

UGT1A1: sacituzumab govitecan

8510 to 8513

*1/*28 = genotype leading to a reduced UGT1A1 activity, *28/*28 = genotype leading to a strongly reduced UGT1A1 activity, CTCAE = Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events, IM = IM OTHER = intermediate metaboliser, genotype otherwise = *1 in combination with an allele with reduced activity other than *28 (e.g. *1/*6), NS = non-significant, PM = PM, OTHER = poor metaboliser, genotype otherwise = two alleles with reduced activity of which at least one other than *28 (e.g. *6/*28 or *6/*6), popPK model = population pharmacokinetic model, S = significant, SmPC = Summary of Product Characteristics, UGT = uridine diphosphate glucuronosyltransferase,

UGT1A1*1 = TA₆ = $[A(TA)_6TAA]$ = wild-type, UGT1A1*28 = TA₇ = $[A(TA)_7TAA]$ (reduced UGT1A1 activity), UGT1A1*36 = TA₅ = $[A(TA)_5TAA]$ (increased UGT1A1 activity), UGT1A1*37 = TA₈ = $[A(TA)_8TAA]$ (UGT1A1 activity more strongly reduced than for *28), UGT1A1*6 = gene variant in Asians, reduced activity, comparable to *28.

Disclaimer: The Pharmacogenetics Working Group of the KNMP formulates the optimal recommendations for each phenotype group based on the available evidence. If this optimal recommendation cannot be followed due to practical restrictions, e.g. therapeutic drug monitoring or a lower dose is not available, then the health care professional should consider the next best option.

Conclusion and justification of choices

Sacituzumab govitecan releases the active metabolite SN-38, which is inactivated by glucuronidation, predominantly by UGT1A1.

The SmPCs indicate a higher risk of grade ≥3 adverse events in patients with *28/*28 and *1/*28 genotypes and suggest this might also be the case in patients with reduced UGT1A1 activity due to other gene variants (PM OTHER and IM OTHER). For *28/*28 and *1/*28, this was confirmed for diarrhoea in Ocean 2017, but only for a dose of 8 mg/kg, not for the registered dose of 10 mg/kg. Two other studies also found numerically higher incidences of adverse events for *28/*28 (Loriot 2024 and Rugo 2022) and *1/*28 (Rugo 2022). However, a modelling study did not find a difference in the predicted AUC of free SN-38 over the first treatment cycle between the genotype groups. Because of the observed clinical effects, the KNMP Pharmacogenetics Working Group concluded that there is a gene-drug interaction and that action is needed for *28/*28 and PM OTHER (yes/no-interactions). However, because *1/*28 is the major group among White populations including the Dutch population, sacituzumab govitecan therapy will be optimised based mainly on *1/*28, which has a comparable UGT1A1 activity as IM OTHER. For this reason, the KNMP Pharmacogenetics Working Group does not recommend adjustment of therapy or patient monitoring for *1/*28 and IM OTHER (yes/no-interactions). Both SmPCs recommend only close monitoring of patients with known reduced UGT1A1 activity for adverse reactions. An a priori dose reduction could prevent serious adverse events, but might decrease effectiveness of sacituzumab govitecan. Loriot 2024 found that the majority of *28/*28 (57%) did not require a dose reduction due to treatment-related adverse events. This was confirmed by the absence of a difference in the calculated median dose intensity between the UGT1A1 genotypes in this study. In addition, Rugo 2022 found median progression-free survival to be numerically higher in patients with dose reductions or dose interruptions due to adverse events than in patients without (8.3 versus 4.6 months for dose reductions and 5.7 versus 4.2 months for dose interruptions). Finally, although there were indications for a higher rate of sacituzumab govitecan discontinuation due to treatment-related adverse events in *28/*28, this rate remained low (6-7%) (Loriot 2024 and Rugo 2022). For these reasons, the KNMP Pharmacogenetics Working Group indeed decided to only recommend close monitoring for adverse events and no dose reduction for *28/*28 and PM OTHER.

You can find an overview of the observed kinetic and clinical effects in the background information text of the gene-drug interactions on the KNMP Kennisbank. You might also have access to this background information text via your pharmacy or physician electronic decision support system.

Mechanism

Sacituzumab govitecan is an antibody-drug conjugate composed of an antibody with binding affinity for trophoblast cellsurface antigen-2 (Trop-2), coupled to SN-38, the active metabolite of irinotecan, via hydrolysable linkers. Because of its hydrolysable linkers, sacituzumab govitecan releases SN-38 both intra- and extracellularly in Trop-2 expressing tumours. SN-38 is glucuronidated to the inactive metabolite SN-38-glucuronide by glucuronosyltransferases. SN-38 is predominantly metabolised by UGT1A1 and also by UGT1A6, UGT1A7, UGT1A9 and UGT1A10.

A UGT1A1 genetic polymorphism may change the plasma and local concentration of SN-38 and SN-38 glucuronide.

| | Genotype | Code | Gene- drug interaction | Action | Date |
|------------------------|----------|------|------------------------|--------|-----------------|
| KNMP Pharmacogenetics | *1/*28 | 4 D | Yes | No | 27 January 2025 |
| Working Group decision | *28/*28 | 4 D | Yes | Yes | |
| | IM | 0 D | Yes | No | |
| | PM | 0 D | Yes | Yes | |



Recommendation concerning pre-emptive genotyping, including justification of choices

The KNMP Pharmacogenetics Working Group does not recommend genotyping of UGT1A1 before starting sacituzumab govitecan.

The clinical implication of the gene-drug interaction scores 3 out of the maximum of 10 points. Pre-emptive genotyping is considered to be beneficial for scores ranging from 3 to 5 points (see below and the clinical implication score tables at the end of this risk analysis). However, the KNMP Pharmacogenetics Working Group decided not to follow the clinical implication score for sacituzumab govitecan. Because the therapeutic recommendation for sacituzumab govitecan (to closely monitor for adverse events) does not prevent any severe adverse event, genotyping does not prevent any adverse event. Therefore, the clinical impact of genotyping before start of sacituzumab govitecan is either absent or very limited. For this reason, the KNMP Pharmacogenetics Working Group decided not to recommend genotyping before start of sacituzumab govitecan, i.e. decided to recommend the same as the Dutch SmPC of sacituzumab govitecan. The rationale for the (sub)scores on the clinical implication score is indicated below:

The SmPCs and one study indicate a higher risk of grade ≥3 adverse events in patients with *28/*28 and *1/*28 genotypes (code D corresponding to CTCAE grade 3). This results in 1 out of the maximum of 2 points for the first criterion of the clinical implication score, the clinical effect associated with the gene-drug interaction (1 point for code D or E (CTCAE grade 3 or 4)).

Only one study confirmed an increased risk of serious adverse events (code D corresponding to CTCAE grade 3) (Ocean 2017). This results in 1 out of the maximum of 3 points for the second criterion of the clinical implication score, the level of evidence supporting the associated clinical effect grade \geq 3 (1 points for one publications with level of evidence score \geq 3).

Because the therapeutic recommendation to closely monitor patients for serious adverse events does not prevent a severe adverse event, but might only prevent it from becoming even more severe, genotyping of patients will not prevent any severe adverse event. This results in 0 out of the maximum of 3 points for the third criterion of the clinical implication score, the number needed to genotype (NNG) to prevent one clinical effect code \geq D (grade \geq 3) (only points for NNG \leq 1000).

The Dutch SmPC of sacituzumab govitecan mentions an increased risk of serious haematologic adverse events for *28/*28 (and *1/*28), but indicates that when unknown, no testing of UGT1A1 status is required. This results in 1 out of the maximum of 2 points for the fourth and last criterion of the clinical implication score, the pharmacogenetics information in the SmPC (1 point for at least one genotype/phenotype being mentioned in the SmPC, but not mentioned as a contra-indication and no recommendation to genotype).

Literature

The table below follows KNMP nomenclature for UGT1A1 polymorphisms. The nomenclature used in the table below may therefore differ from the nomenclature used by the authors in the article.

| Source | Code | Effect | Comments |
|--|------|--|--|
| ref. 1 Sathe AG et al. Population phar- macokinetics of sacituzumab govi- tecan in patients with metastatic triple-negative breast cancer and other solid tumors. Clin Pharmacoki- net 2024;63:669-81. PMID: 38578394. | 4 | Pharmacokinetics was analysed in 529 sacituzumab govitecan treated patients (276 of the patients from the IMMU-132-01 study (Ocean 2017) and all 253 patients from the ASCENT study (Rugo 2022)), followed by the development of population pharmacokinetic (popPK) models for sacituzumab govitecan and free SN-38. UGT1A1 genotype was known for 472 patients (226 from the IMMU-132-01 study and 246 from the ASCENT study). Free and total SN-38 in the serum samples were determined. Subsequently, the concentration of sacituzumab govitecan was determined from the calculated concentration of bound SN-38, assuming a constant mean number of 8 SN-38 groups per sacitu- zumab govitecan molecule (this number actually decreases in time due to the hydrolysis of sacituzumab govitecan). The popPK model was first developed for sacituzumab govitecan and the model for free SN-38 was developed from this by incor- porating formation of free SN-38 driven by total amount of sacituzumab govitecan following first-order kinetics. Goodness- of-fit plots indicated no meaningful bias in the popPK models. The prediction-corrected visual predictive checks showed lack of model misspecification with good concordance between observed and simulated percentiles for sacituzumab govitecan, but a slight underprediction of the 5th percentile of the observed concentrations during the distribution phase for free SN-38. | Authors' conclusion: 'Mild-to-moderate renal impairment, mild hepatic impair- ment, age, sex, base- line albumin level, tumor type, UGT1A1 genotype, or Trop-2 expression did not have a clinically rele- vant impact on expo- sure for any of the three analytes (saci- tuzumab govitecan, free SN-38, and total antibody). These analyses sup- port the approved sacituzumab govite- can dosing regimen of 10 mg/kg as intra- venous infusion on days 1 and 8 of 21- day cycles and did not identify a need for dose adjustment |

| ref. 1, continua- tion | | Relevant comedication was patients used a UGT1A1 inc tor. In addition, no significa | ducer and only 3% | 6 a UGT1A1 inhibi- | based on evaluated covariates or disease characteristics.' |
|--|-----------|---|--|---|--|
| | | was observed. Genotyping: - 204x *1/*1 - 195x *1/*28 - 66x *28/*28 - 7x *1/*1 (*1/*36 or *36/*3 or PM (*28/*37) | 36) or *1/*28 (*28, | /*36) or IM (*1/*37) | |
| | | Results: | | | |
| | | Results compared to *1/* | 1: | | |
| | | | *1/*28 | *28/*28 | |
| | | popPK model for sacituzumab govitecan | (NS) | pe had no effect | |
| | | popPK model for free | | pe had no effect | |
| | *1/*28: A | SN-38 predicted AUC over the first treatment cycle of | (NS) x 0.972 (S) | NS | |
| | *28/*28: | sacituzumab govitecan | | | |
| | AA | predicted AUC over the first treatment cycle of free SN-38 | NS | NS | |
| ref. 2 | 3 | 106 patients with urothelia | l carcinoma were | treated with sacitu- | Authors' conclusion: |
| Loriot Y et al. TROPHY-U-01, a phase II open- label study of sacituzumab govi- tecan in patients with metastatic urothelial carci- noma progressing after platinum- based chemothe- rapy and check- point inhibitors: updated safety and efficacy outcomes. Ann Oncol 2024;35:392-401. PMID: 38244927. | | zumab govitecan (SG) 10 m 21-day cycles until progress drawal of informed consen blood transfusions were all patients received granuloc as primary prophylaxis and an follow-up was 10.5 mon cut-off, 88% of treated pat due to death (79%), comple follow-up (3%), or withdray Efficacy was evaluated usin magnetic resonance imagin tion Criteria in Solid Tumor classify tumour response a Objective response was de response. Clinical benefit w partial response + stable di All patients who received ≥ were included in the evalua There was no requirement enrolment. Patients with ki ded. This indicates that pat has phenotypic consequent *28/*28 patients expected pic consequences also duri is not stated whether UGT excluded. Genotyping: - 45x *1/*1 - 47x *1/*28 - 14x *28/*28 Results: Results: Results compared to *1/* | ig/kg intravenous sion, unacceptabl t. Hematopoietic lowed as clinically yte colony-stimula 23% as secondary ths (range 0.3-40. ients had disconti etion of 2-year fol wal of consent (3% ng computed tome ing (MRI) scans, pe is version 1.1 (REC nd progression-fr- fined as complete vas defined as cor isease during ≥ 6 r 1 dose of sacituze ation of adverse e for tumour Trop-2 nown Gilbert sync ients in which the ces in everyday lif to be most likely ng drug treatmen 1A1 inducers and i | ly on days 1 and 8 of e toxicity, or with- growth factors or v indicated. 22% of ating factor (G-CSF) y prophylaxis. Medi- 9 months). At data inued treatment llow-up (4%), loss to %). ography (CT) or r Response Evalua- CIST 1.1) criteria, to ee survival. e response + partial mplete response + months. umab govitecan events. 2 expression for drome were exclu- e *28/*28 genotype fe (and so the to show phenoty- it) were excluded. It inhibitors were | |



| ref. 2, continua- tion | | are reported below, si | | | | |
|---|------------------------------|--|--|---|----------------------------|---|
| CION | | adverse events, so NS for the adverse event | | incance not de | lennined | |
| | | | *28/*28 | *1/*28 | value for *1/*1 | |
| | | objective response rate | NS | NS | 22% | |
| | | clinical benefit rate | NS | NS | 33% | |
| | | median progression | NS | NS | 5 | |
| | | free survival | | | months | |
| | | median overall | NS | NS | 11 | |
| | | survival | 113 | | months | |
| | | treatment-related adverse event rate | NS | NS | 93% | |
| | | treatment-related adverse event grade ≥ 3 rate | NS | NS | 62% | |
| | | treatment-related | NS | NS | 2% | |
| | | death rate | Note: the or | nly patient ment-related to febrile | | |
| | _ | | sepsis) was ' | | | |
| | *28/*28: AA *1/*28: AA | rate of SG discon- tinuation due to treatment-related adverse events | x 2.1 (NS) | NS | 6.7% | |
| | 17 20.700 | rate of SG dose | NS | NS | 38% | |
| | | reduction due to treatment-related adverse events | Note: the rate was 43% for *28/*28. | | 3070 | |
| | | rate of SG inter- ruption due to treatment-related adverse events | x 1.7 (NS) | NS | 42% | |
| () | | Note: Genotyping was f | on from the US | A and France. | _ | |
| ref. 3 Rugo HS et al. Safety analyses | 3 | 250 patients with breas govitecan (SG) 10 mg/k cycles until disease pro | g intravenousl | y on days 1 an | d 8 of 21-day | UGT1A1 *28/*28 |
| from the phase 3 ASCENT trial of | | drawal from the trial, o neutropenic toxicities v | r death. Sever | e neutropenia | and non- | genotype versus those with 1/*28 and |
| sacituzumab govi- tecan in metasta- tic triple-negative | | tions and medications (CSF) for neutropenia ar | nd loperamide | for diarrhoea) | . Prophylac- | *1/*1 genotypes had higher rates of grade ≥3 SG-related neu- |
| breast cancer. NPJ Breast | | tic growth factors at studiarrhoea prophylaxis v | vas not recomi | mended. 49% | of patients | tropenia (59% vs 47% and 53%), |
| Cancer 2022;8:98. PMID: 36038616. | | received G-CSF, 29% fo treatment). Median foll months (range 5.8-28.1 | ow-up from st months). At d | udy enrollmer ata cutoff, 7% | nt was 17.7 of patients | febrile neutropenia (18% vs 5% and 3%), anemia (15% vs 6% and 4%), and diar- |
| | | remained on treatment was disease progressio were reported. Median | n (86%). No tre progression-f | eatment-relate ree survival wa | ed deaths as numerical- | rhea (15% vs 9% and 10%), respectively. Individuals with UGT- |
| | | ly higher in patients wit than in patients withou tions and 5.7 versus 4.2 | t (8.3 versus 4 | .6 months for | dose reduc- | 1A1 *28/*28 geno- type should be moni- tored closely; active |
| | | All patients who receive were included in the ev UGT1A1 inducers and ir | aluation of ad | verse events. | | monitoring and rou- tine adverse event management allow |
| | | ted for, but they were u | | | | optimal therapeutic exposure of SG.' |
| | | Genotyping: | | | | |



| ref. 3, continua- | | - 113x *1/*1 | | | | |
|------------------------------------|------------|---|-----------------|----------------|--------------------|---|
| tion | | - 113x *1/*1 - 96x *1/*28 | | | | |
| | | - 34x *28/*28 | | | | |
| | | - 7x other genotype | | | | |
| | | 7x other genotype | | | | |
| | | Results: | | | | |
| | | Results compared to * | *1/*1 (except f | or the median | dose | |
| | | intensity, differences | | | | |
| | | reported below, signif | | | so NS | |
| | | indicates significance | | | | Median dose inten- |
| | | | *28/*28 | *1/*28 | value for *1/*1 | sity compared to |
| | | median SG dose intensity | x 1.00 (NS) | x 1.00 (NS) | 99.8% | *1/*1: *1/*28: 100% *28/*28: 100% |
| | | rate of SG dose | x 1.9 (NS) | NS | 18% | ~20/~20. 100% |
| | | reduction due to | | | | |
| | | treatment-related | | | | |
| | | adverse events time to first dose | x 0.67 (NS) | NS | 2.7 | |
| | | reduction | x 0.07 (NS) | 113 | months | |
| | | rate of SG discon- | x 3 (NS) | x 0.5 (NS) | 2% | |
| | | tinuation due to | There were | | 1 | |
| | | treatment-related | nuations du | | | |
| | | adverse events | ted neutrop | | | |
| | | | neutropenia | i, or diar- | | |
| | | treatment-related | rhoea. NS | NS | 53% | |
| | *28/*28: | neutropenia grade | | | 5570 | |
| | AA | ≥3 rate treatment-related | | x 2.0 (NC) | 2.7% | |
| | | febrile neutropenia | x 6.6 (NS) | x 2.0 (NS) | 2.1% | |
| | *1/*28: AA | rate | | | | |
| | , | treatment-related leukopenia grade ≥3 | x 1.7 (NS) | NS | 8.8% | |
| | | rate | | | | |
| | | treatment-related anaemia grade ≥3 rate | x 3.3 (NS) | NS | 4.4% | |
| | | treatment-related | x 1.5 (NS) | NS | 9.7% | |
| | | diarrhoea grade ≥3 | | | | |
| | | rate | | | | |
| | | other treatment- | no effect of | UGT1A1 | | |
| | | related adverse events, including | phenotype | | | |
| | | nausea, vomiting, | | | | |
| | | constipation, fati- | | | | |
| | | gue, alopecia, and | | | | |
| | | decreased appetite | <u> </u> | | | |
| | | Note: Genotyping was b | ov Sander sedi | iencina. There | fore, all | |
| | | gene variants present v | | - | | |
| | | the USA, Canada, Belgiu | | | | |
| | | United Kingdom. | | | | |
| ref. 4 | 3 | 146 patients with epith | | | | Authors' conclusion: |
| Ocean AJ et al. | | mab govitecan (SG) 8 m | | | | 'Dose-limiting neu- |
| Sacituzumab govitecan (IMMU- | | venously on days 1 and | | | | tropenia after the first cycle was not |
| 132), an anti- | | sion or unacceptable to | | - | | correlated with SN- |
| Trop-2-SN-38 | | out subsequent reducti | | - | | 38 in serum or with |
| antibody-drug | | matologic or non-haem tics or antidiarrheal me | | - | | UGT1A1 genotype.' |
| conjugate for the | | growth factor support v | | | - | |
| treatment of diverse epithelial | | cally after cycle 1 for pa | | | | |
| cancers: Safety | | dose for the other patie | | | | |



| and pharmaco- | | group and 26% in the 1 | 0-mg/kg grou | p received at l | east one | |
|-------------------|------------|------------------------------|----------------------------|------------------|--------------------|--|
| kinetics. | | haematologic cytokine | support treat | ment. Treatme | ent was | |
| Cancer | | permanently discontin | ued for delays | >3 weeks. Pat | ients recei- | |
| 2017;123:3843- | | ved a median of 9 dose | - | | | |
| 54. | | 10 mg/kg, respectively | | | | |
| PMID: 28558150. | | ment at the cutoff date | - | | - | |
| . | | cycle of treatment (>2 | | | | |
| ref. 4, continua- | | respectively). Study dis | | | | |
| tion | | to treatment-related a | | | | |
| | | 8.6% and 2.5%, respect | | | | |
| | | and 7.2%, respectively, | | | 1000 10.5 % | |
| | | | | cacituzumah e | ovitocoo | |
| | | All patients who receiv | | sacicuzuniab g | ovicecan | |
| | | were included in the ev | | - T 2 | : C | |
| | | There was no requirem | | | | |
| | | enrolment. Patients wi | | - | | |
| | | ded. This indicates that | - | | | |
| | | has phenotypic conseq | | | | |
| | | *28/*28 patients expec | | - | | |
| | | pic consequences also | | | | |
| | | is not stated whether l | JGT1A1 induce | ers and inhibito | ors were | |
| | | excluded. | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | Genotyping: | | | | |
| | | - 63x *1/*1 | | | | |
| | | - 64x *1/*28 | | | | |
| | | - 19x *28/*28 | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | Results: | | | | |
| | | Results compared to | | | | |
| | | than 1.5 times are rep | | | | |
| | | determined for part o | | | | |
| | | versus *1/*28 versus * | | | geno- | |
| | | types indicates signifi | | | value fee | |
| | | | *28/*28 | *1/*28 | value for *1/*1 | |
| | | neutropopia arado | v 1 E (NIC) | NS | 38% | |
| | | neutropenia grade ≥3 rate | x 1.5 (NS) NS for *28/* | | 36% | |
| | | 251800 | *1/*28 versu | | | |
| | | | | e also NS for | - | |
| | | | *28/*28 ver | | | |
| | | | | 1 for patients | | |
| | | | who only re | | | |
| | | | mg/kg. | | | |
| | | rate of onset of | x 1.8 (NS) | NS | 17% | |
| | | neutropenia grade | | | | |
| | | ≥3 in the first cycle | | | | |
| | | rate of onset of | x 1.9 (NS) | NS | 30% | |
| | | neutropenia grade | | gnificance for | | |
| | | ≥3 in the first two | *28/*28 ver | | | |
| | | cycles | versus *1/*1 | 1 (p = 0.073) | | |
| | | | (NS) | | 4 | |
| | | | Results wer | | | |
| | | | *28/*28 ver | | | |
| | | | | 1 for patients | | |
| | | | who only re mg/kg. | | | |
| | | diarrhoop grado 2 | | x 0.6 (NS) | 8% | |
| | *20/*201 | diarrhoea grade 3 rate | x 2.0 (NS) S for *28/*2 | | 0 70 | |
| | *28/*28: D | diarrhoea grade 4 | *1/*28 versi | | | |
| | *1/*28: D | was not observed) | Results wer | | - | |
| | | | *28/*28 ver | | | |
| | | | | 1 for the 10- | | |
| | | | mg/kg subg | | | |
| | 1 | 11 | | · | | |





| ref. 4, continua- | | | |
|-----------------------------------|------------|---|--|
| tion | | Note: It was not reported which gene variants were determined, | |
| | | but only *28 was reported, so genotyping was probably only for | |
| | | *28. This is the most important gene variant in this population | |
| | | from the USA. | |
| ref. 5 | 0 | Warnings: | |
| SmPC Trodelvy | | Use in patients with reduced UGT1A1 activity | |
| (sacituzumab govitecan) 11-08- | | SN-38 (the small molecule moiety of sacituzumab govitecan) is | |
| 23. | | metabolised via uridine diphosphate-glucuronosyl transferase | |
| | | (UGT1A1). Genetic variants of the UGT1A1 gene such as the | |
| | | UGT1A1*28 allele lead to reduced UGT1A1 enzyme activity. Individuals who are homozygous for UGT1A1*28 allele are at | |
| | *28/*28: D | increased risk for neutropenia, febrile neutropenia, and anaemia | |
| | , | and are at increased risk for other adverse reactions following | |
| | | initiation of sacituzumab govitecan treatment. Approximately | |
| | | 20% of the Black population, 10% of the White population, and | |
| | | 2% of the East Asian population are homozygous for the UGT- | |
| | | 1A1*28 allele. Decreased function alleles other than UGT1A1 | |
| | PM: D | *28 may be present in certain populations. Patients with known | |
| | | reduced UGT1A1 activity should be closely monitored for | |
| | | adverse reactions. When unknown, no testing of UGT1A1 status is required as the management of adverse reactions | |
| | | including the recommended dose modifications will be the | |
| | | same for all patients. | |
| | | Adverse events: | |
| | | Use in patients with reduced UGT1A1 activity | |
| | | The incidence of Grade 3-4 neutropenia was 60.6% (43/71) in | |
| | | patients homozygous for the UGT1A1*28 allele, 52.9% | |
| | | (144/272) in patients heterozygous for the UGT1A1*28 allele, | |
| | | and 49.1% (140/285) in patients homozygous for the wild-type allele. The incidence of Grade 3-4 febrile neutropenia was 14.1% | |
| | | (10/71) in patients homozygous for the UGT1A1*28 allele, 5.9% | |
| | | (16/272) in patients heterozygous for the UGT1A1*28 allele, and | |
| | | 4.6% (13/285) in patients homozygous for the wild-type allele. | |
| | | The incidence of Grade 3-4 anaemia was 15.5% (11/71) in | |
| | | patients homozygous for the UGT1A1*28 allele, 7.4% (20/272) | |
| | | in patients heterozygous for the UGT1A1*28 allele, and 8.1% | |
| | | (23/285) in patients homozygous for the wild-type allele. | |
| | | Compared to patients homozygous for the wild-type allele, | |
| | | earlier median onset of neutropenia and anaemia was observed in patients homozygous for the UGT1A1*28 allele and in | |
| | *1/*28: D | patients heterozygous for the UGT1A1*28 allele. | |
| ref. 6 | 0 | Warnings: | |
| SmPC Trodelvy | | Increased risk of adverse reactions in patients with reduced | |
| (sacituzumab | | UGT1A1 activity | |
| govitecan) 02-03- 23 (USA). | | Patients homozygous for the uridine diphosphate-glucuronosyl | |
| 23 (03A). | | transferase 1A1 (UGT1A1)*28 allele are at increased risk for | |
| | *28/*28: D | neutropenia, febrile neutropenia, and anemia; and may be at increased risk for other adverse reactions when treated with | |
| | | Trodelvy. | |
| | | The incidence of neutropenia and anemia was analyzed in 948 | |
| | | patients who received Trodelvy and had UGT1A1 genotype | |
| | | results. In patients homozygous for the UGT1A1 *28 allele | |
| | | (n=112), the incidence of Grade 3-4 neutropenia was 58%. In | |
| | | patients heterozygous for the UGT1A1*28 allele (n=420), the | |
| | | incidence of Grade 3-4 neutropenia was 49%. In patients homo- | |
| | | zygous for the wild-type allele (n=416), the incidence of Grade | |
| | | 3-4 neutropenia was 43%. In patients homozygous for the UGT- | |
| | | 1A1 *28 allele, the incidence of Grade 3-4 anemia was 21%. In patients heterozygous for the UGT1A1*28 allele, the incidence | |
| | <u> </u> | patients neterozygous for the Out IAT 26 dilete, the incidence | |

| ref. 6, continua- | | of Grade 3-4 anemia was 10%. In patients homozygous for the | |
|-------------------|-----------|--|--|
| ion | | wild-type allele, the incidence of Grade 3-4 anemia was 9%. | |
| | | The median time to first neutropenia including febrile neutrope- | |
| | | nia was 9 days in patients homozygous for the UGT1A1*28 | |
| | | allele, 15 days in patients heterozygous for the UGT1A1*28 | |
| | | allele, and 20 days in patients homozygous for the wild-type | |
| | | allele. The median time to first anemia was 21 days in patients | |
| | | homozygous for the UGT1A1*28 allele, 25 days in patients hete- | |
| | | rozygous for the UGT1A1*28 allele, and 28 days in patients | |
| | | homozygous for the wild-type allele. | |
| | | Closely monitor patients with known reduced UGT1A1 activity | |
| | | for adverse reactions. Withhold or permanently discontinue | |
| | | Trodelvy based on clinical assessment of the onset, duration | |
| | | and severity of the observed adverse reactions in patients with | |
| | | evidence of acute early-onset or unusually severe adverse reac- | |
| | | tions, which may indicate reduced UGT1A1 enzyme activity. | |
| | | Clnical pharmacology: | |
| | | Pharmacogenomics | |
| | | SN-38 is metabolized via UGT1A1. Genetic variants of the UGT- | |
| | | 1A1 gene such as the UGT1A1*28 allele lead to reduced UGT1A1 | |
| | *1/*28: D | enzyme activity. Individuals who are homozygous or heterozy- | |
| | | gous for the UGT1A1*28 allele are at increased risk for neutro- | |
| | | penia, febrile neutropenia, and anemia from Trodelvy compared | |
| | | to individuals who are wildtype (*1/*1). Approximately 20% of | |
| | | the Black or African American population, 10% of the White | |
| | | population, and 2% of the East Asian population are homozy- | |
| | | gous for the UGT1A1*28 allele (*28/*28). Approximately 40% of | |
| | | the Black or African American population, 50% of the White | |
| | | population, and 25% of the East Asian population are hetero- | |
| | PM: D | zygous for the UGT1A1*28 allele (*1/*28). Decreased function | |
| | IM: D | alleles other than UGT1A1*28 may be present in certain popu- | |
| | | lations. | |

Risk group *28/*28 with UGT1A1 inhibitors (e.g. ketoconazole and gemfibrozil)

Comments

ΡΙΟΟ

| P(atient / person) | non-UGT1A1 *1/*1 individuals |
|------------------------|---------------------------------|
| l(ntervention) | sacituzumab govitecan |
| C(omparison / Control) | UGT1A1 *1/*1 individuals |
| O(utcome) | kinetic and/or clinical effects |

In- and exclusion criteria

Literature table

Inclusion:

- According to PICO
- Relevant case reports
- Exception made for this risk analysis: study with pharmacokinetic modelling of data from other studies
- SmPCs (NL and USA) if they contain relevant information

Exclusion:

- Animal studies
 - In vitro studies
- Reviews that are not meta-analyses and other not-original studies

Comments

- Inclusion:
 - Other guidelines



- Cost-effectiveness studies for genotype-guided therapy

General background text of the gene

Inclusion:

- Articles with information relevant for the general background text of the gene (e.g. information on gene variant frequency in the Netherlands)

Search terms

Pubmed

| Date | Search terms |
|-----------|---|
| 13-8-2024 | ("sacituzumab govitecan" [Supplementary Concept] OR "sacituzumab govitecan" OR sacituzumab) AND ("UGT1A1 enzyme" [Supplementary Concept] OR UGT1A1 OR 1A1) AND (English[lang] OR German[lang] OR Dutch[lang]) |

Clinical Implication Score

Table 1: Definitions of the available Clinical Implication Scores

| Potentially beneficial | PGx testing for this gene-drug pair is potentially beneficial. Genotyping can be considered on an individual patient basis. If, however, the genotype is available, the DPWG recommends adhering to the gene-drug guideline | 0-2 + |
|---------------------------|---|--------|
| Beneficial | PGx testing for this gene-drug pair is beneficial. It is advised to consider genotyping the patient before (or directly after) drug therapy has been initiated to guide drug and dose selection | 3-5 + |
| Essential | PGx testing for this gene-drug pair is essential for drug safety or efficacy. Genotyping must be performed before drug therapy has been initiated to guide drug and dose selection | 6-10 + |

Table 2: Criteria on which the attribution of Clinical Implication Score is based

| Clinical Implication Score Criteria | Possible Score | Given Score |
|---|-------------------|--------------------------------|
| Clinical effect associated with gene-drug interaction (drug- or diminished efficacy-induced) | | |
| CTCAE Grade 3 or 4 (clinical effect score D or E) | + | + |
| CTCAE Grade 5 (clinical effect score F) | ++ | |
| Level of evidence supporting the associated clinical effect grade ≥ 3 | | |
| • One study with level of evidence score ≥ 3 | + | + |
| Two studies with level of evidence score ≥ 3 | ++ | |
| • Three or more studies with level of evidence score ≥ 3 | +++ | |
| Number needed to genotype (NNG) in the Dutch population to prevent one clinical effect | | |
| grade ≥ 3 | | |
| • 100 < NNG ≤ 1000 | + | |
| • 10 < NNG ≤ 100 | ++ | |
| • NNG ≤ 10 | +++ | |
| PGx information in the Summary of Product Characteristics (SmPC) | | |
| At least one genotype/phenotype mentioned | + | + |
| OR | | |
| Recommendation to genotype | ++ | |
| OR | | |
| At least one genotype/phenotype mentioned as a contra-indication in the corresponding section | ++ | |
| Total Score: | 10+ | 3+ |
| Corresponding Clinical Implication Score: | | Beneficial |
| Recommendation after taking additional considerations into account: | | No recom- menda- tion to |
| | | genotype |