

CYP2C9: warfarin

6228 t/m 6234

*2 = CYP2C9 allele with decreased activity, *3 = CYP2C9 allele with strongly decreased activity, 95% CI = 95% confidence interval, EM = extensive metaboliser (*1/*1) (normal CYP2C9 enzyme activity), HR = hazard ratio, IM = intermediate metaboliser, other genotype (decreased CYP2C9 enzyme activity due to an allele with decreased activity other than *2 or *3), INR = international normalised ratio, NS = not significant, OR = odds ratio, PM = poor metaboliser, other genotype (strongly decreased CYP2C9 enzyme activity involving one or two alleles with decreased activity other than *2 or *3), RR = risk ratio, S = significant, VKORC1 = vitamin K epoxide reductase, subunit 1

Disclaimer: The Pharmacogenetics Working Group of the KNMP formulates the optimal recommendations for each phenotype group based on the available evidence. If this optimal recommendation cannot be followed due to practical restrictions, e.g. therapeutic drug monitoring or a lower dose is not available, then the health care professional should consider the next best option.

Brief summary and justification of choices:

The most potent enantiomer of warfarin, S-warfarin, is predominantly metabolised into inactive metabolites by CYP2C9. Gene variants leading to an enzyme with reduced activity might therefore diminish the dose required. This was confirmed by three meta-analyses investigating the association between warfarin dose and gene variants with reduced activity.

Two meta-analyses found an increased bleeding risk in patients with one or two alleles with reduced activity. A third meta-analysis found a significant effect only for *3/*3. For the separate genotypes, a significantly increased bleeding risk was found in the largest meta-analysis for *1/*3 and *3/*3. For the other genotypes, a significant effect was only found after grouping them with other genotypes. As expected based on predicted enzyme activity, Lindh 2009 found a larger dose reduction for *2/*3 than for *1/*3. Both Lindh 2009 and Jorgensen 2012 found a similar dose reduction for *2/*2 as for *1/*3. Based on these results, it can be expected that *2/*2 and *2/*3 also result in an enhanced bleeding risk. For this reason, for these four genotypes, the gene-drug interaction leads to the necessity to adjust therapy by using lower initial doses (guideline with therapeutic recommendations).

For *1/*2, there is only evidence of an increased bleeding risk when analysed together with genotypes with a more greatly reduced CYP2C9 activity. In addition, the required dose reduction is small (approximately 20%, which is 1.5 times smaller than the required dose reduction for *1/*3 and *2/*2). Therefore, if *1/*2 has an increased bleeding risk, the increase in risk is probably small. For this reason, we consider the evidence insufficient to recommend therapy adjustment for this gene-drug interaction (guideline without therapeutic recommendations).

There are no data for IM and PM, the genotypes involving one or two alleles with reduced activity other than *2 and *3. Because the *6 allele has no activity, these phenotypes include genotypes with an effect size equal to or larger than *1/*3 and *3/*3. Therefore, lowering of the initial doses is also recommended for these genotypes (guideline with therapeutic recommendations).

You can find an overview of the effects per genotype in the background information text of the corresponding genotype-drug interaction on the KNMP Knowledge Bank. You might also have access to this background information text via your pharmacy or physician electronic decision support system.

You will find the source of the dose recommendations and a note on the meta-analyses comparing genotype-guided with non-genotype-guided dosing below.

Dose recommendations

Dose reductions are derived from the meta-analysis of Lindh 2009. This is the largest meta-analysis on the effect of CYP2C9 genotype on dose. To facilitate the use, the recommended percentages of the standard initial doses are rounded off to multiples of 5%.

The dosing algorithm from the EU-PACT study (Avery 2011) is added to allow a more accurate dose calculation. *Note*

The meta-analyses of studies comparing genotype-guided with non-genotype-guided dosing showed little or no improvement of clinical outcomes by genotype-guided dosing. However, these studies considered only data for the whole group, including the patients without variant alleles. The absence of an effect for the whole group does not preclude an effect for the patients who are expected to benefit most from genotype-guided dosing, i.e. the patients with variant alleles. In addition, in several of the included studies, the initial dose was fixed and not genotype-guided. Also, in patients with known genotypes, the initial dose can be adapted.

Source	Code	Effect				Comments
ref. 1 Liao Z et al. Meta-analysis of randomized control- led trials reveals an	4	Meta-analysis of a patients comparing non-genotype-gui patients per trial vertical v	Author's conclusion: "Allocation to genotype plus clinical algorithm			
improved clinical outcome of using genotype plus clinical algorithm for warfarin dosing. J Thromb Thrombolysis 2015;39:228-34. PubMed PMID: 24962733.		to 180 days for the non-genotype-guilit was calculated was calcula	may be associated with a significant improvement of the percentage of time within the therapeutic INR range for patients adopting fixed dose of warfarin. The incidence of total adverse events and death rates did not differ between these two			
		was heterogeneity Results: Genotype-guiden			otype-	groups."
		guided therapy:			% of patients in non-genotype-guided group	
		% of time within the therapeutic INR range (standardised mean difference)	all (5 trials, n = 1,729) fixed initial dose (3 trials, n < 700)	+0.24 (95% CI: 0.09-0.40) (S)		
		Adverse events Death	4 trials, n = 1,763 3 trials,	NS NS	0.64%	
		Heterogeneity be and moderate fo - percentage of all trials	r: ime within the	therapeutic II	NR range,	
ref. 2 Xu H et al. Meta-analysis of efficacy and safety of genotype-guided pharmacogenetic dosing of warfarin. Int J Cardiol 2014;177:654-7. PubMed PMID: 25449474.	4	Meta-analysis of 8 patients comparin non-genotype-gui patients per trial v duration varied from the non-genotype trials, it was calcu genotype-guided CYP2C9 and VKC CYP2C9 genotype CYP2C9, VKORO The therapeutic IN 5 of the trials in the meta-analysis Results:	Author's conclusion: "We found that time in the therapeutic INR range of the genotypeguided group was increased compared with the control group in the RCTs when the initial warfarin dose was fixed. However, the genotype-guided group failed to exhibit statistically			
		Genotype-guider guided therapy:	d in compariso	n to non-gend	% in non-ge-	significant out- come compared to the control group in the stu-

ref. 2, continuation					notype-	dies using equa-
					guided group	tion initial dose. Only a limited
		% of time within the	all (8 trials)	Not determi- ned due to		recommendation can be made that
		therapeutic		high hetero-		genotype-guided
		INR range (standardis	fixed initial	geneity		pharmacogenetic algorithm should
		ed mean	dose (5 trials,	+0.30 (95% CI: 0.08-		be applied to guide
		difference)	n = 812)	0.53) (S) NS		warfarin dose rather than fixed
			not fixed initial dose (3 trials,	INS		dose The results from
			n = 1,286)			our meta-analysis
			follow-up ≤ 28 days (3 trials,	NS		indicated that there was no be-
			n = 1,218)	0.05 (0.50)		nefit of genotype-
			follow-up > 28 days (5 trials,	+0.25 (95% CI: -0.00-		guided dosing of warfarin with res-
			n = 880)	0.51) (NS,		pect to hemorrha-
		Major	8 trials	trend) NS	2.6%	gic events. But this should be interpre-
		bleeding Minor		NS		ted with caution because of the
		bleeding				number of events
		Thrombo- embolic		NS		was relatively small."
		events				Sman.
		INR > 4 Heterogenei	 ty between the tri	NS als was only sign	nificant	
		for:	•	, ,		
		- percentage all trials	e of time within the	e therapeutic INI	R range,	
			e of time within the	e therapeutic INF	R range,	
		follow-up >	or publication bias	s were not found		
ref. 3	4	Meta-analysis	of 9 randomised	controlled trials	with 2,812	Author's conclusion:
Franchini M et al. Effects on bleeding			paring genotype-g otype-guided dosi			"The results of this
complications of pharmacogenetic			r trial varied from od varied from 22			meta-analysis show that geno-
testing for initial		information wa	as available, clinic	cal endpoints we	re referred	type-guided initial
dosing of vitamin K antagonists: a			llow-up period. In ased on the geno			VKA dosing is able to reduce serious
systematic review		VKORC1. In 2	2 trials, it was bas			bleeding events by
and meta-analysis. J Thromb Haemost		genotype. One of the inc	cluded trials (Verh	oef 2013; n = 54	8) studied	approximately 50% compared
2014;12:1480-7. PubMed PMID:			and phenprocoun (immel 2013) inclu			with clinically- guided dosing
25040440.		African-Ameri	can patients.	-		approaches."
		Of the 9 trials 2015 and 7 in	in this meta-analy	ysis, 6 were inclu	uded in Liao	
			7.0 201 I.			
		Results:	uided in comparis	on with non-aen	otvpe-	
		guided thera		33		
					% in non-ge-	
					notype-	
					guided group	
		Major bleeding		RR = 0.47 (95% CI: 0.23-	2.2%	
		Diceunig		0.96) (S)		
				najor bleeds wer ne analysis (n = -		
	İ		SAGIGAGA ITOTTI LI	a.iaiyoio (ii -	,	I

ref. 3, continuation		Thrombo-		NS			
		embolic					
		events					
		Deaths		NS			
		INR > 4		NS		40.00/	
		% of time within the	weighted mean	NS		46.8%	
		therapeutic	difference				
		INR range		ed NS		_	
		INTERIOR	standardise mean	ed INS			
			difference				
		Heterogenei		nigh for the r	ercentac	ne of time	
		within the the	erapeutic INF	R range. The			
		There were no			n moto o	nalvaia for	
		the following					
		to stable warfa					
		and number o					
ref. 4	4	Meta-analysis			led trials	with 2,812	Author's
Stergiopoulos K et al.		patients comp					conclusion:
Genotype-guided vs		with non-gend					"In this meta-
clinical dosing of		of patients pe					analy-sis of
warfarin and its		from the Unite	ed States, Eu	rope or Isra	el. The to	ollow-up	randomized
analogues: meta- analysis of rando-		period varied weeks). In 6 to					clinical trials, a
mized clinical trials.		the genotypes					genotype-guided dosing strategy did
JAMA Intern Med		based only on					not result in a
2014;174:1330-8.		genotypes of					greater percentage
PubMed PMID:		One of the inc					of time that the
24935087.		acenoumarol					INR was within the
		The therapeut					therapeutic range,
		The 9 trials in		nalysis are tr	ne same	as those in	fewer patients with
		Franchini 201	4.				an INR greater than 4, or a reduc-
		Results:					tion in major blee-
		Genotype-gu	uided in com	parison with	non-gen	otvpe-	ding or thrombo-
		guided thera	py:	•			embolic events
						% in	compared with
						non-ge-	clinical dosing
						notype-	algorithms."
						guided	
		9/ of time wit	thin the	9 trials	NS	group	
		% of time with the rapeutic I		9 mais	INO		
		(standardise					
		difference)	- 1110411				
		INR > 4		8 trials, n = 2,621	NS	28%	
		Major bleedi		7 trials, n = 2,586	NS	1.6%	
		Thrombo-em		7 trials,	NS	1.2%	
		events		n = 2,586			
		Analyses of					
		location or sa					
		different outo		iei uiu excili:	siuii ui al	ry sirigie	
		Heterogenei		or the perce	ntage of	time with-	
		in the therap					
		ity for the oth					
		Indications for					
							1
ref. 5	4	Meta-analysis					Author's conclu-
Yang J et al.	4	were Caucasi	an in 15 stud	dies, Caucas	ian or Af	rican-	sion:
	4		an in 15 stud studies, Asi	dies, Caucas an in 3 studi	ian or Af es and B	rican- Frazilian in 1	

types on the risk of hemorrhagic compli-		anticoagulation	on varie	ed from	3 week	s to 6 yea	ars.		genoty-pes are associated with an
cations in warfarin-		Results:							increased risk for
treated patients: a		Bleeding in	compa	rison wi	th *1/*1	:			warfarin
systematic review		gonotypo	Total b	leeding		Major bl			over-anticoagula-
and meta-analysis.			HR	95% C		HR	95%	% CI	tion. CYP2C9*3 is
Int J Cardiol		*1/*2	NS			Trend	0.9	5-5.40	the main genetic
2013;168:4234-43.			2.05	1.36-3		2.43	1.1	7-5.06	risk factor for war-
PubMed PMID:	*1/*3: D	*2/*2	Tren	0.80-1	9.66	-			farin haemorrhagic
23932037.			d						complications."
rof E continuation			NS			-			
ref. 5, continuation	*3/*3: C		4.87	1.38-1		Trend		5-24.22	
		Number of s	studies	and pat	ients pe	er genoty	pe fo	r both	
		outcomes:		40			4-		
		*1/*2: 3 stuc							
		*1/*3: 6 stud *2/*2: 2 stud					79		
		*2/*3: 2 stud							
		*3/*3: 4 stuc					7		
		The heterog						h and	-
		significant fo					Jg		
			, _			· 3·			'
		*2-carrier in	compa	rison w	ith *1/*1	:			1
					HR	95% C	;	Inci-	1
								dence	
								for	
								*1/*1	
		INR > 4			1.52	1.11-2	.09	14%	
		(5 studies,	0-30		1.64	1.11-2	.43		
		n = 1,672)	> 30	days	NS				
		Total bleeding			1.80	1.09-2	.97	11%	
			Cauc	asian	Tren	0.99-2	.86		
		(4 studies,			d				
	(*1/*2 +	n = 561)	Asiar	า	-				<u> </u>
	*2/*2 +	Major bleed		`	2.56	1.15-5	.69	10%	
	*2/*3): D	(2 studies, r							
		There was r studies.	io signi	ilicant n	eteroge	neity bet	weer	i the	
		There were	no indi	cations	for publ	lication h	iac		-
								ienced	-
		Except for total bleeding, the HRs were not influenced by the omission of any individual study from the							
		analyses.		u,u		naay			
		For total ble	eding,	significa	ance wa	s lost aft	er ex	clusion	
		of either Ma	rgaglio	ne 2000), Higas	hi 2002 d	or Lin	na 2008	
		from the and	alysis.]
									,
		*3-carrier in	compa	rison w					
					HR	95% C	il	Inci-	
								dence	
								for *1/*1	
		INR > 4			2.37	1 46 0	83	13%	-
		(6 studies,	0.00	dove		1.46-3		13%	
		n = 1,803	0-30 > 30		2.48 1.86	1.56-3		1	
		Total	> 30	uays	1.95	1.08-3 1.38-2		23%	1
		bleeding	Cours	asian	1.90	1.18 -3		20/0	
		(8 studies,	Asiar		1.85	1.18 -3		1	
	(*1/*0	n = 1,325)	Asidi	1	1.00	1.00-3	۰۷۷		
	(*1/*3 + *2/*3 +	Major bleed	ing		2.55	1.29-5	.02	6,5%	1
	*3/*3): D	(7 studies, r		72)				,	
	J, J). D	The heterog			n the st	udies wa	s mo	derate	1
		but not sign							
		- INR > 4]
		There were	no indi	cations	for publ	ication b	ias.		
-				_			_		

ref. 5, continuation			e not influenced		omission of	any		
		individual stud	ly from the ana	ıyses.				
		(*2- and/or *3-	carrier) in com					
				HR	95% CI	Inci- den-		
						ce		
						for		
		INR > 4		1.90	1.58-2.29	*1/*1		
		(11 studies,	0.00 days			20%		
		n = 4,717)	0-30 days > 30 days	1.91	1.58-2.38 1.21-2.36			
		Total	> 50 days	1.68	1.34-2.11	22%		
		bleeding	Caucasian	1.42	1.05-1.92			
		(13 studies, n = 2,670)	Asian	1.85	1.06-3.20			
		Major bleeding		2.19	1.33-3.60	7.7%		
		(7 studies, n =	: 1,572) neity between t	ho otud	ios was mod	orato		
		but not signific		ne studi	ies was illou	erale		
		- major bleedi						
			indications for not influenced			anv		
			ly from the ana		Offiliasion of	arry		
ref. 6	4	Meta-analysis	of 34 studies. M	lost stud	dies were ret	rospec-	Author's conclu-	
Jorgensen AL et al. Influence of CYP2C9		tive cohorts. The authors us	e the absence of	of 0 inst	tead of 1 in th	ne 95%	sion: "Pooled effect	
and VKORC1 on		confidence inte	rval of odds rat	ios to d	istinguish sig	nificance	estimates were	
patient response to		from non-signif					significant in most	
warfarin: a systema- tic review and meta-		article. They might be either looking at a logarithm of the odds ratio or at an odds difference. ethnic groups for CYP2C9*3 and						
analysis.		Of the 34 studie	stable dose (mu-					
PLoS One 2012;7:e44064.		the meta-analy	sis of Yang 201	3.			tant types requi- ring between 1.1	
PubMed PMID:		Results:					and 2.3 mg/day)."	
22952875.		*1/*2 in compa	arison with *1/*	1:	050/ 6	N.		
	*1/*2: A	Dose		-0.96	95% C			
	1/ 2. A	(mg/day)	Caucasian	NS		0110		
		(difference in means)	Caucasian/A-	-1.90	-3.51 -	-0.29		
		(16 studies)	fro-American Indian/Chi-	NS				
			nese/Malay					
		Total bleeding	Caucasian (all 4 studies)	NS				
		(odds ratio	The result ren	nained l	NS after excl	usion		
		(OR))	of the only stu			b		
		(4 studies)	serious or life			nt for:		
		- dose, Cauca		itudics (was signinoa	1101.		
		*1/*2 in comp	arison with *1/*	1 ·				
		1/ 3 iii compa	anoun Will I/		95% C	i l		
	*1/*3: A	Dose		-1.61	-2.79 -	-0.43		
	1/ 3. A	(mg/day) (difference	Caucasian	-1.79	-3.30 -	-0.28		
		in means)	Caucasian/A- fro-American	NS				
		(25 studies)	Chinese	-1.13		-0.74		
			Japanese	-1.18				
			Indian/Chi- nese/Malay	-1.47	' -2.16 -	-0.78		
		Total blee-	11000/Ivialay	NS				
		ding (OR)	Caucasian	NS				
		(8 studies)						

ref. 6, continuation									
iei. o, continuation		*2/*2 in comp	*2/*2 in comparison with *1/*1:						
		Zi Z ili Collipa	anoun Willi I/ I		95% CI				
	*2/*2: A	Dose		-1.46	-2.000.92				
	_,,	(mg/day)	Courseion	NS	-2.000.32				
		(difference	Caucasian /A	NS					
		in means)	Caucasian/A-	INS					
		(9 studies)	fro-American						
		Total	Caucasian	NS					
		bleeding	(all 4 studies)						
		(OR)	The result rem	ained NS a	fter exclusion				
		(4 studies)	of the only stud	dy that only	counted				
			serious or life-t						
			neity between st	udies was s	significant for:				
		- dose, Cauca							
		- total bleeding	9						
		*0/*0 :		_					
		"3/"3 in compa	arison with *1/*1	:	0E9/ CI				
		Dana		1.04	95% CI				
		Dose (mg/day)	0	-1.64	-3.311.97				
		(flig/day) (difference	Caucasian	-2.29	-2.981.60				
		in means)							
		(4 studies)							
		Total blee-		1.18	0.04-2.31 (S)				
	*3/*3: C	ding (OR)	Caucasian	NS	0.0 1 2.0 1 (0)				
		(5 studies)	Oddodsian	110					
		,							
		(*1/*2 + *1/*3)	in comparison v	with *1/*1:					
			particular		95% CI				
		Dose		-1.31	-2.200.42				
		(mg/day)	Caucasian	-1.55	-2.380.72				
		(difference	Israeli-	-1.20	-1.410.99				
		in means)	Jewish	0					
		(6 studies)							
		Total blee-	Caucasian	NS					
		ding (OR)	(both						
		(2 studies)	studies)						
		/+0/+0 +0/+0	+0/+0\ '		. +4 /+4 .				
		("2/"2 + "2/"3	+ *3/*3) in comp	parison with					
	(*2/*2 +	Door		2.56	95% CI -4.292.84				
	*2/*3 +	Dose (difference	0	-3.56					
	*3/*3): A	in means)	Caucasian Israeli-	-3.35 -3.60	-4.292.41 -3.993.21				
	0, 0,	(6 studies)	Jewish	-3.00	-3.993.21				
		(3 313 313 30)	OCMISH	<u> </u>					
		(*2- and/or *3-	carrier) in comp	arison with	*1/*1·				
		\ \ \(\(\text{L} \) \(\text{d} \(\text{I} \) \(\text{d} \) \(\text{d} \)	carrier, in comp	anoon will	95% CI				
		Longer time	Caucasian	NS	0070 01				
		to stable	Afro-	NS					
		dose (HR)	American	INO					
		(4 studies)	American						
		Longer time	Caucasian	NS					
		to therapeu-	(both						
		tic INR	studies)						
		(2 studies)							
				_					
			enough data for						
		influence of ger							
not 7	4		nerapeutic INR r		landa didi struttu	A the a? =			
ref. 7 Lindh JD et al.	4		of 39 studies with d in Europe, 13 i		ients. 14 studies	Author's conclusion:			
Influence of CYP2C9			inical cohorts or			"Compared to the			
genotype on warfarin			d in target INR a			CYP2C9*1/*1			
dose requirementsa		drugs were exc		******************************	.s. morading	genotype, the			
	İ	g.s 51.0 0 A0				13, 100, 1110			

systematic review and meta-analysis. Eur J Clin Pharmacol 2009;65:365-75. PubMed PMID: 19031075. ref. 7, continuation	*1/*2: A	considered wi Of the 39 studenthe meta-anal Results: Dose in comagenotype *1/*2 (30 studies) (n*1/*2 = 1,216)	nalyses, alleles other ild type (*1). dies in this meta-analysis of Yang 2013 and parison with *1/*1 (Caucasian Caucasian, no interacting drugs Caucasian, interacting drugs	alysis, 7 w and 18 in a n*1/*1 = 5,7 -19.6% -19.9% -23.1%	vere included in Jorgensen 2012. 749): 95% CI -17.421.9 -17.422.4 -11.035.1	CYP2C9*1/*2, CYP2C9*1/*3, CYP2C9*2/*2, CYP2C9*2/*3, and CYP2C9*3/*3 required warfarin doses that were 19.6, 33.7, 36.0, 56.7, and 78.1% lower, respective- ly. The impact of CYP2C9 genotype tended to be larger in patients without interacting drugs."
	*1/*3: A	*1/*3 (37 studies) (n _{*1/*3} = 759)	Caucasian Caucasian, no interacting drugs Caucasian, interacting drugs	-33.7% -35.1% -40.9% -33.4%	-29.438.1 -29.640.7 -32.249.5 -25.141.7	
	*2/*2: A	*2/*2 (14 studies) (n*2/*2 = 78)	Caucasian Caucasian, no interacting drugs Caucasian, interacting drugs	-36.0% -36.8% -48.7% -33.7%	-29.942.0 -28.545.1 -36.361.2 -21.645.8	
	*2/*3: A	*2/*3 (17 studies) (n _{*2/*3} = 81)	Caucasian Caucasian, no interacting drugs Caucasian, interacting drugs	-56.7% -58.0% -42.0% -58.8%	-49.164.3 -50.066.0 -23.960.0 -47.570.1	
	*3/*3: A	for *1/*3, *2/ There were The variable	Caucasian Caucasian, no interacting drugs Caucasian, interacting drugs eneity between the *3 and *3/*3. no indications for put as age, African ethn interacting drugs, a	ublication icity, Asiar	bias. n ethnicity,	
		significant in type. *1/*2, *1/*3 a reduction in 0.15). *2/*2 shower with age (p = *1/*2 shower with the targ	iffluence on the dose and *2/*2 showed a studies with interact d a trend for an incr = 0.07). d a trend for an incr et INR (p = 0.13).	trend for a tring drugs rease in do	a smaller dose s (p = 0.11- ose reduction	
ref. 8 Sanderson S et al. CYP2C9 gene variants, drug dose, and bleeding risk in warfarin-treated patients: a HuGEnet systematic review and meta-analysis. Genet Med 2005;7:97-104. PubMed PMID:	4	studies were study, 78% of Carib-bean. Sor case-contro Of the 9 studi the meta-anal 6 in Lindh 200 Results:	s of 9 studies with 2 performed in Cauca f patients was Cauca f patients were clinical collected of studies. Studies ces in this meta-ana lysis of Yang 2013, 29.	asian patie asian and cohorts, d differed in lysis, 4 we 7 in Jorge	ents. In the 9 th 22% Afro- cross-sectional target INR. ere included in	Author's conclusion: "Patients with CYP2C9*2 and CYP2C9*3 alleles have lower mean daily warfarin doses and a greater risk of bleeding."

15714076.						for no			
und O nombinumbinu			0.05			*2:			
ref. 8, continuation		Dose (mg/day and %)	-0.85 = -17%	-1.110 = -221		5.0 mg/			
		(8 studies)	17 /0	[12 /0	day			
		Total bleeding	1.91	1.16-3.1	7	,			
	(*1/*2 + *2/*2 +	(RR) (2 studies)							
	*2/*3): C	There was no signi studies.	ficant hetero	ogeneity be	etween	the			
		Results were similar	ar after exclu	ision of Hid	ghashi	2002			
		from the meta-anal	yses. In this	study, the	genoty	/ре			
		frequencies were n	ot in Hardy	Weinberg (equilibr	ium.			
		*3-carrier in compa	rison with n	n *3·					
		o damer in dempa		95% CI		Value			
						for no			
		Dose	-1.92	-2.471	27	*3:			
		(mg/day and %)	= -37%	= -482		5.2 mg/			
		(8 studies)				day			
	(*1/*3 +	Total bleeding	1.77	1.07-2.9	1				
	*2/*3 +	(RR) (3 studies) The heterogeneity	 hetween the	studies w	as high	for the			
	*3/*3): C	dose.	botween the	, studies w	as mgn				
		Results were similar							
		from the meta-anal frequencies were n							
		riequencies were n	ot iii i laidy	vveiliberg (equilibi	iuiii.			
		(*2- and/or *3-carri							
					95% (
		Dose (mg/day and	%)	-1.71	-2.20-	-1.22			
	(*1/*2 +	(7 studies) Total bleeding (RR)	= -27% 2.26	1.36-3	3 75			
	*1/*3 + *2/*2 +	(2 studies)	,	2.20	1.00 (3.70			
	*2/*3 +	The heterogeneity	between the	studies w	as high	n for the			
	*3/*3): C	dose Results were simila	r after exclu	ision of Hid	nhashi	2002			
		from the meta-anal							
		frequencies were n	ot in Hardy	Weinberg (equilibr	ium.			
ref. 9 SmPC Coumadin	0	Dosing: The initial dose is inf	fluenced by:						
(warfarin), USA, 28-		Clinical factors incl			ody we	ight,			
10-15.		gender, concomitant							
		 Genetic factors (C) Dosing Recommend 							
		Table 1 displays three							
		Coumadin doses ob							
		having different com gene variants. If the							
		genotype are known							
		the initial dose. Patie							
		and *3/*3 may required to achieve maximum)		
		regimen than patien							
	*1/*2: AA	Table 1: Three ranges daily doses (in mg) b							
	*1/*3: A	types†			-5				
	*2/*2: A	VKOR		P2C9	*0/*0	*0/*0			
	*2/*3: A *3/*3: A		1/*2 *1/*3 5-7 3-4	*2/*2 3-4	*2/*3 3-4	*3/*3 0.5-2			
	3/ 3. A	AG 5-7 3	3-4	3-4	0.5-2	0.5-2			
			3-4 0.5-2		0.5-2	0.5-2			
		†Ranges are derived fr VKORC1 –1639G>A (
		Other co-inherited VK					<u>- </u>		

ref. 9, continuation		minants of warfarin dose.	
	IM: A PM: A	Clinical Pharmacology: Pharmacokinetics CYP2C9, a polymorphic enzyme, is likely to be the principal form of human liver CYP450 that modulates the in vivo anticoagulant activity of warfarin. Patients with one or more variant CYP2C9 alleles have decreased S-warfarin clearance. The S-enantiomer exhibits 2 to 5 times more anticoagulant activity than the R-enantiomer in humans, but generally has a more rapid clearance. Pharmacogenomics The S-enantiomer of warfarin is mainly metabolized to 7-hydroxywarfarin by CYP2C9, a polymorphic enzyme. The variant alleles, CYP2C9*2 and CYP2C9*3, result in decreased in vitro CYP2C9 enzymatic 7-hydroxylation of S-warfarin. The frequencies of these alleles in Caucasians are approximately 11% and 7% for CYP2C9*2 and CYP-2C9*3, respectively. Other CYP2C9 alleles associated with reduced enzymatic activity occur at lower frequencies, including *5, *6, and *11 alleles in populations of African ancestry and *5, *9, and *11 alleles in Caucasians. VKORC1 and CYP2C9 gene variants generally explain the largest proportion of known variability in warfarin dose requirements. CYP2C9 and VKORC1 genotype information, when available, can assist in selection of the initial dose of warfarin.	

Risk group	VKORC1 polymorphism, use of CYP2C9 inhibitors
------------	---

Comments:

- We only included meta-analyses of more than five studies in the risk analysis. Smaller meta-analyses and separate studies did not contribute sufficiently to the evidence to be included.
- Dosing algorithms:
 - Ethnicity predicts CYP2C9 enzyme activity also independent of CYP2C9 genotype (Hatta FH et al. Differences in CYP2C9 genotype and enzyme activity between Swedes and Koreans of relevance for personalized medicine: role of ethnicity, genotype, smoking, age, and sex. OMICS 2015;19:346-53. PubMed PMID: 25977991). For this reason, only algorithms based on a predominantly Caucasian population are included. For patients with an African or (East-)Asian heritage, other algorithms might give better results. For patients with an African heritage, also other CYP2C9 alleles, such as *6, *8 and *11, should be taken into account. Examples of algorithms for patients with an African heritage can be found in Alzubiedi S et al, Pharmacogenetic-guided warfarin dosing algorithm in African-Americans, J Cardiovasc Pharmacol 2016;67:86-92, PubMed PMID: 26355760 and Hernandez W et al, Ethnicity-specific pharmacogenetics: the case of warfarin in African Americans, Pharmacogenomics J 2014;14:223-8, PubMed PMID: 24018621). The algorithm of Ramirez 2012 below also includes data on African-Americans. Examples of algorithms for patients with an East-Asian heritage can be found in Chen J et al, A pharmacogenetics-based warfarin maintenance dosing algorithm from Northern Chinese patients, PLoS One 2014:9:e105250, PubMed PMID: 25126975 and Choi JR et al. Proposal of pharmacogenetics-based warfarin dosing algorithm in Korean patients, J Hum Genet 2011;56:290-5, Pub-Med PMID: 21326313. In addition, only algorithms based on at least 150 patients are included. Furthermore, only articles are included in which the equation describing the developed algorithm is given.
 - Pirmohamed M et al. A randomized trial of genotype-guided dosing of warfarin. N Engl J Med 2013;369: 2294-303. PubMed PMID: 24251363.
 - 227 patients were randomly assigned to genotype-guided warfarin dosing and 228 patients to the control group. The control group received loading doses of 10-5-5 mg (\leq 75 years of age) or 5-5-5 mg (> 75 years of age). The mean percentage of time in the therapeutic range was significantly higher in the genotype-guided group than in the control group (67.4% and 60.3% respectively). There were significantly fewer incidences of excessive anticoagulation (INR \geq 4.0) in the genotype-guided group. The median time to reach a therapeutic INR was significantly shorter in the genotype-guided group than in the control group (21 days and 29 days respectively).

The genotype-guided algorithms employed were:

- Maintenance dose, slightly modified IWPC model (IWPC, 2009):

 $\sqrt{\text{Dose (mg/week)}}$ = 5.6044 − 0.02614 × Age [in years] + 0.0087 × Height [cm] + 0.0128 × Weight [kg] − 0.8677 × VKORC1 1173 CT − 1.6974 × VKORC1 1173 TT − 0.5211 × CYP2C9 *1/*2 − 0.9357 × CYP2C9 *1/*3 − 1.0616 × CYP2C9 *2/*2 − 1.9206 × CYP2C9 *2/*3 − 2.3312 × CYP2C9 *3/*3 − 0.5503 × amiodarone - Initial doses (Avery et al. 2011):

Loading over three days was calculated as followed: LD3=D/(1- exp(-24κ))(1+ exp(-24κ)+ exp(-48κ)).

Where D was the IWPC predicted maintenance dose per day in mg.

k is the elimination rate constant for the CYP2C9 genotypes:

*1/*1 = 0.0189h-1

*1/*2 = 0.0158h-1

*1/*3 = 0.0132h-1

*2/*2 = 0.0130h-1

*2/*3 = 0.009h-1

*3/*3 = 0.0075h-1

A loading dose regimen was used where the loading dose was gradually reduced, i.e. Day 1 dose > Day 2 dose > Day 3 dose. This was derived from the difference between the predicted daily dose (D) and the 3-day loading dose (LD3) according to the following:

Loading on Day 1: (LD3-D) x 1.5 + D

Loading on Day 2: ((LD3-D) x 1 + D

Loading on Day 3: (LD3-D) x 0.5 + D

- Dose revision on day 4 or 5 (slightly modified model by Lenzini et al, 2010):

Dose (mg/week) = EXP ($3.10894 - 0.00767 \times age - 0.51611 \times ln(INR) - 0.23032 \times VKORC1 1173T - 0.14745 \times CYP2C9*2 - 0.3077 \times CYP2C9*3 + 0.24597 \times BSA + 0.26729 \times Target INR - 0.1035 \times amiodarone + 0.0169 \times dose_2 + 0.02018 \times dose_3 + 0.01065 \times dose_4$).

Where INR is the INR on day 4 or 5.

Dose₋₂ is the dose given 2 days before the INR is measured, Dose₋₃ is the dose given 3 days before the INR is measured, and Dose₋₄ is the dose given 4 days before the INR is measured. Dose₋₄ is only available if the INR is measured on the 5th day.

Body surface area (BSA) is calculated according to the formula by Dubois & Dubois where BSA = (weight $(kg)^{0.425} \times height (cm)^{0.725}/139.2$.

 Ramirez AH et al. Predicting warfarin dosage in European-Americans and African-Americans using DNA samples linked to an electronic health record. Pharmacogenomics 2012;13:407-18. PubMed PMID: 22329724.

An algorithm for the maintenance dose of warfarin was developed based on data from 1,022 European-Americans and 145 African–Americans. The algorithm explained 53% of dose variation in European-Americans and 41% of dose variation in African–Americans.

The algorithm is:

 $\label{eq:log_weekly_warfarin_dose} $$ = 5.9487517 - 0.0073436353 * ethnicity (AA=0, EA=1) - 0.025161445 * age (in years) + 0.058138499 * gender (F=0, M=1) + 1.1848957 * BSA (kg/m²) + 0.068020571 * smoking status (non-smoker=0, smoker=1) + 0.058578086 * VTE indication (no=0, yes=1) - 0.10646416 * atrial fibrillation indication (no=0, yes=1) - 0.8142521 * amiodarone use (no=0, yes=1) - 0.64877338 * CYP2C9*2 (wt=0, heterozygote=1, homozygote=2) - 1.0601067 * CYP2C9*3 (wt=0, heterozygote=1, homozygote=2) - 1.9737831 * CYP2C9*6 (wt=0, heterozygote=1, homozygote=2) - 1.0622944 * CYP2C9*8 (wt=0, heterozygote=1, homozygote=2) + 0.24749973 * CYP4F2 (wt=0, heterozygote=1, homozygote=2) - 0.31996754 * CALU (wt=0, heterozygote=1, homozygote=2) - 0.87262446 * VKORC1 (wt=0, heterozygote=1, homozygote=2) + 0.24749973 * CYP4F2 (wt=0, heterozygote=1, homozygote=2) + 0$

Horne BD et al. Pharmacogenetic warfarin dose refinements remain significantly influenced by genetic factors after one week of therapy. Thromb Haemost 2012;107:232-40. PubMed PMID: 22186998. An algorithm for the warfarin dose on day 6-11 of treatment was developed based on data from 1,342 patients. The algorithm was validated in a separate retrospective cohort of 342 patients. The algorithm explained 69% of dose variation after about one week of therapy.

dose (mg/week) = EXP ($2.59853 - 0.47578 \times T$ reatment Response Index $- 0.17132 \times V$ KORC1 $- 0.23385 \times C$ YP2C9*3 $- 0.10696 \times C$ YP2C9*2 $- 0.00549 \times A$ ge in years $+ 0.16491 \times B$ SA $- 0.09091 \times S$ imvastatin Use $- 0.251 \times F$ luvastatin Use $- 0.11994 \times A$ miodarone Use $+ 0.3319 \times I$ nducer Use $+ 0.08796 \times T$ arget INR $- 0.13902 \times S$ troke $+ 0.01028 \times D$ ay of Therapy)

BSA = body surface area

The algorithm is:

treatment response index = ln(INR/effective dose), with the effective dose calculated by summing weighted prior doses. The relative weights of doses that were prescribed 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, and 10 days ago in the effective dose calculation were 0.306, 0.804, 0.555, 0.357, 0.229, 0.149, 0.099, 0.067, 0.047 and 0.033 respectively.

 Avery PJ et al. A proposal for an individualized pharmacogenetics-based warfarin initiation dose regimen for patients commencing anticoagulation therapy. Clin Pharmacol Ther 2011;90:701-6. PubMed PMID: 22012312

The European Pharmacogenetics of Anticoagulant Therapy (EU-PACT) study group developed an algorithm

for the initiation dose of warfarin based on data from 671 patients. The initiation dose was calculated based on the half-life of S-warfarin for the different CYP2C9 genotypes (maintenance dose = initiation dose/(1-e-k^{-t}). The initiation dose was divided over three days to minimise the risk of INR exceeding the therapeutic range. Based on simulation, the initiation dose reduces the time to stable, therapeutic INR for patients with variant CYP2C9 alleles. According to the simulation, the time to stable, therapeutic INR was reduced for *3/*3 from approximately 30 days to 12.5 days, the latter being equal to the time to stable, therapeutic INR for *1/*1. The maintenance dose was calculated with a modification of the algorithm of the International Warfarin Pharmacogenetics Consortium (IWPC). The modified IWPC algorithm was adapted to the mainly Caucasian population in Europe by removing the ethnicity variable. In addition, drugs that induce warfarin metabolism were also omitted from the IWPC algorithm, because very few patients are treated with potent inducers. In addition, their inclusion in the algorithm would require information on the duration of treatment, because it takes at least 2–3 weeks to induce enzymes.

The modified IWPC algorithm is:

 $\sqrt{\text{Predicted maintenance dose (mg/week)}}$ = [5.6044 − 0.02614 × age (years) + 0.0087 × height (cm) + 0.0128 × weight (kg) − 0.8677 (VKORC1 -1639 GA) − 1.6974 (VKORC1 -1639 AA) − 0.5211 (CYP2C9 *1/*2) − 0.9357 (CYP2C9 *1/*3) − 1.0616 (CYP2C9*2/*2) − 1.9206 (CYP2C9*2/*3) − 2.3312 (CYP2C9*3/*3) − 0.5503 (amiodarone)].

The developed EU-PACT algorithm for the initiation dose is:

MD + x (day 1), MD + 2x/3 (day 2), MD + x/3 (day 3), in which:

 $x = MD^*[1/(1-e^{-k^*24})-1-e^{-k^*24}-e^{-k^*48}]/[1/3+2/3^*e^{-k^*24}+e^{-k^*48}]$

MD = maintenance dose, k = elimination rate constant

*1/*1: k = 0.0189

*1/*2: k = 0.0158

*1/*3: k = 0.0132

*2/*2: k = 0.0130

 $^*2/^*3$: k = 0.009

*3/*3: k = 0.0075

- Zambon CF et al. VKORC1, CYP2C9 and CYP4F2 genetic-based algorithm for warfarin dosing: an Italian retrospective study. Pharmacogenomics 2011;12:15-25. PubMed PMID: 21174619.

An algorithm for the maintenance dose of warfarin was developed based on data from 274 patients. The algorithm was validated in a separate set of 91 patients. The algorithm explained 56-65% of dose variation, which was comparable to the performance of the algorithm of the International Warfarin Pharmacogenetics Consortium (57-63% of dose variation) in these patient groups.

The algorithm is:

 $\sqrt{\text{maintenance dose (mg/week)}} = 7.39764 - 0.02734 \text{ x age (years)} + 1.06287 \text{ x BSA (m}^2) - 1.04468 (VKOR-C1 -1639 GA) - 2.12117 (VKORC1 -1639 AA) - 0.78983 (CYP2C9*1*2) - 1.17138 (CYP2C9*1*3) - 1.81292 (CYP2C9*2*2, *2*3 or *3*3) - 0.46723 (CYP4F2*1*3) - 0.71528 (CYP4F2*1*1) BSA = body surface area$

Wells PS et al. A regression model to predict warfarin dose from clinical variables and polymorphisms in CYP2C9, CYP4F2, and VKORC1: Derivation in a sample with predominantly a history of venous thromboembolism. Thromb Res 2010;125:e259-64. PubMed PMID: 20421126.

An algorithm for the maintenance dose of warfarin was developed based on data from 249 patients. The algorithm explained 58% of the dose variation.

The algorithm is:

Dose = $1.85 - 0.048 \times Age$ (in years) + $0.041 \times BMI + 0.05 \times Height$ (in cm) - 0.73 (Less Exercise) - 1.13 (2C9*2 Hetero) - $2.09 \times 2 \times 1.09$ (2C9*2 Homo) - $1.51 \times 2 \times 1.09$ (2C9*3 Hetero) - $1.43 \times 2 \times 1.09$ (VKORC1 - $1.639 \times 2 \times 1.09$ (A) - $1.33 \times 2 \times 1.09$ (4F2 CT) - $1.46 \times 2 \times 1.09$ (Angiotensin II Receptor Antagonist) - $0.84 \times 2 \times 1.09$ (\$\text{\$\text{\$Blocker}\$}\). Less exercise means that a patient's self-rated physical activity level is less than or much less than those of a similar age.

In the investigated patient population, ACE inhibitors included losartan and candesartan and β -blockers included metoprolol, atenolol and bisoprolol.

- Lenzini P et al. Integration of genetic, clinical, and INR data to refine warfarin dosing. Clin Pharmacol Ther 2010;87:572-8. PubMed PMID: 20375999.

An algorithm for the warfarin dose after day 4-5 of treatment was developed based on data from 969 patients. The algorithm was validated in a separate set of 616 patients. The algorithm explained 42-63% of dose variation and performed better than an algorithm without genotypes (26-48% of dose variation). The algorithm is:

Maintenance dose (mg/week) = EXP [$3.10894 - 0.00767 \times Age$ (in years) - $0.51611 \times In(INR)$ - $0.23032 \times VKORC1-1639 G>A$ - $0.14745 \times CYP2C9*2 - 0.3077 \times CYP2C9*3 + <math>0.24597 \times BSA + 0.26729 \times Target INR$ - 0.09644 (African Origin) - 0.2059 (Stroke) - 0.11216 (Diabetes) - 0.1035 (Amiodarone Use) - 0.19275 (Fluvastatin Use) + $0.0169 \times Dose_{-2} + 0.02018 \times Dose_{-3} + 0.01065 \times Dose_{-4}$].

- International Warfarin Pharmacogenetics Consortium. Estimation of the warfarin dose with clinical and pharmacogenetic data. N Engl J Med 2009;360:753-64. PubMed PMID: 19228618.

An algorithm for the maintenance dose of warfarin was developed based on data from 4,043 patients. The algorithm was validated in a separate set of 1,009 patients. The algorithm explained 43-47% of dose variation.

The International Warfarin Pharmacogenetics Consortium (IWPC) algorithm is:

 $\sqrt{\text{maintenance dose (mg/week)}}$ = 5.6044 - 0.2614 x Age (in decades) + 0.0087 x Height (in cm) + 0.0128 x Weight (in kg) - 0.8677 (VKORC1 -1639 A/G) - 1.6974 (VKORC1 -1639 A/A) - 0.4854 (VKORC1 genotype unknown) - 0.5211 (CYP2C9 *1/*2) - 0.9357 (CYP2C9 *1/*3) - 1.0616 (CYP2C9 *2/*2) - 1.9206 (CYP2C9 *2/*3) - 2.3312 (CYP2C9 *3/*3) - 0.2188 (CYP2C9 genotype unknown) - 0.1092 (Asian race) - 0.2760 (Black or African American) - 0.1032 (Missing or Mixed race) + 1.1816 (Enzyme inducer) - 0.5503 (Amiodarone) Use of an enzyme inducer means use of carbamazepine, phenytoin, rifampin or rifampicin.

- Wadelius M et al. The largest prospective warfarin-treated cohort supports genetic forecasting. Blood 2009;113:784-92. PubMed PMID: 18574025.

An algorithm for the maintenance dose of warfarin was developed based on data from 1,523 patients. The algorithm was validated in a separate set of 181 patients. The algorithm explained 53-59% of dose variation. Body weight and height were not available in the cohort. Instead of these parameters, gender was included in the algorithm as a substitute. In addition, drugs that decrease the effect of warfarin are not included in the algorithm, because too few were present in the cohort to get reliable results.

The Swedish Warfarin Genetics cohort (WARG) algorithm is:

 $\sqrt{\text{maintenance dose (mg/week)}} = 9.46832 - 0.90112 (VKORC1 - 1639 A/G) - 2.01863 (VKORC1 - 1639 A/A) - 0.50836 (CYP2C9 *1/*2) - 0.97546 (CYP2C9 *1/*3) - 1.10204 (CYP2C9 *2/*2) - 1.74761 (CYP2C9 *2/*3) - 3.40061 (CYP2C9 *3/*3) - 0.03686 x Age (in years) - 0.27698 (Female gender) - 0.06992 x number of drugs that increase INR.$

- Gage BF et al. Use of pharmacogenetic and clinical factors to predict the therapeutic dose of warfarin. Clin Pharmacol Ther 2008;84:326-31. PubMed PMID: 18305455.

An algorithm for the maintenance dose of warfarin was developed based on data from 1,015 patients. The algorithm was validated in a separate set of 292 patients. The algorithm explained 53-54% of dose variation (31% in African Americans).

The Warfarin Dose Refinement Collaboration algorithm is:

warfarin dose (mg/day) = $\exp [0.9751 - 0.3238 \times VKOR3673G>A + 0.4317 \times BSA - 0.4008 \times CYP2C9*3 - 0.00745 \times age - 0.2066 \times CYP2C9*2 + 0.2029 \times target INR - 0.2538 (amiodarone) + 0.0922 (smoker) - 0.0901 (African-American race) + 0.0664 (DVT/PE)]$

DVT/PE = deep vein thrombosis or pulmonary embolism

- Sconce EA et al. The impact of CYP2C9 and VKORC1 genetic polymorphism and patient characteristics upon warfarin dose requirements: proposal for a new dosing regimen. Blood 2005;106:2329-33. PubMed PMID: 15947090.

An algorithm for the maintenance dose of warfarin was developed based on data from 297 patients. The algorithm was validated in a separate set of 38 patients. The algorithm explained 54% of dose variation. The algorithm is:

 $\sqrt{\text{Dose}} = 0.628 - 0.0135 \text{ x}$ Age (in years) - 0.240 x CYP*2 - 0.370 x CYP*3 - 0.241 (VKORC1 -1639 GG) - 0.482 (VKORC1 -1639 GA) - 0.723 (VKORC1 -1639 AA) + 0.0162 x Height (in cm).

Cost-effectiveness:

QALY = quality adjusted life year

- You JH. Universal versus genotype-guided use of direct oral anticoagulants in atrial fibrillation patients: a decision analysis. Pharmacogenomics 2015;16:1089-100.PubMed PMID: 26230572.

For 65-year-old newly diagnosed atrial fibrillation patients with a high risk of stroke, a genotype-guided therapy was more cost-effective than direct oral anticoagulants for all patients (costs were 314,129 USD less per QALY gained, which is higher than the threshold of 50,000 USD/QALY). Genotype-guided therapy consisted of warfarin for patients with normal warfarin sensitivity and a direct oral anticoagulant for patients with a genotype leading to high warfarin sensitivity (CYP2C9 *2 or *3 variants or VKORC1 -1639 A/A) or low warfarin sensitivity (VKORC1 -1639 G/G in combination with CYP2C9 *1/*1).

Medical costs were calculated for a period of 35 years. For the genotype-guided therapy, the calculated costs were 124,568 USD and the calculated QALYs 10.6087. The calculation was based on a price of warfarin management (anticoagulation care with INR monitored at least monthly) of USD 34 per month, a price of warfarin (dosage to be determined by the International Warfarin Pharmacogenetics Consortium (IWPC) algorithm) of USD 10.5 per month, a price of direct oral anticoagulants (dabigatran 150 mg twice daily, rivaroxaban 20 mg daily or apixaban 5 mg twice daily) of USD 173 per month, and genotyping costs of USD 80. Risk for clinical events and costs of genotyping were retrieved from literature. In the model, patients who survived a major haemorrhagic event would stop the current anticoagulation therapy and start on aspirin alone. Patients who survived a major thrombo-embolic event while receiving a direct oral anticoagulant would resume the initial anticoagulation therapy. Those who experienced a major thrombo-embolic event on warfarin would change to a direct oral anticoagulant. Out-of-range INR in patients receiving warfarin was defined as <1.8 or >3.2. A focused warfarin care system, leading to 81% of time within the therapeutic range was assumed.

Universal use of direct oral anticoagulants would become cost-effective if the monthly drug cost of direct oral anticoagulants was less than USD 30 (lower than the monthly drug cost and management cost of warfarin of

USD 40) or if time in the therapeutic range of warfarin therapy was less than 67.8%. The two-way sensitivity analysis on these two variables demonstrated that if the time in the therapeutic range of warfarin was similar to the average anticoagulation control reported in clinical trials of direct oral anticoagulants versus warfarin (60% of time in the therapeutic range), the genotype-guided therapy would remain the preferred strategy when direct oral anticoagulants cost more than USD 250 per month. When the monthly cost of direct oral anticoagulants declined to USD 30, a high percentage of time in the therapeutic range (81%) of warfarin should be achieved in order for the genotype-guided therapy to be the preferred option. Extended sensitivity analysis on prevalence of normal warfarin sensitivity showed genotype-guided therapy to be the preferred strategy in ethnic groups with prevalence of normal warfarin sensitivity >0.56%.

- Pink J et al. Cost-effectiveness of pharmacogenetics-guided warfarin therapy vs. alternative anticoagulation in atrial fibrillation. Clin Pharmacol Ther 2014;95:199-207. PubMed PMID: 24067746. For the UK population of atrial fibrillation patients, apixaban was more cost-effective than genotype guided warfarin therapy (costs were £ 19,858 per QALY gained, which is lower than the threshold of £ 20,000-30,000/QALY). Genotype-guided warfarin therapy was more cost-effective than non-genotype-guided warfarin therapy (costs were £ 13,226 per QALY gained). For both therapies, the initial warfarin doses were fixed (10 mg on day 1, 10 mg on day 2 and 5 mg on day 3) and IWPC algorithms were used. Neither dabigatran nor rivaroxaban were cost-effective options.

 Lifelong medical costs were calculated. For the genotype-guided therapy, the calculated costs were £ 5,921 and the calculated QALYs 5.724. The calculation was based on a price of warfarin monitoring of £ 198.39 per year, a price of warfarin of £ 41.23 per year, a price of dabigatran (150 mg twice daily) of £ 919.80 per year, a price of rivaroxaban (20 mg daily) of £ 766.50 per year, a price of apixaban (5 mg twice daily) of £ 802.25 per year, a price of aspirin of £ 7.39 per year, and genotyping costs of £ 20.00. Drug costs were taken from the British National Formulary. Risk for clinical events was calculated from an indirect comparison of the trials of warfarin versus the direct oral anticoagulants (RE-LY, ROCKET-AF and ARISTOTLE). As indicated by You
- price of rivaroxaban (20 mg daily) of £ 766.50 per year, a price of apixaban (5 mg twice daily) of £ 802.25 per year, a price of aspirin of £ 7.39 per year, and genotyping costs of £ 20.00. Drug costs were taken from the British National Formulary. Risk for clinical events was calculated from an indirect comparison of the trials of warfarin versus the direct oral anticoagulants (RE-LY, ROCKET-AF and ARISTOTLE). As indicated by You 2015, average anticoagulation control with warfarin in these trials is relatively low (60% of time in the therapeutic range). Event rates for genotype-guided versus non-genotype-guided warfarin therapy were derived from literature. Event rates after three months were assumed to be the same in both types of warfarin therapy. In the model, patients who discontinued dabigatran, rivaroxaban, or apixaban because of a bleed or who discontinued warfarin for any reason were assumed to have been switched to aspirin. Other discontinuing patients were switched to warfarin. Patrick AR et al. Cost-effectiveness of genotype-guided warfarin dosing for patients with atrial fibrillation. Circ
- Patrick AR et al. Cost-effectiveness of genotype-guided warfarin dosing for patients with atrial fibrillation. Circ Cardiovasc Qual Outcomes 2009;2:429-36. PubMed PMID: 20031873. For 70-year-old patients with newly-diagnosed atrial fibrillation, genotype-guided warfarin therapy was more cost-effective than usual care only if it reduces out-of-range international normalised ratio values by more than 5 to 9 percentage points (costs less than 100,000 and 50,000 USD per QALY gained respectively). The midpoint of the result of two published randomised controlled trials of genetically-guided dosing was 8.5% more time spent in the therapeutic range.
 - Medical costs were calculated for the life expectancy of 11.42 years. The calculations were based on a price of INR monitoring (assumed: 8 INR tests during the first month of treatment and 1 in each subsequent month) of USD 29, a price of warfarin (average dose of 5 mg/day) of USD 71 per 3 months, and costs for genotyping and blood sample collection of USD 575. Risks for clinical events were retrieved from literature. Event rates after three months were assumed to be the same in both types of warfarin therapy.
 - Variation of input data resulted in 42% of scenarios being cost-effective at a threshold of 50,000 USD/QALY and 70% at a threshold of 100,000 USD/QALY. Genotyping was more cost-effective in younger patients, with the costs increasing from USD 29,000 per QALY in 50-year-old patients to USD 120,000 per QALY in 85-year-old patients. Test costs and assumptions about the rate of major bleeding during treatment initiation also influenced the results, because this parameter affected the number of bleeding events that could be averted through genotyping. In addition, the amount of patient time shifted from high INR to therapeutic INR influenced the cost-effectiveness.
- Meckley LM et al. A policy model to evaluate the benefits, risks and costs of warfarin pharmacogenomics testing. Pharmacoeconomics 2010;28:61-74. PubMed PMID: 20014877. For 65-year-old atrial fibrillation patients starting with warfarin, genotype-guided therapy was less cost-effective than standard care (costs were 60,725 USD more per QALY gained, which is higher than the threshold of 50,000 USD/QALY). Varying of the input parameters resulted in 46% of simulations with

additional costs for genotype guided therapy of less than 50,000 USD/QALY.

Lifelong medical costs were calculated. For the genotype-guided therapy, the calculated costs were 46,970 USD and the calculated QALYs 12.0851. The calculation was based on a price of warfarin therapy of USD 63 per year and genotyping costs of USD 175. Risk for clinical events were mostly based on INR differences observed in the Couma-Gen trial in which the use of a pharmacogenetic maintenance dose algorithm to select initial warfarin dose was compared with standard care via an anticoagulation specialist pharmacist (n = 200). The effect of CYP2C9 on bleeding risk was retrieved from the meta-analysis of Sanderson 2005. Event rates after six months were assumed to be the same in both types of warfarin therapy.

Results were most sensitive to the cost of genotyping and the effect of genotyping. If the genotyping test costs less than USD 13, then pharmacogenomic testing would be a cost-saving strategy. If data from the Caraco trial (n = 283) were used instead of data from the Couma-Gen trial, or pharmacogenomic initiation further reduced bleeds in the CYP2C9 variant patients, pharmacogenomic warfarin initiation would be cost

saving. Changes to some key structural assumptions increased the additional costs to more than USD 100.000 per QALY.

The costs and benefits of genotype-guided therapy were not evenly distributed between the different genotypes. For patients that were both VKORC1 and CYP2C9 wild-type, the costs increased with USD 13,500/ QALY due to a reduction in bleeds (despite higher doses), thrombo-embolism and deaths. For CYP2C9 variant patients, the reduction in bleeds was offset by the increased number of thrombo-embolic events, resulting in reduced QALYs. These results imply that CYP2C9 variant patients may be more challenging to manage, even once their genetic status is known. This is potentially the result of a significantly slower therapeutic response in these patients due to the decreased rate of drug metabolism.

- You JH et al. Potential clinical and economic outcomes of CYP2C9 and VKORC1 genotype-guided dosing in patients starting warfarin therapy. Clin Pharmacol Ther 2009;86:540-7. PubMed PMID: 19571807. For patients starting with warfarin, genotype-guided therapy was less cost-effective than standard care (costs were 347,059 USD more per QALY gained, which is higher than the threshold of 50,000 USD/QALY). Varying of the input parameters resulted in 48% of simulations with additional costs for genotype-guided therapy of less than 50,000 USD/QALY.
 - Medical costs for the first year of treatment were calculated. The calculation was based on a price of anticoagulation clinic care of USD 303 per year and genotyping costs of USD 200. Risk for clinical events was based on INR differences observed in the Couma-Gen trial in which the use of a pharmacogenetic maintenance dose algorithm to select initial warfarin dose was compared with standard care via an anticoagulation specialist pharmacist (n = 200).
 - Results were most sensitive to the cost of genotyping, the relative percentage reduction in out-of-range INRs in the genotype guided dosing group, and the percentage of out-of-range INRs in the standard dosing group. Eckman MH et al. Cost-effectiveness of using pharmacogenetic information in warfarin dosing for patients
- with nonvalvular atrial fibrillation. Ann Intern Med 2009;150:73-83. PubMed PMID: 19153410. For male patients aged 69 years with nonvalvular atrial fibrillation at average risk for stroke and without specific risk factors for bleeding, genotype-guided dosing was less cost-effective than usual care (costs were 171,800 USD more per QALY gained, which is higher than the threshold of 50,000 USD/QALY). Varying of the input parameters resulted in 10% of simulations with additional costs for genotype guided therapy of less than 50,000 USD/QALY. For genetic testing to cost less than USD 50,000 per QALY, it would have to be restricted to patients at high risk for haemorrhage or meet the following criteria: prevent greater than 32% of major bleeding events, be available within 24 hours, and cost less than \$200.
 - Life-long medical costs were calculated. For the genotype-guided therapy the calculated costs were 19,684 USD and the calculated QALYs 7.5780. The calculation was based on a price of warfarin of USD 36.99 per month, genotyping costs of USD 400 and a 3-day delay in initiating warfarin therapy due to genotyping. The relative risk for major bleeding in the genotype-guided versus standard warfarin therapy (0.68; 95% CI: 0.22-2.06) was calculated from a meta-analysis of the 3 trials comparing both types of therapy (n_{total} = 429). Major bleeding rates after one month were assumed to be the same in both types of warfarin therapy.
 - Results were most sensitive to the cost of genotyping and the delay in warfarin therapy due to genotyping. If the cost of genotyping was less than USD 140, genotype-guided dosing would be cost-effective (additional costs less than USD 50,000/QALY). If the cost of genotyping was less than USD 40, genotype-guided dosing would be cost saving. If there were no delay in initiating treatment, the additional costs would be USD 116,000/QALY. In the scenario where in-hospital genotyping would be possible, with cost of genotyping of USD 200 and the results available in 24 hours, allowing immediate initiation of warfarin therapy, the additional costs of genotype-guided dosing would be USD 51,000/QALY.

Existing guideline:

Johnson JA et al. Clinical Pharmacogenetics Implementation Consortium Guidelines for CYP2C9 and VKORC1 genotypes and warfarin dosing. Clin Pharmacol Ther 2011;90:625-9. PubMed PMID: 21900891. CPIC classifies the recommendations for warfarin dosing based on genotype in this guideline as strong. However, CPIC indicates that there are limited prospective data from randomised trials on the use of genetic information to guide warfarin dosing, and the impact on clinical outcomes is unknown, although several such studies were ongoing in 2011. (Note: Two of these studies were published in 2013 and are included in the meta-

CPIC indicates that dosing algorithms using genetics outperform non-genetic clinical algorithms and fixed-dose approaches in dose prediction (Gage 2008 and International Warfarin Pharmacogenetics Consortium 2009). They also predict the warfarin dose more accurately than the FDA-approved warfarin label table (Finkelman 2011). CPIC indicates that two algorithms perform well in estimating stable warfarin dose across different ethnic populations (Gage 2008 and International Warfarin Pharmacogenetics Consortium 2009); these were created using more than 5,000 subjects.

analyses of Liao 2015, Xu 2014, Franchini 2014 and Stergiopoulos 2014.)

The recommendation is to use pharmacogenetic algorithm based dosing when possible, although if electronic means for such dosing are not available, the table-based dosing approaches are suggested. CPIC indicates that the algorithms available on http://www.warfarindosing.org are the best way to estimate the anticipated stable dose of warfarin. The algorithms on this website are from The Warfarin Dose Refinement Collaboration (Gage 2008) and International Warfarin Pharmacogenetics Consortium (International Warfarin Pharmacogenetics Consortium 2009). They compute the anticipated stable daily warfarin dose to one decimal, and the clinician must then prescribe a regimen (e.g. an estimate of 4.3 mg/day might be given as 4 mg daily except 5 mg two days per week). When using the FDA-approved warfarin label table, the specific dose selected within the

given range should take into account other important variables, such as patient age, body size, and interacting drugs. (Note: the warfarin table of the FDA is included in the last row of the table in this risk analysis). The recommendations above are still the same after the last update on 19-6-2014 on the PharmGKB-site.

Date of the literature search: 31 May 2016.

	Genotype	Code	Gene-drug interaction	Action	Date
Decision by the Dutch	*1/*2	4 A	Yes	no	24 August 2016
Pharmacogenetic	*1/*3	4 D	Yes	yes	
Working Group	*2/*2	4 A	Yes	yes	
	*2/*3	4 A	Yes	yes	
	*3/*3	4 C	Yes	yes	
	IM	0 A	Yes	yes	
	PM	0 A	Yes	yes	

Mechanism:

Warfarin consists of a racemic mixture. S-warfarin is a more potent anticoagulant than R-warfarin. S-warfarin is predominantly metabolised by CYP2C9, whereas R-warfarin is primarily metabolised by other enzymes.