

## COMT: methylphenidate

# 6432/6433

95% CI = 95% confidence interval, COMT = catechol-O-methyltransferase, Met/Met = genotype leading to reduced COMT activity, NS = not significant, OR = odds ratio, S = significant, Val/Met = genotype leading to slightly reduced COMT activity, Val/Val = genotype leading to normal COMT activity.

**Disclaimer:** The Pharmacogenetics Working Group of the KNMP formulates the optimal recommendations for each phenotype group based on the available evidence. If this optimal recommendation cannot be followed due to practical restrictions, e.g. therapeutic drug monitoring or a lower dose is not available, the health care professional should consider the next best option.

## Brief summary and justification of choices:

Methylphenidate is thought to exert its therapeutic effects by increasing synaptic levels of dopamine and noradrenaline through the inhibition of dopamine and noradrenaline transporters. Catechol-O-methyltransferase (COMT) inactivates catecholamines as dopamine and noradrenaline by methylation. A gene variant of COMT, named the Met-allele, results in a reduced COMT activity and thus higher basal levels of dopamine and noradrenaline. For this reason, this gene variant might influence the effect of methylphenidate.

However, of 11 studies investigating the effect of this gene variant on efficacy of methylphenidate in ADHD patients, 8 studies did not find a significant effect (Pagerols 2017 (107 patients), Unal 2016 (108 patients), Park 2014 (120 patients), Contini 2012 (164 patients), Froehlich 2011 (89 patients), McGough 2009 (82 patients), Sengupta 2008 (212 patients), and Cheon 2008 (124 patients)). In two of these studies the significance of the effect disappeared after correction for multiple testing (Park 2014 (120 patients) and Cheon 2008 (124 patients)). A study with 112 ADHD patients found a better (or faster) response for patients with the Met-allele (genotypes Val/Met and Met/Met) (Salatino-Oliveira 2011). A study with 122 ADHD patients found a worse response for patients with the Met-allele (comparing Met/Met versus Val/Met versus Val/Val) (Kereszturi 2008). A study with 514 patients receiving methylphenidate during one week found a better response for Val/Met, but not for Met/Met (Fageera 2021). A meta-analysis of 7 studies with a total of 699 patients found a worse response for Val/Met+Met/Met (Myer 2018). However, this meta-analysis overestimates the effect by using a fixed-effects model despite high heterogeneity between the studies. Thus, this meta-analysis actually provides no evidence for a statistically significant effect.

Of two studies investigating the effect on adverse events, a study with 107 ADHD patients found no effect (Pagerols 2017) and a study with 82 ADHD patients found a decrease in the adverse event irritability for (Met/Met + Val/Met) versus Val/Val (McGough 2009).

Because the results on the efficacy are mostly negative and partly contradictory and because the results on the adverse events are not confirmed, the KNMP Pharmacogenetics Working Group concludes that there is not enough evidence for a gene-drug interaction and thus for a need of adjustment of therapy in patients with a genetic variant (no/no-interactions).

You can find an overview of the effects per genotype in the background information text of the gene-drug interactions in the KNMP Kennisbank. You might also have access to this background information text via your pharmacy or physician electronic decision support system.

Source	Code	Effect	Comments
ref. 1	3	514 ADHD patients aged 6-12 years were treated with methyl-	Author's conclu-
Fageera W et al.		phenidate 0.5 mg/kg per day for 1 week.	sion:
COMT by DRD3		ADHD symptoms were assessed with the Conners' Parent and	'Conners' teacher
epistatic interac-		Teacher Rating Scales (Conners'-P and Conners'-T).	scores: a marginal
tion in modula-		Co-medication was excluded. If necessary, the study was prece-	significant differen-
ting behaviors in		ded by 1 week of medication wash out. Children having an IQ <	ce between the
children with		70 or a history of Tourette's syndrome, autism, or psychosis	Val/Val group and
ADHD: a phar-		were excluded. However, ADHD symptoms were not scored by	the Val/Met was
maco-dynamic		a physician, which is the gold standard. In addition, treatment	detected under
behavioral		and scoring were during a very short period of 1 week, while	methylphenidate,
approach.		scoring for a period of 6-8 weeks is usual and a period of 4	where the Val/Met
J Atten Disord		weeks is considered the minimum.	group has a grea-
2021;25:1720-			ter improvement
30.		Genotyping:	on methylpheni-

PMID:			Val/Val				date.'		
32564645.			Val/Met						
ref. 1, continu-		- 106x Met/Met							
ation		Results	2.						
			for ADHD sympto	oms on the Conr	ner's rating scale	ė			
			by either teacher						
				Met/Met	Val/Met	value			
						for			
	Met/Met:					Val/			
	AA					Val			
	Val/Met:	tea-	pre-treatment	x 0.96 (NS)	x 1.02 (NS)	68.9			
	А	cher	after treatment	x 0.97 (NS)	x 0.98 (S)	56.6			
		pa-	pre-treatment	x 1.00 (NS)	x 1.00 (NS)	73.1			
		rent	after treatment The only significa	x 0.99 (NS)	x 1.00 (NS)	57.3			
			erroni correction fo						
			B = 0.017) (NS). N						
			Bonferroni correcti						
			25) (NS).		0 1 4				
		Methy	/lphenidate treatm	ent significantly	reduced the AD	HD			
		symp	tom score.	-					
			his study found si						
ref. 2	3		DHD symptom so nalyses of 7 studi				Author's conclu-		
Myer NM et al.	3		methylphenidate r				sion:		
Pharmacogene-			rospective.			5100105	'Pooled-data		
tics predictors of			e 7 studies in the r	neta-analysis ha	ve also been in	cluded	revealed a statis-		
methylphenidate		in this ı	tically significant						
efficacy in child-		tino-Oli	association						
hood ADHD.							between single		
Mol Psychiatry			nucleotide poly-						
2018;23:1929- 36.		addition	morphisms (SNPs) rs1800544 ADRA-						
PMID:			model was used f				2A, rs4680 COMT,		
29230023.			n-effects model sh				rs5569 SLC6A2		
			overestimates the				and rs28386840		
			dies. The search a				SLC6A2, and,		
			ta exaction was st				repeat variants		
			of the included st	· ·	•		variable number		
			k' categories) was				tandem repeat		
			Practice Project (I tative Studies, but				(VNTR) 4 DRD4 and VNTR 10		
			o the separate stu				SLC6A3. These		
			studies.			outong	findings have		
			ation bias was ass	essed with funne	el plot. Results c	of	major implications		
		Egger's	s regression test v	vere not reported	for the COMT-	variant.	for advancing our		
		_					therapeutic ap-		
	Val/Met+	Results					proach to child-		
	Met/Met: B		onse for Val/Met+l		ed to Val/Val:		hood ADHD treat- ment.'		
	В		0.71 (95% CI: 0.5		high		ment.		
			ogeneity between regression analys			wed			
			iation of the gene						
			weaker in studies						
			participants (S). D						
			ipants and study c						
			In case of heterog						
			s model used for t						
			. Thus, this meta-a		provides no evi	aence			
			statistically signific		ublication biog				
ref. 3	3		el plot showed no ethylphenidate nai			are	Author's conclu-		
Pagerols M et al.	5		eated with methyl				sion:		
Pharmacogene-			ylphenidate was						
	1	0.1100					1		

tics of methyl- phenidate response and tolerability in attention-deficit/ hyperactivity disorder. Pharmacogeno- mics J 2017;17:98-104. PubMed PMID: 26810137. <b>ref. 3, continua-</b> <b>tion</b>	Met/Met: AA Val/Met:	started with low-to-moderate doses and doses were subsequently increased until no further clinical improvement or limiting adverse effects were observed. 21.5% of patients failed to show a response to methylphenidate and 65.1% had some treatment-related side effect (mainly insomnia (34.3%) and appetite reduction (25%)). The 7 point Clinical Global Impression - Improvement Subscale (CGI-I) was used to assess treatment success. Response was defined as a rating of 'very much improved' or 'very improved' by the parents and non-response as one of the other ratings, ranging from 'minimally or less improved' to 'very much worse'. In addition, treatment success was rated by clinicians using the 7 point Clinical Global Impression - Severity Scale (CGI-S). Response was defined as a decrease of at least two points on the CGI-S. Comedication with other psychotropic medications was not excluded. Comorbid oppositional defiant disorder, conduct disorder, depression and anxiety disorders were only excluded if they were the primary cause of ADHD symptomatology. For a gene variant with a minor allele frequency of 0.15, the study had a power of 76% and 90% to detect OR values > 2.5 for treatment failure and adverse events respectively, assuming a prevalence of 0.30 and 0.39. Because also other genes were investigated, the significance threshold was $p \le 0.00022 (0.05/228)$ according to Bonferroni correction for multiple comparisons. Genotyping: Genotyping was for 10 single nucleotide polymorphisms in the COMT gene, including the one resulting in the 158 Val $\rightarrow$ Met substitution. The genotyping results were not reported. Results: No effect of COMT gene variants on: - response (NS)	'Pharmacogenetic research has fo- cused on genes presumably rela- ted to the mecha- nism of action of methylphenidate, namely DAT1, DRD4 or COMT. However, none of the SNPs loca- ted in these genes displayed signifi- cant associations with either methyl- phenidate respon- se or side effects.'
ref. 4 Unal D et al. Genetic varia- tions in attention deficit hyperacti- vity disorder subtypes and treatment resis- tant cases. Psychiatry Inves- tig 2016;13:427-33. PubMed PMID: 27482244.	AA 3	<ul> <li>adverse events (NS)</li> <li>108 stimulant naive ADHD patients aged 6-18 years were treated with methylphenidate for 4-6 weeks. The dose range of methylphenidate was 0.7-1.1 mg/kg per day. Doses were increased during follow-up until no further clinical improvement or limiting adverse effects were observed. 13 patients that did not comply with treatment and withdrew from the study prior to treatment response assessment were excluded. 9% of patients with the Val/Val genotype, 7% of patients with the Val/Met genotype and 19% of patients with the Met/Met genotype withdrew from the study prior to response assessment (significance not determined).</li> <li>The 7 point Clinical Global Impression - Severity Scale (CGI-S) was used to assess the severity of symptoms. The 100 point Global Assessment of Functioning Scale (GAS) was used to assess functionality. A score below 60 on this scale was considered to represent low functionality. ADHD symptoms were assessed with the Conners' Parent and Teacher Rating Scales (CPRS and CTRS). The Continuous Performance (CPT) and Trail Making tests (TMT-A and B) were used to assess neuropsychological deficits.</li> <li>Treatment response was defined as 2 points or greater improvement on the CGI-S and a total GAS score of 60 points or greater, a minimum of 50% improvement on any of the subscales of CPRS/CTRS, or improvement in one of the neuropsychological tests.</li> <li>Co-medication with other psychotropic medications was excluded. Psychiatric comorbidities other than oppositional defiant disorder, conduct disorder, and learning disorder were excluded.</li> </ul>	Author's conclu- sion: 'COMT polymor- phism was not associated with treatment respon- se in the univariate analysis, similar to prior studies. How- ever, the valine allele for rs4680 (COMT) was more frequent among treatment respon- ders relative to prior reports.'

rof A continue		- 29x Val/Val						
ref. 4, continua-		- 54x Val/Met						
		- 25x Met/Met						
		Results:						
		Met/Met vers		1				
	Met/Met:		Met/Met		/al/Met	value for Val/Val		
	AA	% of res-	NS for Met	t/Met vers	us Val/Met ver-	76%		
	Val/Met:	ponders	sus Val/Va			1070		
	AA	Multiple regression analysis confirmed the						
			absence of an effect of the COMT genotype on					
			treatment response (NS).					
		Note: This stu	Note: This study also did not find an association between COMT					
					D, ADHD subtyp			
					y of the CPRS/C	TRS		
nof E	4	subscales and psychiatric comorbidities). 120 stimulant naive ADHD patients aged 6-15 years were trea-					Author's conclu	
<b>ref. 5</b> Park S et al.	4				aged 6-15 years ks. The dose of r		Author's conclu- sion:	
Catechol-O-					eks based on sy		'Although the	
methyltransfe-		adverse effect	ts.		-	-	reported nominally	
rase Val158-Met					ion - Severity Sc		significant associa-	
polymorphism					symptoms. The (		tions did not stay significant after	
and a response of hyperactive-					l by the patients) IV (ADHD-RS) ar		correcting for mul-	
impulsive symp-					Impressions-Imp		tiple testing, our	
toms to methyl-		Scale (CGI-I)	were used t	to measur	e response. In th	e CPT	results support	
phenidate: a					creen for an exte		previous findings	
replication study from South					of these stimuli. I		about the possible involvement of the	
Korea.			was defined as a 10% decrease in missed responses, incorrect invo responses, response time or response-time variability in the COI					
J Psychophar-			CPT, a 50% decrease in the total, inattentive or hyperactive-					
macol			impulsive scores on the ADHD-RS or a rating of 'very much the till the till the scores on the ADHD-RS or a rating of 'very much the till the score sco					
2014;28:671-6.			improved' or 'very improved' on the CGI-I. po Psychiatric comorbidities, other than oppositional defiant disor-					
PubMed PMID: 24763183.								
24700100.		were excluded. Patients who missed medication more than three				dren with ADHD.'		
		times were excluded.						
		Patient with the Val/Val genotype were older, had more often the						
		inattentive and less often the hyperactive-impulsive or not other-						
		wise specified ADHD subtype, and had a longer CPT response time before start of methylphenidate. However, odds ratios were						
		corrected for age, ADHD subtype and final dose of methylpheni-						
		date.						
		O an at min m						
		- 70x Val/Val	Genotyping:					
		- 70x val/val - 43x Val/Met						
		- 7x Met/Met						
		Desette						
		Results:						
		Percentage of patients with treatment response for (Val/Met + Met/Met) versus Val/Val:						
		Test or subscale used Val/Met + Met/Met value						
		for						
		Val/Val						
			otal coorc	NS NS		64.3%		
		ADHD-RS, to ADHD-RS, in		NS NS		65.7% 57.1%		
		score				07.170		
		ADHD-RS, h	yperacti-	OR = 0.	38 (95% CI: 0.15	- 74.3%		
		ve-impulsive		0.97) (S	5)			
					.044. Thus, the r			
					significant after iple testing (signi			
					ipie iesung (signi		1	

ref. 5, continua-	Val/Met +		p < 0.05/7 (= 0.007)) (NS).		[]		
tion	Met/Met:	CPT, missed respon-	NS $(= 0.007)$ (NS).	57.1%			
		ses CPT, incorrect respon-	NS	48.6%			
		ses CPT, response time	NS	27.1%			
		CPT, response time variability	OR = 0.38 (95% CI: 0.16- 0.90) (S)	67.1%			
			p was 0.028. Thus, the resu not stay significant after corn	ection			
			for multiple testing (significa $p < 0.05/7$ (= 0.007)) (NS).	nce for			
		inattentive and less often to otherwise specified ADHD had a significantly longer to of methylphenidate than p genotype. However, the p and 0.010 respectively, so	I/Val genotype had more often the hyperactive-impulsive or r subtype. In addition, these p response time in the CPT befor atients with the Val/Met or Me -values for these results were the results did not stay signif	ot atients ore start et/Met 0.042			
ref. 6	4	after correction for multiple			Author's conclu		
Contini V et al.	4		were treated with immediate r ys. The dose of methylphenic		Author's conclu- sion:		
No significant association		increased weekly until syn	nptom control or occurrence on num dose was 0.3 mg/kg per	of limiting	'This study inves- tigates the role of		
between genetic		the mean final dose was 0			genetic variants		
variants in 7 candidate genes		Response was defined as Swanson, Nolan and Pelh	(SLC6A4, HTR1B, TPH2, DBH,				
and response to		and a score of 2 points or	DRD4, COMT, and				
methylphenidate treatment in		Impression-Severity scale Relevant co-medication w	SNAP25) in the response to me-				
adult patients		the association between c	thylphenidate in a				
with ADHD. J Clin Psycho-		Associations were analysed by logistic regression analysis. samp Correction was for covariates with an association with both the adult					
pharmacol		study factor and outcome with $p \le 0.20$ . For COMT, these were cant					
2012;32:820-3.		sex and baseline CGI-S set	core.		allele or genotype		
PubMed PMID: 23131881.		Genotyping (partly calcula - 47x Val/Val	frequencies be- tween responders and nonrespon-				
		- 83x Val/Met	ders were detec-				
		- 34x Met/Met	ted. In conclusion,				
		Results:			our findings do not support an effect		
	Val/Met +		ith treatment response compa	a-	of these genes in		
	Met/Met: AA	red to Val/Val (85%): Val/Met + Met/Met	NS		the pharmacoge- netics of methyl-		
				I	phenidate among		
ref. 7	4		patients aged 7-11 years rece	aived	adults with ADHD.' Author's conclu-		
Froehlich TE et	+		of controlled release methylph		sion:		
al.		for 1 week each in a doub	le blind crossover trial. Doses	were 18,	'COMT was not		
Pharmacogene- tic predictors of			nildren ≤ 25 kg and 18, 36 or 5 g. Only patients with the inatt		significantly asso- ciated with methyl-		
methylphenidate		and combined ADHD subt	phenidate respon-				
dose-response in attention-deficit/		equal numbers). The mea day.	n maximum dose was 1.57 m	g/kg per	se, although our pattern of results		
hyperactivity		Psychiatric comorbidities	were excluded with the excep		suggest that Val		
disorder.			ler, conduct disorder, depress		homozygotes		
J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychia-		symptoms and did not req	vere not the primary cause of uire different treatment.		experienced greater improve-		
try		The Vanderbilt ADHD Par	ent Rating Scale (VADPRS) a		ments in hyper-		
2011;50:1129- 1139.			Rating Scale (VADTRS) wer er each week of the trial. An ir		active-impulsive symptoms with		
PubMed PMID: 22024001.		domain score was genera inattention symptoms, a h	ted by totalling scores from th yperactive-impulsive domain I	e nine oy total-	increasing doses compared to other		
		ling score from the nine hy	peractive-impulsive symptom	is. Gene-	groups (p=0.09).'		

ref. 7, continua- tion	Met/Met: AA Val/Met: AA	the effect of the ge Associations were correction was for The study had 809 1.03. Genotyping: - 30x Val/Val - 40x Val/Val - 19x Met/Met Results: Methylphenidate Val/Val: inattentive domain hyperactive-imput	the primary outcome, because these reflect ene on methylphenidate treatment. analysed by linear mixed models. Bonferroni the two investigated domains (p < 0.025). % power to detect COMT-dose effect sizes of response for Met/Met versus Val/Met versus in score NS Ilsive domain score NS treatment significantly reduced the scores in	
		both domains. The COMT geno	type also did not have a treatment indepen-	
			e scores in both domains.	
ref. 8 Salatino-Oliveira A et al. Catechol-O- methyltransfe- rase Valine158- Methionine poly- morphism mode- rates methylphe- nidate effects on oppositional symptoms in boys with atten- tion-deficit/hyper- activity disorder. Biol Psychiatry 2011;70:216-21. PubMed PMID: 21550019.	4 Val/Met + Met/Met: AA <sup>#</sup> Val/Val: B	were treated with i months. The dose further clinical imp significant side eff day in 2 or 3 dose mean dose after 1 The parent-rated of and Pelham Ratin Included patients H Relevant co-medio were not excluded genotype groups. Genotyping (partly - 35x Val/Val - 55x Val/Met - 22x Met/Met <u>Results:</u>	ate naive boys with ADHD aged 4-17 years immediate release methylphenidate for 3 of methylphenidate was increased until no rovement was detected or until occurrence of ects. The minimum dose was 0.3 mg/kg per s (mean starting dose 0.5 mg/kg per day and month of 0.65 mg/kg per day). oppositional subscale of the Swanson, Nolan g Scale version IV (SNAP-IV) was used. had a baseline score > 1. cation and concomitant psychosocial treatment l, but did not differ significantly between the response for (Val/Met + Met/Met) versus increased response (S for both the trajecto- ry of response as for the score reduction after 1 and 3 months) The difference in score reduction was larger after 1 month (x 1.99) than after 3 months (x 1.08), which might indicate a faster instead	Author's conclu- sion: 'These results sug- gest an effect of the COMT genoty- pe on the trajecto- ry of oppositional defiant disorder symptoms impro- vement with methylphenidate treatment in boys with ADHD.'
		significantly in SN	of a better response. of treatment, genotype groups did not differ AP-IV oppositional, total, inattentive, or hyper- scores or in the rate of oppositional defiant or	
<b>ref. 9</b> McGough JJ et al. A candidate gene analysis of methylphenidate response in attention-deficit/ hyperactivity disorder. J Am Acad Child	4	82 ADHD patients to four doses of im each in a double b mg per day for chi for children ≥ 25 k An age-appropriat (the Permanent Pi was applied 45 mi number of problen ADHD Rating Sca interview with pare	Author's conclu- sion: 'ADHD symptom response was predicted by poly- morphisms at the serotonin trans- porter (SLC6A4) intron 2 VNTR, with a suggested trend for catechol-	

Adolesc Psychia- try 2009;48:1155- 64. PubMed PMID: 19858760. ref. 9, continua- tion	Val/Met + Met/Met: AA <sup>#</sup> Val/Val: B	Behaviour scale (SWAN) re the ADHD symptoms. F with the parent rated Side ratings of 11 adverse ever lants. Composite outcome were determined by princi these were ADHD sympto standardized values from and Math Problems Corre lity, comprised of picking, tearful/sad/depressed; Ve tired/listless, sleep probler ments, comprised of moto and Somatic Symptoms, o ache. Because side effect each composite outcome or present. Clinical need for other me effects and current conditi mitant medication were ex Bonferroni correction was mes (p < 0.025), but not fo outcomes (p < 0.05). The sample was sufficient gene x dose effects at p < 0.0005. Genotyping: - 24x Val/Val - 39x Val/Met - 19x Met/Met Results: Methylphenidate respons Val/Val: ADHD symptoms math problems correct irritability vegetative symptoms abnormal symptoms somatic symptoms	s of ADHD-symptoms and Normal were used after each period to measu- Potential side effects were evaluated Effects Scales, consisting of 4-point ints that commonly occur with stimu- as with the largest effects on variance pal components analyses. For efficacy ms, comprised of equally weighted the ADHD-RS and SWAN total scores, ct. For side effects these were Irritabi- worried/anxious, crabby/irritable, and getative Symptoms, comprised of dull/ ms, and appetite loss; Abnormal Move- ir tics and buccal-lingual movements; comprised of head-ache and stomach s were absent or mild in most cases, for side effects was scored as absent dication with central nervous system ons that might require ongoing conco- ccluded. for the two investigated efficacy outco- or the four investigated side effect ty powered to detect small gene and 0.025 and medium effects at p < se for (Val/Met + Met/Met) versus NS NS NS NS trend for an increase (NS, p = 0.07) or a treatment independent effect of ymorphism on ADHD symptoms (p =	O-methyltransfe- rase (COMT) (p=.04) Irritability was pre- dicted by COMT.'
ref. 10 Kereszturi E et al. Catechol-O- methyltransfe- rase Val158Met polymorphism is associated with methylphenidate response in ADHD children. Am J Med Genet B Neuropsychiatr Genet 2008;147B:1431- 5. PubMed PMID: 18214865.	4	methylphenidate 10-30 methylphenidate 10-30 methylphenidate 10-30 metheir body weight. The aver (mean 0.55 mg/kg). The ADHD Rating Scale ( Global Impression - Sever the severity of symptoms. decrease in ADHD-RS tot (no or minimal symptoms) defined as less than 10% after 3 months. 90 patients ponders. Concomitant use of antips excluded. ADHD type differed signifi with all Met/Met having the hyperactive and inattentive Val/Val. However, the free	ents were treated for 6 months with g per day in two doses according to erage daily dose was 0.22-0.95 mg/kg ADHD-RS) and the 7 point Clinical rity scale (CGI-S) were used to assess Response was defined as a 25% al score and a CGI-S score ≤ 2 points after 6 months. Non-response was decrease in the ADHD-RS total score s were responders, 32 were non-res- sychotics and antidepressants was cantly between the genotype groups e combined ADHD type and the e types only occurring in Val/Met and guency of the ADHD type did not differ onders and non-responders.	Author's conclu- sion: 'The categorical analysis of 90 res- ponders versus 32 non-responders showed an asso- ciation between the Val-allele or Val/Val genotype and good methyl- phenidate respon- se. Analyzing symptom severity as a continuous trait, significant interaction of COMT genotype and methylpheni- date was found on

ref. 10, continu-		Genotyping:				the Hyperactivity-	
ation		- 39x Val/Val - 59x Val/Met				Impulsivity scale. Symptom severity	
		- 24x Met/Met				scores of all three	
						genotype groups	
		Results: Methylphenidate response	for Mot/Mot		lot vorcue	decreased follo- wing methylpheni-	
		Val/Val:	date administra-				
			Met/Met	Val/Met	value for Val/Val	tion, however Val/ Val homozygote	
	Val/Met:	% responders	x 0.67	x 0.82	87%	children had signi-	
	B		S for Met/M sus Val/Val	et versus Va	/Met ver-	ficantly less severe symptoms than	
	Met/Met: B		S for the Val-allele versus the Met- allele			those with Met/Met genotype after	
		ADHD-RS inattention score after the first treat-		Met versus V /Val	al/Met	treatment.'	
		ment month		e multivariate			
			tion betwee genotype (p	n methylpher	nidate and		
		ADHD-RS hyperactivity/	x 1.37	x 1.16	10.97		
		impulsivity score after	S for Met/M	et versus Va			
		the first treatment month	sus Val/Val				
			S for the multivariate interaction between methylphenidate and				
			genotype				
			S for the ex baseline	tent of decre	ase from		
		CGI-S score		Met versus V	al/Met		
			versus Val/				
				nultivariate ir ethylphenidat			
			genotype	erryipheriada	cana		
		Similar results were obtair bined ADHD type.	obtained in the subgroup with the com-				
		Note: Comparison of 173 pa controls, demonstrated a hi	gher frequen	cy of the Val-	allele and		
		the Val/Val genotype in the					
		significance for the Val-allel Rate adjustment for multiple					
		brium Test analysis showed	a tendency	of over-trans	mission of		
		the Val-allele from the pare the Val-allele might play a r					
		However, the Val-allele had					
		before start of treatment (ne					
		subscale, the ADHD-RS hy on the CGI-S) (NS).	peractivity/im	ipuisivity sub:			
ref. 11	3	212 ADHD patients aged 6-				Author's conclu-	
Sengupta S et al. COMT Val108/		methylphenidate 0.5 mg/kg each in a double blind cross		sion: 'These results			
158Met polymor-		before and after the treatme	suggest that the				
phism and the		effects were assessed on d	COMT Val108/				
modulation of task-oriented		and 1 hour after dosing. Behaviour was measured w	158Met polymor- phism modulates				
behavior in chil-		Scale (RASS). After being a	task-oriented				
dren with ADHD.		clinic playroom, children were instructed to sit at a table and				behaviour, but it	
Neuropsycho- pharmacology		complete as many math pro events were recorded at 30		does not modulate the response of			
2008;33:3069-		categories: off-task (looking	away from tl	he task sheet	), playing	this behaviour to	
77.		with objects (touching any o				methylphenidate	
PubMed PMID: 18580877.		out of seat (lifting buttocks of (any vocal noise, whether ta				treatment.'	
		(repetitive, purposeless mo	vements). Th	e RÁSS scor	e is the		
		total number of behavioural					
		Overall motor activity of the	non-dominal	ni nanu was (	esumated	<u>                                     </u>	

ref. 11, continu-		using actiwatch® actigraph	y. The total nu	mber of 30-se	conds			
ation		intervals with at least one m Tourette syndrome, pervasi			nd			
		psychosis were excluded. (						
		central nervous system was						
		Genotyping:						
		- 55x Val/Val						
		- 115x Val/Met - 42x Met/Met						
		Results: Methylphenidate response	or Met/Met	ersus Val/Me	t versus			
	Met/Met: AA	Val/Val:			( Volouo			
	Val/Met:	total RASS score		NS NS				
	AA	motor activity Methylphenidate treatmen	t significantly	-	scores.			
		Note: The COMT genotype on the total RASS score wit						
		more behavioural events ar						
			(S). There was no difference between Met/Met and Val/Met (NS). This treatment independent effect of the COMT genotype					
		was also observed for the s	ubscales off ta	ask and playin	ig with			
		objects (S). There was a tre 0.07) and no association fo						
		Results were similar when t						
		children .	o effect on mo	ntor activity (N	S)			
ref. 12	3	124 stimulant naive ADHD	The COMT genotype had no effect on motor activity (NS). 124 stimulant naive ADHD patients aged 6-12 years were trea-					
Cheon KA et al. Association of		ted for 8 weeks with methyl to a dose sufficient for there				sion: 'Our findings provi-		
the catechol-O-		reports of symptom improve			e parents	de evidence of an		
methyltransfe-		The ADHD Rating Scale-IV				association be-		
rase polymor- phism with		and teachers was used to a before and after treatment.				tween the COMT genotype and		
methylphenidate		inattention and 9 items relation				methylphenidate		
response in a classroom set-		are scored on a 4-point sca reduction of the ADHD-RS			sa	response as assessed by the		
ting in children		Comorbidity and concomita	nt use of othe	r drugs with ef		teachers of chil-		
with attention- deficit hyperacti-		the central nervous system bidity did not have a signific				dren with ADHD. No significant		
vity disorder.						association, howe-		
Int Clin Psycho- pharmacol		Genotyping: - 68x Val/Val				ver, was found between the geno-		
2008;23:291-8.		- 48x Val/Met				type of COMT and		
PubMed PMID: 18703939.		- 8x Met/Met				the response to treatment with		
		Results:			1	methylphenidate		
		Results for Met/Met versu	s Val/Met vers Met/Met	us Val/Val: Val/Met	value	as assessed by their parents.'		
			mound		for			
		% responders, parent	NS for Met/N	let versus	Val/Val 57%			
		rated	Val/Met vers					
		% responders, teacher rated	59%					
			sus Val/Val	et versus Val/N				
				t/Met frequend				
				sus non-respo Val/Val freque				
			responders v	ersus non-res				
	Val/Met:		ders (NS; p = None of the	= 0.077). above results	would			
	AA		be significan	t after Bonferr	oni			
	Met/Met:	<u> </u>	correction fo	r the three ger	notype			

	numerically the best response rated by the parents (75% ders) and the worst response rated by the teachers (13% ponders).	s respon-
final methylphenidate dose (mg/day)	NS for Met/Met versus Val/Met versus Val/Val	34.07
mean methylphenidate dose (mg/day)	NS for Met/Met versus Val/Met versus Val/Val	25.86

Risk group	-

### Comments:

- Only studies with more than 75 patients were included in the risk analysis. Other articles did not contribute enough to the evidence to be included.

Fageera 2021 J Psychiatr Res (Fageera W et al. Association between COMT methylation and response to treatment in children with ADHD. J Psychiatr Res 2021;135:86-93. PMID: 33453563) was not included in the risk analysis, because this study concerns a subset of the patients in Fageera 2021 J Atten Disord.

Date of the literature search: 14 December 2021.

	Genotype	Code	Gene-drug interaction	Action	Date
KNMP Pharmacogenetics	Val/Met	4 B	no	no	31 January 2022
Working Group decision	Met/Met	4 B	no	no	

### Mechanism:

Methylphenidate is thought to exert its therapeutic effects by increasing synaptic levels of dopamine and noradrenaline through the inhibition of dopamine and noradrenaline transporters. Catechol-O-methyltransferase (COMT) inactivates catecholamines as dopamine and noradrenaline by methylation.