects would be expected for other strong inhibitors of CYP3A (e.g. clarithromycin, ketoconazole, itraconazole, cobicistat, | |
| | | indinavir, lopinavir, ritonavir, saquinavir, telaprevir, tipranavir, posa- | |
| | | conazole, voriconazole, telithromycin, conivaptan, boceprevir). | |
| | | Caution should be used with strong CYP3A inhibitor in IMs and | |
| | | NMs. | |
| | | At 84 mg once daily dosing with eliglustat in non-PMs, it is predic- | |
| | | ted that concomitant use of moderate CYP3A inhibitors (e.g. | |
| | | erythromycin, ciprofloxacin, fluconazole, diltiazem, verapamil, apre- | |
| | | pitant, atazanavir, darunavir, fosamprenavir, imatinib, cimetidine) | |
| | | would increase the eligilustat exposure approximately up to 3-fold. | |
| | | Caution should be used with moderate CYP3A inhibitors in IMs | |
| | | and NMs. In poor metabolisers (PMs): | |
| | | At 84 mg once daily dosing with eliglustat in PMs, it is predicted | |
| | | that concomitant use of strong CYP3A inhibitors (e.g. ketocona- | |
| | | zole, clarithromycin, itraconazole, cobicistat, indinavir, lopinavir, | |
| | | ritonavir, saquinavir, telaprevir, tipranavir, posaconazole, voricona- | |
| | | zole, telithromycin, conivaptan, boceprevir) would increase the | |
| | | C _{max} and AUC ₀₋₂₄ of eliglustat 4.3-fold and 6.2-fold. The use of | |
| | | - | |

| | 1 | | 1 |
|-------------------|-------|---|---|
| ref. 2, continua- | | strong CYP3A inhibitors is contraindicated in PMs. | |
| tion | | At 84 mg once daily dosing with eliglustat in PMs, it is predicted that concomitant use of moderate CYP3A inhibitors (e.g. erythro- | |
| | | mycin, ciprofloxacin, fluconazole, diltiazem, verapamil, aprepitant, | |
| | | atazanavir, darunavir, fosamprenavir, imatinib, cimetidine) would | |
| | | increase the C _{max} and AUC ₀₋₂₄ of eliglustat 2.4- and 3.0-fold, | |
| | | respectively. Use of a moderate CYP3A inhibitor with eliglustat is | |
| | | not recommended in PMs. | |
| | | Caution should be used with weak CYP3A inhibitors (e.g. amlopi- dine, cilostazol, fluvoxamine, goldenseal, isoniazid, ranitidine, | |
| | | ranolazine) in PMs. | |
| | | CYP2D6 inhibitors used simultaneously with CYP3A inhibitors | |
| | | In intermediate (IMs) and normal metabolisers (NMs): | |
| | | At 84 mg twice daily dosing with eliglustat in non-PMs, it is | |
| | | predicted that the concomitant use of strong or moderate CYP2D6 | |
| | | inhibitors and strong or moderate CYP3A inhibitors would increase C_{max} and AUC ₀₋₁₂ up to 17- and 25-fold, respectively. The use of a | |
| | | strong or moderate CYP2D6 inhibitor concomitantly with a strong | |
| | | or moderate CYP3A inhibitor is contraindicated in IMs and NMs. | |
| | | Agents that may decrease eliglustat exposure | |
| | | Strong CYP3A inducers | |
| | | After repeated 127 mg twice daily doses of eliglustat in non-PMs, | |
| | | concomitant administration of repeated 600 mg once daily doses of rifampicin (a strong inducer of CYP3A as well as the efflux trans- | |
| | | porter P-gp) resulted in an approximately 85% in eliglustat expo- | |
| | | sure. After repeated 84 mg twice daily doses of eliglustat in PMs, | |
| | | concomitant administration of repeated 600 mg once daily doses of | |
| | | rifampicin resulted in an approximately 95% decrease in eligustat | |
| | | exposure. Use of a strong CYP3A4 inducer (e.g. rifampin, carba- | |
| | | mazepine, phenobarbital, phenytoin, rifabutin and St. John's Wort) with eliglustat is not recommended in IMs, NMs and PMs. | |
| | | Pharmacodynamics: | |
| | | Clinical efficacy and safety | |
| | | The recommended dosing regimens are based on modelling, either | |
| | | using the PK/PD data from the dose-titration regimens applied in | |
| | | the clinical studies for IMs and NMs, or using the physiologically- based PK data for PMs. | |
| | | Clinical experience in CYP2D6 poor metabolisers (PMs) and ultra- | |
| | | rapid metabolisers (URMs) | |
| | | There is limited experience with Cerdelga treatment of patients | |
| | | who are PMs or URMs. In the primary analysis period of the three | |
| | | clinical studies, a total of 5 PMs and 5 URMs were treated with Cerdelga. All PMs received eliglustat 42 mg twice daily, and four of | |
| | | these (80%) had an adequate clinical response. The majority of | |
| | | URMs (80%) received a dose escalation to 127 mg eliglustat twice | |
| | | daily, all of which had adequate clinical responses. The one URM | |
| | UM: A | who received 84 mg twice daily did not have an adequate clinical | |
| | | response. | |
| | | The predicted exposures with 84 mg eliglustat once daily in patients who are PMs are expected to be similar to exposures | |
| | | observed with 84 mg eliglustat twice daily in CYP2D6 intermediate | |
| | | metabolisers (IMs). | |
| | | Patients who are URMs may not achieve adequate concentrations | |
| | | to achieve a therapeutic effect. No dosing recommendation for | |
| | | URMs can be given. Pharmacokinetics: | |
| | | Following repeated dosing of eliglustat 84 mg twice daily in non- | |
| | | PMs and once daily in PMs, steady state was reached by 4 days, | |
| | | with an accumulation ratio of 3-fold or less. | |
| | | After repeated oral doses of 84 mg eliglustat twice daily, eliglustat | |
| | PM: A | elimination half-life is approximately 4-7 hours in non-PMs and 9 hours in PMs. | |
| | | Characteristics in specific groups | |
| | | CYP2D6 phenotype | |
| | | Population pharmacokinetic analysis shows that the CYP2D6 | |
| | | predicted phenotype based on genotype is the most important | |
| | | | |

| not 0 continue | | for story offersting with surger a solving stick. | eriekilite, hedisiskaale with a OVD | [| | |
|--------------------|--------|--|--|---|--|--|
| ref. 2, continua- | | factor affecting pharmacokinetic v | | | | |
| tion | | 2D6 poor metaboliser predicted pl | | | | |
| | | of the population) exhibit higher el | | | | |
| | | mediate or normal CYP2D6 metal Hepatic impairment: | | | | |
| | | Effects of mild and moderate hepa | atic impairment were evaluated in | | | |
| | | a single dose phase 1 study. After | | | | |
| | | C _{max} and AUC were 1.2- and 1.2-f | | | | |
| | | metabolisers (NMs) with mild hepa | | | | |
| | | fold higher in CYP2D6 normal me | | | | |
| | | hepatic impairment compared to h | | | | |
| | | lisers (NMs). | , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , | | | |
| | | After repeated 84 mg twice daily o | loses of Cerdelga, C _{max} and | | | |
| | | AUC0-12 are predicted to be 2.4- a | | | | |
| | | normal metabolisers (NMs) with m | nild hepatic impairment and 6.4- | | | |
| | | and 8.9-fold higher in CYP2D6 no | | | | |
| | | moderate hepatic impairment com | pared to healthy CYP2D6 | | | |
| | | normal metabolisers (NMs). | | | | |
| | | After repeated 84 mg once daily d | | | | |
| | | AUC ₀₋₂₄ are predicted to be 3.1- a | | | | |
| | | normal metabolisers (NMs) with m | | | | |
| | | compared to healthy CYP2D6 nor | mai metabolisers (INMS) recei- | | | |
| | | ving Cerdelga 84 mg twice daily. Steady state PK exposure could r | ot be predicted in CVP2D6 inter- | | | |
| | | mediate metabolisers (IMs) and p | | | | |
| | | and moderate hepatic impairment | · · · · · | | | |
| | | data. The effect of severe hepatic | | | | |
| | | subjects with any CYP2D6 pheno | | | | |
| | | Renal impairment: | | | | |
| | | Effect of severe renal impairment | was evaluated in a single dose | | | |
| | | phase 1 study. After a single 84 m | | | | |
| | | | were similar in CYP2D6 normal metabolisers (NMs) with severe | | | |
| | | renal impairment and healthy CYF | | | | |
| | | Limited or no data were available | | | | |
| | | CYP2D6 intermediate metabolise | | | | |
| ref. 3 | 0 | (PMs) with severe renal impairme Indication: | nt. | | | |
| SmPC Cerdelga | 0 | Cerdelga is indicated for the long- | term treatment of adult patients | | | |
| (eliglustat), USA, | | with Gaucher disease type 1 (GD | • | | | |
| 29-08-18. | | metabolizers (NMs), intermediate | | | | |
| | | metabolizers (PMs) as detected b | | | | |
| | | Limitations of use: | - | | | |
| | UM: AA | Patients who are CYP2D6 ultra- | rapid metabolizers (URMs) may | | | |
| | | not achieve adequate concentra | | | | |
| | | therapeutic effect. | | | | |
| | | A specific dosage cannot be rec | | | | |
| | | whose CYP2D6 genotype canno | or de determined (indéterminaté | | | |
| | | metabolizers). <u>Dosage</u> : | | | | |
| | | Patient selection | | | | |
| | | Select patients with Gaucher dise | | | | |
| | | 2D6 metabolizer status. It is recor | | | | |
| | | established using an FDA-cleared | | | | |
| | | genotype. | | | | |
| | | Recommended adult dosage | | | | |
| | | The recommended dosage of Cer | | | | |
| | | patient's CYP2D6 metabolizer sta | | | | |
| | | Table 1: Recommended Dosage Reg CYP2D6 Metabolizer Status | CERDELGA Dosage | | | |
| | | NMs | | | | |
| | | IMs | 84 mg twice daily | | | |
| | | PMs | 84 mg once daily | | | |
| | | Dosage adjustment in NMs and IM | | | | |
| | | ment and concomitant use of CYF | | | | |
| | | Reduce dosage frequency of Cere | | | | |
| 1 | 1 | CYP2D6 NMs and IMs with or wit | hout hepatic impairment taking | | | |
| | | CYP2D6 or CYP3A inhibitors, as | a hauna in table 0 | | | |

| | | | | | т | | | | |
|-------------------|--|--|-----------------------------------|----------------------------|---|--|--|--|--|
| ref. 3, continua- | | nmended Dosage of | | | | | | | |
| tion | CYP Inhibitors | etabolizer, Hepatic Im | pairment Status, ar | na Concomitant | | | | | |
| | CYP2D6 | Hepatic | Concomitant CY | P Inhibitor | | | | | |
| | Metabolizer | Impairment | | | | | | | |
| | Status | Status | | | | | | | |
| | NMs | Without Hepatic | Taking a strong | | | | | | |
| | | Impairment | CYP2D6 inhibi | | | | | | |
| | | | Taking a strong CVP3A inhibit | | | | | | |
| | | Mild (Child-Pugh | CYP3A inhibito Taking a weak | CYP2D6 inhibitor | | | | | |
| | | Class A) Hepatic | Taking a strong | | | | | | |
| | | Impairment | weak CYP3A i | nhibitor | | | | | |
| | IMs | Without Hepatic | Taking a strong | | | | | | |
| | Contraindiant | Impairment | CYP2D6 inhibi | tor | | | | | |
| | Contraindicat | ontraindicated in th | a following patien | te based on | | | | | |
| | | abolizer status due | | | | | | | |
| | | ation of the PR, QT | | | | | | | |
| | NMs | , | , | | | | | | |
| | Taking a str | ong or moderate C | YP2D6 inhibitor c | oncomitantly with | | | | | |
| | a strong or | moderate CYP3A ir | hibitor | - | | | | | |
| | | r severe hepatic im | | | | | | | |
| | | impairment and ta | king a strong or m | noderate CYP- | | | | | |
| | 2D6 inhibito | or | | | | | | | |
| | IMs | ang ar madarata () | VD2D6 inhibitor o | oppomitantly with | | | | | |
| | | ong or moderate C` moderate CYP3A ir | | oncomitantiy with | | | | | |
| | | ong CYP3A inhibito | | | | | | | |
| | | of hepatic impairme | | | | | | | |
| | PMs | | | | | | | | |
| | Taking a str | | | | | | | | |
| | Any degree | | | | | | | | |
| | Warnings: | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | ases in ECG intervals (PR, | | | | | |
| | | QTc, and QRS) at substantially elevated eliglustat plasma concen- | | | | | | | |
| | | ations and may increase the risk of cardiac arrhythmias. Jse of Cerdelga is contraindicated, to be avoided, or requires | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | ustment in patients ding CYP2D6 meta | | | | | | | |
| | degree of h | | | | | | | | |
| | and Drug in | | | | | | | | |
| | 0 | Drug interactions: | | | | | | | |
| | | Coadministration of Cerdelga with: | | | | | | | |
| | | CYP2D6 or CYP3A inhibitors may increase eliglustat concentra- | | | | | | | |
| | | tions which may increase the risk of cardiac arrhythmias from | | | | | | | |
| | | n of the PR, QTc, a | | | | | | | |
| | | strong CYP3A inducers decreases eliglustat concentrations which | | | | | | | |
| | | Cerdelga efficacy. | nanagement of in | teractions with | | | | | |
| | drugs affectir | | nanayement of In | ILETACIONS WILL | | | | | |
| | | lga is contraindicate | ed to be avoided | or may require | | | | | |
| | | tment depending of | | | | | | | |
| | | abolizer status. | | | | | | | |
| | Table 3: | | 2D6 Metabolizer S | tatus | | | | | |
| | Prevention | NMs | IMs | PMs | | | | | |
| | and | | | | | | | | |
| | Management Strategies of | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | Drug Interactions | | | | | | | | |
| | Interactions Affecting | | | | | | | | |
| | Interactions Affecting Cerdelga | | | | | | | | |
| | Interactions Affecting Cerdelga Based on | | | | | | | | |
| | Interactions Affecting Cerdelga Based on CYP2D6 | | | | | | | | |
| | Interactions Affecting Cerdelga Based on | | | | | | | | |
| | Interactions Affecting Cerdelga Based on CYP2D6 Metabolizer | | | | | | | | |

| ref. 3, continua- | | DrugConcomi | | | | |
|-------------------|-------|-----------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------|
| tion | | tant Drug(s) | | | | |
| | | CYP2D6 Inhibite | | | | |
| | | Strong | | equency of | Continue | |
| | | Moderate | | g to once daily | Cerdelga | |
| | | Weak | | lga 84 mg twice | 84 mg once | |
| | | | da | daily ^a | | |
| | | CYP3A Inhibitor | | 2 • • • | | |
| | | Strong | Reduce fre- | | ndicated | |
| | | Moderate | quency of Cer- | Avoid coad | Iministration | |
| | | | delga 84 mg to once daily | | | |
| | | Weak | | lga 84 mg twice | Avoid | |
| | | weak | | aily | coadministration | |
| | | CYP2D6 Inhibit | | ith a strong CYP3A | | |
| | | Strong | | Contraindicated | | |
| | | Moderate | | | | |
| | | CYP2D6 Inhibite | or Concomitantly w | ith a moderate CYF | P3A Inhibitor | |
| | | Strong | Contrai | ndicated | Avoid coadmi- | |
| | | Moderate | | | nistration ^a | |
| | | CYP3A Inducer | | | | |
| | | Strong | | void coadministrati | | |
| | | | P2D6 inhibitor due | to little or no CYP2 | D6 activity in | |
| | | CYP2D6 PMs. | opulations | | | |
| | | Use in specific | | | | |
| | | Renal Impairme | | a al iman airma a ratha | | |
| | | | | nal impairment ba | ased on the | |
| | | | D6 metabolizer st | alus. | | |
| | | NMs | a in patienta with | and atoms repair | | |
| | | Avoid Cerdelg | | | | |
| | | | | e (eCLcr) less tha | n 15 mL/min not | |
| | | on dialysis or | | | | |
| | | No dosage ad | | | | |
| | | moderate, or s | | | | |
| | | IMs and PMs | | | | |
| | | Avoid Cerdelg | | | | |
| | | Hepatic Impairn | | notio impoirmont | based on CVD | |
| | | | | patic impairment | | |
| | | | r status and cond | comitant use of C | YP2D6 or CYP3A | |
| | | inhibitors. | | | | |
| | | NMs | ntraindiantad in r | actionto with | | |
| | | | ontraindicated in p | | nt | |
| | | | | hepatic impairme | | |
| | | | | B) hepatic impair | | |
| | | | YP2D6 inhibitor | balic impairment | taking a strong or | |
| | | | | ordolao 01 ma to | anaa dailu in | |
| | | | | erdelga 84 mg to | once daily in | |
| | | | nild hepatic impa | innent taking. | | |
| | | - a weak CYP | 2D6 Inhibitor derate, or weak (| VP3A inhihitar | | |
| | | | | | nto with mild | |
| | | | | nmended in patie | | |
| | | IMs and PMs | ment, unless oth | erwise specified a | above. | |
| | | | unterning dispote dia a | ationto with any | deares of benetic | |
| | | | ntraindicated in p | batients with any | degree of hepatic | |
| | | impairment. | | | | |
| | | Pharmacokineti | <u>CS</u> : | | | |
| | PM: A | Absorption | | | te d'anne de com | |
| | | | | harmacokinetics | | AUC in |
| | | | | increases in a mo | | comparison with |
| | | | | se range of 42 to | | NM: |
| | | | | | after multiple oral | IM: 200-400% |
| | | | | ls, eliglustat syste | | PM: 700-900% |
| | | | | | tate compared to | 1 WI. 7 00-300 /0 |
| | | | | pharmacokinetic | | |
| | | | | | dependent. Com- | |
| | | | | | mg twice daily at | |
| | | | 7- to 9-fold highe | | | |
| | | Dosing of Cerde | elga 84 mg once | daily has not bee | n studied in PMs. | |

| r | | Γ | | | | | | | т | | |
|-------------------|--------|--|-------------------|--------------------|---------------------------|--------------------|--|-------------------------------|---|--|--|
| ref. 3, continua- | | | | | | | a physiolo | | | | |
| tion | | based pharmacokinetic (PBPK) model with 84 mg once daily were 75 ng/mL and 956 hr·ng/mL, respectively. | | | | | | | | | |
| | | • | | • | | | | | | | |
| | IM: AA | | | | | | ers for elig | | | | |
| | | healthy su | • | llowing mu | ultiple dos | es of 84 r | ng CERD | ELGA | | | |
| | | twice dail | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | liglustat fo | ollowing Mu | ıltiple | | | |
| | | Doses of 8 | 4 mg CER | DELGA IV | | Matakalla | | | | | |
| | | Doromote | | N II | | Metaboliz | | 1-0 | | | |
| | | Paramete | er | | ∕Is :96) | IMs (n=1) | PN (n- | | | | |
| | | C _{max} (ng/ | ml)a | | %) to 25.0 | (n=1) 44.6 | <u>n=</u> 113 (32% | | | | |
| | | Omax (Hg/ | ···· L) | | 1%) | 0 | (40 | | | | |
| | | AUCtau | | | %) to 143 | 306 | 922 (33% | | | | |
| | | (ng·hr/mL |) ^a | | 0%) | | ` (38 | , | | | |
| | | Median T | | 1.5 [0.5 to | o 3.0] to 2 | 2 | 3 [2 | to 4] | | | |
| | | [min to m | | [1.5 t | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | ues from m | | | | | | |
| | | | | | each maxin | num plasm | na concentr | ation | | | |
| | | | rom multipl | | ommondo | i anezoh h | n PMs [see | Dosadel | | | |
| | | Eliminatio | • | | | a uusaye I | 111 1113 [386 | , Dosayej. | | | |
| | | | | elimination | half-life v | vas annro | oximately | 6.5 hours | | | |
| | | | | | urs in PMs | | - and a construction of the construction of th | | | | |
| | | Specific F | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Patients v | | | nt | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | r in CYP2 | 2D6 NMs v | with | | | |
| | | | | | | | Ms. Eliglu | | | | |
| | | | | | | | or PMs w | | | | |
| | | | | | s unknown | | | ,, , | | | |
| | | Patients v | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | hly variab | le with the | e coeffi- | | | |
| | | | | | | | or C _{max} an | | | | |
| | | | | | | | nd modera | | | | |
| | | tic impairr | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | cs of eliglu | ustat in C | YP2D6 IN | ls and | | | |
| | | PMs with | mild and | moderate | hepatic in | npairmen | t is unkno | wn. The | | | |
| | | effect of s | evere he | patic impa | irment in s | subjects v | with any C | YP2D6 | | | |
| | | phenotype | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Drug inter | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | of drug int | eractions | on the ph | armaco- | | | |
| | | kinetics of | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | rug Interac | | ting Eliglus | | | | | | |
| | | Conco | | | P2D6 Meta | | | | | | |
| | | mitant | | Ms | IN | - | | Ms | | | |
| | | Drug(s) CYP2D6 | C _{max} | AUC _{tau} | C _{max} | AUC _{tau} | C _{max} | AUC _{tau} | | | |
| | | Paroxe- | \uparrow 7.0- | ↑ 8.4- | ↑ 2.1- | ↑ 2.3- | | | | | |
| | | tine | fold | fold | 2.1- fold ^a | ∣ 2.3- foldª | | | | | |
| | | (strong) | | | | | NI - | | | | |
| | | Torbi 1 2 8 1 4 5 1 6 folda NO Inclease | | | | | | | | | |
| | | nafine fold ^a fold ^a | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | rate) | | | | | | | | | |
| | | CYP3A Ir | | | | A F 4 | | 4.0.0 | | | |
| | | Ketoco | ↑ 4.0- fold | ↑ 4.4- | ↑ 4.4- foldª | ↑ 5.4- foldª | ↑ 4.3- fold ^{a,b} | ↑ 6.2- fold ^{a,b} | | | |
| | | nazole (strong) | fold | fold | fold ^a | fold ^a | 1010,5 | 1010-37 | | | |
| | | Fluco- | ↑ 2.8- | ↑ 3.2- | ↑ 2.5- | ↑ 2.9- | ↑ 2.4- | ↑ 3.0- | | | |
| | | nazole | fold ^a | fold ^a | fold ^a | fold ^a | fold ^{a,b} | fold ^{a,b} | | | |
| | | (mode- | | | | | | | | | |
| | | rate) | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | ntly with CY | | oitors | | | | |
| | | Paroxet | ↑ 16.7- | ↑ 24.2- | ↑ 7.5- | ↑ 9.8- | | | | | |
| | | ine with | fold ^a | fold ^a | fold ^a | fold ^a | Expecte | d similar | | | |
| | | ketoco- | | | | | | e as with | | | |
| | | nazole Terbina | ↑ 10.2- | ↑ 13.6- | ↑ 4.2- | ↑ 5.0- | CYP3A | inhibitors | | | |
| | | fine | fold ^a | fold ^a | 4.2- fold ^a | ∣ 5.0- foldª | alo | ne ^d | | | |
| | | | 1010 | 1010 | 1010 | 1010 | 1 | | | | |
| | | with | | | | | | | | | |

| ref. 3, continua- | fluco- | | | | | | | |
|-------------------|---|----------------------|-----------------|-------------------|--|--|--|--|
| tion | nazole | | | | | | | |
| | CYP3A Inducers | 5 | | | | | | |
| | Rifam- | ↓ 90%° | | ↓ 95% | | | | |
| | pin | | | | | | | |
| | (strong) | | | | | | | |
| | ^a Predicted pharmacokinetic parameters based on PBPK models | | | | | | | |
| | ^b Following coadn | ninistration with CI | ERDELGA 84 m | g once daily | | | | |
| | ^c Following coadministration with CERDELGA 127 mg twice daily (1.5 | | | | | | | |
| | times the recommended dosage) | | | | | | | |
| | ^d Due to little or no CYP2D6 activity in CYP2D6 PMs | | | | | | | |
| | \uparrow = Increased; \downarrow = Decreased | | | | | | | |
| | No clinically significant pharmacokinetic changes were observed | | | | | | | |
| | for eliglustat wh | en coadminister | ed with intrave | nous rifampin (an | | | | |
| | OATP inhibitor). | | | • • | | | | |

| Risk group | IM with CYP2D6 inhibitors, IM and PM with CYP3A inhibitors, UM with CYP3A4-inducers |
|------------|---|

Comments:

- An article evaluating the effect of CYP2D6 phenotype on AUC of eliglustat was not included (Peterschmitt MJ et al. Safety, tolerability, and pharmacokinetics of eliglustat tartrate (Genz-112638) after single doses, multiple doses, and food in healthy volunteers. J Clin Pharmacol 2011;51:695-705. PubMed PMID: 20864621). The only PM in the study (n = 36, of which 12 received placebo and the remaining 24 healthy volunteers were divided over 3 dose groups (42 mg, 168 mg and 294 mg)) was randomized to the placebo group. AUC was determined after a single dose. Patients were divided over 5 phenotype groups, including 'intermediate to normal' and 'normal to ultra-rapid', that were not defined. It was not stated whether the calculated AUCs were corrected for dose.

An article showing that with lower eliglustat doses (21 mg, 42 mg once daily) the PBPK model predicted exposure in IM and PM was within the outlined safety margin (C_{max} <250 ng/mL) when eliglustat was administered with ketoconazole, where the current recommendation is a contraindication of coadministration, was not included (Sahasrabudhe SA et al. Physiologically-based pharmacokinetic model development, validation, and application for prediction of eliglustat drug-drug interactions. Clin Pharmacol Ther 2022;112:1254-63. PMID: 36056771). The model was not based on new data, but on the eliglustat registration studies and the results from the modelling were not included in the SmPC, so do not add to the SmPC data.

| | Phenotype | Code | Gene-drug interaction | Action | Date |
|------------------------|-----------|------|-----------------------|--------|-----------------|
| KNMP Pharmacogenetics | PM | 1A | yes | yes | 6 November 2023 |
| Working Group decision | IM | 1AA | yes | yes | |
| | UM | 0A | yes | yes | |

Date of the literature search: 23 September 2023.

Mechanism:

Eliglustat is predominantly metabolised into inactive metabolites by CYP2D6 and to a lesser extent by CYP3A. Eliglustat is an inhibitor of CYP2D6 and P-gp. Thus, eliglustat inhibits its own metabolism by inhibiting CYP2D6. This results in a nonlinear dose-concentration relationship.

Clinical Implication Score:

| Potentially | PGx testing for this gene-drug pair is potentially beneficial. Genotyping can be | 0-2 + | |
|-------------|---|--------|--|
| beneficial | considered on an individual patient basis. If, however, the genotype is available, | | |
| | the DPWG recommends adhering to the gene-drug guideline | | |
| Beneficial | PGx testing for this gene-drug pair is beneficial. It is advised to consider geno- typing the patient before (or directly after) drug therapy has been initiated to guide drug and dose selection | 3-5 + | |
| Essential | PGx testing for this gene-drug pair is essential for drug safety or efficacy. Genotyping must be performed before drug therapy has been initiated to guide drug and dose selection | 6-10 + | |

Table 1: Definitions of the available Clinical Implication Scores

 Table 2: Criteria on which the attribution of Clinical Implication Score is based

| Clinical Implication Score Criteria | | Given Score | |
|--|-----|----------------|--|
| Clinical effect associated with gene-drug interaction (drug- or diminished efficacy- | | | |
| induced) | | | |
| CTCAE Grade 3 or 4 (clinical effect score D or E) | | | |
| CTCAE Grade 5 (clinical effect score F) | ++ | | |
| Level of evidence supporting the associated clinical effect grade ≥ 3 | | | |
| One study with level of evidence score ≥ 3 | | | |
| Two studies with level of evidence score ≥ 3 | ++ | | |
| Three or more studies with level of evidence score ≥ 3 | +++ | | |
| Number needed to genotype (NNG) in the Dutch population to prevent one clinical | | | |
| effect grade ≥ 3 | | | |
| • 100 < NNG ≤ 1000 | + | | |
| • 10 < NNG ≤ 100 | ++ | | |
| • NNG ≤ 10 | +++ | | |
| PGx information in the Summary of Product Characteristics (SmPC) | | | |
| At least one genotype/phenotype mentioned | + | | |
| OR | | | |
| Recommendation to genotype | ++ | ++ | |
| OR | | | |
| At least one genotype/phenotype mentioned as a contra-indication in the | ++ | | |
| corresponding section | | | |
| Total Score: | | 2+ | |
| Corresponding Clinical Implication Score: | | | |
| Score according to the SmPC: | | | |